

Appendix G

Supporting code for the Typed μ Scheme interpreter

G.1 Printing types and values

This code prints types. It might be desirable to print them using a more ML-like syntax.

```
683  <printing types for Typed  $\mu$ Scheme 683>≡ (263c)
    fun typeString (TYCON c) = c
      | typeString (TYVAR a) = a
      | typeString (CONAPP (TYCON "function", [CONAPP (TYCON "tuple", args), result])) =
        "(function (" ^ spaceSep (map typeString args) ^ ") " ^ typeString result ^ ")"
      | typeString (CONAPP (tau, [])) = "(" ^ typeString tau ^ ")"
      | typeString (CONAPP (tau, l)) =
        "(" ^ typeString tau ^ " " ^ spaceSep (map typeString l) ^ ")"
      | typeString (FORALL (l, tau)) =
        "(forall (" ^ spaceSep l ^ ") " ^ typeString tau ^ ")"
```

G.2 Parsing

```

684a  (parsing for Typed  $\mu$ Scheme 684a)≡ (275a) 684b>
    val name      = (fn (NAME n) => SOME n | _ => NONE) <$>? token
    val booltok   = (fn (SHARP b) => SOME b | _ => NONE) <$>? token
    val int       = (fn (INT n) => SOME n | _ => NONE) <$>? token
    val quote     = (fn (QUOTE) => SOME () | _ => NONE) <$>? token

    fun keyword syntax words =
      let fun isKeyword s = List.exists (fn s' => s = s') words
          in (fn (NAME n) => if isKeyword n then SOME n else NONE | _ => NONE) <$>? token
          end

    val expKeyword = keyword "type"      ["if", "while", "set", "begin", "lambda",
                                           "type-lambda", "let", "let*", "@"]
    val tyKeyword  = keyword "expression" ["forall", "function"]

    val tlformals = nodups ("formal type parameter", "type-lambda") <$>! @@ (many name)

    fun nodupsty what (loc, xts) = nodups what (loc, map fst xts) >>+ (fn _ => xts)
                                           (* error on duplicate names *)

    fun letDups LETSTAR (_, bindings) = OK bindings
      | letDups LET bindings          = nodupsty ("bound variable", "let") bindings

    When parsing a type, we reject anything that looks like an expression.

684b  (parsing for Typed  $\mu$ Scheme 684a)+≡ (275a) <684a 685>
    val tyvar = quote *> (curry op ^ "' " <$> name <?> "type variable (got quote mark)")
    tyvar : string parser
    ty : tyex parser

    fun checkedForall tyvars tau =
      nodups ("quantified type variable", "forall") tyvars >>+ (fn a's =>
        FORALL (a's, tau))

    fun ty tokens = (
      TYCON <$> name
    <|> TYVAR <$> tyvar
    <|> bracket "forall"      "(forall (tyvars) type)"
      (checkedForall <$> "(" >-- @@ (many tyvar) --> ")" <*>! ty)
    <|> bracket "function" "(function (types) type)"
      (curry funtype <$> "(" >-- many ty --> ")" <*> ty)
    <|> badExpKeyword <$>! "(" >-- @@ expKeyword <* scanToCloseParen)
    <|> curry CONAPP <$> "(" >-- ty <*> many ty --> ")"
    <|> "(" >-- literal ")" <|> "empty type ()"
    <|> int <|> "expected type; found integer"
    <|> booltok <|> "expected type; found Boolean literal"
    ) tokens
    and badExpKeyword (loc, bad) =
      errorAt ("looking for type but found '" ^ bad ^ "'") loc

