Course: L32 363 (same as L55 330A, **Section:** 01

L55 513A)

Semester: Spring 2015

Instructors: Ambreen Chaudhri (TA), Dalston Ward (TA), Deborah Sinclair

(Instructor)

Completed Evaluations: 20 of 64 (31%)

Scoring Key

Bold - Score

(S) - System's Average Score For the Template

(D) - Department's Average Score For the Template

(M) - Median Score For the Question

Course Section Requirements:

Number of Quizzes	n/a
Number of Examinations	n/a
Number of short papers (1-5pgs)	n/a
Number of long papers (6 +pgs)	n/a
Number of homework assignments	n/a
Number of individual projects	n/a
Number of group projects	n/a
Number of oral presentations	n/a
Was attendance required?	No
Was class participation required?	No
Were take home exams given?	No

Discussion Section (Teaching Assistant)

Overall Evaluation (Dalston Ward - TA)

5.93		Give an overall rating for the section									
` '	5.76 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent		
	5.91 of 292 6.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	5 (25.00%)	5 (25.00%)	4 (20.00%)		
6.07		Give an over	rall rating of t	he teaching	effectiveness						
(S)	5.69 of 11619	Give an over	rall rating of t	the teaching	effectiveness 3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent		
(S) (D)			_	J		4	5 3 (15.00%)	6 7 (35.00%)	7 - Excellent 4 (20.00%)		

6.30		Material was	Material was presented clearly									
(S)	5.79 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree			
	6.12 of 292 6.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (5.00%)	5 (25.00%)	4 (20.00%)			

6.50	Questions	were answere	ed clearly and	d concisely				
(S) 5.79 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.17 of 292 (M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (5.00%)	3 (15.00%)	6 (30.00%)
6.50	Material wa	s presented a	at an appropi	riate pace				
(S) 5.86 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.23 of 292 (M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (5.00%)	3 (15.00%)	6 (30.00%)
6.60	The TA was	s well prepare	ed for class					
(S) 6.05 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.24 of 292 (M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (5.00%)	2 (10.00%)	7 (35.00%)
6.40	Class time	was used we	II					
(S) 5.83 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.19 of 292 (M) 6.50	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (5.00%)	4 (20.00%)	5 (25.00%)
6.40	The TA effe	ectively led th	e class					
(S) 5.75 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.95 of 292 (M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (10.00%)	2 (10.00%)	6 (30.00%)
6.67	Topics wer	e effectively r	elated to the	lectures				
(0) 0.00 -(.44040	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.39 of 292 (M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (15.00%)	6 (30.00%)
6.44	Communic	ated at a leve	l annronriate	for the class				
(S) 6.00 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.25 of 292 (M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (5.00%)	3 (15.00%)	5 (25.00%)
Interaction with stud	lents (Dalsto	n Ward - TA)						

.36	Expectation	ns were clear	ly explained									
S) 5.85 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree				
D) 6.05 of 292 M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (5.00%)	0 (0.00%)	4 (20.00%)	6 (30.00%)				
.17	Grading p	ocedures wer	e fair									
S) 5.86 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree				
D) 5.91 of 292 M) 6.50	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	4 (20.00%)	2 (10.00%)	6 (30.00%)				
.58	TA was co	A was concerned for students										
S) 5.95 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree				
D) 6.28 of 292 M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (10.00%)	1 (5.00%)	9 (45.00%)				
.67	TA was av	ailable for cor	sultation ou	tside of class	i							
6.08 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree				
D) 6.51 of 292 M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (5.00%)	2 (10.00%)	9 (45.00%)				
.75	TA mainta	ined positive	environment	in class								
S) 6.03 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree				
O) 6.47 of 292 M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (15.00%)	9 (45.00%)				

Short Answers (Dalston Ward - TA)

Is there something important about the section not covered by these questions?

06 n/a 18 N/A

Instructor Short Answers (Dalston Ward - TA)

What did you like <u>most</u> about the teaching of this section by the TA?

- He was able to identify when students needed extra help, and was then able to reword what he was teaching in a way that was easy to understand.
- Dalston clearly cared about the course and was very willing to help when needed. He always clearly explained things when asked, he just struggled sometimes on initial explanations, but did much better when asked to clarify.

- Very helpful, clearly explained material.
 Very helpful, patient and accessible
 Dalston's knowledgeable nature was perceptible during his section, and his jokes would also help to lighten the mood, while he enlightened us.
- Dalston Ward took time to very thoroughly explain concepts covered in class.

