



Universität des Saarlandes
Max-Planck-Institut für Informatik



Thesis Tytple

Masterarbeit im Fach Informatik
Master's Thesis in Computer Science
von / by

Author Name

angefertigt unter der Leitung von / supervised by

betreut von / advised by

begutachtet von / reviewers

Saarbrücken, October 2015

Eidesstattliche Erklärung

Ich erkläre hiermit an Eides Statt, dass ich die vorliegende Arbeit selbstständig verfasst und keine anderen als die angegebenen Quellen und Hilfsmittel verwendet habe.

Statement in Lieu of an Oath

I hereby confirm that I have written this thesis on my own and that I have not used any other media or materials than the ones referred to in this thesis.

Einverständniserklärung

Ich bin damit einverstanden, dass meine (bestandene) Arbeit in beiden Versionen in die Bibliothek der Informatik aufgenommen und damit veröffentlicht wird.

Declaration of Consent

I agree to make both versions of my thesis (with a passing grade) accessible to the public by having them added to the library of the Computer Science Department.

Saarbrücken, October 2015

Mohamed Gad-Elrab

Abstract

Stereoscopic and automultiscopic displays suffer from crosstalk. An effect which greatly reduces image quality, viewer comfort and distort the perception of depth. Previously, only a limited work has been done on understanding the relation between crosstalk and the perceived depth with respect to the nature of the stimuli. Moreover most of the previous work is carried on simple monochromatic scenes. Since the human visual system uses numerous other cues than disparity to estimate the depth of an object in a stereo scene, monochromatic scenes are poor choice for understanding the above mentioned relation. Moreover, the model for depth resolution via disparity as provided by the current literature fails to justify why and how the perceived depth is affected by the crosstalk. In this work, we improved and performed more generalized experimentation to see how the depth perception is affected by the crosstalk for different kinds of stimuli. Based on the result of these experiments, we derived a model for human visual system's resolution of depth from disparity that accurately measures the depth of a stimulus as perceived by the human in presence of cross-talk. Finally some improved algorithms for removal/compensation of crosstalk in automultiscopic are developed.

Acknowledgements

Contents

Abstract	v
Acknowledgements	vii
Contents	xi
List of Figures	xv
List of Tables	xvii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Goal and overview	1
1.2 Contributions	1
2 Relevant Background	3
2.1 Binocular vision, stereopsis and its limits	3
2.2 Limits of Stereopsis	6
2.3 Crosstalk	6
2.4 Stereoscopic/Automultiscopic Screens and its cross-talk	9
2.4.1 Time sequential stereo using active shutter glasses with an LCD display	9
2.4.2 Anaglyph Stereo	12
2.4.3 Polarized Stereo	12
2.4.4 Automultiscopic Screens	15
2.5 Crosstalk Quality Metrics	15
3 Related work	17
3.1 Effects of crosstalk on perceived depth	17
3.2 Depth resolution mechanisms in HVS	19
3.3 Reduction of crosstalk	20
3.3.1 Stereoscopic screens	21

3.3.1.1	Subtractive approaches	21
3.3.1.2	Perceptual optimization	21
3.3.1.3	Temporal approach	21
3.3.2	Automultiscopic screens	21
3.3.2.1	Inverse filtering	21
3.3.2.2	Subtractive reduction	21
3.3.2.3	Sub-pixel optimization	21
3.3.2.4	Low Pass filtering	21
4	Experiments	23
4.1	Crosstalk Experiments	23
4.2	Parameters	23
4.3	stimuli	23
4.3.1	Stereo	23
4.3.2	Automultiscopic	23
4.4	Apparatus and simulation procedure	23
4.5	subjects	23
4.6	Results	23
4.7	Discussion	23
4.7.1	Stereo	23
4.7.2	Automultiscopic	23
5	Model	25
5.1	Hypothesis and their results	25
5.1.1	Simple correlation model	25
5.1.2	Results	25
5.1.3	Windowed correlation model	25
5.1.4	Results	25
5.1.5	Simple correlation model	25
5.1.6	Results	25
5.1.7	PDF model	25
5.1.8	Results	25
5.1.9	Mathematical model	25
5.1.10	Results	25
5.2	Discussion	25

6	Applications	27
6.1	Depth prediction application	27
6.2	Crosstalk mitigation	27
6.2.1	Proposed optimizations	27
6.2.2	Unsharp masking in view domain	27
6.2.3	Iterative subtraction	27
7	Conclusion	29
7.1	Summary	29
7.2	Future Work	29
7.3	Open Questions	29
	Bibliography	31
	Index	33

