

Infinitely many solutions for two noncooperative $p(x)$ -Laplacian elliptic systems *

Wanmin Liu †

Department of Mathematics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, P. R. China

Abstract

The author deals with two noncooperative elliptic systems involving $p(x)$ -Laplacian in a smooth bounded domain and in \mathbb{R}^N respectively. With some symmetry assumptions and growth conditions on nonlinearities, the existences of infinitely many solutions are obtained by using a limit index theory developed by Li (Nonlinear Anal.: TMA, 25(1995) 1371) in variable exponent Sobolev spaces.

Keywords: variable exponent Sobolev spaces; noncooperative elliptic systems; limit index theory; $p(x)$ -Laplacian

Mathematics Subject Classification(2000): 35J50; 35B38

1 Introduction

The theory of variable exponent Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces has been developed by several researchers in recent years. These spaces are natural generalization of the classical Lebesgue space $L^p(\Omega)$ and the Sobolev space $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$. Although the study of these spaces can go back to [21] and [20] as special cases of Musielak-Orlicz spaces, the first paper systematically investing these spaces appeared in 1991 by Kováčik and Rákosník [17]. These spaces have been independently rediscovered by several researchers based on different background. We refer to Samko [24], Fan and Zhao [12], Acerbi and Mingione [1]. We also refer to three survey papers of these areas by Harjulehto and Hästö [15], by Diening, Hästö and Nečvinda [4] and by Samko [25]. Many applications have been found such as variational integrals with non-standard growth conditions in nonlinear elasticity theory by Zhikov [31], models in electrorheological fluids by Růžička [23], and models in image restoration by Chen, Levine and Rao [3].

In this paper, we consider the following two noncooperative elliptic systems involving $p(x)$ -Laplacian in a smooth bounded domain Ω and in \mathbb{R}^N respectively:

*Project supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (10671084)

†This is the English translation of the author's master's thesis, originally published in Chinese on 2007-05-21, Lanzhou University (China), with DOI: 10.7666/d.Y1089691. E-mail: wanminliu@gmail.com

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{p(x)} u = F_s(x, u, v) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta_{p(x)} v = F_t(x, u, v) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0; \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{p(x)} u - |u|^{p(x)-2} u = G_s(|x|, u, v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta_{p(x)} v + |v|^{p(x)-2} v = G_t(|x|, u, v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N; \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $\Delta_{p(x)} u := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u)$ is called the $p(x)$ -Laplacian, $F(x, s, t) \in C^1(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, $G(r, s, t) \in C^1([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, $F_s = \frac{\partial F}{\partial s}$ and similar to F_t , G_s , G_t .

Many results about $p(x)$ -Laplacian equations with Dirichlet boundary conditions ([11, 5, 6]), Neumann boundary conditions ([19]) and in \mathbb{R}^N cases ([8, 28]) have been obtained by variational approach and sub-supersolution method. Acerbi and Mingione [1] have obtained the local $C^{1,\alpha}$ regularity of minimizers of the integral functional with $p(x)$ -growth conditions under the assumption that $p(x)$ is Hölder continuous. The global regularity results have also been obtained by Fan [7]. There are some results about elliptic systems. Hamidi [14] considered the following system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p(x)} u = F_s(x, u, v) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta_{q(x)} v = F_t(x, u, v) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0; \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

and obtained the existence of solution since the integral functional of (1.3) is coercive and satisfies mountain pass geometry under some assumptions on F . The author also gave the multiplicity results by using the Fountain theorem when some symmetry condition on F is assumed. Zhang [29] considered the system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p(x)} u = f(v) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta_{p(x)} v = g(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0; \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

on a bounded radial symmetric domain with $p(x)$ radial symmetric, and proved the existence of a positive solution under some assumptions by sub-supersolution method. He also considered the existence of solutions for weighted $p(r)$ -Laplacian system boundary value problems via Leray-Schauder degree in [30].

The main difficulties we meet here are that the corresponding integral functionals of (1.1) and (1.2) are strongly indefinite. In addition to the nonlinearity of $p(x)$ -Laplacian operator, we also lose a compact embedding theorem in \mathbb{R}^N case. Thanks to a limit index theory developed by Li [18] and the principle of symmetric criticality due to Palais [22] in \mathbb{R}^N case, we can obtain the existence of infinitely many solutions of problems (1.1) and (1.2) in the spaces $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ respectively under some nature assumptions on the nonlinearities.

Here are the assumptions. We denote by c or c_i the generic positive constants which may be different throughout the paper.

- (P1) $p(x) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $1 < \inf_{\Omega} p(x) := p_- \leq p_+ := \sup_{\Omega} p(x) < \infty$.
- (P2) $p(x) = p(|x|) := p(r) \in C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $1 < \inf_{\mathbb{R}^N} p(x) := p_- \leq p_+ := \sup_{\mathbb{R}^N} p(x) < N$.
- (F1) $F \in C^1(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$.
- (F2) $|F_s(x, s, t)| + |F_t(x, s, t)| \leq c_1 + c_2(|s|^{r(x)-1} + |t|^{r(x)-1})$ where $r(x) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$, $2 \leq r(x) < p^*(x)$.

$$p^*(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{Np(x)}{(N-p(x))}, & \text{if } p(x) < N, \\ \infty, & \text{if } p(x) \geq N. \end{cases}$$

- (F3) $\exists M > 0$ and $\mu > p_+$ such that

$$0 < \mu F(x, s, t) \leq sF_s(x, s, t) + tF_t(x, s, t), \text{ for all } (x, s, t) \in \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ with } s^2 + t^2 \geq M^2.$$

- In this case, $F(x, s, t) \geq c_1(|s|^\mu + |t|^\mu) - c_2$.
- (F4) $sF_s(x, s, t) \geq 0$, for all $(x, s, t) \in \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^2$.
- (F5) $F(x, -s, -t) = F(x, s, t)$, for all $(x, s, t) \in \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^2$.
- (G1) $G \in C^1([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$.
- (G2) For some $p(x) \ll q(x) \ll p^*(x)$,

$$|G_s(|x|, s, t)| + |G_t(|x|, s, t)| \leq c_1(|s|^{p(x)-1} + |t|^{p(x)-1}) + c_2(|s|^{q(x)-1} + |t|^{q(x)-1}).$$

- The symbol $\alpha(x) \ll \beta(x)$ means $\inf_{\bar{\Omega}}(\beta(x) - \alpha(x)) > 0$.
- (G3) $\exists M > 0$ and $\mu > p_+$ such that

$$0 < \mu G(r, s, t) \leq sG_s(r, s, t) + tG_t(r, s, t), \text{ for all } (r, s, t) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ with } s^2 + t^2 \geq M^2.$$

- (G4) $|G_s(|x|, s, t)| + |G_t(|x|, s, t)| = o(|s|^{p(x)-1}) + o(|t|^{p(x)-1})$ uniformly on \mathbb{R}^N as $s^2 + t^2 \rightarrow 0$.
- (G5) $sG_s(r, s, t) \geq 0$, for all $(r, s, t) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2$.
- (G6) $G(r, -s, -t) = G(r, s, t)$, for all $(r, s, t) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2$.

