EE5138R: Problem Set 7

Assigned: 20/03/15

Due: 27/03/15

## 1. BV Problem 9.1

## **Solution**:

(a) If P is not positive semidefinite, there exists a v such that  $v^T P v < 0$ . With x = tv, we have

$$f(x) = t^2(v^T P v/2) + t(q^T v) + r$$

which diverges to  $-\infty$  as  $t \to \infty$ .

(b) This means that q is not in the range of P. Express  $q = \bar{q} + v$  where  $\bar{q}$  is the Euclidean projection of q onto the range of P and take  $v = q - \bar{q}$ . This vector is nonzero and orthogonal to  $\mathcal{R}(P)$ , i.e.,  $v^T P v = 0$ . It follows that for x = tv, we have

$$f(x) = tq^{T}v + r = t(\bar{q} + v)^{T}v + r = t(v^{T}v) + r$$

which is unbounded below.

2. BV Problem 9.6

**Solution**: For k = 0, we get the starting point  $x^{(0)} = (\gamma, 1)$ .

The gradient at  $x^{(k)}$  is  $(x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)})$  so we get

$$x^{(k)} - t\nabla f(x^{(k)}) = \begin{bmatrix} (1-t)x_1^{(k)} \\ (1-\gamma t)x_2^{(k)} \end{bmatrix} = \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}\right)^k \begin{bmatrix} (1-t)\gamma \\ (1-\gamma t)(-1)^k \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$f(x^{(k)} - t\nabla f(x^{(k)})) = (\gamma^2 (1 - t)^2 + \gamma (1 - \gamma t)^2) \left(\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + 1}\right)^{2k}$$

This is minimized with

$$t = \frac{2}{1+\gamma}$$

so

$$\begin{split} x^{(k+1)} &= x^{(k)} - t \nabla f(x^{(k)}) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (1-t)x_1^{(k)} \\ (1-\gamma t)\gamma x_2^{(k)} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}\right) \begin{bmatrix} x_1^{(k)} \\ -x_2^{(k)} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}\right)^{k+1} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \\ (-1)^k \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

3. (Optional) BV Problem 9.7

Solutions provided in the next problem set.

4. Consider the problem

min 
$$f(x) = 10x_1 + 3x_2$$
, s.t.  $5x_1 + x_2 \ge 4, x_1, x_2 = 0$  or 1.

(a) Sketch the set of constraint-cost pairs

$$\{(4-5x_1-x_2,10x_1+3x_2):x_1,x_2=0,1\}$$

**Solution**: This is obvious.

(b) Sketch the dual function.

**Solution**: The Lagrangian is

$$L(x, \mu) = 10x_1 + 3x_2 + \mu(4 - 5x_1 - x_2)$$

and the dual function is

$$g(\mu) = \inf_{x_1, x_2 \in \{0,1\}} \{4\mu + (10 - 5\mu)x_1 + (3 - \mu)x_2\} = \begin{cases} 4\mu & \mu \in [0,2] \\ 10 - \mu & \mu \in [2,3] \\ 13 - 2\mu & \mu \in [3,\infty) \end{cases}$$

(c) Solve the problem and its dual.

**Solution**: By inspection, we see that  $x^* = (1,0)$  and  $p^* = 10$ . From the dual, we see that  $d^* = 8$ . Thus, there is a duality gap of  $p^* - d^* = 2$ .

5. Solution: A straightforward calculation yields the dual function

$$g(\lambda) = \min_{x \in \mathbf{R}^n} \left\{ \|z - x\|_2^2 + \lambda^T A x \right\} = -\frac{\|A^T \lambda\|_2^2}{4} + \lambda^T A z$$

Thus the dual problem is equivalent to

$$\min_{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}^m} \left\{ \frac{\|A^T\lambda\|_2^2}{4} - \lambda^T Az + \|z\|_2^2 \right\}$$

or

$$\min_{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}^m} \left\| z - \frac{A^T \lambda}{2} \right\|_2^2$$

This is the problem of projecting z on the subspace spanned by the rows of A.

- 6. Solutions:
  - (a) Lagrange optimality yields

$$\nabla f(x^*) + \nu^* \nabla h(x^*) = 0$$

which is

$$2x^* + (\nu^*, \dots, \nu^*)^T = 0$$

Hence

$$x^* = -\frac{1}{2}(\nu^*, \dots, \nu^*)^T$$

But the sum of the vector  $x^*$  must be one by primal optimality so

$$x^* = (1/n, \dots, 1/n)^T$$
.

Problem is convex so  $x^*$  is globally optimal.

## (b) Lagrange optimality yields

$$(1,1,\ldots,1)^T + 2\nu^*x^* = 0$$

So

$$x^* = \left(-\frac{1}{2\nu^*}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2\nu^*}\right)^T$$

Furthermore the norm of  $x^*$  must equal 1 so

$$x^* = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^T$$
 or  $x^* = -\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^T$ 

Furthermore,

$$\nabla^2 f(x^*) + \nu^* \nabla^2 h(x^*) = 2\lambda^* I = -\sqrt{n}I$$
 or  $\sqrt{n}I$ 

So the only local minimum is  $x^* = -(1, 1, ..., 1)^T / \sqrt{n}$  so it is the global minimum.

## 7. **Solution**: We have

$$f(x) = ||x||^{2+\beta} = (x_1^2 + \ldots + x_n^2)^{1+\beta/2}$$

so

$$\nabla f(x) = (1 + \beta/2)(x_1^2 + \ldots + x_n^2)^{\beta/2}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)^T \cdot 2 = (2 + \beta)||x||^{\beta}x.$$

We first check whether the Lipschitz condition is satisfied; i.e., whether for all  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , there is some constant L > 0 such that

$$\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\| \le L\|x - y\|$$

or

$$(2+\beta)|||x||^{\beta}x - ||y||^{\beta}y|| \le L||x-y||$$

By letting y = -x, this yields  $(2 + \beta) ||x||^{\beta} \le L$ , so clearly the Lipschitz condition is not satisfied.

The behavior of the gradient descent method with constant stepsize s is described by the equation

$$x^{k+1} = x^k - s\nabla f(x^k) = x^k (1 - s(2+\beta) ||x^k||^{\beta})$$

It is easy to show by induction that if  $||x^1|| < ||x^0||$ , then  $||x^{k+1}|| < ||x^k||$  for all k, and that if  $||x^1|| \ge ||x^0||$ , then  $||x^{k+1}|| \ge ||x^k||$  for all k. Thus in order for the method to converge, we must have

$$||x^1|| = ||x^0(1 - s(2 + \beta)||x^0||^{\beta})|| < ||x^0||$$

or equivalently

$$|1 - s(2 + \beta)||x^0||^{\beta}| < 1$$

or equivalently

$$s(2+\beta)||x^0||^{\beta} < 2$$

For the values of s,  $\beta$ , and  $x^0$  satisfying the above inequality, the sequence  $\{\|x^k\|\}$  is monotonically decreasing. We will show that for the same values, we have  $x^k \to 0$ . Indeed, let c be the limit of  $\{\|x^k\|\}$ . If c = 0, we have  $x^k \to 0$  and we are done. If c > 0 then

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\|x^{k+1}\|}{\|x^k\|} = 1$$

and from the fact that  $c \leq ||x^0||$  we have

$$|1 - s(2+\beta)c^{\beta}| < 1$$

Combining this iteration with the iterations, we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\|x^{k+1}\|}{\|x^k\|} = |1 - s(2+\beta)c^{\beta}| < 1$$

a contradiction. Hence c = 0.