What did you like <u>least</u> about the teaching of this section by the TA?

- OO Sometimes he would ask us to do something and I'd be completely lost as to how to do it (luckily he was good about walking me through it)
- 06 n/a
- 18 N/A

Course: L32 363 (same as L55 330A, Section: A

L55 513A)

Semester: Spring 2015

Instructors: Ambreen Chaudhri (TA), Dalston Ward (TA), Deborah Sinclair

(Instructor)

Completed Evaluations: 7 of 14 (50%)

Scoring Key

Bold - Score

(S) - System's Average Score For the Template

(D) - Department's Average Score For the Template

(M) - Median Score For the Question

Course	Section	Requirements:

Number of Quizzes	n/a
Number of Examinations	n/a
Number of short papers (1-5pgs)	n/a
Number of long papers (6 +pgs)	n/a
Number of homework assignments	n/a
Number of individual projects	n/a
Number of group projects	n/a
Number of oral presentations	n/a
Was attendance required?	No
Was class participation required?	No
Were take home exams given?	No

Discussion Section (Teaching Assistant)

Overall Evaluation (Dalston Ward - TA)

Give an ov	Give an overall rating for the section										
(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent				
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (42.86%)	2 (28.57%)	2 (28.57%)				
Give an overall rating of the teaching effectiveness											
(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent				
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (14.29%)	4 (57.14%)	2 (28.57%)				
	(blank) 0 (0.00%) Give an ov (blank)	(blank) 1 - Poor 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) Give an overall rating o (blank) 1 - Poor	(blank) 1 - Poor 2 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) Give an overall rating of the teaching (blank) 1 - Poor 2	0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) Give an overall rating of the teaching effectivenes (blank) 1 - Poor 2 3	(blank) 1 - Poor 2 3 4 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) Give an overall rating of the teaching effectiveness (blank) 1 - Poor 2 3 4	(blank) 1 - Poor 2 3 4 5 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 3 (42.86%) Give an overall rating of the teaching effectiveness (blank) 1 - Poor 2 3 4 5	(blank) 1 - Poor 2 3 4 5 6 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 3 (42.86%) 2 (28.57%) Give an overall rating of the teaching effectiveness (blank) 1 - Poor 2 3 4 5 6				

6.	20	Material w	Material was presented clearly										
(S) 5.79 of 1161	9 (blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree				
•	6.12 of 292 6.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	4 (57.14%)	1 (14.29%)				

6.60	Ouestions	were answere	nd clearly and	l concisely				
(S) 5.79 of 11619			_	_				
(3) 3.79 01 11019	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.17 of 292	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (28.57%)	3 (42.86%)
(M) 7.00		0 (0.0078)	0 (0.0070)	0 (0.0078)	0 (0.0070)	0 (0.0070)	2 (20.57 70)	3 (HZ.0070)
6.40	Material wa	s presented a	at an appropi	iate pace				
(S) 5.86 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.23 of 292	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	4 (44 200()	1 (11 200/)	2 (42 000()
(M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (14.29%)	1 (14.29%)	3 (42.86%)
6.40	The TA was	s well prepare	ed for class					
	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.24 of 292 (M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (14.29%)	1 (14.29%)	3 (42.86%)
6.20	Class time	was used we	II					
(S) 5.83 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.19 of 292 (M) 6.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (14.29%)	2 (28.57%)	2 (28.57%)
6.40	The TA effe	ctively led th	e class					
(S) 5.75 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.95 of 292 (M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (14.29%)	1 (14.29%)	3 (42.86%)
6.60	Topics wer	e effectively r	elated to the	lectures				
(S) 6.00 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.39 of 292	0 (0 000()	_	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	0 (0 00%)	0 (0 000()	0 (00 570()	
(M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (28.57%)	3 (42.86%)
6.20	Communic	ated at a leve	l appropriate	for the class				
(S) 6.00 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.25 of 292 (M) 6.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (14.29%)	2 (28.57%)	2 (28.57%)
Interaction with stud	lents (Dalsto	n Ward - TA)						

6.00)	Expectatio	ns were clear	ly explained					
(S)	5.85 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
` '	6.05 of 292 6.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (14.29%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (42.86%)	2 (28.57%)
5.83	3	Grading pr	ocedures wer	e fair					
(S)	5.86 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
	5.91 of 292 5.50	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (42.86%)	1 (14.29%)	2 (28.57%)
6.50)	TA was co	ncerned for st	udents					
(S)	5.95 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
. ,	6.28 of 292 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (14.29%)	1 (14.29%)	4 (57.14%)
6.83	3	TA was ava	ailable for con	sultation ou	tside of class				
(S)	6.08 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
	6.51 of 292 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (14.29%)	5 (71.43%)
6.83	3	TA maintained positive environment in class							
(S)	6.03 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
. ,	6.47 of 292 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (14.29%)	5 (71.43%)

Short Answers (Dalston Ward - TA)

Is there something important about the section not covered by these questions?

