

Appendix E

Supporting code for the ML interpreter for μ Scheme

E.1 Tokens of the μ Scheme language

Our general parsing mechanism from Appendix D requires us to define a `token` type and two functions `tokenString` and `isLiteral`.

```
671a  <lexical analysis 671a>≡ (224) 671b>
      datatype token = NAME    of string
                      | INT     of int
                      | SHARP    of bool
                      | BRACKET  of char (* ( or ) *)
                      | QUOTE
```

I define `isLiteral` by comparing the given string `s` with the string form of token `t`.

```
671b  <lexical analysis 671a>+≡ (224) <671a 672a>
      fun tokenString (NAME x)    = x
        | tokenString (INT  n)    = Int.toString n
        | tokenString (SHARP b)   = if b then "#t" else "#f"
        | tokenString (BRACKET c) = str c
        | tokenString (QUOTE)     = ""

      fun isLiteral s t = tokenString t = s
      <support for streams, lexical analysis, and parsing 644>
```

Before a μ Scheme token, whitespace is ignored. The `schemeToken` function enumerates the alternatives: two brackets, a quote mark, an integer literal, an atom, or end of line.

```
672a  (lexical analysis 671a)+≡ (224) <671b>
      local
        (functions used in the lexer for  $\mu$ Scheme 672b)
      in
        val schemeToken =
          whitespace *> ( BRACKET <$> oneEq #"("
                        <|> BRACKET <$> oneEq #")"
                        <|> QUOTE  <$> oneEq #"'"
                        <|> INT    <$> intToken isDelim
                        <|> (atom o implode) <$> many1 (sat (not o isDelim) one)
                        <|> noneIfLineEnds
                      )
        end
```

`schemeToken : token lexer`
`atom : string -> token`

The `atom` function identifies the special literals `#t` and `#f`; all other atoms are names.

```
672b  (functions used in the lexer for  $\mu$ Scheme 672b)≡ (672a) 672c>
      fun atom "#t" = SHARP true
        | atom "#f" = SHARP false
        | atom x    = NAME x
```

If the lexer doesn't recognize a bracket, quote mark, integer, or other atom, we're expecting the line to end. The end of the line may present itself as the end of the input stream or as a stream of characters beginning with a semicolon, which marks a comment. If we encounter any other character, something has gone wrong. (The polymorphic type of `noneIfLineEnds` provides a subtle but powerful hint that no token can be produced; the only possible outcomes are that nothing is produced, or the lexer detects an error.)

`<$>` 653c
`<|>` 654b
`BRACKET` 671a
`EOS` 647a
`ERROR` 651a
`INT` 671a
`intToken` 660c
`isDelim` 659b
`listOfStream` 647d
`many1` 658a
`NAME` 671a
`one` 655b
`oneEq` 656c
`QUOTE` 671a
`sat` 656b
`SHARP` 671a
`streamGet` 647b
`whitespace` 659c

```
(functions used in the lexer for  $\mu$ Scheme 672b)+≡ (672a) <672b>
  fun noneIfLineEnds chars =
    case streamGet chars
    of NONE => NONE (* end of line *)
     | SOME (";", cs) => NONE (* comment *)
     | SOME (c, cs) =>
        let val msg = "invalid initial character in '" ^
                      implode (c::listOfStream cs) ^ "'"
        in SOME (ERROR msg, EOS)
        end
```

`noneIfLineEnds : 'a lexer`

E.2 Parsing

A parser consumes a stream of tokens and produces an abstract-syntax tree. The easiest way to write a parser is to begin with code for parsing the smallest things and finish with the code for parsing the biggest things. I parse tokens, literal S-expressions, μ Scheme expressions, and finally μ Scheme definitions.

Usually a parser knows what kind of token it is looking for. To make such a parser easier to write, I create special parsing combinators for each kind of token. Each one succeeds when given a token of the kind it expects; when given any other token, it fails.

```
673a  (parsing 673a)≡ (224) 673b>
      val name      = (fn (NAME n) => SOME n | _ => NONE) <$>? token
      val booltok    = (fn (SHARP b) => SOME b | _ => NONE) <$>? token
      val int        = (fn (INT n) => SOME n | _ => NONE) <$>? token
      val quote      = (fn (QUOTE) => SOME () | _ => NONE) <$>? token
```

I'm now ready to parse a quoted S-expression, which is a symbol, a number, a Boolean, a list of S-expressions, or a quoted S-expression.

```
673b  (parsing 673a)+≡ (224) <673a 673c>
      fun sexp tokens = (
        SYM      <$> (notDot <$>! name)
        <|> NUM   <$> int
        <|> BOOL  <$> booltok
        <|> embedList <$> "(" >-- many sexp --< ")"
        <|> (fn v => embedList [SYM "quote", v])
              <$> (quote *> sexp)
      ) tokens
      and notDot "." = ERROR "this interpreter cannot handle . in quoted S-expressions"
      | notDot s    = OK s
```

Full Scheme allows programmers to notate arbitrary cons cells using a dot in a quoted S-expression. μ Scheme doesn't support this notation.

