**Multiple Response**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Notes** | | |
| Output Created | | 21-OCT-2022 22:38:46 |
| Comments | |  |
| Input | Active Dataset | DataSet0 |
| Filter | <none> |
| Weight | <none> |
| Split File | <none> |
| N of Rows in Working Data File | 10 |
| Missing Value Handling | Definition of Missing | User-defined missing values are treated as missing. |
| Cases Used | Statistics for each table are based on all the cases with valid data in the specified range(s) for all variables in each table. |
| Syntax | |
| Resources | Processor Time |
| Elapsed Time |

[DataSet0]

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Case Summary** | | | | | | |
|  | Cases | | | | | |
| Valid | | Missing | | Total | |
| N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| $nssf\_awarenessa | 10 | 100.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 10 | 100.0% |
| $very\_satisfiedb | 2 | 20.0% | 8 | 80.0% | 10 | 100.0% |
| $satisfiedc | 6 | 60.0% | 4 | 40.0% | 10 | 100.0% |
| $neutrald | 3 | 30.0% | 7 | 70.0% | 10 | 100.0% |
| $dissatisfiede | 4 | 40.0% | 6 | 60.0% | 10 | 100.0% |
| $very\_dissatisfieda | 4 | 40.0% | 6 | 60.0% | 10 | 100.0% |
| $dont\_knowf | 3 | 30.0% | 7 | 70.0% | 10 | 100.0% |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **$nssf\_awareness Frequencies** | | | | |
|  | | Responses | | Percent of Cases |
| N | Percent |
| nssf\_awarenessa | respondents who heard about the nssf through radio | 5 | 7.7% | 50.0% |
| respondents who heard about the nssf through tv | 4 | 6.2% | 40.0% |
| respondents who heard about the nssf through newspaper | 6 | 9.2% | 60.0% |
| respondents who heard about the nssf through friends | 5 | 7.7% | 50.0% |
| respondents who heard about the nssf through publicity board | 4 | 6.2% | 40.0% |
| respondents who heard about the nssf through employer | 6 | 9.2% | 60.0% |
| respondents who heard about the nssf through visiting their brand | 5 | 7.7% | 50.0% |
| respondents who are aware about the nssf age benefit | 5 | 7.7% | 50.0% |
| respondents who are aware about the nssf survival benefit | 5 | 7.7% | 50.0% |
| respondents who are aware about the nssf invadility benefit | 4 | 6.2% | 40.0% |
| respondents who are aware about the nssf funeral grant benefit | 5 | 7.7% | 50.0% |
| respondents who are aware about the nssf emigration benefit | 6 | 9.2% | 60.0% |
| respondents who are aware about the nssf withdrawal benefit | 5 | 7.7% | 50.0% |
| Total | | 65 | 100.0% | 650.0% |
| a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1. | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **$very\_satisfied Frequencies** | | | | |
|  | | Responses | | Percent of Cases |
| N | Percent |
| very\_satisfieda | respondents satisfication about distribition of nssf offices in the country | 1 | 14.3% | 50.0% |
| respondents satisfication about nssf registration process | 1 | 14.3% | 50.0% |
| respondents satisfacation about contribution to nssf | 1 | 14.3% | 50.0% |
| respondents satisfacation about sifficint income in the end | 1 | 14.3% | 50.0% |
| respondents satisfacation investment made through contribution | 1 | 14.3% | 50.0% |
| respondents satisfacation about nssf"s benefits compared to competitor's benefits | 1 | 14.3% | 50.0% |
| respondents satisfacation about nssf decisions and rules | 1 | 14.3% | 50.0% |
| Total | | 7 | 100.0% | 350.0% |
| a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 5. | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **$satisfied Frequencies** | | | | |
|  | | Responses | | Percent of Cases |
| N | Percent |
| satisfieda | respondents satisfication about distribition of nssf offices in the country | 4 | 13.8% | 66.7% |
| respondents satisfication about nssf registration process | 4 | 13.8% | 66.7% |
| respondents satisfication about mode of contribution to nssf | 4 | 13.8% | 66.7% |
| respondents satisfacation about contribution to nssf | 2 | 6.9% | 33.3% |
| respondents satisfacation about sifficint income in the end | 4 | 13.8% | 66.7% |
| respondents satisfacation about safety of contribution | 4 | 13.8% | 66.7% |
