

EE5137 Stochastic Processes: Problem Set 7

Assigned: 05/03/21, Due: 12/03/21

There are three (3) non-optional problems in this problem set to give you time to prepare for quiz 2.

1. Exercise 4.1 (Gallager's book) Let $[P]$ be the transmission matrix for a finite state Markov chain and let state i be recurrent. Prove that i is aperiodic if $P_{ii} > 0$.

Solution: Since $P_{11} > 0$, there is a walk of length 2 that starts in state 1, goes to state 1 at epoch 1 and goes from there to state 1 at epoch 2. In the same way, for each $n > 2$, a walk of length n exists, going through state 1 at each step. Thus $P_{11}^n > 0$, so the greatest common denominator of $\{n : P_{11}^n > 0\}$ is 1.

2. Exercise 4.2 (Gallager's book) Show that every Markov chain with $M < \infty$ states contains at least one recurrent set of states. Explaining each of the following statements is sufficient.

- (a) If state i_1 is transient, then there is some other states i_2 such that $i_1 \rightarrow i_2$ and $i_2 \nrightarrow i_1$.

Solution: If there is no such state i_2 , then i_1 is recurrent by definition. That state is distinct from i_1 since otherwise $i_1 \rightarrow i_2$ would imply $i_2 \rightarrow i_1$.

- (b) If the i_2 of (a) is also transient, there is a third state i_3 such that $i_2 \rightarrow i_3$, $i_3 \nrightarrow i_2$; that state must satisfy $i_3 \neq i_2, i_3 \neq i_1$.

Solution: The argument why i_3 exists with $i_2 \rightarrow i_3, i_3 \nrightarrow i_2$ and with $i_3 \neq i_2$ is the same as (a). Since $i_1 \rightarrow i_2$ and $i_2 \rightarrow i_3$, we have $i_1 \rightarrow i_3$. We must also have $i_3 \nrightarrow i_1$, since otherwise $i_3 \rightarrow i_1$ and $i_1 \rightarrow i_2$ would imply the contradiction $i_3 \rightarrow i_2$. Since $i_1 \rightarrow i_3$ and $i_3 \nrightarrow i_1$, it follows as before that $i_3 \neq i_1$.

- (c) Continue iteratively to repeat (b) for successive states, i_1, i_2, \dots . That is, if i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k are generated as above and are all transient, generate i_{k+1} such that $i_k \rightarrow i_{k+1}$ and $i_{k+1} \nrightarrow i_k$. Then $i_{k+1} \neq i_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$.

Solution: The argument why i_{k+1} exists with $i_k \rightarrow i_{k+1}, i_{k+1} \nrightarrow i_k$ and with $i_{k+1} \neq i_k$ is the same as before. The show that $i_{k+1} \neq i_j$ for each $j < k$, we use contradiction, noting that if $i_{k+1} = i_j$, then $i_{k+1} \rightarrow i_{j+1} \rightarrow i_k$.

- (d) Show that for some $k \leq M$, k is not transient, i.e., it is recurrent, so a recurrent class exists.

Solution: For transient states i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k generated in (c), state i_{k+1} found in (c) must be distinct from distinct states $\{i_j; j \leq k\}$. Since there are only M states, there can not be M transient states, since then, with $k = M$, a new distinct state i_{M+1} would be generated, which is impossible. Thus, there must be some $k < M$ for which the extension to i_{k+1} leads to recurrent state.

3. A spider and a fly move along a straight line in unit increments. At any point in time, two events happen. First, the spider always moves towards the fly by one unit. The fly then moves towards the initial position of the spider by one unit with probability 0.3, move away from the spider by one unit with probability 0.3 and stays in place with probability 0.4. The initial distance between the spider and the fly is integer. When the spider and the fly land in the same position, the spider captures the fly.

- (a) Construct a Markov chain that describes the relative location of the spider and fly.

Solution: We introduce a Markov chain with state equal to the distance between spider and fly. Let n be the initial distance. Then, the states are $0, 1, 2, \dots, n$, and we have

$$p_{00} = 1, p_{0i} = 0, \quad \text{for } i \neq 0, p_{10} = 0.4, p_{11} = 0.6, p_{1i} = 0, \quad \text{for } i \neq 0, 1, \quad (1)$$

and for all $i \neq 0, 1$,

$$p_{i(i-2)} = 0.3, p_{i(i-1)} = 0.4, p_{ii} = 0.3, p_{ij} = 0, \quad \text{for } j \neq i-2, i-1, i. \quad (2)$$

- (b) Identify the transient and recurrent states.

Solution: All states are transient except for state 0 which forms a recurrent class.

4. (Optional) Exercise 4.3 (Gallager's book) Consider a finite-state Markov chain in which some given state, say state 1, is accessible from every other state. Show that the chain has exactly one recurrent class \mathcal{R} of states and state $1 \in \mathcal{R}$.

Solution: Since $j \rightarrow 1$ for each j , there can be no state j for which $1 \rightarrow j$ and $j \nrightarrow 1$. Thus state 1 is recurrent. Next, for any given j , if $1 \nrightarrow j$, then j must be transient since $j \rightarrow 1$. On the other hand, if $1 \rightarrow j$, then 1 and j communicate and j must be in the same recurrent class as 1. Thus each state is either transient or in the same recurrent class as 1.

5. (Optional) Exercise 4.8 (Gallager's book) A transition probability matrix $[P]$ is said to be doubly stochastic if

$$\sum_j P_{ij} = 1 \quad \text{for all } i; \quad \sum_i P_{ij} = 1 \quad \text{for all } j. \quad (3)$$

That is, each row sum and each column sum equals 1. If a doubly stochastic chain has M states and is ergodic (i.e., has a single class of states and is aperiodic), calculate its steady-state probabilities.

Solution: It is easy to see that if the row sums are all equal to 1, then $P[\mathbf{e}] = \mathbf{e}$. If the column sums are also equal to 1, then $\mathbf{e}^T[P] = \mathbf{e}^T$. Thus \mathbf{e}^T is a left eigenvector of $[P]$ with eigenvalue 1, and it is unique within a scale factor since the chain is ergodic. Scaling \mathbf{e}^T to be probabilities, $\pi = (1/M, 1/M, \dots, 1/M)$.

6. (Optional) Consider a Markov chain with states $1, 2, \dots, 9$ and the following transition probabilities.

$$P_{12} = P_{17} = 1/2, \quad P_{i,i+1} = 1, \quad i \neq 1, 6, 9 \quad P_{61} = P_{91} = 1.$$

Is the recurrent class of the chain periodic?

Solution: It is periodic with period 2. The two corresponding subsets are $\{2, 4, 6, 7, 9\}$ and $\{1, 3, 5, 8\}$.