```
30. (length (reverse xs)) = (length xs)
Proof: Basic step: Assume xs is null
       (length (if (null? '()) '()
                                  (append (reverse (cdr ()) (list / (car ()))
     = [null-empty law]
     (longth (it (#t"))(append (reverse (cdr"()) (list (car"()))))
    = fif-#t law?
    (length ()) == (length xs) when xs is mull.
   Inductive step: Assume XS = (cons y ys)
     (longth (reverse (cons y ys)))
  = (length (if (null? (cons y ys)) () (append (veverse ys) (list y))))
   = (if-#f law)
    (length (append (reverse ys) (list 12)))
   = { length-append laws
    (+ (length (reverse ys)) (length (list | z)))
     = {length-list 1}
    (+ 1 (longth (reverse ys)))
   = { induction hypothesis}
    (+ 1 (length ys)) = {cons-length law}
     (length (cons y ys)) == (longth xs)
```

Page 2/4 37. (a) <e, P, 6>V < V, P, 6'> <VAL(x,e), P, Ø> + < v, p'{x → L(x')}, Ø'{L(x') → v}> (b) (Val x 5) (define addone (y) (+ x y)) (val x 10) (addone 1) If addone returns 11, then (val x 10) used SET to overwite X. If it returns 6, then (val x 10) create a new binding. (c) I prefer the one that overwrite the old value. It is confusing that have multiple bindings of x than a Single binding that is updated. The new semantics also cause memory leak, old location that x mapped to will lost when new binding happens.

It would be easier to use a new variable.

3 (a) Prove (cdr (cons x xs))=xs set (Cons x xs) as A. (e, P, O, >U < PRIMITIVE (cons), 64> (x, P, 64> V < x, 6{ l, >x}> L, & dom 62 (2 & dom 02 (1 + 62) (APPLY (cons x xs), P. 6. > U < CONS(x,xs), 6, 4 > x, l-xs}> <(cdrA), P. 6 > UKPRIMITIVE (cdr), 6, > <A, P, O, > V(CONS(X,XS), O,) < APPLY (cdv (cons x xs)), P, O, > U < O, (L2), O, (L7)x, L7xs}> 6,=(L1-)x, L2->x5}

(b) Prove ((dr (cons el e2)) = e2, set (cons el e2) = AVI is the value when el terminates. V2 is the value when e2 terminates.  $\langle e, \rho, l \rangle \land \forall PRSMITINE (cons), l \rangle$   $\langle e1, \rho, l \rangle \land \forall V1, l \rightarrow V1 \rangle$   $\langle e1, \rho, l \rangle \land \forall V1, l \rightarrow V1 \rangle$   $\langle e2, \rho, l \rightarrow V1 \rangle \lor \langle V2, l \rightarrow V1, l \rightarrow V2 \rangle$   $\langle e1, \rho, l \rightarrow V1 \rangle \lor \langle e1, l \rightarrow V1, l \rightarrow V2 \rangle$   $\langle e1, \rho, l \rightarrow V1, l \rightarrow V2 \rangle \lor \langle e1, l \rightarrow V1, l \rightarrow V2 \rangle$   $\langle e1, \rho, l \rightarrow V1, l \rightarrow V2 \rangle \lor \langle e1, l \rightarrow V1, l \rightarrow V2 \rangle \lor \langle e1, l \rightarrow V2 \rangle \lor \langle$