List of Figures

2.1	HVS Depth Cues	4
2.2	Representation of theoretical (T) and empirical (E) horoptor	5
2.3	(a-c) A simulation of 14% crosstalk. An Image intended for the left eye containing 14% of image intended for right eye. (d) Illustration of Viewers crosstalk with the transfer functions as defined by Andrew J. Woods [20]	7
2.4	A flow diagram showing the process of stereo image perception starting from stereo scene capture. The crosstalk can be induced by the display (light generation) and by the image/view separation mechanism(3D glasses or autostereoscopic parallax barrier).	10
2.5	(a) Response of a conventional LCD display (in time domain). (b) Response of a high refresh rate LCD display	10
2.6	(a) A layout of a micro-polarized LCD screen where odd and even numbered rows are oppositely polarized. In this example, the viewer viewing the screen using the polarized glasses will see odd numbered pixel rows through the right eye and even numbered pixel rows in the left eye [20] (b)Construction of a typical micro-polarized LCD screen.	14

List of Tables

Chapter 1

Introduction

Discuss General idea behind crosstalk and why is it so bad. How it affects the depth and what current literature think about it. Context: 3D stereo, 3D displaysvision Problem + its importance Crosstalk relevance.

1.1 Goal and overview

1.2 Contributions

Experimentation, mitigation, HVS model.

Chapter 2

Relevant Background

Depth perception is the ability of the Human Visual System to visualize the three dimensional world as well as measuring the distance of an object based on two dimensional images obtained from the eyes. Depth perception is imperative for performing basic everyday tasks such as avoiding obstacles without bumping into them or interacting with the world with relative ease. In animals (specially predators), it is critical to estimate the distance of a prey for an efficient attack. Depth sensation is the term used for animals as it is not known whether they sense the depth in the same way as humans do or not[14].

Human visual system uses several monocular and binocular cues to determine the depth of objects in the view. These cues can be categorized into two categories i.e. cues extracted from a single image (Monocular Cues) and cues extracted from two images (Binocular cues)[9][14]. Figure 2.1 gives an outlook of the depth cues used by the HVS. These cues are then dynamically weighted according to their robustness by the HVS in order to estimate a depth value for each object in the view [8](Write details of those cues in Appendix).

2.1 Binocular vision, stereopsis and its limits

Generally speaking, all the animals with two eyes have binocular vision and they can integrate the information from two eyes based on the binocular overlap. But the term Binocular vision is usually used for the animals that have a large area of binocular overlap (Human and most other predators) and use it to get the depth information of the world around them. In addition to calculating depth, binocular vision also has advantages in performing other tasks such as detection, discrimination, detecting camouflaged objects or eye-hand coordination. Even the resolution observed world is increased with binocular




FIGURE 2.1: HVS Depth Cues




FIGURE 2.2: Representation of theoretical (T) and empirical (E) horoptor

vision[6]. Among all the depth cues discussed in the section above, Stereopsis is the most influential of them all. Since the human eyes are located at different lateral positions on the head, the images formed on the retinas of these two eyes are slightly different. The difference is mainly the horizontal positions of the objects[17]. The process of obtaining a fused (Binocular fusion) image (Cyclopean image) and obtaining a depth map based on the horizontal disparities of the objects in these two images is known as stereopsis.

When the eyes verge in order to focus on some object (or point) in space, that object is projected at identical corresponding points in the retinas. It means that the difference between their horizontal positions is zero. This process is called fixation of the eyes and the distance of the object (point) at which the eyes are fixated is called the fixation distance. The locus of all the points in space that is projected on identical retinal points is called the horoptor[15]. Theoretically, via geometrical principles, the horoptor is a circular segment in the plane of fixation point. However, Wheatstone in 1938 observed that the actual/emperical horoptor is much larger than that. Figure 2.2 shows both the theoretical and empirical horoptor. Any object that is farther away from the horoptor has uncrossed disparity in the retinas i.e. the eyes need to be diverged (uncrossed) in order to fixate on that object. Similarly, any object that is closer than the horoptor has crossed disparity in the retinas i.e. the eyes need to be converged (further crossed) in order to fixate at it cite and make the diagram for crossed uncrossed disparities. Figure ?? shows a graphical representation of crossed and uncrossed disparities add the dolphin images from wikipedia article..

Stereopsis is believed to be processed in the binocular neurons of the visual cortex of mammals. The binocular neurons have receptive fields in different horizontal positions in each eye. These cells are active only when the object of interest is in certain range of disparity in one eye relative to the other i.e. there is a maximum disparity limit. [add the dolphin images from wikipedia article.](#) As the objects in the images formed at the retinas of both eyes are slightly shifted horizontally, presenting two different images with shifted object two both eyes can fool the HVS into perceiving depth. This process is called stereoscopy. The first stereoscope was invented by Sir Charles Wheatstone in 1838[18]. It used two mirror both tilted at 45 degrees to the eyes that reflected two different images from the sides. Currently all the stereoscopic screen present a different perspective image two both eyes with different technologies that will be discussed in later sections.

2.2 Limits of Stereopsis

Put it in the section above.