The following are the main results.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that (P1) and (F1)-(F5) are satisfied. Let $\Phi(u, v)$ be the integral functional of (1.1). Then problem (1.1) possesses a sequence of weak solutions $\{\pm(u_n, v_n)\}$ in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ such that $\Phi(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 1.2. Suppose that (P2) and (G1)-(G6) are satisfied. Let $\Psi(u, v)$ be the integral functional of (1.2). Then problem (1.2) possesses a sequence of radial weak solutions $\{\pm(u_n, v_n)\}$ in $W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\Psi(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In addition, if $N = 4$ or $N \geq 6$, the problem (1.2) possesses infinitely many nonradial weak solutions.

Remark 1.3. The definitions of weak solution of (1.1) and (1.2) are Definition 3.1 and Definition 4.1 respectively.

Remark 1.4. When $p(x) \equiv p$ (a constant), the corresponding results have been obtained by Li in [18] and by Huang and Li in [16]. The aim of the present paper is to generalize their results to general cases.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2.1 we do some preliminaries of the space $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, review some basic properties of $p(x)$ -Laplacian operator. In Section 2.2 we recall a limit index theory due to Li. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.2.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Variable exponent Sobolev spaces and $p(x)$ -Laplacian operator

Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N . In this subsection, without further assumption, Ω could be \mathbb{R}^N . On the basic properties of the space $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ we refer to [17, 12]. In the following we display some facts which we will use later.

Denote by $S(\Omega)$ the set of all measurable real functions defined on Ω , and elements in $S(\Omega)$ that equal to each other almost everywhere are considered as one element. Denote $L_+^\infty(\Omega) = \{p \in L^\infty(\Omega) : \text{ess inf}_\Omega p(x) := p_- \geq 1\}$.

For $p \in L_+^\infty(\Omega)$, define

$$L^{p(x)}(\Omega) = \{u \in S(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx < \infty\},$$

with the norm

$$|u|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} = |u|_{p(x)} = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} |u/\lambda|^{p(x)} dx \leq 1\};$$

and define

$$W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) = \{u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega) : |\nabla u| \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)\},$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)} = |u|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} + |\nabla u|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}.$$

We denote by $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. Define

$$W_r^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N) : u \text{ is radially symmetric}\}.$$

Hereafter, we always assume that $p(x)$ is continuous and $p_- > 1$.

Proposition 2.1. ([17, 12]) The spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W_r^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ all are separable and reflexive Banach spaces.

Proposition 2.2. ([17, 12, 9]) The conjugate space of $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is $L^{p^o(x)}(\Omega)$, where $\frac{1}{p(x)} + \frac{1}{p^o(x)} = 1$. For any $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{p^o(x)}(\Omega)$, the Hölder inequality holds:

$$\int_{\Omega} |uv| dx \leq 2|u|_{p(x)}|v|_{p^o(x)}. \quad (2.1)$$

Remark 2.3. In the right of (2.1), the constants 2 is suitable, but not the best. The best constant is given in [9] denoted by $d_{(p_-, p_+)}$ which only depends on p_- and p_+ when $p(x)$ is given and $d_{(p_-, p_+)}$ is smaller than $\frac{1}{p_-} + \frac{1}{p_+}$.

Proposition 2.4. ([12] Theorem 2.7) Suppose that Ω is a bounded domain. In $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ the Poincaré inequality holds, that is, there exists a positive constant c such that

$$|u|_{p(x)} \leq c|\nabla u|_{p(x)}, \quad \text{for all } u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega).$$

So $|\nabla u|_{p(x)}$ is an equivalent norm in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$.

Remark 2.5. When Ω is a bounded domain, we denote by $\|u\| := |\nabla u|_{p(x)}$ as the equivalent norm in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ in Section 3. In Section 4 we will use the following equivalent norm on $W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ also with the symbol $\|u\|$:

$$\|u\| := \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + |u|^{p(x)})/\lambda^{p(x)} dx \leq 1\}. \quad (2.2)$$

Proposition 2.6. ([12] Theorem 1.3) Set $\rho(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx$. For $u, u_k \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, we have (1) $|u|_{p(x)} < 1 (= 1; > 1) \iff \rho(u) < 1 (= 1; > 1)$; (2) $|u|_{p(x)} > 1 \Rightarrow |u|_{p(x)}^{p^-} \leq \rho(u) \leq |u|_{p(x)}^{p^+}; |u|_{p(x)} < 1 \Rightarrow |u|_{p(x)}^{p^+} \leq \rho(u) \leq |u|_{p(x)}^{p^-}$; (3) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |u_k|_{p(x)} = 0 (= \infty) \iff \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(u_k) = 0 (= \infty)$.

Proposition 2.7. Let X be $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ or $W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ as in Remark 2.5. Set $I(u) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)} dx$ when Ω is bounded or $I(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)} + |u(x)|^{p(x)}) dx$ in the \mathbb{R}^N case respectively. If $u, u_k \in X$ then the similar conclusions of Proposition 2.6 hold for $\|\cdot\|$ and $I(\cdot)$.

Proposition 2.8. ([17, 12]) Let $F : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies Carathéodory conditions, and

$$|F(x, t)| \leq a(x) + b|t|^{\frac{p_1(x)}{p_2(x)}}, \quad \text{for all } (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R},$$

where $a \in L^{p_2(x)}(\Omega)$, b is a positive constant, $p_1, p_2 \in L_+^\infty(\Omega)$. Denote by N_F the Nemytsky operator defined by F , i.e.

$$(N_F(u))(x) = F(x, u(x)),$$

then $N_F : L^{p_1(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{p_2(x)}(\Omega)$ is a continuous and bounded map.

Proposition 2.9. ([10] Theorem 1.1.) If $p : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz continuous and $p_+ < N$, then for $q \in L_+^\infty(\Omega)$ with $p(x) \leq q(x) \leq p^*(x)$, there is a continuous embedding $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$.

Proposition 2.10. ([5] Proposition 2.4.) Assume that the boundary of Ω possesses the cone property and $p \in C(\bar{\Omega})$. If $q \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $1 \leq q(x) < p^*(x)$ for $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, then there is a

compact embedding $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$.

