

**Web-based Supplementary Materials for
“Quantile Regression for Recurrent Gap Time Data”
by**

Xianghua Luo^{1,*}, Chiung-Yu Huang², and Lan Wang³

¹ Division of Biostatistics, School of Public Health,

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, U.S.A.

² Biostatistics Research Branch, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases,

National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20892, U.S.A.

³ School of Statistics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, U.S.A.

**email:* luox0054@umn.edu

Web Appendix A: Proof of Uniform Consistency

Define the functions $F(t \mid \mathbf{z}) = \Pr(X_{i1} \leq t \mid \mathbf{Z}_i = \mathbf{z})$ and $\tilde{F}(t \mid \mathbf{z}) = \Pr(X_{i1} \leq t, \delta_i = 1 \mid \mathbf{Z}_i = \mathbf{z})$. Let $\bar{F}(t \mid \mathbf{z}) = 1 - F(t \mid \mathbf{z})$, $\bar{f}(x \mid \mathbf{z}) = -f(x \mid \mathbf{z}) = -dF(x \mid \mathbf{z})/dx$, and $\tilde{f}(x \mid \mathbf{z}) = d\tilde{F}(x \mid \mathbf{z})/dx$. For a column vector \mathbf{a} , let $\mathbf{a}^{\otimes 2}$ denote $\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^\top$. We impose the same regularity conditions for quantile regression for univariate survival data given in Peng and Huang (2008) as follows:

- C1: \mathbf{Z}_i is uniformly bounded, that is, $\sup_i \|\mathbf{Z}_i\| \leq M$ for some $M < \infty$.
- C2: (i) Each component of $E(\mathbf{Z}_i N_{i1} [\exp\{\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau)\}])$ is a Lipschitz function of τ , and (ii) $\tilde{f}(t \mid \mathbf{z})$ and $f(t \mid \mathbf{z})$ are bounded above uniformly in t and \mathbf{z} .
- C3: (i) $\tilde{f}\{\exp(\mathbf{z}^\top \mathbf{b}) \mid \mathbf{z}\} > 0$ for all $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{B}(d_0)$, (ii) $E(\mathbf{Z}_i^{\otimes 2}) > 0$, (iii) each component of $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{b})\{\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{b})\}^{-1}$ is uniformly bounded in $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{B}(d_0)$, where $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{b}) = E[\mathbf{Z}_i^{\otimes 2} \tilde{f}\{\exp(\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \mathbf{b}) \mid \mathbf{Z}_i\} \exp(\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \mathbf{b})]$, $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{b}) = E[\mathbf{Z}_i^{\otimes 2} \bar{f}\{\exp(\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \mathbf{b}) \mid \mathbf{Z}_i\} \exp(\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \mathbf{b})]$, and $\mathcal{B}(d_0)$ is a neighborhood containing the truth $\{\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau), \tau \in (0, \tau_U]\}$, defined in the next paragraph.
- C4: $\inf_{\tau \in [\nu, \tau_U]} \text{eigmin } E[\mathbf{Z}_i^{\otimes 2} \tilde{f}[\exp\{\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau)\} \mid \mathbf{Z}_i] \exp\{\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau)\}] > 0$ for any $\nu \in (0, \tau_U]$, where $\text{eigmin}(\cdot)$ denotes the minimum eigenvalue of a matrix.

