

# Computing Diverse Optimal Stable Models

Javier Romero, Torsten Schaub, and Philipp Wanko

University of Potsdam

- 1 Introduction and Motivation
- 2 ASP Solving Schemes
- 3 Diversification Techniques
- 4 Experiments

# Introduction

- Answer Set Programming (ASP) is a paradigm for solving combination problems; in worst-case exponential number of solutions

# Introduction

- Answer Set Programming (ASP) is a paradigm for solving combination problems; in worst-case exponential number of solutions
- Impose preference relations among solutions to filter out optimal ones

# Introduction

- Answer Set Programming (ASP) is a paradigm for solving combination problems; in worst-case exponential number of solutions
- Impose preference relations among solutions to filter out optimal ones
- Certain preference relations and applications exhibit vast number of optimal solutions  
e.g. Pareto frontiers for multi-objective optimization problems  
in system synthesis, timetabling, configuration, planning

# Introduction

- Answer Set Programming (ASP) is a paradigm for solving combination problems; in worst-case exponential number of solutions
- Impose preference relations among solutions to filter out optimal ones
- Certain preference relations and applications exhibit vast number of optimal solutions  
e.g. Pareto frontiers for multi-objective optimization problems in system synthesis, timetabling, configuration, planning

⇒ Further filtering necessary, e.g. diverse optimal stable models

# Course timetabling (CTT)

- Courses a, b with two lessons each
- One room
- Two days with four periods
- Assign courses to days and periods

# Course timetabling (CTT)

- Courses a, b with two lessons each
- One room
- Two days with four periods
- Assign courses to days and periods

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1	a	
2		a
3	b	b
4		



# Preferences

- *Compactness*: Avoid empty periods after a scheduled lesson

# Preferences

- *Compactness*: Avoid empty periods after a scheduled lesson
- *Spread*: Avoid placing lessons of the same course on the same day

# Preferences

- *Compactness*: Avoid empty periods after a scheduled lesson
- *Spread*: Avoid placing lessons of the same course on the same day

Combine both preferences in a Pareto preference relation.

# Pareto preference

- Aggregates several preference relations
- Multi-objective optimization
- Generally no single optimal solution
- Set of Pareto optimal solutions (Pareto frontier)
  - $y$  is dominated by  $x$  if  $x$  is at least as good as  $y$  in all objectives and better in one
  - $x$  is Pareto optimal  $\iff$  there is no  $y$  that dominates  $x$

# Pareto preference

- Aggregates several preference relations
- Multi-objective optimization
- Generally no single optimal solution
- Set of Pareto optimal solutions (Pareto frontier)
  - $y$  is dominated by  $x$  if  $x$  is at least as good as  $y$  in all objectives and better in one
  - $x$  is Pareto optimal  $\iff$  there is no  $y$  that dominates  $x$
- e.g. "better" means  $<$  for objectives in  $\mathbb{Z}$ :
  - $(2, 3, 1)$  *dominates*  $(3, 3, 1)$
  - $(2, 3, 1)$  *is incomparable to*  $(2, 1, 3)$

## Preferences statements in *asprin*

```
#preference(compactness,less(cardinality)){  
    assigned(C1,D,P), not assigned(C2,D,P+1)  
}.
```

```
#preference(spread,less(cardinality)){  
    assigned(C,D,P1), assigned(C,D,P2), P1>P2  
}.
```

```
#preference(combine,pareto){  
    name(compact); name(spread)  
}.
```

