



Prof.Dr.

State and covariance prediction

State and covariance prediction

Recall that we have been able to express the continuous linear dynamic system (3.2)

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}(t) = \boldsymbol{F}(t)\boldsymbol{x}(t) + \boldsymbol{G}(t)\boldsymbol{w}(t)$$

in a discretized form

$$\boldsymbol{x}_n = \Phi(t_n, t_{n-1}) \boldsymbol{x}_{n-1} + \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \Phi(t_n, t') \boldsymbol{G}(t') \boldsymbol{w}(t') dt'$$

where we succeeded in finding a way to obtain the state transition matrix Φ by

$$\mathbf{\Phi}(t, t_0) = e^{\mathbf{F}(t - t_0)}$$

What are the implications of the term u_n ???

The random forcing function $\boldsymbol{w}(t)$ is assumed to be uncorrelated in time (white noise) and not correlated with the state $\boldsymbol{x}(t)$. Moreover, we assume that random variables have zero ensemble average values, i.e. $E(\boldsymbol{w}(t)) = 0$. This implies that if the state $\boldsymbol{x}(t)$ at some time t_0 is unbiased the state will remain unbiased as there are no biasing contributions from the random forcing function.

However, the situation for the covariance propagation is different. We obtain

$$Cov_{x_n,x_n} = \Phi(t_n, t_{n-1})Cov_{x_{n-1},x_{n-1}}\Phi^T(t_n, t_{n-1}) + Q$$
 (5.1)

with

$$Q = E \left(\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \mathbf{\Phi}(t_n, \tau) \mathbf{G}(\tau) \mathbf{w}(\tau) \mathbf{w}^T(\alpha) \mathbf{G}^T(\alpha) \mathbf{\Phi}^T(t_n, \alpha) d\tau d\alpha \right)$$

$$= \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \mathbf{\Phi}(t_n, \tau) \mathbf{G}(\tau) E\left(\mathbf{w}(\tau) \mathbf{w}^T(\alpha)\right) \mathbf{G}^T(\alpha) \mathbf{\Phi}^T(t_n, \alpha) d\tau d\alpha$$
(5.2)

Considering the characteristics of white noise (cf. (4.12))

$$E\left(\boldsymbol{w}(\tau)\boldsymbol{w}^{T}(\alpha)\right) = \delta(\tau - \alpha)$$

equation (5.1) simplifies to

$$Q = \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \Phi(t_n, \tau) G(\tau) \Lambda(\tau) G^T(\tau) \Phi^T(t_n, \tau) d\tau$$
 (5.3)

where $\Lambda(\tau)$ is the so-called spectral density matrix.

Equation (5.3) can be evaluated analytically in some special cases as discussed in this lecture's Jupyter notebook ${\tt https://github.com/spacegeodesy/}$

ParameterEstimationDynamicSystems/blob/master/example05.ipynb

In many cases the analytical evaluation of integral (5.3) resp. (5.1) is either very complex or not possible at all. Moreover, for practical reasons numerical methods are preferable when an algorithm is implemented. Based on the work by [Loan, 1978] (not discussed here) one can find a "cookbook" for computing Φ and Q numerically. The steps are

• STEP 1: Form a $2n \times 2n$ matrix \boldsymbol{A}

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -F & GWG^T \\ 0 & F^T \end{bmatrix} \Delta t \tag{5.4}$$

STEP 2: Compute the matrix exponential of A, i.e.

$$B = \exp(A) \tag{5.5}$$

which contains implicit information about Φ and Q as the matrix B is build up by

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \dots & \Phi^{-1}Q \\ 0 & \Phi^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$
 (5.6)

where the submatrices B_{ij} are of dimension $n \times n$.

• STEP 3: The state transition matrix can be found from (5.6) as

$$\Phi = \boldsymbol{B}_{22}^T \tag{5.7}$$

• STEP 4: Finally, the covariance matrix Q can also be deduced from (5.6) as

$$Q = \Phi B_{12} \tag{5.8}$$

Numerical evaluation of Eqs. (5.4) - (5.7) is preferable in many cases and easily programmable when F, G, W and Δt are given.

A corresponding numerical example can be found in the 2nd part of this lecture's Jupyter notebook https://github.com/spacegeodesy/ ParameterEstimationDynamicSystems/blob/master/example05.ipynb

Prof.Dr. Thomas Hobiger, Institut für Navigation (INS), Universität Stuttgart: Dynamic System Estimation

References

[Loan, 1978] Loan, C. V. (1978).
Computing integrals involving the matrix exponential.
IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, 23(3):395–404.