06 N/

Instructor Short Answers (Dalston Ward - TA)

What did you like <u>most</u> about the teaching of this section by the TA?

- He was able to identify when students needed extra help, and was then able to reword what he was teaching in a way that was easy to understand.
- Dalston clearly cared about the course and was very willing to help when needed. He always clearly explained things when asked, he just struggled sometimes on initial explanations, but did much better when asked to clarify.
- Very helpful, patient and accessible

Dalston's knowledgeable nature was perceptible during his section, and his jokes would also help to lighten the mood, while he enlightened us.

What did you like <u>least</u> about the teaching of this section by the TA?

Sometimes he would ask us to do something and I'd be completely lost as to how to do it (luckily he was good about walking me through it)

06 N/A

Course: L32 363 (same as L55 330A, Section: B

L55 513A)

Semester: Spring 2015

Instructors: Ambreen Chaudhri (TA), Dalston Ward (TA), Deborah Sinclair

(Instructor)

Completed Evaluations: 3 of 19 (16%)

Scoring Key

Bold - Score

(S) - System's Average Score For the Template

(D) - Department's Average Score For the Template

(M) - Median Score For the Question

Course Section Requirements:

Number of Quizzes	n/a
Number of Examinations	n/a
Number of short papers (1-5pgs)	n/a
Number of long papers (6 +pgs)	n/a
Number of homework assignments	n/a
Number of individual projects	n/a
Number of group projects	n/a
Number of oral presentations	n/a
Was attendance required?	No
Was class participation required?	No
Were take home exams given?	No
-	

Discussion Section (Teaching Assistant)

Overall Evaluation (Dalston Ward - TA)

5.67	Give an ov	erall rating fo	or the section	1				
(S) 5.76 of 116	9 (blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 5.91 of 292 (M) 6.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (33.33%)	2 (66.67%)	0 (0.00%)
5.67	Give an ov	erall rating o	f the teaching	g effectivenes	ss			
(S) 5.69 of 116	9 (blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 6.01 of 292 (M) 6.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (33.33%)	2 (66.67%)	0 (0.00%)

6.0	0	Material was presented clearly										
(S)	5.79 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree			
` '	6.12 of 292 6.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (33.33%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (33.33%)			

6.00	Ougstiens		al alaarly and	d aanaiaalu				
6.00	Questions	were answere	ed clearly and	a concisely				
(S) 5.79 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.17 of 292	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	4 (00 000()	0 (0 000()	4 (00 000)
(M) 6.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (33.33%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (33.33%)
6.50	Material wa	s presented a	at an appropi	riate pace				
(S) 5.86 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.23 of 292	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	. (22 222)	/ (00 000)
(M) 6.50	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (33.33%)	1 (33.33%)
7.00	The TA was	s well prepare	ed for class					
(S) 6.05 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.24 of 292 (M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (66.67%)
6.50	Class time	was used we	II					
(S) 5.83 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.19 of 292 (M) 6.50	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (33.33%)	1 (33.33%)
6.00	The TA effe	ectively led th	e class					
(S) 5.75 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.95 of 292 (M) 6.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (33.33%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (33.33%)
7.00	Topics wer	e effectively r	elated to the	lectures				
(S) 6.00 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.39 of 292	0 (0 000()	-	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000)	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	
(M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (33.33%)
7.00	Communic	ated at a leve	l appropriate	for the class				
(S) 6.00 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.25 of 292	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (33.33%)
(M) 7.00		J (0.0070)	J (0.0070)	0 (0.0070)	U (U.UU/U)	J (0.0070)	- (0.0070)	. (05:0570)
Interaction with stud	lents (Dalsto	n Ward - TA)						

7.00)	Expectatio	ns were clear	ly explained					
(S)	5.85 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
	6.05 of 292 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (33.33%)
6.50)	Grading pr	ocedures wer	e fair					
(S)	5.86 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
	5.91 of 292 6.50	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (33.33%)	1 (33.33%)
6.00)	TA was co	ncerned for st	udents					
(S)	5.95 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
` '	6.28 of 292 6.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (33.33%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (33.33%)
6.50	1	TA was ava	ailable for con	sultation ou	tside of class				
(S)	6.08 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
` '	6.51 of 292 6.50	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (33.33%)	1 (33.33%)
6.50)	TA maintai	ned positive e	environment	in class				
(S)	6.03 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
` '	6.47 of 292 6.50	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (33.33%)	1 (33.33%)

Is there something important about the section not covered by these questions?