```

When parsing an expression, we reject anything that looks like a type.

```

685 (parsing for Typed  $\mu$ Scheme 684a) +≡ (275a) <684b 686a>
val formal =
  "(" >-- ((fn tau => fn x => (x, tau)) <$> ty <*> name --< ") <?> "(ty argname)")
val lformals = "(" >-- many formal --< ")"
val tformals = "(" >-- many tyvar --< ")"

fun lambda xs exp =
  nodupsty ("formal parameter", "lambda") xs >>=+ (fn xs => LAMBDA (xs, exp))
fun tylambda a's exp =
  nodups ("formal type parameter", "type-lambda") a's >>=+ (fn a's =>
    TYLAMBDA (a's, exp))

val br = bracket

fun exp tokens = (
  VAR <$> name
  <|> (LITERAL o NUM) <$> int
  <|> (LITERAL o BOOL) <$> booltok
  <|> LITERAL <$> (quote *> sexp)
  <|> br "if" "(if e1 e2 e3)" (curry3 IFX <$> exp <*> exp <*> exp <|>
  <|> br "while" "(while e1 e2)" (curry WHILEX <$> exp <*> exp) >--
  <|> br "set" "(set x e)" (curry SET <$> name <*> exp) >>=+
  <|> br "begin" "" ( BEGIN <$> many exp) APPLY BEGIN
  <|> br "lambda" "(lambda (formals) body)" ( lambda <$> @@ lformals <*>! exp BOOL
  <|> br "type-lambda" "(type-lambda (tyvars) body)" ( booltok
    ( tylambda <$> @@ tformals <*>! ex bracket
    <|> br "let" "(let (bindings) body)" (letx LET <$> @@ bindings <*>! exp curry
    <|> br "letrec" "(letrec (bindings) body)" (letrec <$> bindings <*>! exp curry3
    <|> br "let*" "(let* (bindings) body)" (letx LETSTAR <$> @@ bindings <*>! exp embedList
    <|> br "@" "(@ exp types)" (curry TYAPPLY <$> exp <*> many1 ty) ERROR
    <|> badTyKeyword <$>! "(" >-- @@ tyKeyword <*> scanToCloseParen) errorAt
    <|> "(" >-- literal ")" <|> "empty application" IFX
    <|> curry APPLY <$> "(" >-- exp <*> many exp --< ")" int
  ) tokens LAMBDA
  and letx kind bs exp = letDups kind bs >>=+ (fn bs => LETX (kind, bs, exp)) 268a
  and letrec _ _ = ERROR "letrec is not included in Typed  $\mu$ Scheme" LITERAL
  and bindings ts = "(" >-- (many binding --< ") <?> "(x e)...") ts literal
  and binding ts = "(" >-- (pair <$> name <*> exp --< ") <?> "(x e) in bindings") many
  and badTyKeyword (loc, bad) = many1
    errorAt ("looking for expression but found '" ^ bad ^ "'") loc name
    nodups 686a
    nodupsty 684a
    NUM 268a
    OK 651a
    pair 654a
    quote 684a
    scanToCloseParen 665
    SET 268a
    SYM 268a
    ty 684b
    TYAPPLY 268a
    tyKeyword 684a
    TYLAMBDA 268a
    tyvar 684b
    VAR 268a
    WHILEX 268a
  and sexp tokens = (
    SYM <$> (notDot <$>! name)
    <|> NUM <$> int
    <|> BOOL <$> booltok
    <|> (fn v => embedList [SYM "quote", v]) <$> (quote *> sexp)
    <|> embedList <$> "(" >-- many sexp --< ")"
  ) tokens
  and notDot "." = ERROR "this interpreter cannot handle . in quoted S-expressions"
  | notDot s = OK s

```

```

686a  <parsing for Typed  $\mu$ Scheme 684a>+≡ (275a) <685 686b>
      fun define tau f formals body =
        nodupsty ("formal parameter", "definition of function " ^ f) formals >>+ (fn xts =>
          DEFINE (f, tau, (xts, body)))

      fun valrec tau x e = VALREC (x, tau, e)

      val def =
        bracket "define" "(define type f (args) body)"
          (define <$> ty <*> name <*> @@ lformals <*>! exp)
        <|> bracket "val"      "(val x e)"          (curry VAL <$> name <*> exp)
        <|> bracket "val-rec"  "(val-rec type x e)"  (valrec <$> ty <*> name <*> exp)
        <|> bracket "use"      "(use filename)"      (USE      <$> name)
        <|> literal " " <|> "unexpected right parenthesis"
        <|> EXP <$> exp
        <?> "definition"

686b  <parsing for Typed  $\mu$ Scheme 684a>+≡ (275a) <686a>
      val tuschemeSyntax = (schemeToken, def)

