**Entry Form for the**

**OUTSTANDING UNDERGRADUATE RESEARCHER (OUR) PRIZE**

**Competition AY2016/17**

*Instructions:*

1. *Use ONE form per entry. This Form is to be completed by the:*

*i. Student Participant(s) – Section A.*

*ii. Project Supervisor – Section B.*

1. *Eligible entry must:*

* *be full-time matriculated undergraduates (including honours students) at NUS.*
* *have their Faculties support that the project is of sufficient merit.*
* *submit only one entry to each category (if student participant is submitting more than one entry in the same academic year).*

1. ***Please submit the complete set of entry materials to your home department.***

**Deadline of submission is on 7th April 2017. Late submissions may not be accepted.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SECTION A: To be completed by student participant(s)**  *– Submission deadline:* ***7 April 2017***  *– To be submitted with project to Faculty for evaluation* | | | | |
| **I. DETAILS OF STUDENT PARTICIPANT(S)** | | | | |
| Category: Individual ❑ or Group ❑ (please tick ✓ one only)  *(NOTE: From AY2013/2014, there would be no limit on group size.)* | | | | |
| 1 | NAME: | | STUDENT NO. / YEAR OF STUDY: | |
| 2 | NAME: | | STUDENT NO. / YEAR OF STUDY: | |
| 3 | NAME: | | STUDENT NO. / YEAR OF STUDY: | |
| 4 | NAME: | | STUDENT NO. / YEAR OF STUDY: | |
| 5 | NAME: | | STUDENT NO. / YEAR OF STUDY: | |
| FACULTY/SCHOOL: | | | | |
| CONTACT NUMBER: | | | | |
| EMAIL (personal, if any): | | | | |
|  | | | | |
| **II. DETAILS OF PROJECT** | | | | |
| PROJECT TITLE: | | | | |
| PROJECT TYPE AND MODULE CODE (IF ANY): | | | | |
| ❑ Undergraduate Research Opportunities Project (UROP) | | ❑ Final Year Dissertation/Project (FYP) | | ❑ Others: |
| DURATION OF PROJECT (IN MONTHS): | | | | |
| DATE SUBMITTED TO FACULTY: | | | | |
| PROJECT REFLECTIONS:   * *Use this space to briefly explain in clear & concise general (non-technical) language about the significance of the project, your independent contribution(s) to this project, what you have learnt from the project, key achievements/outcomes/impact of the project and any other relevant details.* | | | | |
|  | | | | |
|  | | | | |
|  | | | | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **SECTION B: To be completed by Faculty/School**  *– to be filled in by* ***Project Supervisor*** | |
| The 3 criteria for evaluation of each entry are as follows:   1. Originality and significance of the project 2. Clear understanding of the field 3. Evidence of critical and independent thinking   In addition, please include:   1. any other information which will be helpful to the committee in making its decision   Using the 3 criteria above, please explain in **layperson’s/non-technical terms** the basis for your nomination of the relevant entr(ies). Please provide evidence, where possible. It would be helpful if you could strive to be clear and succinct in elaborating on each criterion met, preferably a max. of 100 words per criteria. Please include any available form of external validation (e.g. project has been shared on local or international platforms, won a prize elsewhere, accepted for publication in a good journal; etc), where applicable. Thank you.  **(Mandatory: please include supervisors’ comments on the merit of the students’ projects)**  **NOTE: A simple explanatory note is included below for your use/reference:**   1. Originality and significance: the problem identified and the solution offered are original in the way the student(s) has/have approached them; shows innovative thinking; problem or issue discussed and/or the solution offered has significant implications for the field or can be applied in some way. 2. Clarity of understanding of the field: shows a clear command of the knowledge relating to the (sub)field; well articulated; logically formulated. 3. Critical and independent thinking: goes beyond describing a problem/solution and has provided a good critique or comprehensive analysis; able to surface and/or critiqued assumptions; able to encompass different points of view and provided a good synthesis. 4. Any other relevant information: example, external award or prize won for this project, local or external conference participation | |
| 1. Originality and significance of the project (100 words or less): | |
| 1. Clear understanding of the field (100 words or less): | |
| 1. Evidence of critical and independent thinking (100 words or less): | |
| 1. Please include any other information which will be helpful to the committee in making its decision: | |
| Strengths of Candidate:  Note: please highlight the strengths of the candidate clearly and in a way that can be understood by non-disciplinary experts. | |
| NOMINATED BY:  (Name & Signature of Supervisor) | DATE: |

*(Revised: Jan 2017)*