Instructor Short Answers (Dalston Ward - TA)

Short Answers (Dalston Ward - TA)

What did you like <u>most</u> about the teaching of this section by the TA?

What did you like <u>least</u> about the teaching of this section by the TA?

Course: L32 363 (same as L55 330A, Section: C

L55 513A)

Semester: Spring 2015

Instructors: Ambreen Chaudhri (TA), Dalston Ward (TA), Deborah Sinclair

(Instructor)

Completed Evaluations: 4 of 11 (36%)

Scoring Key

Bold - Score

(S) - System's Average Score For the Template

(D) - Department's Average Score For the Template

(M) - Median Score For the Question

Course Section Requirements:

Number of Quizzes	n/a
Number of Examinations	n/a
Number of short papers (1-5pgs)	n/a
Number of long papers (6 +pgs)	n/a
Number of homework assignments	n/a
Number of individual projects	n/a
Number of group projects	n/a
Number of oral presentations	n/a
Was attendance required?	No
Was class participation required?	No
Were take home exams given?	No

Discussion Section (Teaching Assistant)

Overall Evaluation (Dalston Ward - TA)

7.00	Give an ov	erall rating fo	or the section	l						
(S) 5.76 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent		
(D) 5.91 of 292 (M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)		
7.00	Give an overall rating of the teaching effectiveness									
(S) 5.69 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent		
(D) 6.01 of 292 (M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)		

7.00		Material wa	Material was presented clearly										
(S) 5.7	79 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree				
(D) 6.1 (M) 7.0	12 of 292 00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)				

7.00	Ouestions	wore encurer	d alearly and	d concincty				
	Questions	were answere	eu clearly and	a concisely				
(S) 5.79 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.17 of 292	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	4 (05 000/)
(M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)
7.00	Material wa	s presented a	at an appropi	riate pace				
(S) 5.86 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.23 of 292	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	4 (05 000)
(M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)
7.00	The TA was	s well prepare	ed for class					
(S) 6.05 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.24 of 292 (M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)
7.00	Class time	was used we	II					
(S) 5.83 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.19 of 292 (M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)
7.00	The TA effe	ctively led th	e class					
(S) 5.75 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.95 of 292 (M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)
7.00	Topics wer	e effectively r	elated to the	lectures				
(S) 6.00 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.39 of 292	0 (0 000()	_	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	0 (0 000()	
(M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)
7.00	Communic	ated at a leve	l appropriate	for the class				
(S) 6.00 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.25 of 292 (M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)
Interaction with stud	lents (Dalsto	n Ward - TA)						

.00	Expectation	ns were clear	ly explained					
S) 5.85 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
O) 6.05 of 292 M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)
.00	Grading p	ocedures wer	e fair					
S) 5.86 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
D) 5.91 of 292 M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)
.00	TA was co	ncerned for st	tudents					
S) 5.95 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
O) 6.28 of 292 M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)
.00	TA was av	ailable for cor	sultation ou	tside of class	i			
6.08 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
O) 6.51 of 292 M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)
.00	TA mainta	ined positive	environment	in class				
S) 6.03 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
O) 6.47 of 292 M) 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)

Short Answers (Dalston Ward - TA)

Is there something important about the section not covered by these questions?

Instructor Short Answers (Dalston Ward - TA)

What did you like <u>most</u> about the teaching of this section by the TA?

Very helpful, clearly explained material.

What did you like <u>least</u> about the teaching of this section by the TA?

