2022

### Lecture 10: FTRL and Adversarial MAB

Week 10

Lecturer: Tianyu Wang

# 1 Online Convex Optimization and Follow-The-Regularized-Leader

Online convex optimization setting. For  $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$ :

- The environment picks a convex loss function  $f_t$ ;
- The player picks  $x_t \in \Delta$  ( $\Delta$  is a convex domain) and suffers loss  $f_t(x_t)$ ; The function  $f_t$  is revealed to the player only after the player has made her decision.

Motivation: Regression problems with streaming in data.

#### **Algorithm 1** Follow-The-Regularized-Leader (FTRL)

- 1: **Input**: learning rate  $\eta$ . Convex domain:  $\Delta$ . Regularizer:  $\Phi$ .
- 2: **Initialization**: Pick  $x_1 \in \arg\min_{x \in \Delta} \Phi(x)$ .
- 3: **for**  $t = 1, 2, \dots, T 1$  **do**
- 4: Play  $x_t$  and observe  $f_t$ .
- 5: Compute  $\nabla f_t(x_t)$ .
- 6: Pick  $x_{t+1} \in \arg\min_{x \in \Delta} \left\{ \eta \sum_{s=1}^{t} \langle \nabla f_t(x_t), x \rangle + \Phi(x) \right\}.$
- 7: end for

Regret against  $z \in \Delta$  is defined as

$$Reg_T(z) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} f_t(x_t) - f_t(z).$$

### 1.1 Bregman divergence

**Definition 1.1** (Bregman divergence). Let  $\Phi$  be a strongly convex function. The Bregman divergence with respect to  $\Phi$  is

$$B_{\Phi}(x|y) = \Phi(x) - \Phi(y) - \nabla \Phi(y)^{\top}(x - y).$$

Note that by convexity, we have

$$\Phi(x) \ge \Phi(y) + \nabla \Phi(y)^{\top} (x - y).$$

Thus the Bregman divergence is always non-negative.

By Taylor theorem, there exists some z such that  $z = \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y$  and

$$B_{\Phi}(x|y) = \frac{1}{2}(x-y)^{\top} \nabla^2 \Phi(z)(x-y).$$

#### 1.2 Dual norm

Any positive definite matrix M defines a norm:  $||x||_M := \sqrt{x^\top M x}$ . For any norm  $||\cdot||$ , its dual norm is defined as

$$||x||^* = \sup_{y:||y|| \le 1} x^\top y.$$

Example. The Euclidean norm is the dual norm of itself.

Since  $\Phi$  is strongly convex,  $\nabla^2 \Phi(z)$  is positive definite at any z. Thus  $\nabla^2 \Phi(z)$  defines a norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\nabla^2 \Phi(z)}$  and we can define its dual norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\nabla^2 \Phi(z)}^*$ .

**Proposition 1.2** (Holder's inequality). For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and a norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , it holds that

$$x^{\top}y \le ||x|| ||y||^*,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|^*$  is the dual norm of  $\|\cdot\|$ .

*Proof.* When x=0, the inequality is trivially true. When  $x\neq 0$ , let  $u=\frac{x}{\|x\|}$  and we have

$$x^{\top}y \le ||x||u^{\top}y \le ||x|| \sup_{u,||u|| \le 1} u^{\top}y \le ||x|| ||y||^*.$$

**Proposition 1.3.** Let M be a positive definite (symmetric) matrix. Then it holds that

$$||x||_{M^{-1}} = ||x||_M^*.$$

### 1.3 Regret Analysis

The regret of Algorithm 1 can be bounded by the following Theorem.

**Theorem 1.4.** Algorithm 1 attains for every  $u \in \Delta$  the following bound on the regret:

$$Reg_T(u) \le 2\eta \sum_{t=1}^T \left( \|\nabla f_t(x_t)\|_{\nabla^2 \Phi(z_t)}^* \right)^2 + \frac{\Phi(u) - \Phi(x_1)}{\eta},$$

where  $z_t = \alpha_t x_t + (1 - \alpha_t) x_{t+1}$  for some  $\alpha_t$ .