First, we prove the uniform consistency of the proposed estimator $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot)$ in Theorem 1. Define the functions $\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{b}) = E[\mathbf{Z}_i N_{i1} \{\exp(\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \mathbf{b})\}]$ and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\mathbf{b}) = E[\mathbf{Z}_i R_{i1} \{\exp(\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \mathbf{b})\}]$. By double expectation and the exchangeability of $X_{i1}, \dots, X_{im_i^*}$ conditional on $(\gamma_i, \mathbf{Z}_i, m_i, C_i)$, it can be shown that $\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{b}) = E[\mathbf{Z}_i N_i^* \{\exp(\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \mathbf{b})\}]$ and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\mathbf{b}) = E[\mathbf{Z}_i R_i^* \{\exp(\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \mathbf{b})\}]$. For $d > 0$, define $\mathcal{B}(d) = \{\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{R}^p : \inf_{\tau \in (0, \tau_U]} \|\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{b}) - \boldsymbol{\mu}\{\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau)\}\| \leq d\}$ and $\mathcal{A}(d) = \{\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{b}) : \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{B}(d)\}$. Peng and Huang (2008) showed that, under condition C3, the mapping defined by $\boldsymbol{\mu} : \mathcal{B}(d_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(d_0)$ is one-to-one. Hence there exists an inverse function of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, denoted by $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$, such that $\boldsymbol{\kappa}\{\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{b})\} = \mathbf{b}$ for any $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{B}(d_0)$.

The proposed estimator $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\tau_k)$ ($k = 1, \dots, L$) are generalized solutions of the monotone estimating equation (5). Because all generalized solutions of a monotone estimating equation

lie in a convex set with diameter $O(n^{-1})$ (Fyngenson and Ritov, 1994), we have

$$n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_i N_i^*[\exp\{\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\tau_k)\}] = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{\tau_k} \mathbf{Z}_i R_i^*[\exp\{\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(u)\}] dH(u) + \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{nk},$$

for $k = 1, \dots, L$, with $\max_{k=1, \dots, L} \|\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{nk}\| \leq n^{-1} \sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|\mathbf{Z}_i\|$. Then simple algebra yields

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\mu}\{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\tau_k)\} - \boldsymbol{\mu}\{\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau_k)\} &= -\boldsymbol{\nu}_n^*\{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\tau_k)\} + \int_0^{\tau_k} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}_n^*\{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(u)\} dH(u) \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=1}^k \int_{\tau_{l-1}}^{\tau_l} [\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(u)\} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\{\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(u)\}] dH(u) + \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{nk}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\nu}_n^*(\mathbf{b}) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_i N_i^*\{\exp(\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \mathbf{b})\} - \boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{b})$ and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}_n^*(\mathbf{b}) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_i R_i^*\{\exp(\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \mathbf{b})\} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\mathbf{b})$. Because the class of indicator functions of polytopes in \mathcal{R}^p is Glivenko-Cantelli and \mathbf{Z}_i is bounded, it follows from the Glivenko-Cantelli theorem that both $\sup_{\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{R}^p} \|\boldsymbol{\nu}_n^*(\mathbf{b})\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\sup_{\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{R}^p} \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}_n^*(\mathbf{b})\| \rightarrow 0$ almost surely as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, for any given $\eta_1 > 0$, $\sup_k \|\boldsymbol{\nu}_n^*\{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\tau_k)\} + \int_0^{\tau_k} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}_n^*\{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(u)\} dH(u)\| < \eta_1$ with probability 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Arguing as in Peng and Huang (2008), under conditions C1, C2(i), and C3(iii), we can define a sequence $\{\epsilon_l, l = 1, \dots, L-1\}$ such that $\epsilon_l \leq 2 \exp\{\tau_U/(1-\tau_U)\} \eta_1 < d_0$ for sufficiently large n and $\sup_{\tau_l \leq \tau < \tau_{l+1}} \|\boldsymbol{\mu}\{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\tau)\} - \boldsymbol{\mu}\{\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau)\}\| \leq \epsilon_l$. Hence we can show that $\sup_{\tau \in (0, \tau_U]} \|\boldsymbol{\mu}\{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\tau)\} - \boldsymbol{\mu}\{\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau)\}\| \rightarrow 0$ in probability as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Write $\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\tau) = \boldsymbol{\mu}\{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\tau)\}$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0(\tau) = \boldsymbol{\mu}\{\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau)\}$. Applying a Taylor series expansion of $\boldsymbol{\kappa}\{\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\tau)\}$ around $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0(\tau)$ for $\tau \in [\nu, \tau_U]$, we have, under condition C4, $\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\tau) - \boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau)\| = \|\boldsymbol{\kappa}\{\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\tau)\} - \boldsymbol{\kappa}\{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0(\tau)\}\| \leq \|\mathbf{B}\{\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau)\}^{-1}\{\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\tau) - \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0(\tau)\}\| + \|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^*(\tau)\| \leq \eta^* \|\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\tau) - \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0(\tau)\| + \|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^*(\tau)\|$, where η^* does not depend on τ and $\sup_{\tau \in [\nu, \tau_U]} \|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^*(\tau)\| \rightarrow 0$ in probability as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we establish the uniform consistency of the proposed estimator.

