

School of Public Policy & Governance

**GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION
MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM**

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

- 1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?**

(100%) 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?

1 2 3 4 5 6 (7)

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 6 (7)



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

She was great w/ handling individual questions and very good at explaining steps. Very approachable.

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?

I actually think this was really good. Maybe just a great re-cap each day on what aspects of the week or the most imp. to focus on for the rest of the year - she did a good job of explaining that at the end of Day 4.



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

☒ 100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

☒ 100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments? 1 2 3 4 5 ☒ 6 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? 1 2 3 4 5 6 ☒ 7

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? 1 2 3 4 5 ☒ 6 ☒ 7

6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?

1 2 3 4 5 6 ☒ 7

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 6 ☒ 7



14 Queen's Park Cres. W.
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

**GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION
MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM**

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 N/A

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

I think C has a wonderful gift that allows her to communicate ideas that are typically opaque such that they come to life as if by magic.

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

Basics. Focus a bit more on the basics for students who need that help. It's hard to build up to stats when algebra isn't strong.

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?

Tell students to make sure they have a math ~~course~~ course (Basic) during the summer.

Courtney was amazing!!!!



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

☒ 100%

☐ 90%

☐ 70%

☐ 50%

☐ 10%

☐ 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

☒ 100%

☐ 90%

☐ 70%

☐ 50%

☐ 10%

☐ 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments?

☐ 1

☐ 2

☐ 3

☐ 4

☐ 5

☐ 6

☒ 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review?

☐ 1

☐ 2

☐ 3

☐ 4

☐ 5

☐ 6

☒ 7

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate?

☐ 1

☐ 2

☐ 3

☐ 4

☐ 5

☐ 6

☒ 7

6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?

☐ 1

☐ 2

☐ 3

☐ 4

☐ 5

☐ 6

☒ 7

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

☐ 1

☐ 2

☐ 3

☐ 4

☐ 5

☐ 6

☒ 7



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

**GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION
MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM**

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

- 6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?**

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

Very well done review - interesting + tried to match people's speed of learning.

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?

It might be nice to have a few more practice questions on areas of particular struggle - as well as a greater range of difficulty - might help people figure out where they are getting stuck.



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

**GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION
MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM**

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

100%

90%

70%

50%

10%

0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

100%

90%

70%

50%

10%

0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

- | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3. How useful were the assignments? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session? | | | | | | | |

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

Given that I ranked the instructor so highly, I do not have much to add here.

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?

I would give the ?'s in advance & make the ~~same~~ review shorter.



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

**GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION
MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM**

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

- 6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?**

1 2 3 4 5 6 (7)

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 6 (7)



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

Great review session - answered questions really well, was always organized and prepared!

It was really helpful to have this review session.

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?

The session itself was great.

Maybe have orientation before math camp starts if possible, so that people can meet each other first and have questions about the program itself answered beforehand



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

**GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION
MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM**

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?

1 2 3 4 5 6 (7)

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

- reviewed material succinctly - yet fairly thoroughly
- ^{instructor} ~~teacher~~ gave plenty of examples to support ~~the~~ the concepts

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?

- I found the session very helpful



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

**GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION
MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM**

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

Very well done - enjoyable and informative

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?

send out an itinerary (syllabus) before the math camp starts.



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

**GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION
MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM**

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

- | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3. How useful were the assignments? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION
MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7



School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

- more elaboration of the steps involved in solving problems would be helpful.
- class should be taught to lowest denominator and little should be assumed about how much we know.

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?

- make it two weeks in 2 days instead of 1 for each of the four sections.



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

**GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION
MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM**

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

overall excellent work!

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

**GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION
MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM**

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

100% (90%) 70% 50% 10% 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

- 6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?**

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

She was extremely patient where we had to have things we didn't understand gone over again.

- She was very receptive to input and involved the class.

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?

- start the review on Monday at least have 5 days.



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

☒ 100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

☒ 100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments? 1 2 3 4 5 6 ☒ 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? 1 2 3 4 5 6 ☒ 7

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? 1 2 3 4 5 6 ☒ 7

6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?

1 2 3 4 5 6 ☒ 7

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 6 ☒ 7



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

Courtney was great! She was very clear at explaining concepts and wonderful at answering questions.

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?

Get Courtney again!



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION
MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?

1 2 3 4 5 6 (7)

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

Fax: 416-978-5079

www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

Excellent preparation and presentation skills
Very well organized

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?

