

Optimal Replacement of GMC Bus Engines: An Empirical Model of Harold Zurcher

1. The Model

1.1 State Variable and Transition Probabilities

The unique state variable x_t is the accumulated mileage (since last replacement) on the bus engine at time t . The real mileage is discretized into 90 intervals of length 5000, i.e., $x_t \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 90\}$, where $x_t = j$ means that the period t mileage since last replacement lies in the interval $[5000 * (j - 1), 5000 * j)$.

The transition probability of this state variable is characterized by parameter p_0, p_1, p_2 with $p_0 + p_1 + p_2 = 1$. To be specific, after each period, if the engine is not replaced i.e., $d_t = 0$, then next period state variable x_{t+1} can only take three possible values $\{x_t, x_t + 1, x_t + 2\}$. The transition probability matrix is characterized by two parameters:

$$p_j = \Pr\{x_{t+1} = x_t + j \mid x_t, d_t = 0\}, j = 0, 1$$

If at period t , the engine is replaced, i.e., $d_t = 1$, then the next period state variable can take values 1, 2, 3, each with probability $p_j, j = 0, 1, 2$, respectively.

More specifically, the transition probability matrices are

$$P^{d=0} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_0 & \pi_1 & \pi_2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \pi_0 & \pi_1 & \pi_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \ddots & & \vdots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \pi_0 & 1 - \pi_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P^{d=1} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_0 & \pi_1 & \pi_2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \pi_0 & \pi_1 & \pi_2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & & & \vdots & \\ \pi_0 & \pi_1 & \pi_2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \pi_0 & \pi_1 & \pi_2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

1.2 Per-Period Utility

Per-period utility function takes the form:

$$u(x_t, d_t, \theta) = \begin{cases} -RC - c(0, \theta) & \text{if } d_t = 1, \\ -c(x_t, \theta) & \text{if } d_t = 0. \end{cases}$$

where the replacement cost RC is a parameter to be estimated. Assume the maintenance cost function takes the linear form, with θ to be estimated,

$$c(x_t, \theta) = \theta * x_t.$$

Together, there are four parameters in this simple problem: RC, θ, p_0, p_1 .

1.3 Problem

The corresponding Bellman Equation is:

$$V(x_t, \varepsilon_t) = \max_{i_t} \{u(x_t, d_t, \theta) + \varepsilon_t(d_t) + \beta E[V(x_{t+1}, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \mid x_t, \varepsilon_t]\}$$

where

$$E[V(x_{t+1}, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \mid x_t, \varepsilon_t] \equiv \int_y \int_\eta V(y, \eta) p(dy, d\eta \mid x_t, \varepsilon_t, d_t).$$

We impose conditional independence assumption. And we further assume the distribution of the unobserved state variable $\varepsilon_t(i)$ follows an iid type I extreme value distribution. Altogether, we can get a closed solution to this dynamic programming problem.

Now we can describe the relationships between the ex ante (or integrated) value function $\bar{V}(x_t)$, the conditional value function $v(x_t, d_t)$ and conditional choice probabilities $p_t(d_t | x_t)$ in the following way (these terms are borrowed from Arcidiacono and Ellickson (2011) who provide a general representation of dynamic discrete choice problems):

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{V}(x_t) &\equiv \int V(x_t, \epsilon_t) g(\epsilon_t) d\epsilon_t \\ v(x_t, d_t) &\equiv u(x_t, d_t) + \beta \int \bar{V}(x_{t+1}) f(x_{t+1} | x_t, d_t) dx_{t+1} \\ p_t(d_t | x_t) &= \frac{\exp[v_t(x_t, d_t)]}{\sum_{d'_t \in D} \exp[v_t(x_t, d'_t)]} = \frac{1}{\sum_{d'_t \in D} \exp[v_t(x_t, d'_t) - v_t(x_t, d_t)]}\end{aligned}$$

In this specific binary choice case with extreme value distribution,

$$v(x_t, 1) = u(x_t, 1) + \beta * \left(\sum_{j=0,1,2} p_j * \bar{V}(j+1) \right), \quad v(x_t, 0) = u(x_t, 0) + \beta * \left(\sum_{j=0,1,2} p_j * \bar{V}(x_t + j) \right); \quad (\star^1)$$

$$\bar{V}(x_t) = \ln(e^{v(x_t,1)} + e^{v(x_t,0)}); \quad (\star^2)$$

$$p(d_t = 1 | x_t) = \frac{\exp[v(x_t, 1)]}{\exp[v(x_t, 1)] + \exp[v(x_t, 0)]}, \quad p(d_t = 0 | x_t) = \frac{\exp[v(x_t, 0)]}{\exp[v(x_t, 1)] + \exp[v(x_t, 0)]} \quad (\Delta).$$