# Dominated solution

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1	a	
2		a
3	b	b
4		

Compactness violations: 3

Spread violations: 0

# Optimal solution

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1		
2	a	a
3	b	b
4		

Compactness violations: 2

Spread violations: 0



# Optimal solution

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1		
2	a	b
3	b	a
4		

Compactness violations: 2

Spread violations: 0

# Optimal solution

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1	b	
2	a	
3	b	
4	a	

Compactness violations: 1

Spread violations: 2

# Diverse optimal solution

- Many optimal solutions
- Select subset to present to user

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1		
2	a	a
3	b	b
4		

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1		
2	a	b
3	b	a
4		

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1	b	
2	a	
3	b	
4	a	

# Diverse optimal solution

- Many optimal solutions
- Select subset to present to user

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1		
2	a	a
3	b	b
4		

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1		
2	a	b
3	b	a
4		

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1	b	
2	a	
3	b	
4	a	

# Diverse optimal solution

- Many optimal solutions
- Select *diverse* subset to present to user

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1		
2	a	a
3	b	b
4		

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1		a
2		b
3		a
4		b

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1	a	
2	b	
3	a	
4	b	

# Overview

- Main related work:
  - T. Eiter, E. Erdem, H. Erdogan, and M. Fink. Finding similar/diverse solutions in answer set programming. 2013.
  - Y. Zhu and M. Truszczyński. On optimal solutions of answer set optimization problems. 2013.

# Overview

- Main related work:
  - T. Eiter, E. Erdem, H. Erdogan, and M. Fink. Finding similar/diverse solutions in answer set programming. 2013.
  - Y. Zhu and M. Truszczyński. On optimal solutions of answer set optimization problems. 2013.
- Contributions:
  - Automate existing ASP solving schemes

# Overview

- Main related work:
  - T. Eiter, E. Erdem, H. Erdogan, and M. Fink. Finding similar/diverse solutions in answer set programming. 2013.
  - Y. Zhu and M. Truszczyński. On optimal solutions of answer set optimization problems. 2013.
- Contributions:
  - Automate existing ASP solving schemes
  - Generalize existing diversification techniques to logic programs with preferences



# Overview

- Main related work:
  - T. Eiter, E. Erdem, H. Erdogan, and M. Fink. Finding similar/diverse solutions in answer set programming. 2013.
  - Y. Zhu and M. Truszczyński. On optimal solutions of answer set optimization problems. 2013.
- Contributions:
  - Automate existing ASP solving schemes
  - Generalize existing diversification techniques to logic programs with preferences
  - Introduce new diversification techniques for logic programs with preferences

# Overview

- Main related work:
    - T. Eiter, E. Erdem, H. Erdogan, and M. Fink. Finding similar/diverse solutions in answer set programming. 2013.
    - Y. Zhu and M. Truszczyński. On optimal solutions of answer set optimization problems. 2013.
  - Contributions:
    - Automate existing ASP solving schemes
    - Generalize existing diversification techniques to logic programs with preferences
    - Introduce new diversification techniques for logic programs with preferences
- ⇒ Provide comprehensive framework for computing diverse (or similar) optimal solutions

# Outline

- 1 Introduction and Motivation
- 2 ASP Solving Schemes
- 3 Diversification Techniques
- 4 Experiments

# ASP Solving Schemes

## ① Maxmin optimization

# ASP Solving Schemes

- 1 Maxmin optimization
- 2 Guess and Check automation

# ASP Solving Schemes

- 1 Maxmin optimization
- 2 Guess and Check automation
- 3 Querying programs with preferences

# ASP Solving Schemes

- 1 Maxmin optimization
- 2 Guess and Check automation
- 3 Querying programs with preferences
- 4 Preferences over optimal models

# ASP Solving Schemes

- 1 Maxmin optimization
- 2 Guess and Check automation
- 3 Querying programs with preferences
- 4 Preferences over optimal models

Schemes are employed to implement diversification techniques, but are readily available for independent usage.



# Outline

- 1 Introduction and Motivation
- 2 ASP Solving Schemes
- 3 Diversification Techniques**
- 4 Experiments

# Diversification Techniques

## ① Enumeration

- Enumerate all optimal solutions and calculate most diverse subset
- Express calculation of most diverse subset as logic program with preferences

# Diversification Techniques

## 1 Enumeration

- Enumerate all optimal solutions and calculate most diverse subset
- Express calculation of most diverse subset as logic program with preferences

## 2 Replication

- Replicate problem to produce  $n$  optimal solutions and optimize diversity
- Uses *Guess and check automation* and *Maxmin optimization*

# Diversification Techniques

## 1 Enumeration

- Enumerate all optimal solutions and calculate most diverse subset
- Express calculation of most diverse subset as logic program with preferences

## 2 Replication

- Replicate problem to produce  $n$  optimal solutions and optimize diversity
- Uses *Guess and check automation* and *Maxmin optimization*