2.3 Crosstalk

As discussed in the previous section, stereoscopy includes the process of displaying different perspective images to each eye in order to mimic the effect of depth in a scene. However, it is critical that the perspective of these two images should be segregated completely from each other. Currently, all the commercially available 3D displays (with exception of head mounted displays or Wheatstone setups) fail to isolate the two images completely. That means that a percentage of the image of one eye leaks to the other eye as well. This unintended leakage is called the crosstalk in stereoscopic screens[20]. Figure 2.3 Shows one such example where the screen has a simulated crosstalk of 14%. This means that 14% image intensity of the right eye image is leaked into the left eye image and vice versa.

“System crosstalk” is the term used to define the amount of light leakage that occurs between two views and is independent of the image contents. In simplest form, it can be mathematically defined as:

$$Crosstalk(\%) = \frac{leakage}{signal} * 100 \quad (2.1)$$




FIGURE 2.3: (a-c) A simulation of 14% crosstalk. An Image intended for the left eye containing 14% of image intended for right eye. (d) Illustration of Viewers crosstalk with the transfer functions as defined by Andrew J. Woods [20]

Here, “signal” is the luminance of the original image intended for an eye and “leakage” is the luminance of the light that leaks from the unintended image. Usually, on a stereoscopic scree, the amount of crosstalk is measured by observing the luminance of the left channel while a black (minimum luminance) image is displayed in the left channel and a white (maximum luminance) image is displayed on the right channel and vice versa. This definition is accurate for the screens that can manage to display a true black i.e. zero luminance. Almost all of the LCDs can not produce zero luminance as their minimum luminance hence resulting in a non-zero black level at its minimum. Another mathematical representation of crosstalk take into effect this non-zero black level and is defined as:

$$Crosstalk(\%) = \frac{leakage - blacklevel}{signal - blacklevel} * 100 \quad (2.2)$$

Throughout the rest of the thesis, we will be using crosstalk as mentioned in eq 2.2.

Viewers crosstalk on the other hand is the amount of crosstalk that can be perceived by the viewer as ghosts. It is dependent on the image contents i.e the contrast at the ghosting point and the parallax of objects in the scene. If the system crosstalk is defined as

$$System\ Crosstalk\ (left\ eye) = \frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_1} \quad (2.3)$$

Where α_1 denotes the percentage part of the left-eye image at position (x,y) as observed by the left eye and β_2 denotes the percentage amount of right eye image at the same location (x,y) leaked into the left eye. Then the viewers crosstalk is defined as

$$\text{Viewers Crosstalk (left eye)} = \frac{B\beta_2}{A\alpha_1}. \quad (2.4)$$

where “A” is the luminance of that particular point in left-eye image and “B” is the luminance of that particular point in right-eye image (as described in Fig 2.3d). The Variables α and β are characterizing the transfer functions from the displayed image to the observed image i.e. the amount of light reaching the eyes after being displayed on the screen and going through the glasses or any other medium that resides between the screen and the eyes. In most displays, crosstalk is an additive process and is roughly linear. This means that to simulate crosstalk, adding a desired amount of unintended image to an intended image should be sufficient. The simulation of crosstalk in our experiments was carried out in the same manner.

The perception of crosstalk obeys the Webber’s law which means that leaked light will be greatly perceivable by the viewer on the dark image areas rather than bright areas. Also, the perceived crosstalk is Dependant upon the contrast of the image and the binocular parallax of the stimuli i.e. crosstalk perception will increase with increase in contrast or increase in binocular parallax. Maybe I need to show why?. It is commonly believed that ghosting plays the most critical role in determining the image quality. Wilcox and Stewart [19] observed that over 75% of the observers in their experiments reported the ghosting to be the key feature that deteriorated the image quality. Apart from reduction of image quality, perceivable ghosting is also responsible for loss of depth, viewer’s discomfort, reduction of sharpness and contrast, decreased fusion limits, and difficulty in fusion. As mentioned earlier, two objects can not be fused together if the angular separation between them is smaller than the disparity[2]. In fact since the ghost and the stimulus lie in the same (x, y) position but at different depth, the angular separation becomes zero and the disparity gradient of the ghost and the stimulus becomes infinity. Hence both of them can not be fused together. In this case the more luminant object i.e. the stimulus will be fused while the ghosts will remain in diplopic state. add the image showing infinity DG and cite the source. Crosstalk in still images is perceived to a larger extent than crosstalk in dynamic scenes (e.g. a movie). This means that motion of objects in a scene can mask the perception of crosstalk.

The stereoscopic literature provides a lot of advices for the acceptable and unacceptable crosstalk for the viewers. Woods[20] points some of these advices as:

- Crosstalk between 2% to 6% significantly affects the visual quality and increase the viewers discomfort.
- In order to produce accurate depth range between 40 arcmin, the crosstalk should be as low as 0.3%.
- Crosstalk is visible even at 1% to 2%.
- 5% of crosstalk is enough to induce discomfort.
- JND for crosstalk is 1%.
- 2-4% of crosstalk can significantly decrease the amount of perceived depth.