### **Lemma 1.5.** For any $u \in \Delta$ , it holds that

$$\frac{\Phi(x_1)}{\eta} + \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \nabla f_t(x_t)^{\top} x_{t+1} \le \frac{\Phi(u)}{\eta} + \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \nabla f_t(x_t)^{\top} u.$$

*Proof.* Note that  $\Phi(x_1) \leq \Phi(u)$  by algorithm initialization, which implies that the statement is true when T=1.

Suppose the statement is true for T-1. Then since

$$x_{T+1} \in \arg\min_{x \in \Delta} \left\{ \frac{\Phi(x)}{\eta} + \sum_{t=1}^{T} \nabla f_t(x_t)^{\top} x \right\},$$

we have

$$\frac{\Phi(u)}{\eta} + \sum_{t=1}^{T} \nabla f_t(x_t)^{\top} u \ge \frac{\Phi(x_{T+1})}{\eta} + \sum_{t=1}^{T} \nabla f_t(x_t)^{\top} x_{T+1} 
= \frac{\Phi(x_{T+1})}{\eta} + \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \nabla f_t(x_t)^{\top} x_{T+1} + \nabla f_T(x_T)^{\top} x_{T+1} 
\ge \frac{\Phi(x_1)}{\eta} + \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \nabla f_t(x_t)^{\top} x_{t+1} + \nabla f_T(x_T)^{\top} x_{T+1} 
= \frac{\Phi(x_1)}{\eta} + \sum_{t=1}^{T} \nabla f_t(x_t)^{\top} x_{t+1}$$

where second last line uses induction hypothesis.

#### **Lemma 1.6.** The regret for Algorithm 1 satisfies

$$Reg_T(u) \le \sum_{t=1}^T \nabla f_t(x_t)^\top (x_t - x_{t+1}) + \frac{D_{\Phi}^2}{\eta}, \quad \forall u \in \Delta,$$

where

$$D_{\Phi} = \sqrt{\sup_{x,y \in \Delta} \{\Phi(x) - \Phi(y)\}}$$

is the diameter of  $\Delta$  with respect to the regularizer  $\Phi$ .

*Proof.* By convexity of  $f_t$ , we have that

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} f_t(x_t) - f_t(u) \le \sum_{t=1}^{T} \nabla f_t(x_t)^{\top} (x_t - u)$$

By Lemma 1.5, we have that

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} \nabla f_t(x_t)^{\top} (x_t - u) \leq \sum_{t=1}^{T} \nabla f_t(x_t)^{\top} (x_t - x_{t+1}) + \frac{1}{\eta} (\Phi(u) - \Phi(x_1))$$

$$\leq \sum_{t=1}^{T} \nabla f_t(x_t)^{\top} (x_t - x_{t+1}) + \frac{D_{\Phi}}{\eta}.$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* Recall that  $\Phi(x)$  is a strongly convex function and  $\Delta$  is a convex set. Define:

$$\Psi_t(x) := \eta \sum_{s=1}^t \nabla f_s(x_s)^\top x + \Phi(x)$$

We have

$$\begin{split} \Psi_t(x_t) &= \Psi_t(x_{t+1}) + \Psi_t(x_{t+1})^\top (x_t - x_{t+1}) + B_{\Psi_t}(x_t|x_{t+1}) \\ & \text{(by definition of Bregman divergence)} \\ &\geq \Psi_t(x_{t+1}) + B_{\Psi_t}(x_t|x_{t+1}) \\ & (\nabla \Psi_t(x_{t+1})^\top (x_t - x_{t+1}) \geq 0 \text{ since } x_{t+1} \text{ minimizes } \Psi_t \text{ over } \Delta) \\ &= \Psi_t(x_{t+1}) + B_{\Phi}(x_t|x_{t+1}). \quad \text{(the linear terms do not contribute to Bregman divergence)} \end{split}$$

Then it holds that

$$\begin{split} B_{\Phi}(x_{t}|x_{t+1}) &\leq \Psi_{t}(x_{t}) - \Psi_{t}(x_{t+1}) \\ &= \Psi_{t-1}(x_{t}) - \Psi_{t-1}(x_{t+1}) + \eta \left( \nabla f_{t}(x_{t})^{\top} \left( x_{t} - x_{t+1} \right) \right) \\ &\stackrel{(i)}{\leq} \eta \left( \nabla f_{t}(x_{t})^{\top} \left( x_{t} - x_{t+1} \right) \right). \end{split} \qquad \text{(since } \Psi_{t-1}(x_{t}) \leq \Psi_{t-1}(x_{t+1})) \end{split}$$