| respondents satisfacation investment made through contribution | 2 | 6.9% | 33.3% |
| respondents satisfacation about nssf"s benefits compared to competitor's benefits | 2 | 6.9% | 33.3% |
| respondents satisfacation about nssf decisions and rules | 3 | 10.3% | 50.0% |
| Total | | 29 | 100.0% | 483.3% |
| a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 4. | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **$neutral Frequencies** | | | | |
|  | | Responses | | Percent of Cases |
| N | Percent |
| neutrala | respondents satisfication about distribition of nssf offices in the country | 1 | 8.3% | 33.3% |
| respondents satisfication about nssf registration process | 1 | 8.3% | 33.3% |
| respondents satisfication about mode of contribution to nssf | 1 | 8.3% | 33.3% |
| respondents satisfacation about contribution to nssf | 2 | 16.7% | 66.7% |
| respondents satisfacation about sifficint income in the end | 1 | 8.3% | 33.3% |
| respondents satisfacation about safety of contribution | 1 | 8.3% | 33.3% |
| respondents satisfacation investment made through contribution | 2 | 16.7% | 66.7% |
| respondents satisfacation about nssf"s benefits compared to competitor's benefits | 1 | 8.3% | 33.3% |
| respondents satisfacation about nssf decisions and rules | 2 | 16.7% | 66.7% |
| Total | | 12 | 100.0% | 400.0% |
| a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 3. | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **$dissatisfied Frequencies** | | | | |
|  | | Responses | | Percent of Cases |
| N | Percent |
| dissatisfieda | respondents satisfication about distribition of nssf offices in the country | 1 | 5.9% | 25.0% |
| respondents satisfication about nssf registration process | 2 | 11.8% | 50.0% |
| respondents satisfication about mode of contribution to nssf | 2 | 11.8% | 50.0% |
| respondents satisfacation about contribution to nssf | 2 | 11.8% | 50.0% |
| respondents satisfacation about sifficint income in the end | 2 | 11.8% | 50.0% |
| respondents satisfacation about safety of contribution | 2 | 11.8% | 50.0% |
| respondents satisfacation investment made through contribution | 2 | 11.8% | 50.0% |
| respondents satisfacation about nssf"s benefits compared to competitor's benefits | 2 | 11.8% | 50.0% |
| respondents satisfacation about nssf decisions and rules | 2 | 11.8% | 50.0% |
| Total | | 17 | 100.0% | 425.0% |
| a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 2. | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **$very\_dissatisfied Frequencies** | | | | |
|  | | Responses | | Percent of Cases |
| N | Percent |
| very\_dissatisfieda | respondents satisfication about distribition of nssf offices in the country | 3 | 30.0% | 75.0% |
| respondents satisfication about mode of contribution to nssf | 1 | 10.0% | 25.0% |
| respondents satisfacation about contribution to nssf | 1 | 10.0% | 25.0% |
| respondents satisfacation about safety of contribution | 1 | 10.0% | 25.0% |
| respondents satisfacation investment made through contribution | 1 | 10.0% | 25.0% |
| respondents satisfacation about nssf"s benefits compared to competitor's benefits | 2 | 20.0% | 50.0% |
| respondents satisfacation about nssf decisions and rules | 1 | 10.0% | 25.0% |
| Total | | 10 | 100.0% | 250.0% |
| a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1. | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **$dont\_know Frequencies** | | | | |
|  | | Responses | | Percent of Cases |
| N | Percent |
| dont\_knowa | respondents satisfication about nssf registration process | 2 | 14.3% | 66.7% |
| respondents satisfication about mode of contribution to nssf | 2 | 14.3% | 66.7% |
| respondents satisfacation about contribution to nssf | 2 | 14.3% | 66.7% |
| respondents satisfacation about sifficint income in the end | 2 | 14.3% | 66.7% |
| respondents satisfacation about safety of contribution | 2 | 14.3% | 66.7% |
| respondents satisfacation investment made through contribution | 2 | 14.3% | 66.7% |
| respondents satisfacation about nssf"s benefits compared to competitor's benefits | 2 | 14.3% | 66.7% |
| Total | | 14 | 100.0% | 466.7% |
| a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 11. | | | | |