01 n/a

Course: L32 363 (same as L55 330A, Section: D

L55 513A)

Semester: Spring 2015

Instructors: Ambreen Chaudhri (TA), Dalston Ward (TA), Deborah Sinclair

(Instructor)

Completed Evaluations: 6 of 20 (30%)

Scoring Key

Bold - Score

(S) - System's Average Score For the Template

(D) - Department's Average Score For the Template

(M) - Median Score For the Question

Course	Section	Requirements:

Number of Quizzes	n/a
Number of Examinations	n/a
Number of short papers (1-5pgs)	n/a
Number of long papers (6 +pgs)	n/a
Number of homework assignments	n/a
Number of individual projects	n/a
Number of group projects	n/a
Number of oral presentations	n/a
Was attendance required?	No
Was class participation required?	No
Were take home exams given?	No

Discussion Section (Teaching Assistant)

Overall Evaluation (Dalston Ward - TA)

6.00)	Give an ov	erall rating fo	or the section						
	5.76 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent	
(D) (M)	5.91 of 292 6.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	1 (16.67%)	1 (16.67%)	
6.00)	Give an ov	erall rating of	f the teaching	effectivenes	ss				

(S) 5.69 of 11619 (blank) 2 5 7 - Excellent 1 - Poor (D) 6.01 of 292 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 1 (16.67%) 1 (16.67%) 1 (16.67%) (M) 6.00

6.50)	Material was presented clearly									
(S)	5.79 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree		
(D)	6.12 of 292	0 (0.00%)	%) 0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	. (0=0()		
(M)	6.50								1 (16.67%)		

Questions were answered clearly and concisely									
(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree		
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	1 (16.67%)		
Material was presented at an appropriate pace									
(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree		
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	1 (16.67%)		
The TA was well prepared for class									
(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree		
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	1 (16.67%)		
Class time was used well									
(blank)	1 - Strongly	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree		
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	1 (16.67%)		
The TA effectively led the class									
(blank)	1 - Strongly	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree		
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	1 (16.67%)		
Topics were effectively related to the lectures									
(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree		
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	1 (16.67%)		
Communicated at a level appropriate for the class									
(blank)	1 - Strongly	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree		
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	1 (16.67%)		
	(blank) 0 (0.00%) Material w (blank) 0 (0.00%) The TA wa (blank) 0 (0.00%) The TA eff (blank) 0 (0.00%) Topics we (blank) 0 (0.00%) Communic (blank)	(blank) 1 - Strongly Disagree 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) Material was presented (blank) 1 - Strongly Disagree 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) The TA was well prepare (blank) 1 - Strongly Disagree 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) Class time was used we (blank) 1 - Strongly Disagree 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) The TA effectively led the (blank) 1 - Strongly Disagree 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) Topics were effectively (blank) 1 - Strongly Disagree 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) Communicated at a level (blank) 1 - Strongly Disagree 0 (0.00%) 1 - Strongly Disagree 0 (0.00%) 1 - Strongly Disagree	(blank) 1 - Strongly Disagree 2 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) Material was presented at an approprosed (blank) 1 - Strongly Disagree 2 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) The TA was well prepared for class (blank) 1 - Strongly Disagree 2 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) Class time was used well (blank) 1 - Strongly Disagree 2 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) The TA effectively led the class (blank) 1 - Strongly Disagree 2 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) Topics were effectively related to the class (blank) 1 - Strongly Disagree 2 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) Communicated at a level appropriate (blank) 1 - Strongly Disagree 2	(blank) 1 - Strongly Disagree 2 3 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) Material was presented at an appropriate pace (blank) 1 - Strongly Disagree 2 3 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) The TA was well prepared for class (blank) 1 - Strongly Disagree 2 3 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) Class time was used well (blank) 1 - Strongly Disagree 2 3 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) The TA effectively led the class (blank) 1 - Strongly Disagree 2 3 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) Topics were effectively related to the lectures (blank) 1 - Strongly Disagree 2 3 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) Communicated at a level appropriate for the class					

Interaction with students (Dalston Ward - TA)

6.67	7	Expectations were clearly explained										
(S)	5.85 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree			
` '	6.05 of 292 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	2 (33.33%)			
6.33		Grading procedures were fair										
(S)	5.86 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree			
	5.91 of 292 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (33.33%)			
7.00)	TA was concerned for students										
(S)	5.95 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree			
	6.28 of 292 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (50.00%)			
6.33		TA was available for consultation outside of class										
(S)	6.08 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree			
	6.51 of 292 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (33.33%)			
6.67		TA maintained positive environment in class										
(S)	6.03 of 11619	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree			
	6.47 of 292 7.00	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	2 (33.33%)			

Is there something important about the section not covered by these questions?

Instructor Short Answers (Dalston Ward - TA)

Short Answers (Dalston Ward - TA)

What did you like <u>most</u> about the teaching of this section by the TA?

Dalston Ward took time to very thoroughly explain concepts covered in class.

What did you like <u>least</u> about the teaching of this section by the TA?