Proposition 2.11. ([13] Theorem 3.1.) Suppose that $p : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a uniformly continuous and radially symmetric function satisfying $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < N$. Then, for any measurable function $q : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with

$$p(x) \ll q(x) \ll p^*(x), \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

there is a compact embedding

$$W_r^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Definition 2.12. On the space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \cap L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$, we define the norm $|u|_{p(x) \wedge q(x)} = |u|_{p(x)} + |u|_{q(x)}$. On the space $(L^{p(x)}(\Omega))^2 := L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \times L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, we define the norm $|(u, v)|_{p(x)} = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega}(|u|^{p(x)} + |v|^{p(x)})/\lambda^{p(x)} dx \leq 1\}$. On the space $(L^{p(x)}(\Omega))^2 \cap (L^{q(x)}(\Omega))^2$, we define the norm $|(u, v)|_{p(x) \wedge q(x)} = |(u, v)|_{p(x)} + |(u, v)|_{q(x)}$. On the space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega) + L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$, we define the norm $|u|_{p(x) \vee q(x)} = \inf\{|v|_{p(x)} + |w|_{q(x)} : v \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega), w \in L^{q(x)}(\Omega), u = v + w\}$.

Similar to Proposition 2.8, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.13. (1) Assume $1 \leq p(x), r(x) < \infty$, $f \in C(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ and

$$f(x, s, t) \leq c_1(|s|^{\frac{p(x)}{r(x)}} + |t|^{\frac{p(x)}{r(x)}}).$$

Then for every $(u, v) \in (L^{p(x)}(\Omega))^2$, $f(\cdot, u, v) \in L^{r(x)}(\Omega)$ and the operator

$$T_1 : (L^{p(x)}(\Omega))^2 \rightarrow L^{r(x)}(\Omega) : (u, v) \mapsto f(x, u, v)$$

is continuous.

(2) Assume $1 \leq p(x), r(x), q(x), s(x) < \infty$, $f \in C(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ and

$$f(x, s, t) \leq c_2(|s|^{\frac{p(x)}{r(x)}} + |t|^{\frac{p(x)}{r(x)}}) + c_3(|s|^{\frac{q(x)}{s(x)}} + |t|^{\frac{q(x)}{s(x)}}).$$

Then for every $(u, v) \in (L^{p(x)}(\Omega))^2 \cap (L^{q(x)}(\Omega))^2$, $f(\cdot, u, v) \in L^{r(x)}(\Omega) + L^{s(x)}(\Omega)$ and the operator

$$T_2 : (L^{p(x)}(\Omega))^2 \cap (L^{q(x)}(\Omega))^2 \rightarrow L^{r(x)}(\Omega) + L^{s(x)}(\Omega) : (u, v) \mapsto f(x, u, v)$$

is continuous. \square

Now we display some basic properties of $p(x)$ -Laplacian operators. Let X be $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ or $W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Consider the following two functionals:

$$J_1(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx, \quad \text{for all } u \in X = W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega);$$

$$J_2(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{p(x)} (|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + |u|^{p(x)}) dx, \quad \text{for all } u \in X = W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

We know that $J_1, J_2 \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$, and the $p(x)$ -Laplacian operator is the derivative operator of J_1 in the weak sense. We denote $L = J'_1: X \rightarrow X^*$ and $T = J'_2: X \rightarrow X^*$, then

$$\langle Lu, \tilde{u} \rangle = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla \tilde{u} dx, \quad \text{for all } u, \tilde{u} \in X, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\langle Tu, \tilde{u} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla \tilde{u} + |u|^{p(x)-2} u \tilde{u}) dx, \quad \text{for all } u, \tilde{u} \in X. \quad (2.4)$$

Proposition 2.14. ([11, 8])

- (1) $L, T: X \rightarrow X^*$ are two continuous, bounded and strictly monotone operators.
- (2) $L, T: X \rightarrow X^*$ are two mappings of type (S_+) , where the mapping L of type (S_+) means

$u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in X and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle L(u_n) - L(u), u_n - u \rangle \leq 0$, then $u_n \rightarrow u$ in X , and similar to T .

- (3) $L, T: X \rightarrow X^*$ are two homeomorphisms.

2.2 A limit index theory due to Li

In this section, we will recall a limit index theory developed by Li [18]. Suppose Z is a \mathcal{G} -Banach space, where \mathcal{G} is a topological group. For the definition of index i we refer to [26] Definition 5.9.

Definition 2.15. An index i is said to satisfy the d -dimension property if there is a positive integer d such that

$$i(V^{dk} \cap S_1) = k$$

for all dk -dimensional subspaces $V^{dk} \in \Sigma := \{A \subset Z : A \text{ is closed and } gA = A \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G}\}$ such that $V^{dk} \cap \text{Fix } \mathcal{G} = \{0\}$, where S_1 is the unit sphere in Z .

Proposition 2.16. ([18] Lemma 2.3) Suppose $Z = W_1 \oplus W_2$ and $\dim W_1 = kd$, where W_j is a \mathcal{G} -invariant subspace, $j = 1, 2$. Let i be an index satisfying the d -dimension property. If $W_1 \cap \text{Fix } \mathcal{G} = \{0\}$, $A \in \Sigma$ and $i(A) > k$, then $A \cap W_2 \neq \emptyset$.

Suppose U and V are \mathcal{G} -invariant closed subspaces of Z such that $Z = U \oplus V$, where V is infinite dimension and $V = \overline{\cup_{j=1}^{\infty} V_j}$. Here V_j is a dn_j -dimensional \mathcal{G} -invariant subspace of V , and $V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Let $Z_j = U \oplus V_j$, and let $A_j = A \cap Z_j$ for all $A \in \Sigma$.

Definition 2.17. ([18] Definition 2.4) Let i be an index satisfying the d -dimension property. A limit index i^∞ with respect to $\{Z_j\}$ induced by i is a mapping

$$i^\infty: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$$

given by

$$i^\infty(A) = \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} (i(A_j) - n_j).$$

Proposition 2.18. ([18] Proposition 2.5) Let $A, B \in \Sigma$. Then i^∞ satisfies:

- (1) $A = \emptyset \iff i^\infty(A) = -\infty$;
- (2) (Monotonicity) $A \subset B \Rightarrow i^\infty(A) \leq i^\infty(B)$;
- (3) (Subadditivity) $i^\infty(A \cup B) \leq i^\infty(A) + i^\infty(B)$;
- (4) If $V \cap \text{Fix } \mathcal{G} = \{0\}$, then $i^\infty(S_\rho \cap V) = 0$, where $S_\rho = \{z \in Z, \|z\| = \rho\}$;
- (5) If Y_0 and \tilde{Y}_0 are \mathcal{G} -invariant closed subspaces of V such that $V = Y_0 \oplus \tilde{Y}_0$, $\tilde{Y}_0 \subset V_{j_0}$ for some j_0 and $\dim \tilde{Y}_0 = dm$, then $i^\infty(S_\rho \cap Y_0) \geq -m$.