2. Full-Solution Estimation

2.1 Estimation Procedures: NXFP

1. We first nonparametrically estimate the parameters p_0, p_1 .
2. Given the estimates \hat{p}_0, \hat{p}_1 and any possible parameter values for RC, θ , the ultimate goal is to get conditional choice probabilities (CCP) $P(d | x_t)$.
3. To calculate CCPs, we need to obtain the ex ante value function $\bar{V}(x_t)$ and the conditional value function $v(x_t, d)$ by functional iteration using Equations (\star) .
The specific procedure is that given iteration i 's guess of $\bar{V}^i(x_t)$, we first obtain iteration i 's guess of $v^i(x_t, d_t)$ using equations (\star^1) ; after that, we get iteration $(i+1)$'s guess of $\bar{V}^{i+1}(x_t)$ by plugging $v^i(x_t, d_t)$ into equation (\star^2) .
We repeat the above process until the difference between $\bar{V}^N(x_t)$ and $\bar{V}^{N+1}(x_t)$ is indistinguishable.
4. After that, we can the conditional choice probabilities $p(d | x_t)$ using equations (Δ) .
5. Finally, we go through all possible parameter values for RC, θ to maximize the log likelihood of the conditional choice.
The recommended procedure proposed by Rust is BHHH algorithm. But here we just use the MATLAB original optimization function `fmincon`.

2.2 Notes for MATLAB Codes

1. Prepare the data by running `step0_data_preparation.m`.
2. Relevant functions used in `step0_data_preparation.m`:
`fun_data_input.m` - Reshape the original data files into matrices. See Part 4 in file <https://editorialexpress.com/jrust/nfxp.pdf> for details.
`fun_data_preparation.m` - Extract bus replacement information and discretize the state variable. The first 11 rows represent bus history, see part 1 in <https://notes.quantecon.org/submission/6234fe0f96e1ce001b61fad8>.
`fun_data_reshape.m` - Reshape matrices into a $TN * 2$ matrix. The first column indicates the values of the state variable and the second column indexes engine replacement decision.
3. Estimate the parameters by running `step1_estimation.m`.

4. The first section in file `step1_estimation.m` is to estimate the transition probabilities using function `fun_transition_prob.m`.
`fun_transition_prob.m` - Inputs: (possibly several) $T * N$ matrices (from different groups). Output: p_0, p_1, p_2 , calculated by $\frac{n_i}{n_0 + n_1 + n_2}, i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, where n_i denotes the number of observations for which the state variables change i in the next period. Note that we need to make sure the change in the state variable occurs in the same car!
5. The next step is to estimate parameters RC, θ .
6. To do this, we first need an algorithm to solve the inner fixed point problem:
`fun_iteration.m` - Given an initial guess of $\bar{V}^i(x_t)$ (90 by 1 vector), the probability transition matrices (two 90 by 90 matrices, one for each decision), and utilities (two 90 by 1 vectors), use equations (\star^1) and (\star^2) to get the next iteration values (90 by 1 vector).
`fun_inner_algo.m` - Return a “correct” ex ante value function, $\bar{V}(x_t)$ (90 by 1 vector).
7. Estimation the parameters by an outer maximization algorithm (second section in file `step1_estimation.m`):
`fun_loglike.m` - Given the correct ex ante value function, $\bar{V}(x_t)$, compute the conditional choice probabilities (which gives the probability of engine replacement under current value of the state variable) and form (negative) log-likelihood of the sample.

IMPORTANT: Precision Problem

When we set the same parameters as those in the paper, the \bar{V} can be large in absolute value, about -1400, but in MATLAB, $\log(e^{-1700}) = -\infty$!

Therefore, we need to carefully avoid such problem!

See line 22 in `fun_iteration.m`. Basically, we abstract the same value on the conditional value function to rescale it.

See <https://mark-ponder.com/tutorials/discrete-choice-models/dynamic-discrete-choice-nested-fixed-point-algorithm/> for more details.

Hotz-Miller Methods

Intuition

1. Conditional choice probabilities can also be non-parametrically estimated from the data.
2. There is a one-to-one map from the conditional choice probabilities to the conditional value functions.
3. The renewal decision $d = 1$ means that the form of the mapping is quite easy.

For more explanation, see also Arcidiacono and Ellickson (2011).

Explanation of MATLAB Codes