## How to compute the GRS test statistic -- Recipe for a solution in Excel:

- 1) Run a time-series regression for each of the test assets. Use the L given portfolio returns as explanatory variables. Note: use excess returns,  $r_{it} r_{ft}$ .
- 2) Compute the time-series regression residuals:

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i} = r_{it} - r_{ft} - \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{i} - \widehat{\boldsymbol{b}}_{1i} F_{1t} - \dots - \widehat{\boldsymbol{b}}_{Li} F_{Lt}$$

$$(0.1)$$

3) Compute the estimated covariance matrix of the time-series regression residuals:

$$\widehat{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\Sigma}_{11} & \cdots & \widehat{\Sigma}_{1N} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \widehat{\Sigma}_{N1} & \cdots & \widehat{\Sigma}_{NN} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } \widehat{\Sigma}_{ij} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{e}}_{it}}{T - L - I} \text{ for } i, j = 1, \dots, N.$$
 (0.2)

You should use an unbiased covariance matrix. For instance, Excel's Data Analysis add-in package divides the sum of products by T, so no adjustment for the estimated covariance matrix is required.

4) Compute the sample means,  $\hat{m}$ , and sample covariance matrix,  $\hat{\Omega}$ , of the L factor returns:

$$\hat{\mathbf{m}} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\bar{F}}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\bar{F}}_L \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and} \tag{0.3}$$

$$\widehat{\Omega} = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\Omega}_{11} & \cdots & \widehat{\Omega}_{1L} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \widehat{\Omega}_{L1} & \cdots & \widehat{\Omega}_{LL} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } \widehat{\Omega}_{ij} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left( F_{it} - \overline{F}_{i} \right) \left( F_{jt} - \overline{F}_{j} \right) \text{ for } i, j = 1, \dots, L . (0.4)$$

5) Use MINVERSE and MMULT to compute:

$$\widehat{W} = \frac{\widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}\widehat{\Sigma}^{-1}\widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}}{1 + \widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{-1}\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}} \tag{0.5}$$

As a simple example, consider the CAPM. The excess market return is the only factor (i.e., L = 1). In this case,  $\hat{m}$  and  $\hat{\Omega}$  are scalars, so

$$\widehat{W} = \frac{\widehat{a}\widehat{\Sigma}^{-1}\widehat{a}}{1 + \widehat{m}_{m}^{2}/\widehat{s}_{m}^{2}}, \qquad (0.6)$$

where  $\hat{m}_m^2$  and  $\hat{s}_m^2$  are the estimated average excess market return and variance, respectively.

Under the null hypothesis, W = 0. Note that if all  $\mathbf{a}$ 's are close to zero, the numerator of (0.5) is also close to zero, and W is close to zero.

6) Normalize *W* to follow a convenient *F*-distribution:

$$W_{Normalized} = \left\lceil \frac{T}{N} \right\rceil \left\lceil \frac{T - N - L}{T - L - 1} \right\rceil W \longrightarrow F(N, T - N - L)$$
 (0.7)

7) Find the *p*-value:

$$p = \text{FDIST}\left(\widehat{W}_{Normalized}, N, T - N - L\right) \tag{0.8}$$

As an example, consider Fama-French's three-factor model. What does a low p-value in a GRS-test mean? It mean that we reject that  $(r_{M} - r_{f})$ , HML, and SMB are priced to be mean-variance efficient (actually minimum-variance).