As one can observe, there is a variability in these guidelines. The reason for this variability might be because of the different setups and types of stimuli that were used by the researcher in their experiments. We observed that currently the literature is not quite thorough on how the depth perception is affected when it comes to different kinds of stimuli and relatively more complex scenes. Which is why we performed some experiments of our own to verify and expand the current knowledge in this area. This is also one of the main contributions of this thesis.

2.4 Stereoscopic/Automultiscopic Screens and its cross-talk

In this section we will review some of the stereo technologies and their associated crosstalk. The basic setup for a stereoscopic displays involves a display screen that has typically higher (above 120 Hz) refresh rate and a view separation mechanism. A high refresh rate is required so that images from two different view perspective can be displayed alternatively without the user realizing any glitches (after the views has been separately delivered to the eyes). Various Stereo display technologies are available in the market such as CRT, DLP, Plasma, PDP and LCD screen. Active/Passive 3D glasses or anaglyph glasses are generally used as view separation mechanisms. Figure 2.4 illustrates that the crosstalk is induced by the display as well as the view separator.

2.4.1 Time sequential stereo using active shutter glasses with an LCD display

LCD screen coupled with active shutter glasses is the most common 3D technology that is commercially available. It produces an image by back lighting a two dimensional individually addressable liquid crystal (LC) matrix. The back light is typically a cold




FIGURE 2.4: A flow diagram showing the process of stereo image perception starting from stereo scene capture. The crosstalk can be induced by the display (light generation) and by the image/view separation mechanism(3D glasses or autostereoscopic parallax barrier).

cathode florescent lamp (CCFL) or light emitting diodes (LEDs). The LC matrix consists of crystals that rotate according to the voltage applied to them. This rotation limits the flow of the light that passes through each cell hence producing different gray levels. Each pixel of the screen consists of three LC cells coupled with red, green and a blue filter. Hence the color and luminance of each pixel is created by controlling the light that flows through these individual filters which is regulated by the LCs. It should be noted that even at its best i.e crystals are perpendicular to the light source, they fail to block the light completely and hence an LCD screen can not display true black color.

The time required for the LCs to rotate from one position to another desired position is known as the pixel response rate/time. This response time is higher if the delta between the rotate is smaller and vice versa[20]. This means that the refresh rate of an LCD display is dependent upon this response time. LCD displays usually utilize top to bottom image update method i.e. in order to update the image being displayed, the rows of pixels is addressed individually from top to bottom.




FIGURE 2.5: (a) Response of a conventional LCD display (in time domain). (b) Response of a high refresh rate LCD display .

figure 2.5 shows the time domain responses of LCD displays. The green line represents the row of the pixels that are being updated while the images is being changed from

complete black to complete white. It can be seen from 2.5a that there is no time (a vertical line) where a complete black or white image is observed. However if the refresh rate is increased (Figure 2.5b), one can see that there is an interval in time where a stable image can be displayed.

Active shutter glasses consist of lenses that can turn opaque or transparent in order to gate the left or right image being displayed on the screen to the respective left or right eye (at any given time, one lens is in opaque state while the other is in transparent state). Each lens of these glasses has a liquid crystal called LC shutters (just like an LCD display) that blocks the light when a voltage is applied to it. The time required for these LCs to go from completely opaque to completely transparent and vice versa is called the rise and fall time [20]. Figure 2.5b shows the optical transmission properties of a typical pair of active shutter glasses. It can be seen from this figure that

- LC shutters do not perform identically for each wavelength.
- The LC shutters have a non zero transmission even when it is in opaque state.
- The rise and fall time are not instantaneous.

In addition to that, the light transmission in active shutter glasses also varies with the viewing angle. The highest blocking of the light occurs at an angle perpendicular to the shutters. This means that while viewing a scene through these shutter glasses, one would observe greater leakage of light in the border areas of the shutters as compared to the center (provided the position of the eye is in the center of the shutters). It is important that the shutters are opened and closed with the right timings with respect to the image being displayed on the screen. Incorrect image will be observed if the shutters are opened too early or too late that will result in crosstalk.

Hence, the methods according to which crosstalk can occur when using active shutter glasses combined with an LCD display are:

- Optical performance of the liquid crystal cells i.e. crosstalk is proportional to the amount of light leaked while in opaque state.
- Incorrect synchronization of shutter glasses with respect to the display.
- Viewing angle through the shutter glasses.

