Iterative Hessian Sketch for Constrained Least-Squares

Lydia Hsu, Shuaiwen Wang

December 10, 2018

Consider the constrained least-squares

$$x_{ls} := \arg\min_{x \in C} \frac{1}{2} ||Ax - y||_2^2$$

where C: constraint; $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$: design matrix; y: response.

Consider the constrained least-squares

$$x_{ls} := \arg\min_{x \in C} \frac{1}{2} ||Ax - y||_2^2$$

where C: constraint; $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$: design matrix; y response.

▶ Issue: when *n* large, computationally **expensive**;

Consider the constrained least-squares

$$x_{ls} := \arg\min_{x \in C} \frac{1}{2} ||Ax - y||_2^2$$

where C: constraint; $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$: design matrix; y response.

- ▶ Issue: when *n* large, computationally **expensive**;
- ▶ Idea: "sketch" A using SA;

Consider the constrained least-squares

$$x_{ls} := \arg\min_{x \in C} \frac{1}{2} ||Ax - y||_2^2$$

where C: constraint; $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$: design matrix; y: response.

- ▶ Issue: when *n* large, computationally **expensive**;
- ▶ Idea: "sketch" A using SA;
- $S \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$: sketching matrix; $\mathbb{E}S^{\top}S = I_n$.

Common sketching matrices

▶ sub-Gaussian: $S_{ij} \sim_{iid} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \mathcal{N}(0,1)$;

Common sketching matrices

- ▶ sub-Gaussian: $S_{ij} \sim_{iid} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \mathcal{N}(0,1);$
- random row sampling: randomly select m rows from I_n (rescaled by $\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}$);

Common sketching matrices

- ▶ sub-Gaussian: $S_{ij} \sim_{iid} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \mathcal{N}(0,1);$
- random row sampling: randomly select m rows from I_n (rescaled by $\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}$);
- randomized orthogonal system: randomly select m rows from an orthogonal matrix H (rescaled by $\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}$);

Classical Sketch

▶ Sketch not only *A*, but also *y*:

$$x_{cs} := \arg\min_{x \in C} \ \frac{1}{2} \| SAx - Sy \|_2^2$$

Classical Sketch

▶ Sketch not only *A*, but also *y*:

$$x_{cs} := \arg\min_{x \in C} \frac{1}{2} ||SAx - Sy||_2^2$$

▶ Classical sketch is **sub-optimal**: assume a linear ground truth $y = Ax_* + w$:

$$||x_{cs} - x_*|| \sim ||x_{ls} - x_*|| \Rightarrow m = \Theta(n)$$



Classical Sketch

► Sketch not only *A*, but also *y*:

$$x_{cs} := \arg\min_{x \in C} \frac{1}{2} ||SAx - Sy||_2^2$$

▶ Classical sketch is **sub-optimal**: assume a linear ground truth $y = Ax_* + w$:

$$||x_{cs} - x_*|| \sim ||x_{ls} - x_*|| \quad \Rightarrow \quad m = \Theta(n)$$

▶ We expect the optimal method satisfies $m \approx O(p)$ Think about this part more carefully?;



▶ Only sketch *A*, but not *y*:

$$x_{hs} := \arg\min_{x \in C} \ \frac{1}{2} \| SAx \|_2^2 - \langle A^\top y, x \rangle.$$

Only sketch A, but not y:

$$x_{hs} := \arg\min_{x \in C} \ \frac{1}{2} \| \textit{SAx} \|_2^2 - \langle \textit{A}^\top \textit{y}, x \rangle.$$

Sub-optimal in the same sense;

Only sketch A, but not y:

$$x_{hs} := \arg\min_{x \in C} \frac{1}{2} \|SAx\|_2^2 - \langle A^\top y, x \rangle.$$

- Sub-optimal in the same sense;
- ▶ With $m \sim O(p)$ sample size, we can obtain a weak result:

$$||x_{hs} - x_{ls}|| \le \rho ||x_{ls}||, \quad \text{w.h.p.}$$

where $\rho \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Only sketch A, but not y:

$$x_{hs} := \arg\min_{x \in C} \frac{1}{2} \|SAx\|_2^2 - \langle A^\top y, x \rangle.$$

- Sub-optimal in the same sense;
- ▶ With $m \sim O(p)$ sample size, we can obtain a weak result:

$$||x_{hs} - x_{ls}|| \le \rho ||x_{ls}||, \text{ w.h.p.}$$

where $\rho \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

► An iterative idea for improvement:

"
$$||x^{t+1} - x_{ls}|| \le \rho ||x^t - x_{ls}||$$
"?