**Multiple Response**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Case Summary** | | | | | | |
|  | Cases | | | | | |
| Valid | | Missing | | Total | |
| N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| $nssf\_awarenessa | 10 | 100.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 10 | 100.0% |
| $very\_satisfiedb | 2 | 20.0% | 8 | 80.0% | 10 | 100.0% |
| $satisfiedc | 6 | 60.0% | 4 | 40.0% | 10 | 100.0% |
| $neutrald | 3 | 30.0% | 7 | 70.0% | 10 | 100.0% |
| $dissatisfiede | 4 | 40.0% | 6 | 60.0% | 10 | 100.0% |
| $very\_dissatisfieda | 4 | 40.0% | 6 | 60.0% | 10 | 100.0% |
| $dont\_knowf | 3 | 30.0% | 7 | 70.0% | 10 | 100.0% |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ***$nssf\_awareness Frequencies*** | | | | |
|  | | Responses | | Percent of Cases |
| N | Percent |
| nssf\_awarenessa | respondents who heard about the nssf through radio | 5 | 7.7% | 50.0% |
| respondents who heard about the nssf through tv | 4 | 6.2% | 40.0% |
| respondents who heard about the nssf through newspaper | 6 | 9.2% | 60.0% |
| respondents who heard about the nssf through friends | 5 | 7.7% | 50.0% |
| respondents who heard about the nssf through publicity board | 4 | 6.2% | 40.0% |
| respondents who heard about the nssf through employer | 6 | 9.2% | 60.0% |
| respondents who heard about the nssf through visiting their brand | 5 | 7.7% | 50.0% |
| respondents who are aware about the nssf age benefit | 5 | 7.7% | 50.0% |
| respondents who are aware about the nssf survival benefit | 5 | 7.7% | 50.0% |
| respondents who are aware about the nssf invadility benefit | 4 | 6.2% | 40.0% |
| respondents who are aware about the nssf funeral grant benefit | 5 | 7.7% | 50.0% |
| respondents who are aware about the nssf emigration benefit | 6 | 9.2% | 60.0% |
| respondents who are aware about the nssf withdrawal benefit | 5 | 7.7% | 50.0% |
| Total | | 65 | 100.0% | 650.0% |
| a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1. | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **$very\_satisfied Frequencies** | | | | |
|  | | Responses | | Percent of Cases |
| N | Percent |
| very\_satisfieda | respondents satisfication about distribition of nssf offices in the country | 1 | 14.3% | 50.0% |
| respondents satisfication about nssf registration process | 1 | 14.3% | 50.0% |
| respondents satisfacation about contribution to nssf | 1 | 14.3% | 50.0% |
| respondents satisfacation about sifficint income in the end | 1 | 14.3% | 50.0% |
| respondents satisfacation investment made through contribution | 1 | 14.3% | 50.0% |
| respondents satisfacation about nssf"s benefits compared to competitor's benefits | 1 | 14.3% | 50.0% |
| respondents satisfacation about nssf decisions and rules | 1 | 14.3% | 50.0% |
| Total | | 7 | 100.0% | 350.0% |
| a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 5. | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **$satisfied Frequencies** | | | | |
|  | | Responses | | Percent of Cases |
| N | Percent |
| satisfieda | respondents satisfication about distribition of nssf offices in the country | 4 | 13.8% | 66.7% |
| respondents satisfication about nssf registration process | 4 | 13.8% | 66.7% |
| respondents satisfication about mode of contribution to nssf | 4 | 13.8% | 66.7% |
| respondents satisfacation about contribution to nssf | 2 | 6.9% | 33.3% |
| respondents satisfacation about sifficint income in the end | 4 | 13.8% | 66.7% |
| respondents satisfacation about safety of contribution | 4 | 13.8% | 66.7% |
| respondents satisfacation investment made through contribution | 2 | 6.9% | 33.3% |
| respondents satisfacation about nssf"s benefits compared to competitor's benefits | 2 | 6.9% | 33.3% |
| respondents satisfacation about nssf decisions and rules | 3 | 10.3% | 50.0% |
| Total | | 29 | 100.0% | 483.3% |
| a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 4. | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **$neutral Frequencies** | | | | |
|  | | Responses | | Percent of Cases |
| N | Percent |
| neutrala | respondents satisfication about distribition of nssf offices in the country | 1 | 8.3% | 33.3% |
| respondents satisfication about nssf registration process | 1 | 8.3% | 33.3% |
| respondents satisfication about mode of contribution to nssf | 1 | 8.3% | 33.3% |
| respondents satisfacation about contribution to nssf | 2 | 16.7% | 66.7% |
| respondents satisfacation about sifficint income in the end | 1 | 8.3% | 33.3% |
| respondents satisfacation about safety of contribution | 1 | 8.3% | 33.3% |
| respondents satisfacation investment made through contribution | 2 | 16.7% | 66.7% |
| respondents satisfacation about nssf"s benefits compared to competitor's benefits | 1 | 8.3% | 33.3% |
| respondents satisfacation about nssf decisions and rules | 2 | 16.7% | 66.7% |
| Total | | 12 | 100.0% | 400.0% |
| a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 3. | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **$dissatisfied Frequencies** | | | | |
|  | | Responses | | Percent of Cases |
| N | Percent |
| dissatisfieda | respondents satisfication about distribition of nssf offices in the country | 1 | 5.9% | 25.0% |
| respondents satisfication about nssf registration process | 2 | 11.8% | 50.0% |
| respondents satisfication about mode of contribution to nssf | 2 | 11.8% | 50.0% |
| respondents satisfacation about contribution to nssf | 2 | 11.8% | 50.0% |
| respondents satisfacation about sifficint income in the end | 2 | 11.8% | 50.0% |
| respondents satisfacation about safety of contribution | 2 | 11.8% | 50.0% |
| respondents satisfacation investment made through contribution | 2 | 11.8% | 50.0% |
| respondents satisfacation about nssf"s benefits compared to competitor's benefits | 2 | 11.8% | 50.0% |
| respondents satisfacation about nssf decisions and rules | 2 | 11.8% | 50.0% |
| Total | | 17 | 100.0% | 425.0% |
| a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 2. | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **$very\_dissatisfied Frequencies** | | | | |
|  | | Responses | | Percent of Cases |
| N | Percent |
| very\_dissatisfieda | respondents satisfication about distribition of nssf offices in the country | 3 | 30.0% | 75.0% |
| respondents satisfication about mode of contribution to nssf | 1 | 10.0% | 25.0% |
| respondents satisfacation about contribution to nssf | 1 | 10.0% | 25.0% |
| respondents satisfacation about safety of contribution | 1 | 10.0% | 25.0% |
| respondents satisfacation investment made through contribution | 1 | 10.0% | 25.0% |
| respondents satisfacation about nssf"s benefits compared to competitor's benefits | 2 | 20.0% | 50.0% |
| respondents satisfacation about nssf decisions and rules | 1 | 10.0% | 25.0% |
| Total | | 10 | 100.0% | 250.0% |
| a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1. | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **$dont\_know Frequencies** | | | | |
|  | | Responses | | Percent of Cases |
| N | Percent |
| dont\_knowa | respondents satisfication about nssf registration process | 2 | 14.3% | 66.7% |
| respondents satisfication about mode of contribution to nssf | 2 | 14.3% | 66.7% |
| respondents satisfacation about contribution to nssf | 2 | 14.3% | 66.7% |
| respondents satisfacation about sifficint income in the end | 2 | 14.3% | 66.7% |
| respondents satisfacation about safety of contribution | 2 | 14.3% | 66.7% |
| respondents satisfacation investment made through contribution | 2 | 14.3% | 66.7% |
| respondents satisfacation about nssf"s benefits compared to competitor's benefits | 2 | 14.3% | 66.7% |
| Total | | 14 | 100.0% | 466.7% |