- Pixel response rate of the LCD display. Higher response time will result in higher crosstalk.
- Image update method. The ideal time for opening of a shutter would be when an image has been completely displayed on the screen. However most LCDs update the image using vertical scanning and hence usually there is no time at which the image is completely displayed stably.
- The x,y location of the image on the screen. This is related to both the image update method and the viewing angle through the shutter glasses.
- The gray level being displayed. If the change in gray level is small then the response time will be large hence causing larger crosstalk.

2.4.2 Anaglyph Stereo

2.4.3 Polarized Stereo

Polarization is a property dealing with the controlled oscillation of light (and other waves). Typically light waves oscillate in a way that their phase shifts over time are unpredictable. Passing the light through special polarizing filters forces them to oscillate in a controlled manner[16]. Light can be polarized in a linear manner where the light waves are forced to oscillate in a plane or in a circular manner i.e. the orientation of the oscillations vary circularly with respect to time. In stereoscopy, light intended for the left and right eye can be encoded using linear polarization i.e. the polarization of the left eye light is orthogonal to the polarization of the right eye light in case linear polarization is used or the polarization of one view is clockwise and anticlockwise for the other view in case circular polarization is used.

In a typical 3D cinema setup, Images for the left and right eye are simultaneously projected on a silver screen by two projectors. Each of these projectors has a polarizing filter (opposite with respect to each other) attached to it. Viewers view the screen through cheap polarized glasses that has the exact same polarizing filters in its left and right lenses as the left and right projector. This way each of the polarized lens block the light from the other view hence giving an impression of 3D. However, as with every piece of technology, imperfections are also present in this setup. Firstly, the polarizing filters mounted on the projectors are not perfect and fail to properly polarize all light wavelengths and can not be made to be perfectly orthogonal (opposite to each other). [20]. Also the silver screen is unable to reflect the polarized light from the projectors without distorting the polarization. Different materials have different polarization properties

and up till now a material that perfectly preserves the polarization for all wavelengths has not been discovered. Lastly, it is hard to match the the polarization orientation of the projector filters to the filters present in the glasses. For example, in case of linear polarization, the orientation mismatch can easily occur if the viewers head is slightly tilted hence causing crosstalk [5]. Circular polarization however is less prone to this mismatch as compared to linear polarization hence it is more commonly used in 3D cinemas. In any case, failing to deliver properly polarized left and right image light to the glasses and any mismatch in the orientation of the glasses with respect to the projector filters will hinder the ability of the polarized 3D glasses to block the light from unintended view completely hence causing crosstalk.

In summary, the important factors to consider when dealing with the crosstalk in a typical 3D cinema setup are as follows:

- The optical properties of the polarizing filters used in the projectors and the glasses.
- The polarization preserving properties of the screen.
- The mismatch between the orientation of the projection filters with the orientation of the filters present in 3D glasses.

A stereo 3D setup can also be obtained by using a micro-polarized LCD screen used in conjunction with polarized 3D glasses that that similar to the cinema setup discussed above. A micro-polarized LCD screen consists of polarization filters (linear or circular) mounted on top of a conventional LCD screen. However, unlike the projective method where two images can be projected on the screen simultaneously, alternative rows (odd and even rows) of the LCD screen pixels are polarized oppositely to each other. Micro-polarized LCD screens have an advantage over the 3D screens used with active shutter glasses that crosstalk induced due to low refresh rate of the screen is not a problem. But this also comes at a cost of vertical spatial resolution of the the displays as the light from half of the row pixels will be blocked by each of the lens of the polarized glasses. Figure 2.6a illustrates a typical polarized LCD setup where the user will see different pixel rows in each eye. Figure 2.6b shows the construction of a typical Polarized LCD screen. It can be seen that the LCD cells are separated from the micro-polarizer film by a glass sheet that is typically 0.5 mm thick [20]. Hence a sensitivity to the viewing distance and position due to parallax is induced. This means that if the viewer is not located at the correct position or distance to the screen, he/she will be able to see the unintended rows of pixels along with the intended rows hence inducing crosstalk. In summary, the factors that contribute to the crosstalk in a micro-polarized LCD screen stereo setup are as follows:

- Matching of the orientation of the polarization filters in the glasses to the orientation of the micro-polarizing film present on the screen.
- The quality and ability of the micro-polarizing film to properly polarize the light.
- The accuracy of the alignment of micro-polarizing film to the LCD cells.
- x, y screen position of the screen. Due to the fact that viewer will typically be in a position where parallax error mentioned above will vary with the screen position.
- Viewing angle of the viewer as this will affect the matching between the orientation of the glasses and the screen polarization filters.




FIGURE 2.6: (a) A layout of a micro-polarized LCD screen where odd and even numbered rows are oppositely polarized. In this example, the viewer viewing the screen using the polarized glasses will see odd numbered pixel rows through the right eye and even numbered pixel rows in the left eye [20] (b) Construction of a typical micro-polarized LCD screen.

2.4.4 Automultiscopic Screens

discuss lightfeields here as well.