A detailed idea:

▶ Given x^t , a modified least square with $x_{ls} - x^t$ as minimizer:

$$\min_{u} \frac{1}{2} \|A(u + x^{t})\|_{2}^{2} - \langle A^{\top} y, (u + x^{t}) \rangle$$

A detailed idea:

▶ Given x^t , a modified least square with $x_{ls} - x^t$ as minimizer:

$$\min_{u} \frac{1}{2} ||Au||_2^2 - \langle A^{\top} (y - Ax^t), u \rangle$$

A detailed idea:

▶ Given x^t , a modified least square with $x_{ls} - x^t$ as minimizer:

$$\min_{u} \frac{1}{2} \|Au\|_2^2 - \langle A^{\top} (y - Ax^t), u \rangle$$

▶ The corresponding Hessian sketch:

$$u^{t+1} = \arg\min_{u} \frac{1}{2} ||SAu||_{2}^{2} - \langle A^{\top}(y - Ax^{t}), u \rangle$$

A detailed idea:

▶ Given x^t , a modified least square with $x_{ls} - x^t$ as minimizer:

$$\min_{u} \frac{1}{2} \|Au\|_2^2 - \langle A^{\top} (y - Ax^t), u \rangle$$

▶ The corresponding Hessian sketch:

$$u^{t+1} = \arg\min_{u} \frac{1}{2} ||SAu||_{2}^{2} - \langle A^{\top}(y - Ax^{t}), u \rangle$$

▶ Property of Hessian sketch: $||u^{t+1} - (x_{ls} - x^t)|| \le \rho ||x_{ls} - x^t||$;



A detailed idea:

▶ Given x^t , a modified least square with $x_{ls} - x^t$ as minimizer:

$$\min_{u} \frac{1}{2} ||Au||_2^2 - \langle A^{\top} (y - Ax^t), u \rangle$$

▶ The corresponding Hessian sketch:

$$u^{t+1} = \arg\min_{u} \frac{1}{2} ||SAu||_{2}^{2} - \langle A^{\top}(y - Ax^{t}), u \rangle$$

- ▶ Property of Hessian sketch: $||u^{t+1} (x_{ls} x^t)|| \le \rho ||x_{ls} x^t||$;
- ▶ Define $x^{t+1} = x^t + u^{t+1}$, then:

$$||x^{t+1} - x_{ls}|| \le \rho ||x^t - x_{ls}||, \text{ w.h.p.}$$

Algorithm

- 1. Initialize $x^0 = 0$.
- 2. For t=1,...N, generate independent sketches $S^t \in \mathbb{R}^{m imes n}$ and

$$x^{t} = \arg\min_{x \in C} \frac{1}{2} \|S^{t} A(x - x^{t-1})\|_{2}^{2} - \langle A^{T} (y - Ax^{t-1}), x - x^{t-1} \rangle$$

3. Return $\hat{x} = x^N$.

Properties of IHS:

▶ m = O(p) to guarantee $||x^t - x_{ls}|| \le \rho ||x^{t-1} - x_{ls}||$ in each iteration;

Properties of IHS:

- ▶ m = O(p) to guarantee $||x^t x_{ls}|| \le \rho ||x^{t-1} x_{ls}||$ in each iteration;
- ▶ To achieve an accuracy of ϵ , we need $\log(\frac{1}{\epsilon})$ iterations;

Properties of IHS:

- ▶ m = O(p) to guarantee $||x^t x_{ls}|| \le \rho ||x^{t-1} x_{ls}||$ in each iteration;
- ▶ To achieve an accuracy of ϵ , we need $\log(\frac{1}{\epsilon})$ iterations;
- Optimality:
 - ▶ To achieve the same bound as $||x_{ls} x_*|| \approx O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$, we need N = log(n) iterations;

Properties of IHS:

- ▶ m = O(p) to guarantee $||x^t x_{ls}|| \le \rho ||x^{t-1} x_{ls}||$ in each iteration;
- ▶ To achieve an accuracy of ϵ , we need $\log(\frac{1}{\epsilon})$ iterations;
- Optimality:
 - ▶ To achieve the same bound as $||x_{ls} x_*|| \approx O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$, we need N = log(n) iterations;
 - ▶ Union bound: $||x^N x_{ls}|| \le \rho^N ||x_{ls}||$, w.h.p..

Simulations in the paper

Evaluation for Sketch Performance

Cost Approximation

In terms of f-cost, the approximated \tilde{x} is said to be ϵ -optimal if

$$f(x^{LS}) \le f(\tilde{x}) \le (1+\epsilon)^2 f(x^{LS}).$$

Solution Approximation

In terms of f-cost, the prediction norm defined as

$$\|\tilde{x} - x^{LS}\|_A := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \|A(\tilde{x} - x^{LS})\|_2.$$

Thank you!