Question 1. Consider a depth-first search tree T of the graph, rooted at r. We count the number of non-tree edges by looking, for each such edge (v,w), at its lower end-point w: No other non-tree edge (x,w) can have w as lower endpoint, because otherwise one of the two edges (v,w), (x,w) could be removed without creating a bridge (a contradiction). Therefore to each vertex w corresponds at most one non-tree edge whose lower vertex is w. If w is the root or a child of the root, then there is no non-tree edge whose lower vertex is w. This implies that there are at most n-2 non-tree edges (the "-2" is because the root and its children cannot be lower endpoints of a non-tree edge). This, and the fact that the number of tree edges is n-1, together imply that the total number of edges is not more than 2n-3.

**Question 2.** Let G be the directed graph with vertex (rather than edge) costs, and let c(v) denote the cost of vertex v. Create from G the following graph G' that has costs associated with its edges (not its vertices).

- 1. For every vertex of G create two vertices  $v^-$  and  $v^+$  in G', and create a directed edge of cost c(v) from  $v^-$  to  $v^+$ .
- 2. For every directed edge (x, y) in G create in G' an edge of zero cost from  $x^+$  to  $y^-$ .

Note that if G has n vertices and e edges then G' has 2n vertices and e+n edges. Use the existing software with G' as its input, and let D' be the matrix it produces. The length of a shortest path from v to w in G is simply  $D'[v^-, w^+]$ .

**Question 3.** The proof is by contradiction: Suppose there is a path  $P_{x,y}$  between x and y that is cheaper than the path along T; if there are many such paths then we choose  $P_{x,y}$  to be the one that has the smallest number of edges not in T. Suppose that  $P_{x,y}$  uses an edge (i,j) that is not in T, and let  $H_{i,j}$  be the path in T between i and j. We obtain a contradiction in each of the following two cases:

- Case 1: Edge (i, j) is cheaper than some edge  $\mu$  on  $H_{i,j}$ . Then by adding edge (i, j) to T and removing  $\mu$  from T we obtain a spanning tree cheaper than T, a contradiction.
- Case 2: Edge (i, j) is not cheaper than any edge on  $H_{i,j}$ . Then replacing in  $P_{x,y}$  edge (i, j) by  $H_{i,j}$  results (perhaps after "trimming" some created cycles) in a path between x and y that is at least as cheap as  $P_{x,y}$  but has a smaller number of edges not in T, a contradiction with the definition of  $P_{x,y}$ .

**Question 4.** Let T be the string obtained by concatenating X with itself, that is,  $T = XX = a_1 \cdots a_n a_1 \cdots a_n$ . Run the pattern matching algorithm using T as text and Y as pattern: If Y occurs in T then Y is a circularly rotated version of X, otherwise it is not.

**Question 5.** Use pattern matching with XX as text (the concatenation of X with itself), and with the reverse of X (denoted  $X^R$ ) as pattern. This gives all occurrences of  $X^R$  in XX. Every such

occurrence of  $X^R$  in XX overlaps with a suffix of the first X in XX: If we let  $\ell$  denote the length this overlap, then that particular occurrence of  $X^R$  in XX implies a "yes" answer if at least one of  $\{\ell, n-\ell\}$  is even (in which case the amount of circular rotation is half the length of that even number). If no occurrence of  $X^R$  in XX exists for which one of  $\{\ell, n-\ell\}$  is even, then the answer is "no" (that is, no circular rotation exists that turns X into a palindrome).

For example, if X = amaamanaplanacanalpan then  $X^R = naplanacanalpanamaama$  occurs starting at position 7 in XX = amaamanaplanacanalpanamaamanaplanacanalpan, hence  $\ell = 15$  and  $n - \ell = 6$ , and a rotation by 3 (= 6/2) positions indeed turns X into a palindrome.

To see that the "even overlap" condition is needed, consider X = acabdb for which the answer should be "no" yet it would erroneously be "yes" without the even-overlap condition:  $X^R = bdbaca$  does occur in XX = acabdbacabdb. Of course, if n is odd then one of  $\{\ell, n - \ell\}$  is guaranteed to be even, and hence there is no need to worry about the even-overlap issue (i.e., it is enough to check whether  $X^R$  occurs in XX).