```

G.3 Evaluation

The implementation of the evaluator is almost identical to the implementation in Chapter 5. There are only two significant differences: we have to deal with the mismatch in representations between the abstract syntax LAMBDA and the value CLOSURE, and we have to write cases for the TYAPPLY and TYLAMBDA expressions. Another difference is that many potential run-time errors should be impossible because the relevant code would be rejected by the type checker. If one of those errors occurs anyway, we raise the exception `BugInTypeChecking`, not `RuntimeError`.

```

686c  <evaluation for Typed  $\mu$ Scheme 686c>≡ (273a) 688a>
      fun eval (e, rho) =
        let fun ev (LITERAL n) = n
              <alternatives for ev for TYAPPLY and TYLAMBDA 272b>
              <more alternatives for ev for Typed  $\mu$ Scheme 686d>
          in ev e
          end

      Code for variables is just as in Chapter 5.

      <more alternatives for ev for Typed  $\mu$ Scheme 686d>≡ (686c) 687a>
      | ev (VAR v) = !(find (v, rho))
      | ev (SET (n, e)) =
        let val v = ev e
        in find (n, rho) := v;
        v
        end

```

eval	:	exp * value ref env	->	value
ev	:	exp	->	value

```

<|> 664a
<$> 653c
<*> 653b
<*>! 658c
<?> 663c
<|> 654b
>>+ 652a
bracket 665
curry 654a
DEFINE 268b
EXP 268b
exp 685
find 214
lformals 685
LITERAL 268a
literal 664b
name 684a
nodupsty 684a
schemeToken 672a
SET 268a
ty 684b
USE 268b
VAL 268b
VALREC 268b
VAR 268a

```

Code for control flow is just as in Chapter 5.

```
687a <more alternatives for ev for Typed  $\mu$ Scheme 686d>+≡ (686c) <686d 687b>
  | ev (IFX (e1, e2, e3)) = ev (if bool (ev e1) then e2 else e3)
  | ev (WHILEX (guard, body)) =
    if bool (ev guard) then
      (ev body; ev (WHILEX (guard, body)))
    else
      unitVal
  | ev (BEGIN es) =
    let fun b (e::es, lastval) = b (es, ev e)
      | b ( [], lastval) = lastval
    in b (es, unitVal)
    end
```

Code for a lambda has to remove the types from the abstract syntax.

```
687b <more alternatives for ev for Typed  $\mu$ Scheme 686d>+≡ (686c) <687a 687c>
  | ev (LAMBDA (args, body)) = CLOSURE ((map (fn (n, ty) => n) args, body), rho)
```

Code for application is almost as in Chapter 5, except if the program tries to apply a non-function, we raise `BugInTypeChecking`, not `RuntimeError`, because the type checker should reject any program that could apply a non-function.

```
687c <more alternatives for ev for Typed  $\mu$ Scheme 686d>+≡ (686c) <687b 687d>
  | ev (APPLY (f, args)) =
    (case ev f
     of PRIMITIVE prim => prim (map ev args)
      | CLOSURE clo => <apply closure clo to args 218c>
      | v => raise BugInTypeChecking "applied non-function"
    )
```