Definition 2.19. Let Z be a Banach space which has a decomposition $Z = \overline{\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} Z_j}$ where $Z_1 \subset Z_2 \dots$, $\dim Z_j = dn_j$. A functional $f \in C^1(Z, \mathbb{R})$ is said to satisfy the $(PS)_c^*$ condition with respect to $\{Z_n\}$ at the level $c \in \mathbb{R}$ if any sequence $\{z_{n_k}\}$, $z_{n_k} \in Z_{n_k}$ such that

$$f(z_{n_k}) \rightarrow c \text{ and } \|(f_{n_k})'(z_{n_k})\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n_k \rightarrow \infty$$

possesses a subsequence which converges in Z to a critical point of f , where $f_{n_k} := f|_{Z_{n_k}}$.

Theorem 2.20. ([18] Corollary 4.4, [16] Theorem 2.7) Assume that

- (B1) $f \in C^1(Z, \mathbb{R})$ is \mathcal{G} -invariant;
- (B2) there are \mathcal{G} -invariant closed subspaces U and V such that V is infinite dimension and

$$Z = U \oplus V;$$

- (B3) there is a sequence of \mathcal{G} -invariant finite-dimensional subspaces

$$V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_j \subset \dots, \quad \dim V_j = dn_j,$$

$$\text{such that } V = \overline{\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} V_j};$$

- (B4) there is an index i on Z satisfying the d -dimension property;
- (B5) there are \mathcal{G} -invariant subspaces Y_0, \tilde{Y}_0, Y_1 of V such that $V = Y_0 \oplus \tilde{Y}_0$, $Y_1, \tilde{Y}_0 \subset V_{j_0}$ for some

$$j_0 \text{ and } \dim \tilde{Y}_0 = dm \leq dk = \dim Y_1;$$

- (B6) there are α and β , $\alpha < \beta$ such that f satisfies $(PS)_c^*$ with respect to $Z_n := U \oplus V_n$, for all $c \in [\alpha, \beta]$;

(B7)

$$\begin{cases} (a) & \text{either } \text{Fix } \mathcal{G} \subset U \oplus Y_1 \text{ or } \text{Fix } \mathcal{G} \cap V = \{0\}, \\ (b) & \text{there is } \rho > 0 \text{ such that } f(z) \geq \alpha, \quad \text{for all } z \in Y_0 \cap S_\rho, \\ (c) & f(z) \leq \beta, \quad \text{for all } z \in U \oplus Y_1. \end{cases}$$

If i^∞ is the limit index induced by i , then the numbers

$$d_j = \sup_{i^\infty(A) \geq j} \inf_{z \in A} f(z)$$

are critical values of f and $\alpha \leq d_{-m} \leq d_{-m-1} \leq \cdots \leq d_{-k+1} \leq \beta$. Moreover, if $d = d_l = \cdots = d_{l+r}$, $r > 0$, then $i(K_c) \geq r + 1$, where $K_c = \{z \in Z; f'(z) = 0, f(z) = d\}$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.18(5), $i^\infty(S_\rho \cap Y_0) \geq -m$ thus $\alpha \leq d_{-m}$. It is obvious that $d_{-m} \leq d_{-m-1} \leq \cdots \leq d_{-k+1}$. Let us turn to prove $d_{-k+1} \leq \beta$. Let $V_j \ominus Y_1$ be a fixed \mathcal{G} -invariant complementary subspace of Y_1 in V_j , $j \geq j_0$. It is easy to obtain that $(V_j \ominus Y_1) \cap \text{Fix } \mathcal{G} = \{0\}$ since of (B7)(a). Suppose $A \in \Sigma$ and $i^\infty(A) \geq -k + 1$, there must be some j such that $i(A_j) - n_j > -k$, that is $i(A_j) > n_j - k$. On the other hand, we have $\dim(V_j \ominus Y_1) = d(n_j - k)$. By Proposition 2.16 we get $A_j \cap (U \oplus Y_1) \neq \emptyset$. Then $A \cap (U \oplus Y_1) \neq \emptyset$. By the definition of d_{-k+1} and (B7)(c), we get $d_{-k+1} \leq \beta$. The proof that d_j are critical values of f is the Theorem 4.1 in [18]. \square

Remark 2.21. In [18] Corollary 4.4 and [16] Theorem 2.7, this theorem is stated incorrectly, but the proof they gave there is essentially correct.

3 The bounded case

In this section, we always assume that (P1) is satisfied and use X to denote $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ with the norm $\|u\| = |\nabla u|_{p(x)}$ as in Remark 2.5. The integral functional of (1.1) is

$$\Phi(u, v) = - \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} dx - \mathcal{F}(u, v),$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}(u, v) := \int_{\Omega} F(x, u, v) dx, \quad u, v \in X.$$

Definition 3.1. $(u, v) \in X \times X$ is called a weak solution of (1.1) if

$$-\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla \tilde{u} dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v \nabla \tilde{v} dx = \int_{\Omega} F_s(x, u, v) \tilde{u} dx + \int_{\Omega} F_t(x, u, v) \tilde{v} dx, \\ \text{for all } (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in X \times X \quad (3.1)$$

For simplicity, using the operator L defined in (2.3), we rewrite (3.1) as

$$\langle (-Lu, Lv), (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}'(u, v), (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \rangle, \quad \text{for all } (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in X \times X,$$

where

$$\langle (-Lu, Lv), (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \rangle := \langle -Lu, \tilde{u} \rangle + \langle Lv, \tilde{v} \rangle,$$

and

$$\langle \mathcal{F}'(u, v), (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \rangle := \int_{\Omega} F_s(x, u, v) \tilde{u} dx + \int_{\Omega} F_t(x, u, v) \tilde{v} dx.$$

Lemma 3.2. Suppose F satisfies (F1) and (F2), then

(1) $\Phi, \mathcal{F} \in C^1(X \times X, \mathbb{R})$ and

$$\langle \Phi'(u, v), (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \rangle = \langle (-Lu, Lv), (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \rangle - \langle \mathcal{F}'(u, v), (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \rangle. \quad (3.2)$$

In particular, each critical point of Φ is a weak solution of (1.1).

(2) $\mathcal{F}' : X \times X \rightarrow X^* \times X^*$ is completely continuous.

