Machine Learning Report

By Liu Sidian 1000909, Ku Ping Cheng 1001282, Wang Yiran 1000906

In the design of sequence labelling system, we employed Hidden Markov Model to predict the sentiments of words in a sentence. Given the training data sets labeled with sentiments and positions, we compiled the data into readily-readable format, computed model parameters using Maximum Likelihood Estimates and Viterbi algorithm, and eventually identified the best label for each word in a supervised learning process.

Following parts illustrate the detailed approaches we used in constructing the model.

Part Two:

1.Algorithm

First of all, we imported the data set and stored them systematically in lists and dictionary. It is convenient for us to reference in the later part of the project.

- Dictionary that stores all 'count(y)' values from the training file: count_y_trg(trgfile)
- List that stores each x appears in testing file: get_x_test(x)

Secondly, to calculate emission parameters, we scanned through all XY pairs in the training set and increase the count whenever the same XY pair shows up. Subsequently, we calculated the likelihood of XY in three scenarios:

- 1. X is in testing set but not training set
- 2. X is in training set but not testing set
- 3. X is in both testing and training set

In the dictionary 'emission' that stores all the emission parameters e(x|y)

- Keys are all x values attained from both training and testing files
- Value for each key x_i is a dictionary that stores all possible states ythat can generate value x_i : $e(x_i|y)$, where keys are y's and value for each y is $e(x_i|y)$

Lastly, we computed y* for each x to be the argument that output the maximum MLE.

2. Result

EN	ES	CN	SG
	_		
#Entity in gold data:			
662	1326	935	4779
#Entity in prediction:	#Entity in prediction:	#Entity in prediction:	#Entity in prediction:
2119	4663	2750	10953
#Correct Entity : 332	#Correct Entity: 735	#Correct Entity : 323	#Correct Entity:
Entity precision:	Entity precision:	Entity precision:	2086
0.1567	0.1576	0.1175	Entity precision:
Entity recall: 0.5015	Entity recall: 0.5543	Entity recall: 0.3455	0.1905
Entity F: 0.2388	Entity F: 0.2454	Entity F: 0.1753	Entity recall: 0.4365
			Entity F: 0.2652
#Correct Sentiment :	#Correct Sentiment :	#Correct Sentiment :	
68	185	88	#Correct Sentiment :
Sentiment precision:	Sentiment precision:	Sentiment precision:	471
0.0321	0.0397	0.0320	Sentiment precision:
Sentiment recall:	Sentiment recall:	Sentiment recall:	0.0430
0.1027	0.1395	0.0941	Sentiment recall:
Sentiment F: 0.0489	Sentiment F: 0.0618	Sentiment F: 0.0478	0.0986
Sentiment F. 0.0469	Sentiment F. 0.0016	Semiment F. 0.0476	
			Sentiment F: 0.0599

Part Three:

1. Transition Parameters:

- Create a dictionary Y that stores $count(y_i)$ for each state y_i , where $y_i \in \{\text{"start", "B-negative", "B-neutral", "B-positive", "O", "I-negative", "I-neutral", "I-positive", "stop"}$
 - Once we detect a '\n' in the input file, count("start") +=1, count("stop") +=1
- Calculate $count(y_u \rightarrow y_v)$ from the training data file:

$$a_{y_{previous}y_{current}} = \frac{count(y_{previous} \to y_{current})}{count(y_{previous})}$$

• Create a dictionary 'transition' that stores all transition parameters transits from y_u to y_v : transition $[y_u][y_v] = a_{y_uy_v}$; if there is no instance transits from y_u to y_v , then store transition $[y_u][y_v] = 0$. The dictionary looks as following:

```
all possible states (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6, y_7) = ("B-negative", "B-neutral", "B-positive", "O", "I-negative", "I-neutral", "I-positive") {"start": \{y_1: a_{y_1 \text{start}}, y_2: a_{y_2 \text{start}} \dots y_7: a_{y_7 \text{start}}\}, y_1: \{y_1: a_{y_1 y_1}, y_2: a_{y_2 y_1} \dots y_7: a_{y_7 y_1}, "\text{stop"}: a_{y_{stop} y_1}\}, \dots, y_v: \{y_1: a_{y_1 y_v}, y_2: a_{y_2 y_v} \dots y_7: a_{y_7 y_v}, "\text{stop"}: a_{y_{stop} y_v}\}, \dots, y_7: \{y_1: a_{y_1 y_7}, y_2: a_{y_2 y_7} \dots y_7: a_{y_7 y_v}, "\text{stop"}: a_{y_{stop} y_7}\}\}
```