Code for the LETX family is as in Chapter 5.

```
687d <more alternatives for ev for Typed  $\mu$ Scheme 686d>+≡ (686c) <687c>
  | ev (LETX (LET, bs, body)) =
    let val (names, values) = ListPair.unzip bs
    in eval (body, bindList (names, map (ref o ev) values, rho))
    end
  | ev (LETX (LETSTAR, bs, body)) =
    let fun step ((n, e), rho) = bind (n, ref (eval (e, rho)), rho)
    in eval (body, foldl step rho bs)
    end
```

APPLY	268a
BEGIN	268a
bind	214
bindList	214
bool	216b
BugInType- Checking	273a
CLOSURE	268a
ev	686c
eval	686c
IFX	268a
LAMBDA	268a
LET	268a
LETSTAR	268a
LETX	268a
PRIMITIVE	268a
rho	686c
unitVal	267c
WHILEX	268a

Evaluating a definition can produce a new environment. The function `evaldef` also returns a string which, if nonempty, should be printed to show the value of the item. Type soundness requires a change in the evaluation rule for VAL; as described in Exercise 37 in Chapter 3, VAL must always create a new binding.

```
688a  <evaluation for Typed  $\mu$ Scheme 686c>+≡ (273a) <686c 688b>
      fun evaldef (d, rho) =
        case d
        of VAL (name, e) =>
            let val v = eval (e, rho)
              val rho = bind (name, ref v, rho)
              in (rho, showVal name v)
            end
        | VALREC (name, tau, e) =>
            let val rho = bind (name, ref NIL, rho)
              val v = eval (e, rho)
              in find (name, rho) := v;
                (rho, showVal name v)
            end
        | EXP e => (* differs from VAL ("it", e) only in what it prints *)
            let val v = eval (e, rho)
              val rho = bind ("it", ref v, rho)
              in (rho, valueString v)
            end
        | end
        | DEFINE (name, tau, lambda) => evaldef (VALREC (name, tau, LAMBDA lambda), rho)
        | USE filename => raise RuntimeError "internal error -- 'use' reached evaldef"
```

In the VALREC case, the interpreter evaluates `e` while `name` is still bound to `NIL`—that is, before the assignment to `find (name, rho)`. Therefore, as described on page 271, evaluating `e` must not evaluate `name`—because the mutable cell for `name` does not yet contain its correct value.

Both VAL and VALREC show names as follows:

```
<evaluation for Typed  $\mu$ Scheme 686c>+≡ (273a) <688a 688c>
      and showVal name v =
        case v
        of CLOSURE _ => name
         | PRIMITIVE _ => name
         | _ => valueString v
```

G.4 Primitives of Typed μ Scheme

Here are the primitives. As in Chapter 5, all are either binary or unary operators. Type checking should guarantee that operators are used with the correct arity.

```
688c  <evaluation for Typed  $\mu$ Scheme 686c>+≡ (273a) <688b 689a>
      unaryOp : (value -> value) -> (value list -> value)
      binaryOp : (value * value -> value) -> (value list -> value)

      fun binaryOp f = (fn [a, b] => f (a, b) | _ => raise BugInTypeChecking "arity 2")
      fun unaryOp f = (fn [a] => f a | _ => raise BugInTypeChecking "arity 1")
```

Arithmetic primitives expect and return integers.

689a \langle evaluation for Typed μ Scheme 686c $\rangle + \equiv$ (273a) \langle 688c 689c \rangle

```

arithOp   : (int * int -> int) -> (value list -> value)
arithtype : tyex

fun arithOp f =
  binaryOp (fn (NUM n1, NUM n2) => NUM (f (n1, n2))
    | _ => raise BugInTypeChecking "arithmetic on non-numbers")
  val arithtype = funtype ([inttype, inttype], inttype)

```

As in Chapter 5, we use the chunk \langle primitive functions for Typed μ Scheme :: 689b \rangle to cons up all the primitives into one giant list, and we use that list to build the initial environment for the read-eval-print loop. The big difference is that in Typed μ Scheme, each primitive has a type as well as a value.