Proof. The proof of (1) is routine. The proof of (2) relies on Proposition 2.10 and we omit it. \square

As X is a separable and reflexive Banach space, there exist $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset X$ and $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset X^*$ such that

$$X = \overline{\text{span}\{e_j | j = 1, 2, \dots\}}, \quad X^* = \overline{\text{span}\{f_i | i = 1, 2, \dots\}}^{W^*}, \text{ and } \langle f_i, e_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}.$$

For convenience, we write $X_n = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$, $X_n^\perp = \overline{\text{span}\{e_{n+1}, \dots\}}$. Now set $E = X \times X$, $E_n = X_n \times X_n$. Define a group of $\mathcal{G} = \{\iota, \tau\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ by setting

$$\tau(u, v) = (-u, -v), \quad \iota(u, v) = (u, v). \quad (3.3)$$

Let

$$\Sigma = \{A \subset E : A \text{ is closed and } (u, v) \in A \Rightarrow (-u, -v) \in A\}. \quad (3.4)$$

An index γ on Σ is defined by

$$\gamma(A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } A = \emptyset, \\ \min\{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : \exists h \in C(A, \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}) \text{ such that } h(-u, -v) = -h(u, v)\}, \\ +\infty & \text{if such } h \text{ dose not exist.} \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

Then γ is an index satisfying 1-dimension property by Borsuk-Ulam theorem (see [26] Proposition II 5.2.). We can obtain a limit index γ^∞ with respect to $\{E_n\}$ from γ .

Lemma 3.3. Assume that F satisfies (F1) and (F2). Then any bounded sequence $\{(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k})\}$ such that

$$(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k}) \in E_{n_k}, \Phi(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k}) \rightarrow c, \|(\Phi_{n_k})'(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k})\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n_k \rightarrow \infty \quad (3.6)$$

possesses a subsequence which converges in E to a critical point of Φ , where $\Phi_{n_k} := \Phi|_{E_{n_k}}$.

Proof. Since E is reflexive, going if necessary to a subsequence, we can assume that $u_{n_k} \rightharpoonup u$ and $v_{n_k} \rightharpoonup v$. Observing that $E = \overline{\cup_{n=1}^\infty E_n}$, we can choose $(\bar{u}_{n_k}, \bar{v}_{n_k}) \in E_{n_k}$ such that $\bar{u}_{n_k} \rightarrow u$ and $\bar{v}_{n_k} \rightarrow v$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n_k \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Phi'(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k}), (u_{n_k} - u, 0) \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n_k \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Phi'(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k}), (u_{n_k} - \bar{u}_{n_k}, 0) \rangle + \lim_{n_k \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Phi'(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k}), (\bar{u}_{n_k} - u, 0) \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n_k \rightarrow \infty} \langle (\Phi_{n_k})'(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k}), (u_{n_k} - \bar{u}_{n_k}, 0) \rangle = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Substituting (3.2) into (3.7) and noticing that \mathcal{F}' is completely continuous, we obtain

$$\lim_{n_k \rightarrow \infty} \langle Lu_{n_k}, u_{n_k} - u \rangle = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

By computing the limit of $\langle \Phi'(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k}), (0, v_{n_k} - v) \rangle$ in the similar way using \bar{v}_{n_k} , we obtain

$$\lim_{n_k \rightarrow \infty} \langle Lv_{n_k}, v_{n_k} - v \rangle = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

From (3.8) and (3.9), we conclude that $u_{n_k} \rightarrow u$ and $v_{n_k} \rightarrow v$ since L is of type (S_+) .

It remains to show that (u, v) is a critical point of Φ . Taking arbitrarily $(\bar{u}_j, \bar{v}_j) \in E_j$, then for $n_k \geq j$ we have

$$\langle \Phi'(u, v), (\bar{u}_j, \bar{v}_j) \rangle = \langle \Phi'(u, v) - \Phi'(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k}), (\bar{u}_j, \bar{v}_j) \rangle + \langle (\Phi_{n_k})'(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k}), (\bar{u}_j, \bar{v}_j) \rangle. \quad (3.10)$$

Taking $n_k \rightarrow \infty$ in the right side of (3.10), we obtain $\langle \Phi'(u, v), (\bar{u}_j, \bar{v}_j) \rangle = 0$. Hence $\Phi'(u, v) = 0$. \square

Lemma 3.4. Suppose that F satisfies (F1)-(F4). Then the functional Φ satisfies $(PS)_c^*$ with respect to $\{E_n\}$ for each c .

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, we only need to prove that each sequence satisfying (3.6) is bounded. We can assume that $\|u_{n_k}\| \geq 1$ and $\|v_{n_k}\| \geq 1$. From Proposition 2.7 and (F4), we have

$$\|u_{n_k}\| \geq \langle -(\Phi_{n_k})'(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k}), (u_{n_k}, 0) \rangle = \langle Lu_{n_k}, u_{n_k} \rangle + \int_{\Omega} F_s(x, u_{n_k}, v_{n_k}) u_{n_k} dx \geq \|u_{n_k}\|^{p_-}. \quad (3.11)$$

So $\|u_{n_k}\|$ is bounded. On the other hand, from (F3), Proposition 2.7 and Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &\geq \Phi(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k}) \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla u_{n_k}|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla v_{n_k}|^{p(x)} dx - \mathcal{F}(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k}) \\ &\geq - \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla u_{n_k}|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla v_{n_k}|^{p(x)} dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\Omega} (u_{n_k} F_s(x, u_{n_k}, v_{n_k}) + v_{n_k} F_t(x, u_{n_k}, v_{n_k})) dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla u_{n_k}|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla v_{n_k}|^{p(x)} dx - \frac{1}{\mu} \langle \mathcal{F}'(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k}), (u_{n_k}, v_{n_k}) \rangle \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{p(x)} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) |\nabla u_{n_k}|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{p(x)} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) |\nabla v_{n_k}|^{p(x)} dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\mu} \langle (\Phi_{n_k})'(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k}), (u_{n_k}, v_{n_k}) \rangle \\ &\geq - \left(\frac{1}{p_-} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|u_{n_k}\|^{p_+} + \left(\frac{1}{p_+} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|v_{n_k}\|^{p_-} \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{\mu} \|(\Phi_{n_k})'(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k})\| (\|u_{n_k}\| + \|v_{n_k}\|). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

So $\|v_{n_k}\|$ is bounded. Thus $\{(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k})\}$ is a bounded sequence in E . \square

Proposition 3.5. ([8] Lemma 3.3) Assume that $X = \overline{\text{span}\{e_j | j = 1, 2, \dots\}}$, $X_m^\perp = \overline{\text{span}\{e_{m+1}, \dots\}}$, $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a weakly-strongly continuous and $f(0) = 0$. Then

$$\delta_m := \sup_{u \in X_m^\perp, \|u\|=1} |f(u)| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Note that Φ is invariant with respect to the action of \mathcal{G} . We shall verify that Φ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.20. Set $E = U \oplus V$, where $U = X \times \{0\}$ and $V = \{0\} \times X$. Set $Y_0 = \{0\} \times X_m^\perp$ and $Y_1 = \{0\} \times X_k$ where m and k are to be determined. Then Y_0 and Y_1 are \mathcal{G} -invariant and $\text{codim}_V Y_0 = m$, $\dim Y_1 = k$, $\text{Fix } \mathcal{G} = \{(0, 0)\}$. So $\text{Fix } \mathcal{G} \cap V = \{(0, 0)\}$ and (B7)(a) of Theorem 2.20 is satisfied. It remains to verify (b) and (c) of (B7).