The next step up is syntactic elements used in expressions. Function `formals` parses a list of formal parameters. Function `lambda` forms a LAMBDA expression, *provided* there are no duplicate names among the formal parameters:

```
673c  (parsing 673a)+≡ (224) <673b 673d>
      val formals =
        "(" >-- many name --< ")"
      fun lambda xs exp =
        nodups ("formal parameter", "lambda") xs >>+ (fn xs => LAMBDA (xs, exp))
```

Function `letx` forms a LETX expression, provided there are no duplicates among the bound names—except when the LETX expression is LETSTAR, because duplicate names in LETSTAR are permissible.

```
673d  (parsing 673a)+≡ (224) <673c 674a>
      local
        letx : let_kind -> (name * exp) list located -> exp -> exp error
        fun letDups LETSTAR (loc, bindings) = OK bindings
          | letDups kind (loc, bindings) =
            let val names = map (fn (n, _) => n) bindings
              val kindName = case kind of LET => "let" | LETREC => "letrec" | _ => "???"
              in nodups ("bound name", kindName) (loc, names) >>+ (fn _ => bindings)
            end
      in
        fun letx kind bs exp = letDups kind bs >>+ (fn bs => LETX (kind, bs, exp))
      end
```

```
--<      664c
<$>      653c
<$>!     658c
<$>?     657a
<|>      654b
>--      664c
>>+      652a
BOOL      215
embedList 216b
ERROR     651a
INT       671a
LAMBDA    215
LET       215
LETREC    215
LETSTAR   215
LETX      215
many      657d
NAME      671a
nodups    666a
NUM       215
OK        651a
QUOTE     671a
SHARP     671a
SYM       215
token     663a
```

Parsing function `exp` handles all the concrete syntax for μ Scheme expressions, which is shown in Section 3.11.1 on page 113. Most constructs of μ Scheme are notated using expressions bracketed in parentheses, for which purpose I use function `bracket` from page 665 in Appendix D. The word `bracket` takes up a bit of horizontal space, and I'm squeezing the code to try to fit each syntactic production on one line. So instead of writing `bracket` out in full, I define an abbreviation `br`.

```

674a (parsing 673a)+≡
    val br = bracket
    fun exp tokens = (
        VAR <$> name
        <|> (LITERAL o NUM) <$> int
        <|> (LITERAL o BOOL) <$> booltok
        <|> LITERAL <$> (quote *> sexp)
        <|> br "if" "(if e1 e2 e3)" (curry3 IFX <$> exp <*> exp <*> exp)
        <|> br "while" "(while e1 e2)" (curry WHILEX <$> exp <*> exp)
        <|> br "set" "(set x e)" (curry SET <$> name <*> exp)
        <|> br "begin" "" (BEGIN <$> many exp)
        <|> br "lambda" "(lambda (names) body)" (lambda <$> @@ formals <*>! exp)
        <|> br "let" "(let (bindings) body)" (letx LET <$> @@ bindings <*>! exp)
        <|> br "letrec" "(letrec (bindings) body)" (letx LETREC <$> @@ bindings <*>! exp)
        <|> br "let*" "(let* (bindings) body)" (letx LETSTAR <$> @@ bindings <*>! exp)
        <|> "(" >-- literal ")" <|> "empty application"
        <|> curry APPLY <$> "(" >-- exp <*> many exp --< ")"
    ) tokens
    and bindings ts = "(" >-- (many binding --< ")" <?> "(x e)...") ts
    and binding ts = "(" >-- (pair <$> name <*> exp --< ")" <?> "(x e) in bindings")) ts

An expression can contain bindings, and bindings contain expressions, so functions exp and
bindings must be mutually recursive.

Function dfn is a bit like lambda: it detects duplicate formal parameters. The name
“dfn” allows me more horizontal space than I would have if I used “define.”

(parsing 673a)+≡
    fun dfn f formals body =
        nodups ("formal parameter", "definition of function " ^ f) formals >>=+
        (fn xs => DEFINE (f, (xs, body)))

Function def parses a definition.

(parsing 673a)+≡
    val def =
        bracket "define" "(define f (args) body)" (dfn <$> name <*> @@ formals <*>! exp)
        <|> bracket "val" "(val x e)" (curry VAL <$> name <*> exp)
        <|> bracket "use" "(use filename)" (USE <$> name)
        <|> literal ")" <|> "unexpected right parenthesis"
        <|> EXP <$> exp
        <?> "definition"

Pair schemeSyntax contains the lexer and the parser.

(parsing 673a)+≡
    val schemeSyntax = (schemeToken, def)

```

`exp : exp parser`
`bindings : (name * exp) list parser`

`dfn : name -> name list located -> exp -> def error`

`def : def parser`

`schemeSyntax : token lexer * def parser`

--< 664c
<|> 664a
<\$> 653c
<*> 653b
<*>! 658c
<?> 663c
<|> 654b
>-- 664c
>>=+ 652a
APPLY 215
BEGIN 215
BOOL 215
booltok 673a
bracket 665
curry 654a
curry3 654a
DEFINE 216a
EXP 216a
formals 673c
IFX 215
int 673a
lambda 673c
LET 215
LETREC 215
LETSTAR 215
letx 673d
LITERAL 215
literal 664b
many 657d
name 673a
nodups 666a
NUM 215
pair 654a
quote 673a
schemeToken 672a
SET 215
sexp 673b
USE 216a
VAL 216a
VAR 215
WHILEX 215

(224) <673d 674b>
(224) <674a 674c>
(224) <674b 674d>
(224) <674c

E.3 Further reading

Koenig (1994) describes an experience with ML type inference which leads to a conclusion that resembles my conclusion about the type of `noneIfLineEnds` on page 672c.