689b \langle primitive functions for Typed μ Scheme :: 689b $\rangle \equiv$ (274b) 689d \rangle

```

("+", arithOp op +, arithtype) ::
("-", arithOp op -, arithtype) ::
("*", arithOp op *, arithtype) ::
("/", arithOp op div, arithtype) ::

```

Comparisons take two arguments. Most comparisons (except for equality) apply only to integers.

689c \langle evaluation for Typed μ Scheme 686c $\rangle + \equiv$ (273a) \langle 689a

```

comparison : (value * value -> bool) -> (value list -> value)
intcompare  : (int * int -> bool) -> (value list -> value)
comptype    : tyex

```

```

fun embedPredicate f args = BOOL (f args)
fun comparison f = binaryOp (embedPredicate f)
fun intcompare f =
  comparison (fn (NUM n1, NUM n2) => f (n1, n2)
    | _ => raise BugInTypeChecking "comparing non-numbers")
val comptype = funtype ([inttype, inttype], booltype)

```

689d \langle primitive functions for Typed μ Scheme :: 689b $\rangle + \equiv$ (274b) \langle 689b 689e \rangle

```

("<", intcompare op <, comptype) ::
(">", intcompare op >, comptype) ::
("=", comparison (fn (NIL, NIL) => true
  | (NUM n1, NUM n2) => n1 = n2
  | (SYM v1, SYM v2) => v1 = v2
  | (BOOL b1, BOOL b2) => b1 = b2
  | _ => false)
, FORALL (["'a"], funtype ([tyvarA, tyvarA], booltype))) ::

```

The list primitives have polymorphic types.

689e \langle primitive functions for Typed μ Scheme :: 689b $\rangle + \equiv$ (274b) \langle 689d 690a \rangle

```

("null?", unaryOp (embedPredicate (fn (NIL) => true | _ => false))
, FORALL (["'a"], funtype ([listtype tyvarA], booltype))) ::
("cons", binaryOp (fn (a, b) => PAIR (a, b))
, FORALL (["'a"], funtype ([tyvarA, listtype tyvarA], listtype tyvarA))) ::
("car", unaryOp (fn (PAIR (car, _)) => car
  | v => raise RuntimeError
    ("car applied to non-list " ^ valueString v))
, FORALL (["'a"], funtype ([listtype tyvarA], tyvarA))) ::
("cdr", unaryOp (fn (PAIR (_, cdr)) => cdr
  | v => raise RuntimeError
    ("cdr applied to non-list " ^ valueString v))
, FORALL (["'a"], funtype ([listtype tyvarA], listtype tyvarA))) ::

```

binaryOp 688c
 BOOL 268a
 booltype 267b
 BugInType-
 Checking 273a
 FORALL 260
 funtype 267b
 inttype 267b
 listtype 267b
 NIL 268a
 NUM 268a
 PAIR 268a
 RuntimeError 268a
 SYM 268a
 tyvarA 267b
 unaryOp 688c
 valueString 217a

The print primitive also has a polymorphic type.

```
690a  <primitive functions for Typed  $\mu$ Scheme :: 689b>+≡ (274b) <689e
      ("print", unaryOp (fn x => (print (valueString x^"\n"); unitVal)),
      FORALL (["'a"], funtype ([tyvarA], unittype))) ::
```

In plain Typed μ Scheme, all the primitives are functions, so this chunk is empty. But you might add to it in the Exercises.

```
690b  <primitives that aren't functions, for Typed  $\mu$ Scheme :: 690b>≡ (274b)
      (* if this space is completely empty, something goes wrong with the software OMIT *)
```

G.5 Initial basis

Because programming in Typed μ Scheme is an awful lot of trouble, Typed μ Scheme has a smaller initial basis than μ Scheme. Some of the basis functions are defined in Chapter 6. The rest are here.