First, we verify (b) of (B7). By (F3), we have

$$\Phi(u, 0) = - \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx - \mathcal{F}(u, 0) \leq - \frac{1}{p_+} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx - c_1 \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\mu} dx + c_2.$$

Therefore $\sup_{u \in X} \Phi(u, 0) < +\infty$. Choose α such that $\alpha > \sup_{u \in X} \Phi(u, 0)$.

If $(0, v) \in Y_0 \cap S_\rho$ (where $\rho > 1$ is to be determined), we have $v \in X_m^\perp$ and $\|v\| = \rho$. Define $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(v) = |v|_{r(x)}$. Since the embedding $X \hookrightarrow L^{r(x)}(\Omega)$ is compact by Proposition 2.10, f is weakly-strongly continuous. By Proposition 3.5, we have $\delta_m \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. By (F2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(0, v) &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} dx - \mathcal{F}(0, v) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p_+} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} dx - c_3 \int_{\Omega} |v|^{r(x)} dx - c_4 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p_+} \|v\|^{p_-} - c_3 |v|_{r(x)}^{r_+} - c_4 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p_+} \rho^{p_-} - c_3 \delta_m^{r_+} \rho^{r_+} - c_4. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\rho = (\frac{c_3 p_+ r_+ \delta_m^{r_+}}{p_-})^{\frac{1}{p_- - r_+}}$, we have

$$\Phi|_{Y_0 \cap S_\rho} \geq (r_+ - p_-)(p_+ r_+)^{\frac{r_+}{p_- - r_+}} \left(\frac{c_3}{p_-} \right)^{\frac{p_-}{p_- - r_+}} \delta_m^{\frac{p_- r_+}{p_- - r_+}} - c_4 \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Next, we verify (c) of (B7). For each $(u, v) \in U \oplus Y_1$ and $\|u\| > 1$, $\|v\| > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u, v) &\leq -\frac{1}{p_+} \|u\|^{p_-} + \frac{1}{p_-} \|v\|^{p_+} - c_5 \int_{\Omega} (|u|^\mu + |v|^\mu) dx + c_6 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p_-} \|v\|^{p_+} - c_5 \int_{\Omega} |v|^\mu dx + c_6. \end{aligned}$$

Since all norms are equivalent in the finite dimension space Y_1 , we get

$$\Phi(u, v) \leq \frac{1}{p_-} \|v\|^{p_+} - c_7 \|v\|^\mu + c_8.$$

Then we have $\sup \Phi|_{U \oplus Y_1} < +\infty$ since $\mu > p_+$. Thus we can choose $k > m$ and $\beta > \alpha$ such that $\Phi|_{U \oplus Y_1} \leq \beta$.

So

$$d_j = \sup_{\gamma^\infty(A) \geq j} \inf_{z \in A} \Phi(z), \quad -k+1 \leq j \leq -m,$$

are critical values of Φ and $\alpha \leq d_j \leq \beta$. Since α can be chosen arbitrarily large, Φ has a sequence of critical values $d_n \rightarrow +\infty$. \square

4 The \mathbb{R}^N case

In this section, we always assume that (P2) is satisfied and denote X by $W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with the norm $\|u\|$ defined by (2.2) and denote X_r by $W_r^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with the same norm. The integral functional of (1.2) is

$$\Psi(u, v) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{p(x)} (|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + |u|^{p(x)}) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{p(x)} (|\nabla v|^{p(x)} + |v|^{p(x)}) dx - \mathcal{G}(u, v),$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}(u, v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(|x|, u, v) dx, \quad u, v \in X.$$

Definition 4.1. $(u, v) \in X \times X$ is called a weak solution of (1.2) if

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla \tilde{u} + |u|^{p(x)-2} u \tilde{u}) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla v|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v \nabla \tilde{v} + |v|^{p(x)-2} v \tilde{v}) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_s(|x|, u, v) \tilde{u} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_t(|x|, u, v) \tilde{v} dx, \quad \text{for all } (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in X \times X. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Denote

$$\langle (Tu, Tv), (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \rangle := \langle Tu, \tilde{u} \rangle + \langle Tv, \tilde{v} \rangle,$$

where T is defined as (2.4) and denote

$$\langle \mathcal{G}'(u, v), (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_s(|x|, u, v) \tilde{u} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_t(|x|, u, v) \tilde{v} dx.$$

Then (4.1) can be rewritten as

$$\langle (-Tu, Tv), (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{G}'(u, v), (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \rangle, \quad \text{for all } (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in X \times X.$$

Proposition 4.2. ([22] Principle of symmetric criticality) If u is a critical point of $\Psi|_{X_r \times X_r}$, then u is also a critical point of $\Psi|_{X \times X}$ and thus a radially symmetric solution of problem (1.2).

By the principle of symmetric criticality, to solve problem (1.2), we shall to find the critical points of Ψ restricted on $X_r \times X_r$ using the limit index theory.

Lemma 4.3. Suppose G satisfies (G1)-(G4). Then

(1) $\Psi, \mathcal{G} \in C^1(X_r \times X_r, \mathbb{R})$ and

$$\langle \Psi'(u, v), (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \rangle = \langle (-Tu, Tv), (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \rangle - \langle \mathcal{G}'(u, v), (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \rangle, \quad \text{for all } (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in X_r \times X_r. \quad (4.2)$$

In particular, each critical point of Ψ is a weak solution of the problem (1.2).

(2) $\mathcal{G}' : X_r \times X_r \rightarrow X_r^* \times X_r^*$ is completely continuous.

Proof. (1) is obvious. Now we shall prove \mathcal{G}' is continuous. Suppose $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u, v) \in X_r \times X_r$. By Proposition 2.9, we have $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u, v) \in (L^{p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N))^2 \cap (L^{q(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N))^2$. It follows from (G2) and Proposition 2.13(2) that

$$G_s(|x|, u_n, v_n) \rightarrow G_s(|x|, u, v) \text{ in } L^{p^o(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^{q^o(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

$$G_t(|x|, u_n, v_n) \rightarrow G_t(|x|, u, v) \text{ in } L^{p^o(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^{q^o(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