Also include more applications
beyond math concepts



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

☒ 100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

☒ 100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments? 1 2 3 4 5 ☒ 6 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? 1 2 3 4 5 6 ☒ 7

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? 1 2 3 4 5 6 ☒ 7

6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?

1 2 3 4 5 6 ☒ 7

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 6 ☒ 7



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

Courtney is incredibly patient and provides great explanations!

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?

For me, my strengths in the program will be math + stats, but I don't have a background in policy / politics... Since I don't know how much knowledge is assumed in this area, I'm not sure what I should be familiar with beforehand.



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION
MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

- | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3. How useful were the assignments? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

- She is amazing. I wish she was the TA
for the course

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?

Too bad she's not a full time professor ~~but~~ because
she is so great at explaining concepts.



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

**GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION
MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM**

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

- 6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?**

1 2 3 4 5 (6) 7

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 6 (7)



14 Queen's Park Cres., W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

Courtney did an excellent job at explaining and/or reviewing the material. She answered questions with patience and did her best to dispel any confusion. At this point there is no criticism of her teaching style that I can comment on.

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?

Perhaps longer sessions and more homework - I would have appreciated more practice.



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

**GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION
MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM**

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

- 6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?**

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

- Courtney is very approachable & willing to help. She goes through all questions.
- She could have more examples given, and sometimes needs to be more clear on each specific step.

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?

- The review was very helpful!
- Even More Time on the beginning stuff (algebra) would be helpful for those who have little math experience. Honestly, I thought it would be easier, more basic math. TL was a helpful thought!



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

Her speaking was clear and generally precise. She took excellent time to explain any and all aspects covered. In future, it may be beneficial to demonstrate even "routine" math functions because this often slowed up the class.

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

V. Well- Personable, very prepared, efficient, knowledgeable, friendly.

I'm not sure how she could improve; tackled
the different backgrounds
very well

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?

Before I came to the Arts & Science program, a review booklet
was mailed; conceptually so we could work through it.

Maybe both could happen - a
short review book w/ main ideas.



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

**GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION
MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM**

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments? 1 2 3 4 5 (6) 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? 1 2 3 4 5 6 (7

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? 1 2 3 4 5 6 (7)

6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?

1 2 3 4 5 6 (7)

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 6 (7)



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

Really well taught through.
Maybe going more steps by steps

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?

Make someone available throughout the whole year.



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION
MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

☒ 100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

☒ 100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments? 1 2 3 4 5 ☒ 6 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? 1 2 3 4 5 6 ☒ 7

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? 1 2 3 4 5 6 ☒ 7

6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?

1 2 3 4 5 6 ☒ 7

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 6 ☒ 7



School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

I would have benefitted from more exercises and practice questions. Although, Courtney told me where I could find more.

The instructor was excellent overall:
she was motivated, inspiring, organized, clear, and efficient.

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?

As above, I personally felt I needed more homework to practice!

Apart from that I think the math review is excellent and, essential.



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION
MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

Courtney gave me
confidence that
things / math is
intuitive.

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

for Courtney: Thank you for telling
us that things are
intuitive in
mathematics!

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?

Thank you for doing this!

send out things to review in the summer
[a summer workbook...



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION
MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

- 1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?**

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?

1 2 3 4 5 6 (7)

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 6 (7)



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

Maybe hurry along the 2nd session
a bit more so that we have more
time for the other 3 (?)

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?

same as question # 8



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

**GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION
MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM**

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

- 1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?**

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

→ Courtney was great. She gave a clear overview of what would be ~~covered~~ covered in the review/in classes.

The questions/examples in class were sometimes too difficult ~~to solve~~ "problems from the previous year" as opposed to simple introductions to concepts. Initial Examples ~~could be~~ could be clearer / more simplified.

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?

→ Offer an easier Review course and subsequent sessions throughout the semester, as material is presented.



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

**GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION
MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM**

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

- 2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?**

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments? 1 2 3 4 (5) 6 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 (6) 7



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

The instructor is able to communicate the material very clearly. She is very well prepared for the course.

She needs to focus more on providing correct answers + equations 100% of the time. There were times when the students had to correct her.

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?

This may be a good question to ask after the quantitative methods and microeconomics classes.



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION

MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

- slightly clearer examples with more realistic examples might be helpful, but otherwise everything was good

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?

- a slightly slower paced review might be helpful for many students who found it to move a little too fast



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION
MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

- 2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?**

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?