Web Appendix B: Proof of Asymptotic Normality

Next, we prove the asymptotic normality of the proposed estimator $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot)$. Define the functions $\mu_1(\mathbf{b}) = E[N_{i1}\{\exp(\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \mathbf{b})\}]$, $\sigma_d^2(\mathbf{b}) = \text{var}(N_{i1}\{\exp(\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \mathbf{b})\} - N_{i1}[\exp\{\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau)\}] - \mu_1(\mathbf{b}) + \mu_1\{\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau)\})$, and $\sigma_d^{*2}(\mathbf{b}) = \text{var}(N_i^*\{\exp(\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \mathbf{b})\} - N_i^*[\exp\{\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau)\}] - \mu_1(\mathbf{b}) + \mu_1\{\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau)\})$. We

first note that $\sigma_d^{2*}(\mathbf{b}) \leq \sigma_d^2(\mathbf{b})$. Following the proof of Lemma B.1. in Peng and Huang (2008), we can show that $\sigma_d^{2*}\{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n(\tau)\} \leq \sigma_d^2\{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n(\tau)\} \rightarrow 0$ in probability for any sequence $\{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n(\tau), \tau \in (0, \tau_U]\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $\sup_{\tau \in (0, \tau_U]} \|\boldsymbol{\mu}\{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n(\tau)\} - \boldsymbol{\mu}\{\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau)\}\| \rightarrow 0$ in probability. Given the boundedness of \mathbf{Z}_i and arguing as in Alexander (1984) and Lai (1988), we can show that

$$\sup_{\tau \in (0, \tau_U]} \left\| n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_i \left(N_i^*[\exp\{\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n(\tau)\}] - N_i^*[\exp\{\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau)\}] \right) - n^{1/2} [\boldsymbol{\mu}\{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n(\tau)\} - \boldsymbol{\mu}\{\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau)\}] \right\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{A.1})$$

in probability. Similarly, we can show that

$$\sup_{\tau \in (0, \tau_U]} \left\| n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_i \left(R_i^*[\exp\{\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n(\tau)\}] - R_i^*[\exp\{\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau)\}] \right) - n^{1/2} [\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n(\tau)\} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\{\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau)\}] \right\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{A.2})$$

in probability, provided $\sup_{\tau \in (0, \tau_U]} \|\boldsymbol{\mu}\{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n(\tau)\} - \boldsymbol{\mu}\{\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau)\}\| \rightarrow 0$ in probability.

Let $o_I(a_n)$ denote a term that converges uniformly to 0 in probability in $\tau \in I$ after being divided by a_n . Then it follows from (A.1), (A.2) and the uniform consistency of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\tau)$ for $\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & n^{1/2} \mathbf{U}^*(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*, \tau) - n^{1/2} \mathbf{U}^*(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \tau) \\ &= n^{1/2} [\boldsymbol{\mu}\{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\tau)\} - \boldsymbol{\mu}\{\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau)\}] - \int_0^\tau n^{1/2} [\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(u)\} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\{\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(u)\}] dH(u) + o_{(0, \tau_U]}(1) \\ &= n^{1/2} [\boldsymbol{\mu}\{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\tau)\} - \boldsymbol{\mu}\{\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau)\}] - \int_0^\tau [\mathbf{J}\{\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(u)\} \mathbf{B}\{\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(u)\}^{-1} + o_{(0, \tau_U]}(1)] \times \\ & \quad n^{1/2} [\boldsymbol{\mu}\{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(u)\} - \boldsymbol{\mu}\{\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(u)\}] dH(u) + o_{(0, \tau_U]}(1). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, provided $n^{1/2} \|\mathcal{S}_L\| \rightarrow 0$, the inequality $\sup_{\tau \in [\tau_k, \tau_{k+1}]} n^{1/2} \|\mathbf{U}^*(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*, \tau) - \mathbf{U}^*(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*, \tau_j)\| \leq n^{1/2} \sup_i \|\mathbf{Z}_i\| \times \{H(\tau_{k+1}) - H(\tau_k)\}$ implies that $n^{1/2} \mathbf{U}^*(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*, \tau) = o_{(0, \tau_U]}(1)$ almost surely.