2.5 Crosstalk Quality Metrics

Chapter 3

Related work

It is widely believed that crosstalk in stereoscopic or automultiscopic screen results in reduced visual comfort, reduced disparity range, reduced contrast and most importantly, reduction of perceived depth. However, the literature is not thorough about how the crosstalk effects the perceived depth. Wilcox et al [19] performed a large scale experiment in which 77 observers were shown a small stereo footage in two commercial large-formate 3D theaters. The typical stereo degradations in theaters that were considered were crosstalk (ghosting), brightness, and the other qualities of 3D. The test subjects were asked to evaluate which one those degradations affected their experience the most. 75% of the subjects reported that ghosting was the most prominent in degrading their viewing experience. Write something more.

Below is a review of some of the work that has previously been done in this area.

3.1 Effects of crosstalk on perceived depth

K.C.Huang et al [7] performed intensive experiments in order to obtain a threshold for the system crosstalk that on average will not mitigate the effects of the depth from disparity. Since the viewer crosstalk is dependent upon the system crosstalk and the local contrast of the desperate object in the image, a uniform level of crosstalk (same crosstalk all over the screen which does not change with time) should not have the same effect on all kinds of stereo images. This is because the HVS sensitivity to detect the change in luminance follows Webber's law [1]. Which means that compared to areas of the image with high local contrast, the HVS is more tolerable towards crosstalk where the local contrast is low. Based on this idea and the fact that the HVS also uses monocular cues to estimate depth, they performed experiments using a Wheatstone setup (similar to

ours) and a set of images with various contrasts and disparities to finally propose that 10% system crosstalk is the maximum crosstalk that will mostly not affect the depth estimation via disparity. We observed in our experiments that even though above 10% of crosstalk heavily degrades the perceived depth, it still does not result in total depth loss due to disparity. On our high contrast images, we determined that crosstalk level of greater than 16% usually resulted in the total loss of depth.

Ghosted images due to crosstalk as seen in figure [make figure somewhere](#) can be seen as locally decreasing the contrast of the desperate object. Rohaly et al [10] determined the effects that contrast exhibits on the stereoscopically perceived depth. She concluded that decrease in contrast made the objects (crossed and uncrossed) appear to be farther from the viewers. Which means that the crossed objects with decreased contrast appeared to be moving towards the horopter whereas the uncrossed objects appeared to be moving away from the horopter. She also found that monocular contrast reduction amplified this effect more compared to the contrast reduction in both eyes [Mention this in the discussion of the results](#). It was also argued that the contrast exerts its effect before or at the extraction of depth.

The work that is most relevant to our work was performed by Tsirlin et al. They quantified the amount of depth loss due to crosstalk on objects of various dimensions and disparities. In 2011 [12], they performed experiments where the test subjects were asked to specify the observed depth at various depths of a stimulus (a rectangular structure) the width of which was chosen to be such that at any disparity, the ghost would not be completely separated. The luminance of the structure was set to be the maximum (white) on a completely black background. The subjects reported the observed depth via a slider bar located under the stimulus without any reference. [insert the stimulus and the graph here.](#) They observed that the perceived depth decreased with the increase in crosstalk as well as increase in disparity. In the second part of the experiment, they observed the effect of crosstalk on binocular occlusion and found out that effect of crosstalk on the perceived depth due to occlusion was even more swear. In 2012 [13], they performed similar experiments to observe the effects of crosstalk on thin structures where the ghost is always completely separated from the stimulus (which is usually the case with vertical thin structures present at a significant distance from the plane of focus). Again they found that observed depth degrades significantly as the disparity or the crosstalk level was increased. However this time the degradation was observed to be higher than the case where the ghosts always overlapped the stimulus. One problem with these experiments is that the stimuli are presented as a uniformly luminant objects on a black background where there is no other depth cue present. This is usually not the case with the complex scene that we typically observe in 3D movies. We observed in our test experiments that in such scenes the depth of the stimuli was extremely hard to perceive even at moderately

high disparities. Tsirlin also observed that the perceived depth degraded with disparity even in the base case i.e. the case where crosstalk was set to zero. This is not usually the case in complex scenes. Secondly, there was no reference used and the subjects were simply asked to report the observed depth via a slider bar that was controlled with a mouse. This ‘rating’ setup can be problematic because it can not be guaranteed that all the subjects were rating the same depth equally **write something better**. And finally, the relation of the stimulus width to the perceived depth at different disparities was not determined which we think is also necessary **why?**.

Tsirlin et al [11] again performed similar experiments but this time with complex natural scenes. The subjects were shown a complex scenes with the objects of interest marked by arrows. Later, they were shown the exact same images with crosstalk induced and were asked to rate the depth difference they observed between those two objects. The results again concluded that the perceived depth difference decreased as the theoretical depth difference between the objects of interest and the crosstalk increased.**write some criticism**.

