Softmax exercise

Complete and hand in this completed worksheet (including its outputs and any supporting code outside of the worksheet) with your assignment submission. For more details see the assignments page on the course website.

This exercise is analogous to the SVM exercise. You will:

- implement a fully-vectorized loss function for the Softmax classifier
- implement the fully-vectorized expression for its analytic gradient
- check your implementation with numerical gradient
- use a validation set to tune the learning rate and regularization strength
- optimize the loss function with SGD
- visualize the final learned weights

```
import random
import numpy as np
from cs231n.data_utils import load_CIFAR10
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

// matplotlib inline
plt.rcParams['figure.figsize'] = (10.0, 8.0) # set default size of plots
plt.rcParams['image.interpolation'] = 'nearest'
plt.rcParams['image.cmap'] = 'gray'

# for auto-reloading extenrnal modules
# see http://stackoverflow.com/questions/1907993/autoreload-of-modules-in-ipytho
%load_ext autoreload
%autoreload 2
```

```
In [2]: def get_CIFAR10_data(num_training=49000, num_validation=1000, num_test=1000, num
            Load the CIFAR-10 dataset from disk and perform preprocessing to prepare
            it for the linear classifier. These are the same steps as we used for the
            SVM, but condensed to a single function.
            # Load the raw CIFAR-10 data
            cifar10_dir = 'cs231n/datasets/cifar-10-batches-py'
            # Cleaning up variables to prevent Loading data multiple times (which may ca
               del X_train, y_train
               del X_test, y_test
               print('Clear previously loaded data.')
            except:
               pass
            X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test = load_CIFAR10(cifar10_dir)
            # subsample the data
            mask = list(range(num_training, num_training + num_validation))
            X_{val} = X_{train[mask]}
            y_val = y_train[mask]
```

```
mask = list(range(num_training))
     X_{train} = X_{train}[mask]
     y_train = y_train[mask]
     mask = list(range(num test))
     X test = X test[mask]
     y test = y test[mask]
     mask = np.random.choice(num training, num dev, replace=False)
     X dev = X train[mask]
     y_{dev} = y_{train[mask]}
     # Preprocessing: reshape the image data into rows
     X_train = np.reshape(X_train, (X_train.shape[0], -1))
     X_val = np.reshape(X_val, (X_val.shape[0], -1))
     X_test = np.reshape(X_test, (X_test.shape[0], -1))
     X_dev = np.reshape(X_dev, (X_dev.shape[0], -1))
     # Normalize the data: subtract the mean image
     mean_image = np.mean(X_train, axis = 0)
     X_train -= mean_image
     X_val -= mean_image
     X_test -= mean_image
     X_dev -= mean_image
     # add bias dimension and transform into columns
     X_train = np.hstack([X_train, np.ones((X_train.shape[0], 1))])
     X_val = np.hstack([X_val, np.ones((X_val.shape[0], 1))])
     X_test = np.hstack([X_test, np.ones((X_test.shape[0], 1))])
     X_dev = np.hstack([X_dev, np.ones((X_dev.shape[0], 1))])
     return X_train, y_train, X_val, y_val, X_test, y_test, X_dev, y_dev
 # Invoke the above function to get our data.
 X_train, y_train, X_val, y_val, X_test, y_test, X_dev, y_dev = get_CIFAR10_data(
 print('Train data shape: ', X_train.shape)
 print('Train labels shape: ', y_train.shape)
 print('Validation data shape: ', X_val.shape)
 print('Validation labels shape: ', y_val.shape)
 print('Test data shape: ', X_test.shape)
 print('Test labels shape: ', y_test.shape)
 print('dev data shape: ', X dev.shape)
 print('dev labels shape: ', y_dev.shape)
Train data shape: (49000, 3073)
Train labels shape: (49000,)
Validation data shape: (1000, 3073)
Validation labels shape: (1000,)
Test data shape: (1000, 3073)
Test labels shape: (1000,)
dev data shape: (500, 3073)
dev labels shape: (500,)
```

Softmax Classifier

Your code for this section will all be written inside cs231n/classifiers/softmax.py.

```
In [12]: # First implement the naive softmax loss function with nested loops.
         # Open the file cs231n/classifiers/softmax.py and implement the
         # softmax_loss_naive function.
         from cs231n.classifiers.softmax import softmax_loss_naive
         import time
         # Generate a random softmax weight matrix and use it to compute the loss.
         W = np.random.randn(3073, 10) * 0.0001
         loss, grad = softmax_loss_naive(W, X_dev, y_dev, 0.0)
         # As a rough sanity check, our loss should be something close to -\log(0.1).
         print('loss: %f' % loss)
         print('sanity check: %f' % (-np.log(0.1)))
```

loss: 2.363396 sanity check: 2.302585

Inline Question 1

Why do we expect our loss to be close to -log(0.1)? Explain briefly.**

equal to -log(0.1), which should be equal to the loss.