Hence we can establish the asymptotic representation

$$n^{1/2} [\boldsymbol{\mu}\{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\tau)\} - \boldsymbol{\mu}\{\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau)\}] = \phi\{-n^{1/2} \mathbf{U}^*(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \tau)\} + o_{(0, \tau_U]}(1),$$

where ϕ is a linear operator defined by

$$\phi(\mathbf{g})(\tau) = \int_0^\tau \left(\prod_{u \in (s, \tau]} [\mathbf{I}_p + \mathbf{J}\{\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(u)\} \mathbf{B}\{\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(u)\}^{-1} dH(u)] \right) d\mathbf{g}(s)$$

for $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{F} = \{\mathbf{h} : [0, \tau_U] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^p, \mathbf{g} \text{ is left-continuous with right limit, } \mathbf{g}(0) = \mathbf{0}\}$.

By noting that the class of monotone process $\{\mathbf{Z}_i N_i^*[\exp\{\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau)\}], \tau \in (0, \tau_U]\}$ is Donsker and that $\int_0^\tau \mathbf{Z}_i R_i^*[\exp\{\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}_0(u)\}] dH(u)$ is Lipschitz in τ , we can show that the class of empirical process $\{\mathbf{Z}_i N_i^*[\exp\{\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau)\}] - \int_0^\tau \mathbf{Z}_i R_i^*[\exp\{\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}_0(u)\}] dH(u), \tau \in [\nu, \tau_U]\}$ is Donsker. By the central limit theorem for empirical processes, $-n^{1/2} \mathbf{U}^*(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \tau)$ converges weakly to a tight Gaussian process, $\mathbf{U}(\tau)$, with mean 0 and covariance $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(s, t) = E\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_i^*(s) \boldsymbol{\xi}_i^*(t)^\top\}$ for $\tau \in (0, \tau_U]$, where $\boldsymbol{\xi}_i^*(t) = \mathbf{Z}_i N_i^*[\exp\{\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau)\}] - \int_0^\tau \mathbf{Z}_i R_i^*[\exp\{\mathbf{Z}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}_0(u)\}] dH(u)$. By applying a Taylor series expansion to $\boldsymbol{\kappa}[\boldsymbol{\mu}\{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\tau)\}]$ around $\boldsymbol{\mu}\{\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau)\}$ and the continuous mapping theorem, we can show that $n^{1/2}\{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\tau) - \boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau)\}$ converges to the Gaussian process $\mathbf{B}\{\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(\tau)\}^{-1} \phi\{\mathbf{U}(\tau)\}$.

References

- Alexander, K. S. (1984). Probability inequalities for empirical processes and a law of the iterated logarithm. *Annals of Probability* **12**, 1041–1067.
- Fygenon, M., and Ritov, Y. (1994). Monotone estimating equations for censored data. *The Annals of Statistics* **22**, 732–746.
- Lai, T. L. and Ying Z. (1988). Stochastic integrals of empirical-type processes with applications to censored regression. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* **27**, 334–358.
- Peng, L. and Huang, Y. (2008). Survival analysis with quantile regression models. *Journal of American Statistical Association* **103**, 637–649.