This is a table of multiple frequency responses for mission and core values of NSSF showing satisfaction of the respondents

**Frequencies**

[DataSet0]

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Statistics** | | | |
|  | | the gender respondents | the occupation of the respondent |
| N | Valid | 10 | 10 |
| Missing | 0 | 0 |

**Frequency Table**


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **the gender respondents** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Male | 6 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 |
| Female | 4 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 10 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |


In the gender respondents males were 60% while 40% hence a total of 100% which is valid

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **the occupation of the respondent** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Claimnant | 4 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| self employed | 4 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 80.0 |
| Employed | 2 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 10 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

In the occupation, claimnants were 40%, self employed were 40% and employed were 20% which makes data to be valid

**Bar Chart**





FREQUENCIES VARIABLES=gender occupation

/BARCHART FREQ

/ORDER=ANALYSIS.

**Frequencies**

[DataSet0]


|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Statistics** | | | |
|  | | the gender respondents | the occupation of the respondent |
| N | Valid | 10 | 10 |
| Missing | 0 | 0 |


**Frequency Table**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **the gender respondents** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Male | 6 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 |
| Female | 4 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 10 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **the occupation of the respondent** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Claimnant | 4 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| self employed | 4 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 80.0 |
| Employed | 2 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 10 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

**Bar Chart**





SAVE OUTFILE='C:\Users\hp\Documents\REHEMA\_NSSF.sav'

/COMPRESSED.