Thus we gives

$$\nabla f_{t}(x_{t})^{\top} (x_{t} - x_{t+1}) \leq \|\nabla f_{t}(x_{t})\|_{\nabla^{2}\Phi(z_{t})}^{*} \|x_{t} - x_{t+1}\|_{\nabla^{2}\Phi(z_{t})}$$

$$= \|\nabla f_{t}(x_{t})\|_{\nabla^{2}\Phi(z_{t})}^{*} \sqrt{2B_{\Phi}(x_{t}|x_{t+1})}$$

$$\leq \|\nabla f_{t}(x_{t})\|_{\nabla^{2}\Phi(z_{t})}^{*} \sqrt{2\eta \nabla f_{t}(x_{t})^{\top} (x_{t} - x_{t+1})}.$$
 (by (i))

This implies

$$\nabla f_t(x_t)^{\top} (x_t - x_{t+1}) \le 2\eta (\|\nabla f_t(x_t)\|_{\nabla f_t(z_t)}^*)^2.$$

Combine this with Lemma 1.6 finishes the proof.

### 2 Adversarial Multi-Armed Bandits

Adversarial multi-armed bandit setting. There are K arms, and the player pulls the arms sequentially.

For  $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$ :

- The environment picks a loss function  $l_t$  defined on the arms [K], such that  $l_{t,j} \in [0,1]$  for all t,i;
- The player pulls arm  $I_t \in [K]$  and suffers loss  $l_{t,I_t}$ ; The loss of arm  $I_t$  is revealed to the player only after the player has made her decision. No information about other arms are revealed.

### **Algorithm 2** EXP3

- 1: **Input**: learning rate  $\eta$ .
- 2: **Initialization**: Let  $p_1$  be the uniform distribution over [K].
- 3: **for**  $t = 1, 2, \dots, T 1$  **do**
- 4: Play  $I_t \sim p_t$  and observe  $l_{t,I_t}$ .
- 5: Construct estimators for  $l_{t,i}$ :

$$\widehat{l}_{t,i} = \frac{l_{t,I_t} \mathbb{I}_{[I_t=i]}}{p_{t,i}}.$$

- 6: Pick the probability  $p_{t+1}$  such that  $p_{t+1,i} = \frac{p_{t,i} \exp\left(-\eta \hat{l}_{t,i}\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} p_{t,j} \exp\left(-\eta \hat{l}_{t,j}\right)}$ .
- 7: end for

First of all, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\widehat{l}_{t,i}\right] = l_{t,i}, \quad \forall t, i.$$

We will show that the EXP3 algorithm is a special case of FTRL, where  $\Delta$  is the probability simplex and  $\Phi$  is the negative entropy  $\Phi(p) = \sum_{i=1}^K p_i \log p_i$ .

## Using the FTRL framework

- Define  $\Delta := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^K : x_i \ge 0, \sum_{i=1}^K x_i = 1\}$ , which is convex.
- Define  $\Phi_{\gamma}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{T} x_i \log x_i$ .

Let  $q^*$  be distribution with 1 on the hindsight optimal arm, and 0 on all other entries. Note that, by Taylor's theorem,

$$\Phi(z_t) = B_{\Phi}(x_t|x_{t+1})$$

Let's pretend that the loss vectors chosen by the adversary are  $\hat{l}_t$ . By Theorem 1.4, we have

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} \widehat{l}_{t}^{\top} p_{t} - \sum_{t=1}^{T} \widehat{l}_{t}^{\top} q^{*} \leq 2\eta \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left( \|\widehat{l}_{t}\|_{\nabla^{2}\Phi(z_{t})}^{*} \right)^{2} + \frac{\Phi(q^{*}) - \Phi(p_{1})}{\eta}$$
(1)

Note that  $\nabla^2 \Phi(z)$  is a diagonal matrix with  $\frac{1}{z_i}$  on its diagonal and 0 on the off-diagonal entries.