Because lists in Typed μ Scheme must be homogeneous, the funny list functions built from car and cdr are much less useful than in μ Scheme.

```
690c  <additions to the Typed  $\mu$ Scheme initial basis 690c>≡ 690d>
      (val caar
        (type-lambda ('a)
          (lambda (((list (list 'a)) l))
            ((@ car 'a) ((@ car (list 'a)) l))))))
      (val cadr
        (type-lambda ('a)
          (lambda (((list (list 'a)) l))
            ((@ car (list 'a)) ((@ cdr (list 'a)) l))))))
```

The Boolean functions are almost exactly as in Typed Impcore.

```
690d  <additions to the Typed  $\mu$ Scheme initial basis 690c>+≡ <690c 690e>
      (define bool and ((bool b) (bool c)) (if b c b))
      (define bool or  ((bool b) (bool c)) (if b b c))
      (define bool not ((bool b))          (if b #f #t))
```

Here is list append.

```
690e  <additions to the Typed  $\mu$ Scheme initial basis 690c>+≡ <690d 691a>
      (val-rec (forall ('a) (function ((list 'a) (list 'a)) (list 'a))) append
        (type-lambda ('a)
          (lambda (((list 'a) xs) ((list 'a) ys))
            (if ((@ null? 'a) xs)
              ys
              ((@ cons 'a) ((@ car 'a) xs) ((@ append 'a) ((@ cdr 'a) xs) ys))))))
```

FORALL 260
funtype 267b
tyvarA 267b
unaryOp 688c
unittype 267b
unitVal 267c
valueString 217a

In Typed μ Scheme, an association list must be represented as a list of pairs. The only sensible way to write a lookup function for an association list is to use continuation-passing style. These problems are given as exercises.

We provide just some of the list functions found in μ Scheme. Here is `filter`.

```
691a <additions to the Typed  $\mu$ Scheme initial basis 690c>+≡ <690e 691b>
      (val-rec (forall ('a) (function ((function ('a) bool) (list 'a)) (list 'a))) filter
        (type-lambda ('a)
          (lambda (((function ('a) bool) p?) ((list 'a) l))
            (if ((@ null? 'a) l)
              (@ '() 'a)
              (if (p? ((@ car 'a) l))
                ((@ cons 'a) ((@ car 'a) l) ((@ filter 'a) p? ((@ cdr 'a) l)))
                ((@ filter 'a) p? ((@ cdr 'a) l)))))))
      ; missing exists?
      ; missing all?
```

Here is `map`.

```
691b <additions to the Typed  $\mu$ Scheme initial basis 690c>+≡ <691a 691c>
      (val-rec (forall ('a 'b) (function ((function ('a) 'b) (list 'a)) (list 'b))) map
        (type-lambda ('a 'b)
          (lambda (((function ('a) 'b) f) ((list 'a) l))
            (if ((@ null? 'a) l)
              (@ '() 'b)
              ((@ cons 'b) (f ((@ car 'a) l)) ((@ map 'a 'b) f ((@ cdr 'a) l)))))))
```

Function `foldr` is also given as an exercise.

Integer comparisons are easy, but to define `!=` we need a type abstraction.

```
691c <additions to the Typed  $\mu$ Scheme initial basis 690c>+≡ <691b 691d>
      (define bool <= ((int x) (int y)) (not (> x y)))
      (define bool >= ((int x) (int y)) (not (< x y)))
      (val != (type-lambda ('a) (lambda (('a x) ('a y)) (not ((@ = 'a) x y)))))
```

Integer functions are also easy, but we must be careful to instantiate polymorphic equality.

```
691d <additions to the Typed  $\mu$ Scheme initial basis 690c>+≡ <691c>
      (define int max ((int x) (int y)) (if (> x y) x y))
      (define int min ((int x) (int y)) (if (< x y) x y))

      (define int mod ((int m) (int n)) (- m (* n (/ m n))))
      (define int gcd ((int m) (int n)) (if ((@ = int) n 0) m (gcd n (mod m n))))
      (define int lcm ((int m) (int n)) (* m (/ n (gcd m n))))
```