3.2 Depth resolution mechanisms in HVS

It is clear that crosstalk in stereoscopic displays reduce the perceived depth proportional to the level of crosstalk and the disparity of an object. However, in order to understand why the perceived depth is degraded, we had to look into some literature where the depth information extraction by the HVS from disparity is investigated.

It is widely believed that the HVS uses some kind of cross-correlation of retinal patches between the two eyes in order to determine the location (or in other words the disparity) at which some object is located in a retinal image with respect to the other. Cormack [3] investigated the binocular fusion process with respect to contrast of the stimuli and concluded that the binocular fusion was dependent upon the contrast and the strength of the binocular cross-correlation profile of the stimuli. The cross-correlation was computed as a function of image luminance. The stimuli used in his experiments were random dot stereograms in which the number of white dots matching between the left and the right eye images at some disparity represented the interocular correlation. He concluded that at low contrast, the binocular fusion increased when as the contrast of the stimuli (white dots in the stereograms) increased. The reason behind this can be seen in figure **insert fig 6** where the cross-correlation (interocular correlation IOC) profiles at different contrasts has been plotted. The peaks in these profiles represents the disparity at which the two images matched the most. It is clear that as the contrast decreases, the distinctness of the these peaks also degrades which might make it difficult for the HVS

to identify. Same is also true if noise is added to the images as seen in figure [insert figure 7.](#) This can be one of the reasons for the degradation of the perceived depth in ghosted images as the ghost (due to crosstalk) in an image is just a low contrast version of the stimulus added into the image at some disparity effectively reducing the overall contrast. We used these finding in deriving our own model for the HVS that will be explained in chapter 5.

Filippini and Banks [4] explained the limits of stereopsis and modeled them by using windowed interocular cross-correlation. The neighborhood of each pixel in one stereo images (say left) was weighed by an isotropic Gaussian window followed by horizontally displacing a similar window in the right eye image. For each displacement, the cross-correlation of the windowed patches was computed. The disparity was obtained at the displacement value where the maximum correlation for the patches was obtained. The 2D cross-correlation formula used was as seen in equation 3.1.

$$c(\delta_x) = \frac{\sum_{(x,y) \in W_L} [(L(x,y) - \mu_L)(R(x - \delta_x, y) - \mu_R)]}{\sqrt{\sum_{(x,y) \in W_L} (L(x,y) - \mu_L)^2} \sqrt{\sum_{(x,y) \in W_R} (R(x - \delta_x, y) - \mu_R)^2}} \quad (3.1)$$

Where $L(x,y)$ and $R(x,y)$ are the image intensities for the left and right eye, W_L and W_R are the Gaussian windows applied to the images, μ_L and μ_R are the mean intensities within the two windows, and δ_x is the displacement (disparity) of window W_R relative to W_L . This way, the correct disparity for each object in stereo images can be computed. The problem is that this method also computes the correct non-degraded disparity even if the crosstalk is induced to the images. This does not correspond well to the HVS behavior. Hence we need an HVS model that can simulate not only the correct disparity estimation but also the degraded disparity when crosstalk is added to the images. [Mention the disparity gradient as explained in this paper in the discussion.](#)

3.3 Reduction of crosstalk

As mentioned in the previous sections, crosstalk severely hinders the perceived depth and reduces the overall visual quality and viewer comfort. Hence it is imperative for the crosstalk levels to be mitigated or at least lowered to an unperceivable level. Almost all of the 3D displays using present hardware technologies have some amount of crosstalk present in them. Fortunately it is possible to calculate the amount of leakage between views at any position for any display. Usually a luminance meter is used to detect the amount of light leaked between views. Once the leakage levels for a display system

are computed, image processing techniques can be used to preprocess the stereo images in such a way that results in mitigation or minimization of the perceived ghosting once they are displayed on any traditional 3D screen. Early attempts relied on subtracting from one view image, the leaked image intensity from other perspective view before displaying. This technique might result in negative light intensities in low contrast areas of the images. Since negative light can not be produced by any display, the areas of the preprocessed image that has negative intensities are clamped to zero. Which means that the crosstalk in these areas is not fully subtracted and hence the ghosting still persists. One way to avoid such problem is to increase the overall image intensity by some value such that no negative values are obtained after crosstalk subtraction. This however comes at a cost of decreased average contrast of an image hence degrading the overall image quality. In the following sections we will review some of the contemporary techniques that are used in order to remove the crosstalk as well as maintaining the overall image quality.