1 2 3 4 5 6 (7)

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 6 (7



14 Queen's Park Cres. W Tel: 416-978-5120
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg. Fax: 416-978-5079
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9 www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca
Canada

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

She made the subject ^{more} interesting
than I thought it would be.

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION
MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7



School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

The only advice I can think of is to be prepared to show every sequential step in the process when completing calculations (i.e. substitutions, insertions, etc). Thanks for being patient & trying to clarify things when they were not clear.

A good balance between notes & practice examples.

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?

A few more (optional) review questions to work on outside of class.

More economics/economic definitions.



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

**GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION
MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM**

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

- | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3. How useful were the assignments? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session? | | | | | | | |

1 2 3 4 5 6 (7)

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 6 (7)



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

School of Public Policy & Governance
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

~~the~~ Courtney was very helpful
and communicated clearly w/
great examples.

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?

I think the review was
very useful, perhaps we
could be introduced to how
equations relate more directly
to public policy.



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

**GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION
MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM**

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 (6) 7



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

→ the instructor was very well prepared and explained most concepts clearly

→ the instructor could, at times, break down concepts further

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?

→ provide more practice problems and perhaps a package that includes all the basic principles discussed



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

☒ 100% ☐ 90% ☐ 70% ☐ 50% ☐ 10% ☐ 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

☒ 100% ☐ 90% ☐ 70% ☐ 50% ☐ 10% ☐ 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments?

1 2 3 4 5 6 ☒ 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review?

1 2 3 4 5 6 ☒ 7

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate?

1 2 3 4 5 6 ☒ 7

6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?

1 2 3 4 5 6 ☒ 7

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 6 ☒ 7



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

- perhaps it would help everyone to follow along (without having to back-track) if no steps in calculations were skipped (even if they are easy ones)

!!
😊

- great interaction / personability with students

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

☒ 100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?

☒ 100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments? 1 2 3 4 5 6 ☒ 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 *did not seek help after class*

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? 1 2 3 4 5 6 ☒ 7

6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?

1 2 3 4 5 6 ☒ 7 *awesome!*

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 6 ☒ 7



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

*she's a wonderful teacher.
thanks for a very productive week.*

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

- I felt the review was very well organized & presented. The flow of information & topics was logical and the examples presented were relevant & helpful.
- She followed the class pace of comprehension - which generally is appreciated. However, near the end of the week/review sessions - topics were a little rushed (though still presented/taught with great care) & and these 'end of session' topics tended to be more on the complex side.
- She is a wonderful instructor overall and very knowledgeable.

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?

- possibly provide one-on-one help after review to student who still feel that a concept is hard to understand so that a fair amount of time is allocated to all topics under review.
- definitely hold the review session for upcoming years. Incredibly helpful!

Thank You.



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca

School of Public Policy & Governance
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

**GRADUATE TEACHING EVALUATION
MASTER OF PUBLIC POLICY (MPP) 2008 PROGRAM**

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire comprises two parts. Part I consists of multiple choice questions; Part II requires written answers. We thank you for completing this mathematics and statistics review evaluation. Your responses will assist the School and future students in evaluating and further developing this session and the instructor.

COURSE: Mathematics and Statistics Review
INSTRUCTOR(S): Courtney Ward

PART I: PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (please circle your response)

1. For approximately what percentage of the four (4) sessions was the instructor well prepared?

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

- 2. Approximately what percentage of sessions did you attend?**

100% 90% 70% 50% 10% 0%

Please answer the following questions using the following scale (please circle your response):

1 = very low 2 = poor 3 = below average 4 = average 5 = above average 6 = very high 7 = outstanding

3. How useful were the assignments? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4. How helpful was the instructor outside the review? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5. How clearly did the instructor communicate? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

6. How did the instructor handle questions during the session?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

7. How would you rank the overall ability of the instructor?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

School of Public Policy & Governance

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

PART TWO

8. What comments or advice, if any, do you have for the instructor? What has the instructor done particularly well in the review? What aspects of teaching require more attention?

Provided examples that were helpful to comprehending applications.

Could possibly explain more slowly when discussing mathematical steps to solving problems.

9. What suggestions or recommendations, if any, do you have for areas where the School can develop and improve this review for next year's students?

Maybe provide students w/ a formula sheet.



14 Queen's Park Cres. W
3rd Floor Canadiana Bldg.
Toronto, ON M5S 3K9
Canada

Tel: 416-978-5120
Fax: 416-978-5079
www.publicpolicy.utoronto.ca