For all $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in X_r \times X_r$, we obtain, by Hölder inequality (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle \mathcal{G}'(u_n, v_n), (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \rangle - \langle \mathcal{G}'(u, v), (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \rangle| \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_s(|x|, u_n, v_n) - G_s(|x|, u, v)| |\tilde{u}| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_t(|x|, u_n, v_n) - G_t(|x|, u, v)| |\tilde{v}| dx \\ & \leq 2|G_s(|x|, u_n, v_n) - G_s(|x|, u, v)|_{p^o(x) \vee q^o(x)} |\tilde{u}|_{p(x) \wedge q(x)} \\ & \quad + 2|G_t(|x|, u_n, v_n) - G_t(|x|, u, v)|_{p^o(x) \vee q^o(x)} |\tilde{v}|_{p(x) \wedge q(x)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $1/p(x) + 1/p^o(x) = 1$, $1/q(x) + 1/q^o(x) = 1$. Thus $\|\mathcal{G}'(u_n, v_n) - \mathcal{G}'(u, v)\|_{X_r^* \times X_r^*} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now let us prove that \mathcal{G}' is completely continuous. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, using (G2) and (G4), we obtain $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$|G_s(|x|, s, t)| + |G_t(|x|, s, t)| \leq \varepsilon(|s|^{p(x)-1} + |t|^{p(x)-1}) + C_\varepsilon(|s|^{q(x)-1} + |t|^{q(x)-1}).$$

Assume that $(u_n, v_n) \rightharpoonup (u, v)$ in $X_r \times X_r$. Since $X_r \hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compact by Proposition 2.11, we have $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u, v)$ in $(L^{q(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N))^2$. By Proposition 2.13(1) we have

$$G_s(|x|, u_n, v_n) - \varepsilon(|u_n|^{p(x)-1} + |v_n|^{p(x)-1}) \rightarrow G_s(|x|, u, v) - \varepsilon(|u|^{p(x)-1} + |v|^{p(x)-1}) \text{ in } (L^{q^o(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N))^2,$$

$$G_t(|x|, u_n, v_n) - \varepsilon(|u_n|^{p(x)-1} + |v_n|^{p(x)-1}) \rightarrow G_t(|x|, u, v) - \varepsilon(|u|^{p(x)-1} + |v|^{p(x)-1}) \text{ in } (L^{q^o(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N))^2.$$

So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|G_s(|x|, u_n, v_n) - G_s(|x|, u, v)\| + \|G_t(|x|, u_n, v_n) - G_t(|x|, u, v)\| \\ & = \sup_{\|\tilde{u}\| \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_s(|x|, u_n, v_n) - G_s(|x|, u, v)| |\tilde{u}| dx \\ & \quad + \sup_{\|\tilde{v}\| \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_t(|x|, u_n, v_n) - G_t(|x|, u, v)| |\tilde{v}| dx < c\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore \mathcal{G}' is completely continuous. \square

Since X_r is a separable and reflexive Banach space, there exist $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset X_r$ such that $(X_r)_n := \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and $(X_r)_n^\perp = \overline{\text{span}\{e_{n+1}, \dots\}}$. Now set $E = X_r \times X_r$ and $E_n = (X_r)_n \times (X_r)_n$. As we have done in (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5), we can obtain a limit index γ^∞ with respect to $\{E_n\}$.

Lemma 4.4. Suppose that G satisfied (G1)-(G5). Then Ψ satisfies $(PS)_c^*$ condition with respect to $\{E_n\}$ for each c .

Proof. Lemma 3.3 is also suitable here if we replace Φ and L by Ψ and T respectively. Thus we only need to prove each sequence satisfying

$$\{(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k})\} \in E_{n_k}, \Psi(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k}) \rightarrow c, \|(\Psi_{n_k})'(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k})\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n_k \rightarrow \infty,$$

is bounded where $\Psi_{n_k} := \Psi|_{E_{n_k}}$. By (G5) and Proposition 2.7, similar to (3.11), we have

$$\|u_{n_k}\| \geq \langle -(\Psi_{n_k})'(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k}), (u_{n_k}, 0) \rangle \geq \|u_{n_k}\|^{p_-}.$$

So $\|u_{n_k}\|$ is bounded in X_r . On the other hand, by (G3), similar to (3.12), we have

$$c_1 \geq -\left(\frac{1}{p_-} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \|u_{n_k}\|^{p_+} + \left(\frac{1}{p_+} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \|v_{n_k}\|^{p_-} - \frac{2}{\mu} \|(\Psi_{n_k})'(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k})\| (\|u_{n_k}\| + \|v_{n_k}\|).$$

So $\|v_{n_k}\|$ is bounded in X_r . Thus $\{(u_{n_k}, v_{n_k})\}$ is a bounded sequence in E . \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We shall find the critical points of Ψ in E by using Theorem 2.20. By the assumption (G6), Ψ is invariant with respect to \mathcal{G} . Set $E = U \oplus V$, where $U = X_r \times \{0\}$ and $V = \{0\} \times X_r$. Set $Y_0 = \{0\} \times (X_r)_m^\perp$ and $Y_1 = \{0\} \times (X_r)_k$ where m and k are to be determined. Then Y_0 and Y_1 are \mathcal{G} -invariant and $\text{codim}_V Y_0 = m$, $\dim Y_1 = k$, $\text{Fix } \mathcal{G} = \{(0, 0)\}$. So $\text{Fix } \mathcal{G} \cap V = \{(0, 0)\}$ and (B7)(a) of Theorem 2.20 is satisfied. It remains to verify (b) and (c) of (B7).

First, we verify (b) of (B7). After integrating, we obtain from (G2)-(G4) the existence of two positive constants c_1 and $c_2 < 1/p_+$ such that

$$G(|x|, s, 0) \geq c_1 |s|^\mu - c_2 |s|^{p(x)}, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence, for all $u \in X_r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(u, 0) &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{p(x)} (|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + |u|^{p(x)}) dx - \mathcal{G}(u, 0) \\ &\leq - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{p(x)} (|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + |u|^{p(x)}) dx - c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^\mu dx + c_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p(x)} dx < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can choose α such that $\alpha > \sup_{u \in X_r} \Psi(u, 0)$.

If $(0, v) \in Y_0 \cap S_\rho$ (where $\rho > 1$ is to be determined), we have $v \in (X_r)_m^\perp$ and $\|v\| = \rho$. Define $f : X_r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(v) = |v|_{q(x)}$. Since the compact embedding $X_r \hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$, f is

weakly-strongly continuous. By Proposition 3.5, $\delta_m \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Then by (G2), (G3),

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(0, v) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{p(x)} (|\nabla v|^{p(x)} + |v|^{p(x)}) dx - \mathcal{G}(0, v) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla v|^{p(x)} + |v|^{p(x)}) dx - c_3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{q(x)} dx - c_4 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p_+} \|v\|^{p_-} - c_3 |v|_{q(x)}^{q_+} - c_4 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p_+} \rho^{p_-} - c_3 \delta_m^{q_+} \rho^{q_+} - c_4.\end{aligned}$$