3.3.1 Stereoscopic screens

[Find the iterative approach paper](#)

3.3.1.1 Subtractive approaches

3.3.1.2 Perceptual optimization

3.3.1.3 Temporal approach

3.3.2 Automultiscopic screens

3.3.2.1 Inverse filtering

3.3.2.2 Subtractive reduction

3.3.2.3 Sub-pixel optimization

3.3.2.4 Low Pass filtering

Chapter 4

Experiments

4.1 Crosstalk Experiments

4.2 Parameters

4.3 stimuli

4.3.1 Stereo

4.3.2 Automultiscopic

4.4 Apparatus and simulation procedure

4.5 subjects

4.6 Results

4.7 Discussion

4.7.1 Stereo

4.7.2 Automultiscopic

Chapter 5

Model

5.1 Hypothesis and their results

5.1.1 Simple correlation model

5.1.2 Results

5.1.3 Windowed correlation model

5.1.4 Results

5.1.5 Simple correlation model

5.1.6 Results

5.1.7 PDF model

5.1.8 Results

5.1.9 Mathematical model

5.1.10 Results

5.2 Discussion

Chapter 6

Applications

6.1 Depth prediction application

6.2 Crosstalk mitigation

6.2.1 Proposed optimizations

6.2.2 Unsharp masking in view domain

6.2.3 Iterative subtraction

Chapter 7

Conclusion

7.1 Summary

7.2 Future Work

7.3 Open Questions

Bibliography

- [1] BOUMAN, C. A. Visual perception, contrast, gamma, and mtf. Accessed: 2015-09-29.
- [2] BURT, P., AND JULESZ, B. A disparity gradient limit for binocular fusion. *Science* 208, 4444 (1980), 615–617.
- [3] CORMACK, L. K., STEVENSON, S. B., AND SCHOR, C. M. Interocular correlation, luminance contrast and cyclopean processing. *Vision Research* 31, 12 (1991), 2195–2207.
- [4] FILIPPINI, H. R., AND BANKS, M. S. Limits of stereopsis explained by local cross-correlation. *Journal of Vision* 9, 1 (2009), 8.
- [5] HONG, H., JANG, J., LEE, D., LIM, M., AND SHIN, H. Analysis of angular dependence of 3-d technology using polarized eyeglasses. *Journal of the Society for Information Display* 18, 1 (2010), 8.
- [6] HOWARD, I. *Binocular vision and stereopsis*. Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [7] HUANG, K., YUAN, J.-C., TSAI, C.-H., HSUEH, W.-J., AND WANG, N.-Y. How crosstalk affects stereopsis in stereoscopic displays. In *Electronic Imaging 2003* (2003), International Society for Optics and Photonics, pp. 247–253.
- [8] LANDY, M. S., MALONEY, L. T., JOHNSTON, E. B., AND YOUNG, M. Measurement and modeling of depth cue combination: In defense of weak fusion. *Vision research* 35, 3 (1995), 389–412.
- [9] REICHELT, S., HÄUSSLER, R., FÜTTERER, G., AND LEISTER, N. Depth cues in human visual perception and their realization in 3d displays. In *SPIE Defense, Security, and Sensing* (2010), International Society for Optics and Photonics, pp. 76900B–76900B.
- [10] ROHALY, A. M., AND WILSON, H. R. The effects of contrast on perceived depth and depth discrimination. *Vision Research* 39, 1 (1999), 9–18.
- [11] TSIRLIN, I., ALLISON, R. S., AND WILCOX, L. M. Crosstalk reduces the amount of depth seen in 3d images of natural scenes. In *IS&T/SPIE Electronic Imaging* (2012), International Society for Optics and Photonics, pp. 82880W–82880W.
- [12] TSIRLIN, I., WILCOX, L. M., AND ALLISON, R. S. The effect of crosstalk on the perceived depth from disparity and monocular occlusions. *Broadcasting, IEEE Transactions on* 57, 2 (2011), 445–453.

-
- [13] TSIRLIN, I., WILCOX, L. M., AND ALLISON, R. S. Effect of crosstalk on depth magnitude in thin structures. *Journal of Electronic Imaging* 21, 1 (2012), 011003–1.
 - [14] WIKIPEDIA. Depth perception — wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, 2015. [Online; accessed 20-August-2015].
 - [15] WIKIPEDIA. Horopter — wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, 2015. [Online; accessed 21-August-2015].
 - [16] WIKIPEDIA. Polarized 3d system — wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, 2015. [Online; accessed 8-September-2015].
 - [17] WIKIPEDIA. Stereopsis — wikipedia, the free encyclopedia. [Online; accessed 21-August-2015].
 - [18] WIKIPEDIA. Stereoscope — wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, 2015. [Online; accessed 23-August-2015].
 - [19] WILCOX, L. M., AND STEWART, J. A. Determinants of perceived image quality: ghosting vs. brightness. In *Electronic Imaging 2003* (2003), International Society for Optics and Photonics, pp. 263–268.
 - [20] WOODS, A. J. Crosstalk in stereoscopic displays: a review. *Journal of Electronic Imaging* 21, 4 (2012), 040902–040902.

Index

HVS, [3](#)

IOC, [19](#)

LC, [9](#)