$$\left(\|\widehat{l}_{t}\|_{\nabla^{2}\Phi(z_{t})}^{*}\right)^{2} = \left\|\widehat{l}_{t}\right\|_{(\nabla^{2}\Phi(z_{t}))^{-1}}^{2}$$

$$= \widehat{l}_{t}^{\top}(\nabla^{2}\Phi(z_{t}))^{-1}\widehat{l}_{t}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{K} \frac{l_{t,i}^{2}\mathbb{I}_{[I_{t}=i]}}{p_{t,i}^{2}} z_{t,i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{K} \frac{l_{t,i}^{2}\mathbb{I}_{[I_{t}=i]}}{p_{t,i}^{2}} \left(\alpha_{t}p_{t,i} + (1-\alpha_{t})p_{t+1,i}\right), \tag{2}$$

for some  $\alpha_t \in [0, 1]$ .

For any i,

$$\frac{p_{t+1,i}}{p_{t,i}} = \frac{\exp(-\eta \hat{l}_{t,i})}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} p_{t,j} \exp(-\eta \hat{l}_{t,j})} 
= \frac{\exp(-\eta l_{t,i} \mathbb{I}_{[I_t=i]}/p_{t,i})}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} p_{t,j} \exp(-\eta l_{t,j} \mathbb{I}_{[I_t=j]}/p_{t,j})} 
\leq \begin{cases} \exp(-\eta l_{t,i}/p_{t,i}), & \text{if } I_t = i \\ \frac{1}{p_{t,k} \exp(-\eta l_{t,k}/p_{t,k}) + (1-p_{t,k})} & \text{if } I_t = k \text{ for some } k \neq i \end{cases} 
\leq \exp(\eta).$$

Plugging  $\frac{p_{t+1,i}}{p_{t,i}} \le \exp(\eta)$  into (2) gives

$$\left(\|\widehat{l}_{t}\|_{\nabla^{2}(z_{t})}^{*}\right)^{2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{K} \frac{l_{t,i}^{2} \mathbb{I}_{[I_{t}=i]}}{p_{t,i}} \left(\alpha_{t} + (1 - \alpha_{t}) \exp(\eta)\right) 
\leq \exp(\eta) \sum_{i=1}^{K} \frac{l_{t,i}^{2} \mathbb{I}_{[I_{t}=i]}}{p_{t,i}}.$$
(3)

Plugging the above result into (1), and taking expectation gives

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{T} \widehat{l}_{t}^{\top} p_{t} - \sum_{t=1}^{T} \widehat{l}_{t}^{\top} q^{*}\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[2\eta \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left(\|\widehat{l}_{t}\|_{\nabla^{2}\Phi(z_{t})}^{*}\right)^{2} + \frac{\Phi(q^{*}) - \Phi(p_{1})}{\eta}\right]$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\left[2\eta \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left(\|\widehat{l}_{t}\|_{\nabla^{2}\Phi(z_{t})}^{*}\right)^{2}\right] + \frac{\Phi(p_{1})}{\eta} \qquad \text{(since } \Phi(u) \geq 0\text{)}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[2\eta \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left(\|\widehat{l}_{t}\|_{\nabla^{2}\Phi(z_{t})}^{*}\right)^{2}\right] + \frac{\log K}{\eta}$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\left[2\eta \exp(\eta) \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \frac{l_{t,i}^{2} \mathbb{I}_{[I_{t}=i]}}{p_{t,i}}\right] + \frac{\log K}{\eta} \qquad \text{(by Eq. 3)}$$

$$= 2\eta \exp(\eta) \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{K} l_{t,i}^{2} + \frac{\log K}{\eta}. \qquad \text{(since } \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\mathbb{I}_{[I_{t}=i]}}{p_{t,i}}\right] = 1\text{)}$$

$$\leq 2\eta \exp(\eta) TK + \frac{\log K}{\eta} \qquad \text{(since } l_{t,i} \in [0, 1].)$$

By law of total expectation, we have  $\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^T \hat{l}_t^\top p_t - \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{l}_t^\top q^*\right] = \sum_{t=1}^T l_t^\top p_t - \sum_{t=1}^T l_t^\top q^*$  which is the regret. For a derivation with more rigor, one needs to use the probability theory arguments discussed in previous lecture.

### Acknowledgement

Reference: online convex optimization book by Elad Hazan.