Your Answer:

Since the weight matrix W is uniform randomly selected, then the predicted prob of each class is identically equal to $\frac{1}{10}$, where 10 is the number of classes. Therefore, from the def of the cross entropy, we can get for each class the result is

In [13]: # Complete the implementation of softmax loss naive and implement a (naive) # version of the gradient that uses nested loops. loss, grad = softmax_loss_naive(W, X_dev, y_dev, 0.0) # As we did for the SVM, use numeric gradient checking as a debugging tool. # The numeric gradient should be close to the analytic gradient. from cs231n.gradient_check import grad_check_sparse f = lambda w: softmax_loss_naive(w, X_dev, y_dev, 0.0)[0] grad_numerical = grad_check_sparse(f, W, grad, 10)

```
# similar to SVM case, do another gradient check with regularization
loss, grad = softmax_loss_naive(W, X_dev, y_dev, 5e1)
f = lambda w: softmax_loss_naive(w, X_dev, y_dev, 5e1)[0]
grad_numerical = grad_check_sparse(f, W, grad, 10)
```

```
numerical: -1.813164 analytic: -1.813164, relative error: 1.167975e-08
       numerical: -0.085388 analytic: -0.085388, relative error: 2.590980e-07
        numerical: 1.755841 analytic: 1.755841, relative error: 4.711730e-09
        numerical: -0.629661 analytic: -0.629661, relative error: 8.946373e-09
        numerical: 2.097693 analytic: 2.097693, relative error: 1.145659e-08
       numerical: 0.898483 analytic: 0.898483, relative error: 6.996410e-08
        numerical: -1.142693 analytic: -1.142693, relative error: 3.744289e-08
        numerical: 0.023064 analytic: 0.023064, relative error: 8.981415e-07
        numerical: 0.848872 analytic: 0.848872, relative error: 4.278324e-09
        numerical: -0.119443 analytic: -0.119443, relative error: 6.096139e-07
        numerical: -1.627726 analytic: -1.627726, relative error: 1.915281e-08
        numerical: -0.363066 analytic: -0.363066, relative error: 1.058739e-07
        numerical: 2.311269 analytic: 2.311269, relative error: 1.119167e-09
        numerical: 1.738757 analytic: 1.738757, relative error: 3.599364e-08
       numerical: 0.077635 analytic: 0.077635, relative error: 2.577279e-07
        numerical: 1.041345 analytic: 1.041345, relative error: 2.913598e-08
       numerical: -3.048888 analytic: -3.048888, relative error: 1.455938e-08
        numerical: -4.474690 analytic: -4.474690, relative error: 6.577992e-09
       numerical: 2.479441 analytic: 2.479441, relative error: 2.906516e-08
In [14]: # Now that we have a naive implementation of the softmax loss function and its g
         # implement a vectorized version in softmax_loss_vectorized.
         # The two versions should compute the same results, but the vectorized version s
         # much faster.
         tic = time.time()
         loss_naive, grad_naive = softmax_loss_naive(W, X_dev, y_dev, 0.000005)
         toc = time.time()
         print('naive loss: %e computed in %fs' % (loss_naive, toc - tic))
         from cs231n.classifiers.softmax import softmax_loss_vectorized
         tic = time.time()
         loss_vectorized, grad_vectorized = softmax_loss_vectorized(W, X_dev, y_dev, 0.00
         toc = time.time()
         print('vectorized loss: %e computed in %fs' % (loss_vectorized, toc - tic))
         # As we did for the SVM, we use the Frobenius norm to compare the two versions
         # of the gradient.
         grad_difference = np.linalg.norm(grad_naive - grad_vectorized, ord='fro')
         print('Loss difference: %f' % np.abs(loss_naive - loss_vectorized))
         print('Gradient difference: %f' % grad_difference)
        naive loss: 2.363396e+00 computed in 0.096997s
       vectorized loss: 2.363396e+00 computed in 0.002001s
       Loss difference: 0.000000
       Gradient difference: 0.000000
In [15]: # Use the validation set to tune hyperparameters (regularization strength and
         # learning rate). You should experiment with different ranges for the learning
         # rates and regularization strengths; if you are careful you should be able to
         # get a classification accuracy of over 0.35 on the validation set.
         from cs231n.classifiers import Softmax
         results = {}
         best_val = -1
         best_softmax = None
         # Use the validation set to set the learning rate and regularization strength. #
```