Setting $\rho = (\frac{c_3 p_+ q_+ \delta_m^{q_+}}{p_-})^{\frac{1}{p_- - q_+}}$, we have

$$\Psi|_{Y_0 \cap S_\rho} \geq (q_+ - p_-)(p_+ q_+)^{\frac{q_+}{p_- - q_+}} \left(\frac{c_3}{p_-}\right)^{\frac{p_-}{p_- - q_+}} \delta_m^{\frac{p_- - q_+}{p_- - q_+}} - c_4 \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Next, we verify (c) of (B7). For each $(u, v) \in U \oplus Y_1$, and $\|u\| > 1$, $\|v\| > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(u, v) &\leq -\frac{1}{p_+} \|u\|^{p_-} + \frac{1}{p_-} \|v\|^{p_+} - c_5 \int_{\Omega} (|u|^\mu + |v|^\mu) dx + c_6 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p_-} \|v\|^{p_+} - c_5 \int_{\Omega} |v|^\mu dx + c_6.\end{aligned}$$

Since all norms are equivalent in the finite dimension space Y_1 , we get

$$\Psi(u, v) \leq \frac{1}{p_-} \|v\|^{p_+} - c_7 \|v\|^\mu + c_8.$$

Then we have $\sup \Psi|_{U \oplus Y_1} < +\infty$ since $\mu > p_+$. Thus we can choose $k > m$ and $\beta > \alpha$ such that $\Psi|_{U \oplus Y_1} \leq \beta$.

So

$$d_j = \sup_{\gamma^\infty(A) \geq j} \inf_{z \in A} \Psi(z), \quad -k + 1 \leq j \leq -m,$$

are critical values of Ψ and $\alpha \leq d_j \leq \beta$. Since α can be chosen arbitrarily large, Ψ has a sequence of critical values $d_n \rightarrow +\infty$.

If $N = 4$ or $N \geq 6$, using the Bartsch-Willem's famous nonradial solutions result in [2] (see also [27] Theorem 1.31), the problem (1.2) possesses infinitely many nonradial solutions. \square

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Professor Xian-Ling Fan for his valuable suggestions and comments on this paper.

References

- [1] E. Acerbi and G. Mingione: Regularity results for a class of functionals with non-standard growth, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **156**(2001), 121-140.
- [2] T. Bartsch and M. Willem: Infinitely many nonradial solutions of a Euclidean scalar field equation, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **117**(1993), 447-460.
- [3] Y. M. Chen, S. Levine and M. Rao: Variable exponent, linear growth functionals in image restoration, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, **66**(4)(2006), 1383-1406.
- [4] L. Diening, P. Hästö and A. Nekvinda: Open problems in variable exponent Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces, *FSDONA04 Proceedings*, (P. Drábek and J. Rákosník (eds.); Milovy, Czech Republic, 2004), 38-58, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague, 2005.
- [5] X. L. Fan: Solutions for $p(x)$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problems with singular coefficients, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **312**(2005), 464-477.
- [6] X. L. Fan: On the sub-supersolution method for $p(x)$ -Laplacian equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, (2006), doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2006.07.093
- [7] X. L. Fan: Global $C^{1,\alpha}$ regularity for variable exponent elliptic equations in divergence form, preprint.
- [8] X. L. Fan and X. Y. Han: Existence and multiplicity of solutions for $p(x)$ -Laplacian equations in \mathbb{R}^N , *Nonlinear Analysis: TMA*, **59**(2004), 173-188.
- [9] X. L. Fan and W. M. Liu: An exact inequality involving Luxemburg norm and conjugate-Orlicz norm in $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, *Chinese Annals of Mathematics, Ser. A*, **27**(2)(2006), 177-188. (Chinese) The English translation is published in: *Chinese Journal of Contemporary Mathematics*, **27**(2)(2006), 147-158.
- [10] X. L. Fan, J. S. Shen and D. Zhao: Sobolev embedding theorems for spaces $W^{k,p(x)}$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **262**(2001), 749-760.
- [11] X. L. Fan and Q. H. Zhang: Existence of solutionas for $p(x)$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problem, *Nonlinear Analysis: TMA*, **52**(2003), 1843-1852.
- [12] X. L. Fan and D. Zhao: On the space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **263**(2001), 423-446.
- [13] X. L. Fan, Y. Z. Zhao and D. Zhao: Compact imbedding theorems with symmetry of Strauss-Lions type for the space $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **255**(2001), 333-348.
- [14] A. Hamidi: Existence results to elliptic systems with nonstandard growth conditions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **300**(2004), 30-42.

- [15] P. Harjulehto and P. Hästö: An overview of variable exponent Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces, *Future Trends in Geometric Function Theory*, (D. Herron (ed.), RNC Workshop, Jyväskylä, 2003), 85-94.
- [16] D. W. Huang and Y. Q. Li: Multiplicity of solutions for a noncooperative p -Laplacian elliptic system in \mathbb{R}^N , *J. Differential Equations*, **215**(2005), 206-223.
- [17] O. Kováčik and J. Rákosník: On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{k,p(x)}$, *Czech. Math. J.*, **41(116)**(1991), 592-618.
- [18] Y. Q. Li: A limit index theory and its applications, *Nonlinear Analysis: TMA*, **25(12)**(1995), 1371-1389.
- [19] M. Mihailescu: Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a Neumann problem involving the $p(x)$ -Laplace operator, *Nonlinear Analysis: TMA*, (2006), doi:10.1016/j.na.2006.07.027
- [20] J. Musielak: Orlicz spaces and modular spaces, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. **1034**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [21] W. Orlicz: Über konjugierte Exponentenfolgen, *Studia Math.*, **3**(1931), 200-211.
- [22] R. Palais: The principle of symmetric criticality, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **69**(1979), 19-30.
- [23] M. Růžička: Electrorheological Fluids: Modeling and Mathematical Theory, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. **1748**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [24] S. Samko: Convolution type operators in $L^{p(x)}$, *Integr. Transform. and Special Funct.*, **7(1-2)**(1998), 123-144.
- [25] S. Samko: On a progress in the theory of Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent: maximal and singular operators, *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, **16**(2005), 461-482.
- [26] M. Sturwe: Variational methods, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [27] M. Willem: Minimax theorems, *Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications*, Vol. **24**, Birkhäuser, 1996.
- [28] Q. H. Zhang: Existence of radial solutions for $p(x)$ -Laplacian equations in \mathbb{R}^N , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **315**(2006), 506-516.
- [29] Q. H. Zhang: Existence of positive solutions for elliptic systems with nonstandard $p(x)$ -growth conditions via sub-supersolution method, *Nonlinear Analysis: TMA*, (2006), doi:10.1016/j.na.2006.06.017.
- [30] Q. H. Zhang: Existence of solutions for weighted $p(r)$ -Laplacian system boundary value problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, (2006), doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2006.03.087.

- [31] V. V. Zhikov: Averaging of functionals of the calculus of variations and elasticity theory, *Math. USSR Izvestiya*, **29**(1987), 33-36.