2. Viterbi Algorithm:

- Full states set: ("start", "B-negative", "B-neutral", "B-positive", "O", "I-negative", "I-neutral", "I-positive", "stop")
- Main states: $(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6, y_7) = ("B-negative", "B-neutral", "B-positive", "O", "I-negative", "I-neutral", "I-positive")$
- Layers (keys): 0("start"), 1, ..., n, n+1("stop"), n is the length of each observed sentence
- For each layer k, we store both parameter $\pi(k, y_i)$ and optimal previous state $y_{i,i}^*$

$$\begin{array}{l} \circ & \pi(0,"start") = 1 \\ & y_{uj}^* = "NA" \\ \circ & \pi(k,y_j) = \max_{y_{uj}} \{ \ \pi(k-1,y_{u^j}) \cdot a_{y_{u^j}y_j} \cdot b_{y_j}(x_k) \}, \ \forall \ k = 1,2 \dots n \\ & y_{u^j}^* = \underset{y_{u^j}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \{ \ \pi(k-1,y_{u^j}) \cdot a_{y_{u^j}y_j} \cdot b_{y_j}(x_k) \} \end{array}$$

$$\circ \quad \pi(n+1,"stop") = \max_{\mathcal{Y}_{u}stop} \{ \ \pi(n,\mathcal{Y}_{u}stop) \cdot a_{\mathcal{Y}_{u}stop"stop"} \}$$

• The Viterbi dictionary for each observed sentence as follows:

• Back Tracking to attain the optimal path Y^* list for each observed sentence For each layer k from n+1 to 2, append the Y^* list with "opt previous" state of k["opt previous" state of k+1]

3. Result

EN	ES	CN	SG
#Entity in gold data:			
662	1326	935	4779
#Entity in prediction:	#Entity in prediction:	#Entity in prediction:	#Entity in prediction:
1040	2042	1446	4447
#Correct Entity: 231	#Correct Entity: 510	#Correct Entity: 400	#Correct Entity:
Entity precision:	Entity precision:	Entity precision:	1335
0.2221	0.2498	0.2766	Entity precision:
Entity recall: 0.3489	Entity recall: 0.3846	Entity recall: 0.4278	0.3002
Entity F: 0.2714	Entity F: 0.3029	Entity F: 0.3360	Entity recall: 0.2793
			Entity F: 0.2894

#Correct Sentiment :	#Correct Sentiment :	#Correct Sentiment :	
108	267	251	#Correct Sentiment :
Sentiment precision:	Sentiment precision:	Sentiment precision:	492
0.1038	0.1308	0.1736	Sentiment precision:
Sentiment recall:	Sentiment recall:	Sentiment recall:	0.1106
0.1631	0.2014	0.2684	Sentiment recall:
Sentiment F: 0.1269	Sentiment F: 0.1586	Sentiment F: 0.2108	0.1030
			Sentiment F: 0.1067

Part Four

1. Generate a Top_K_Viterbi dictionary based on previous Viterbi dictionary in Part Three For each state y_v^j in the j^{th} layer, use a dictionary to store the information on its top k optimal paths:

*Following y_u^{j-1} 's and num_k pairs can be different in rows since they representing the i^{th} optimal path of previous state which is on the optimal path leads to current state y_v^j

Keys in dictionary of state y_v^j in j^{th} layer	Keys in the dictionary the i^{th} optimal path of state y_v^j in j^{th} layer		
i th optimal paths in top k	Probability of i^{th} optimal paths from "start" to y_v^j	Previous state in (j-1) layer	The rank number of the previous state
1 st	$\pi_1(i, y_v^j)$	y_u^{j-1}	num_k
2 nd	$\pi_2(i, y_v^j)$	y_u^{j-1}	num_k
			num_k
k th	$\pi_k(i, y_v^j)$	y_u^{j-1}	num_k

- 2. Method to select top 5 scores for each state in layer calculated from all the top 5 paths from all the states in previous layer:
 - Initialize dictionaries for all rank of "start" state in 0 layer: {"prob":1.0, "previous": NA, "num_k": -1}
 - 2) Initialize for all rank of every state in 1, 2.... n, n+1 layer with dictionary {"prob": -1.0, "previous": NA, "num_k": -1}
 - 3) For dictionary of each state layer j:

For each i^{th} optimal paths of all states in layer j-1:

i. Calculate score:

$$\begin{split} \pi(j,y_v) &= \pi(j-1,y_u) \cdot a_{y_uy_v} \cdot b_{y_v}(x_j) \text{ j=2,3 } \dots \text{n} \\ \pi(n+1,\text{"stop"}) &= \pi(n,y_u\text{\tiny stop}) \cdot a_{y_u\text{\tiny stop}\text{"stop"}} \end{split}$$