## 3 Approximation

- Iterate optimal solutions distant to a previous set of optimal solutions
- Uses *maxmin optimization*, *Querying programs with preferences* and *Preferences over optimal models*

# Diversification Techniques

## 1 Enumeration

- Enumerate all optimal solutions and calculate most diverse subset
- Express calculation of most diverse subset as logic program with preferences

## 2 Replication

- Replicate problem to produce  $n$  optimal solutions and optimize diversity
- Uses *Guess and check automation* and *Maxmin optimization*

## 3 Approximation

- Iterate optimal solutions distant to a previous set of optimal solutions
- Uses *maxmin optimization*, *Querying programs with preferences* and *Preferences over optimal models*

# Approximation

Input: Logic program with preferences  $P$ , Set size  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Output: Set  $\mathcal{X}$  approximating  $n$  most diverse optimal solutions

# Approximation

Input: Logic program with preferences  $P$ , Set size  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Output: Set  $\mathcal{X}$  approximating  $n$  most diverse optimal solutions

$\mathcal{X} = \emptyset$

**While**  $|\mathcal{X}| < n$  **and**  $P \cup \mathcal{X}$  is satisfiable

$\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X} \cup \text{solve}(P, \mathcal{X})$

**return**  $\mathcal{X}$

# Approximation

Input: Logic program with preferences  $P$ , Set size  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Output: Set  $\mathcal{X}$  approximating  $n$  most diverse optimal solutions

$\mathcal{X} = \emptyset$

**While**  $|\mathcal{X}| < n$  **and**  $P \cup \mathcal{X}$  is satisfiable

$\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X} \cup \text{solve}(P, \mathcal{X})$

**return**  $\mathcal{X}$

- Variants A-1 to A-3 implement different versions of  $\text{solve}(P, \mathcal{X})$
- With increasing variant number: less exact, more performance



# A-1

- $\text{solve}(P, \mathcal{X})$  returns optimal model of  $P$  most dissimilar to  $\mathcal{X}$

# A-1

- $\text{solve}(P, \mathcal{X})$  returns optimal model of  $P$  most dissimilar to  $\mathcal{X}$
- Ensures next optimal solution is most distant to whole previous set  $\mathcal{X}$  by using *Maxmin optimization* and *Preferences over optimal models*

## A-1: Example

Enumerate three most diverse optimal solutions for CTT.

$\mathcal{X} =$

# A-1: Example

Enumerate three most diverse optimal solutions for CTT.

$\mathcal{X} =$

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1		
2	a	a
3	b	b
4		

# A-1: Example

Enumerate three most diverse optimal solutions for CTT.

$\mathcal{X} =$

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1		
2	a	a
3	b	b
4		

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1		
2	a	a
3	b	b
4		

## A-1: Example

Enumerate three most diverse optimal solutions for CTT.

$\mathcal{X} =$

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1		
2	a	a
3	b	b
4		

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1		a
2		b
3		a
4		b

# A-1: Example

Enumerate three most diverse optimal solutions for CTT.

$\mathcal{X} =$

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1		
2	a	a
3	b	b
4		

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1		a
2		b
3		a
4		b

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1		a
2	a	a,b
3	b	a,b
4		a

## A-1: Example

Enumerate three most diverse optimal solutions for CTT.

$\mathcal{X} =$

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1		
2	a	a
3	b	b
4		

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1		a
2		b
3		a
4		b

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1	a	
2	b	
3	a	
4	b	



## A-2

- $solve(P, \mathcal{X})$  first computes partial interpretation  $I$  distant to  $\mathcal{X}$

## A-2

- $solve(P, \mathcal{X})$  first computes partial interpretation  $I$  distant to  $\mathcal{X}$
- Then returns optimal model of  $P$  closest to  $I$

## A-2

- $solve(P, \mathcal{X})$  first computes partial interpretation  $I$  distant to  $\mathcal{X}$
- Then returns optimal model of  $P$  closest to  $I$
- Ensures next optimal solution is as close as possible to  $I$  by using *Maxmin optimization* and *Preferences over optimal models*