numerical: 0.682840 analytic: 0.682840, relative error: 5.625545e-08

```
# This should be identical to the validation that you did for the SVM; save
         # the best trained softmax classifer in best_softmax.
         # Provided as a reference. You may or may not want to change these hyperparamete
         learning rates = [1e-7, 5e-7]
         regularization strengths = [2.5e4, 5e4]
         # *****START OF YOUR CODE (DO NOT DELETE/MODIFY THIS LINE)****
         for rs in regularization strengths:
            for lr in learning rates:
                softmax = Softmax()
                loss hist = softmax.train(X train, y train, lr, rs, num iters=5000)
                y_train_pred = softmax.predict(X_train)
                train_accuracy = np.mean(y_train_pred == y_train)
                y_val_pred = softmax.predict(X val)
                test accuracy = np.mean(y val == y val pred)
                if test_accuracy > best_val:
                    best_val = test_accuracy
                    best_softmax = softmax
                results[(lr,rs)] = train_accuracy, test_accuracy
          *****END OF YOUR CODE (DO NOT DELETE/MODIFY THIS LINE)****
         # Print out results.
         for lr, reg in sorted(results):
            train_accuracy, val_accuracy = results[(lr, reg)]
            print('lr %e reg %e train accuracy: %f val accuracy: %f' % (
                        lr, reg, train_accuracy, val_accuracy))
         print('best validation accuracy achieved during cross-validation: %f' % best_val
       lr 1.000000e-07 reg 2.500000e+04 train accuracy: 0.351510 val accuracy: 0.359000
       lr 1.000000e-07 reg 5.000000e+04 train accuracy: 0.335245 val accuracy: 0.353000
       lr 5.000000e-07 reg 2.500000e+04 train accuracy: 0.353388 val accuracy: 0.364000
       lr 5.000000e-07 reg 5.000000e+04 train accuracy: 0.333122 val accuracy: 0.334000
       best validation accuracy achieved during cross-validation: 0.364000
In [16]: # evaluate on test set
         # Evaluate the best softmax on test set
         y_test_pred = best_softmax.predict(X_test)
         test_accuracy = np.mean(y_test == y_test_pred)
         print('softmax on raw pixels final test set accuracy: %f' % (test accuracy, ))
       softmax on raw pixels final test set accuracy: 0.356000
```

Inline Question 2 - True or False

Suppose the overall training loss is defined as the sum of the per-datapoint loss over all training examples. It is possible to add a new datapoint to a training set that would leave the SVM loss unchanged, but this is not the case with the Softmax classifier loss.

Your Answer:

True.

Your Explanation:

That is because, for a SVM classifier, if you add a new data point, and the model

classifies correctly, then it will not have an influence on the sym loss. But for softmax model, because of its function, we need to calculate the sum of the exp scores for all data points. Therefore, adding a new datapoint will have an influence on softmax loss.

```
In [17]: # Visualize the learned weights for each class
w = best_softmax.W[:-1,:] # strip out the bias
w = w.reshape(32, 32, 3, 10)

w_min, w_max = np.min(w), np.max(w)

classes = ['plane', 'car', 'bird', 'cat', 'deer', 'dog', 'frog', 'horse', 'ship'
for i in range(10):
    plt.subplot(2, 5, i + 1)

# Rescale the weights to be between 0 and 255
wimg = 255.0 * (w[:, :, :, i].squeeze() - w_min) / (w_max - w_min)
plt.imshow(wimg.astype('uint8'))
plt.axis('off')
plt.title(classes[i])
```