- ii. Compare the score with current top k probabilities
- iii. Reorder the top k optimal paths from the these (k+1) tuples
- 3. Back Tracking to attain the k^{th} optimal labeling for each observed sentence:

- Initialize the path list ['stop'], and get the state in layer n that leads to the k^{th} optimal path in the dictionary of 'stop'
- For layers from n to 2, add the previous state that is the key in the dictionary of the k^{th} optimal dictionary of the current state
- · Add ['start']
- · Reverse the path

Result

EN	ES	CN	SG
#Entity in gold data:			
662	1326	935	4779
#Entity in prediction:	#Entity in prediction:	#Entity in prediction:	#Entity in prediction:
6571	14933	14615	47292
#Correct Entity : 248	#Correct Entity : 629	#Correct Entity : 317	#Correct Entity:
Entity precision:	Entity precision:	Entity precision:	3299
0.0377	0.0421	0.0217	Entity precision:
Entity recall: 0.3746	Entity recall: 0.4744	Entity recall: 0.3390	0.0698
Entity F: 0.0686	Entity F: 0.0774	Entity F: 0.0408	Entity recall: 0.6903
			Entity F: 0.1267
#Correct Sentiment :	#Correct Sentiment :	#Correct Sentiment :	
109	293	146	#Correct Sentiment :
Sentiment precision:	Sentiment precision:	Sentiment precision:	1405
0.0166	0.0196	0.0100	Sentiment precision:
Sentiment recall:	Sentiment recall:	Sentiment recall:	0.0297
0.1647	0.2210	0.1561	Sentiment recall:
Sentiment F: 0.0301	Sentiment F: 0.0360	Sentiment F: 0.0188	0.2940
			Sentiment F: 0.0540

Part Five

We used Bigram Tagger with Perceptron Algorithm to update transition and emission parameters and identify the optimal sentiments for sentences.

1.Initialization

We considered sentiment labels in a pair of two and label-word pair as transition and emission parameters, and constructed dictionaries to store them. Index for every parameter pair is subsequently initialized as 0. Performed Viterbi Algorithm to select a temporary optimal path.

Transition parameter

Emission parameter

* keys of the main dictionary are "previous states", while the keys of the sub-dictionary are the "current states" transits from the

"previous states

$$\begin{aligned} & \{x_1; \{y_1; S_{y_1x_1}, y_2; S_{y_2x_1} \dots, y_7; S_{y_7x_1}\} \\ & \dots \\ & \{x_v; \{y_1; S_{y_1x_n}, y_2; S_{y_2x_n} \dots, y_7; S_{y_7x_n}\} \\ & \dots \\ & \{x_n; \{y_1; S_{y_1x_n}, y_2; S_{y_2x_n} \dots, y_7; S_{y_7x_n}\} \end{aligned}$$

*keys of the dictionary are words, while the keys of the sub-dictionary are the associated states.

2.Algorithm

Perceptron algorithm:

```
n=0
while n <100
        for file in file set:
                for sentence in file:
                         for transition pair in sentence:
                                 if gold entity pair != current entity pair
                                         S(current entity pair) -= 1
                                         S(\text{ gold entity pair}) + = 1
                         viterbi to find optimal path for the next sentence
        for file in file set:
                for sentence in file:
                         for emission pair in sentence:
                                 if gold entity pair != current entity pair
                                         S(current entity pair) -= 1
                                         S(gold\ entity\ pair) + = 1
                         viterbi to find optimal path for the next sentence
        n+=1
```

Viterbi Algorithm:

```
for layer in path-dic
    if layer==0:
        continue
    if layer=n+1:
        p=max(p_previous+S(yistop)
        previous_state= argmax p
    for current state in path_dic:
```

p=p_previous+S(yi1yi2)+S(yixi)

Back Tracking to attain the optimal path Y* list for each observed sentence For each layer k from n+1 to 2, append the Y* list with "opt previous" state of k["opt previous" state of k+1]

Result

Compared with the predict result from part 3 and 4, the result in part 5 has actually been improved as shown in the following chart:

EN - dev.in	ES - dev.in
#Entity in gold data: 662 #Entity in prediction: 217	#Entity in gold data: 1326 #Entity in prediction: 902
#Correct Entity: 137 Entity precision: 0.6313 Entity recall: 0.2069 Entity F: 0.3117	#Correct Entity: 410 Entity precision: 0.4545 Entity recall: 0.3092 Entity F: 0.3680
#Correct Sentiment : 105 Sentiment precision: 0.4839 Sentiment recall: 0.1586 Sentiment F: 0.2389	#Correct Sentiment : 193 Sentiment precision: 0.2140 Sentiment recall: 0.1456 Sentiment F: 0.1732