## A-2

- $solve(P, \mathcal{X})$  first computes partial interpretation  $I$  distant to  $\mathcal{X}$
- Then returns optimal model of  $P$  closest to  $I$
- Ensures next optimal solution is as close as possible to  $I$  by using *Maxmin optimization* and *Preferences over optimal models*
- Loses accuracy but gains performance since there is no direct comparison to earlier optimal solutions

## A-2: Distant partial interpretation /

Given set of previous optimal models  $\mathcal{X}$ , select /

- ① randomly
- ② heuristically chosen
- ③ most diverse wrt.  $\mathcal{X}$
- ④ complementary to last optimal solution

## A-2: Distant partial interpretation /

Given set of previous optimal models  $\mathcal{X}$ , select /

- ① randomly
- ② heuristically chosen
- ③ most diverse wrt.  $\mathcal{X}$
- ④ **complementary to last optimal solution**

## A-2: Example

Enumerate three most diverse optimal solutions for CTT.

$\mathcal{X} =$

## A-2: Example

Enumerate three most diverse optimal solutions for CTT.

$\mathcal{X} =$

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1		
2	a	a
3	b	b
4		



## A-2: Example

Enumerate three most diverse optimal solutions for CTT.

$\mathcal{X} =$

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1		
2	a	a
3	b	b
4		

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1	a,b	a,b
2	b	b
3	a	a
4	a,b	a,b

## A-2: Example

Enumerate three most diverse optimal solutions for CTT.

$\mathcal{X} =$

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1		
2	a	a
3	b	b
4		

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1		a
2		b
3	a	
4	b	

## A-2: Example

Enumerate three most diverse optimal solutions for CTT.

$\mathcal{X} =$

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1		
2	a	a
3	b	b
4		

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1		a
2		b
3	a	
4	b	

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1	a,b	b
2	a,b	a
3	b	a,b
4	a	a,b

## A-2: Example

Enumerate three most diverse optimal solutions for CTT.

$\mathcal{X} =$

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1		
2	a	a
3	b	b
4		

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1		a
2		b
3	a	
4	b	

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1	a	
2	b	
3	b	
4	a	

## A-2: Example

Enumerate three most diverse optimal solutions for CTT.

$\mathcal{X} =$

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1		
2	a	a
3	b	b
4		

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1		a
2		b
3	a	
4	b	

Period	Day 1	Day 2
1	a	
2	b	
3	b	
4	a	

## A-3

- $solve(P, \mathcal{X})$  first computes partial interpretation  $I$  distant to  $\mathcal{X}$

## A-3

- $solve(P, \mathcal{X})$  first computes partial interpretation  $I$  distant to  $\mathcal{X}$
- Tries to find optimal model of  $P$  closest to  $I$  by changing sign heuristic to same values as in  $I$

## A-3

- $solve(P, \mathcal{X})$  first computes partial interpretation  $I$  distant to  $\mathcal{X}$
- Tries to find optimal model of  $P$  closest to  $I$  by changing sign heuristic to same values as in  $I$
- Approximation of A-2



## A-3

- $solve(P, \mathcal{X})$  first computes partial interpretation  $I$  distant to  $\mathcal{X}$
- Tries to find optimal model of  $P$  closest to  $I$  by changing sign heuristic to same values as in  $I$
- Approximation of A-2
- Purely heuristic, no guaranteed diversity; no optimization, less complex calculation

## A-3: Distant partial interpretation /

Given set of previous optimal models  $\mathcal{X}$ , select /

- 1 randomly
- 2 heuristically chosen
- 3 most diverse wrt.  $\mathcal{X}$
- 4 complementary to last optimal solution

# Outline

- 1 Introduction and Motivation
- 2 ASP Solving Schemes
- 3 Diversification Techniques
- 4 Experiments

# Results

- Replication and Enumeration not efficient
- Approximation techniques display tradeoff between diversification and performance
- 816 instances enumerating four Pareto optimal diverse models with 16 Approximation configurations
- timeout of 600s

	A-3	A-1	A-3 most diverse diverse wrt. $\mathcal{X}$
T/TO	<b>165s/70</b>	482s/672	317s/351
Rank	16	<b>1</b>	4