

# Estimation of Effects of Endogenous Time-Varying Covariates: A Comparison Of Multilevel Modeling and Generalized Estimating Equations

Proposal

Ward B. Eiling (9294163), June 19, 2024

September 28, 2024

## Table of contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Analytic strategy</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>References</b>	<b>5</b>

Add to title page:

- Supervisors/Promotors: Ellen Hamaker, Jeroen Mulder
- Department: Methodology and Statistics
- Candidate journal: Psychological Methods
- Word count: XXX

## 1 Introduction

- Start with a paragraph describing a problem in the real-life world (so that a BoS member not familiar with statistics understands why you are pursuing research in this direction);
- Then, add a paragraph describing what is known in the literature;
- Also, describe what is not known and which gap you will address in your thesis;
- End with a clear research question and, if applicable, your hypothesis (for confirmatory research questions – it should be a testable hypothesis) or expectations (for exploratory research questions – can be much vaguer because of the explorative nature of the question).

This project aims to address and better understand the biases in the parameter estimates of a multilevel model, when there are endogenous time-varying covariates (e.g., Micro-randomized trials). The study will investigate the issue introduced in Qian et al. (2020), reframing the problem using visualizations such as Directed Acyclic Graphs (DAGs) and path diagrams. Additionally, it will compare the multilevel models with alternative approaches, including Generalized Estimating Equations (GEE) and Dynamic Structural Equation Modeling (DSEM), through simulations and empirical analysis.

In the research report, the problem will be restated using visualizations to enhance understanding. The biases associated with multilevel models in the presence of endogenous time-varying confounders will be explored, utilizing path diagrams or Directed Acyclic Graphs (DAGs) to illustrate the problem as described by Qian et al. (2020). To further investigate, data will be simulated based on the descriptions provided in the study, replicating the conditions under which time-varying confounders and endogenous covariates affect the analysis. The next step involves reproducing the results of multilevel model and GEE as discussed in Qian et al. (2020). This will include a thorough investigation of the effects of group mean centering (GMC) and centering within cluster (CWC) on the analysis.

In the thesis, the focus will shift to implementing solution proposed by Qian et al. (2020) in Dynamic Structural Equation Modeling (DSEM) using software like Mplus. Utilizing DSEM estimation, the study will explore (1) the potential bias in parameter estimates in multilevel models when including endogenous covariates and (2) how interpretation of these parameters is affected in the presence of marginal and conditional effects. Following this, a large-scale simulation study will be conducted to compare when GEE delivers better results than DSEM. This study will evaluate the sensitivity of each method to model misspecification, particularly in areas where the model is not directly focused. We will take a multidisciplinary approach, by comparing methods for the analysis of endogenous time-varying covariates from the fields of biostatistics, econometrics, and social sciences. Finally, the thesis will include a comprehensive comparative analysis of multilevel regression, GEE, and DSEM. The advantages and disadvantages of each method will be summarized, as well as their similarities and differences, providing clear guidelines on their appropriate use cases.

In conclusion, the research report will provide a detailed visualization and simulation-based exploration of the problem, while the thesis will delve deeper into methodological comparisons and practical implementations. Together, they will offer a comprehensive evaluation of handling endogenous time-varying confounders, providing guidelines on different estimation methods for researchers across different fields.

## 2 Analytic strategy

- Describe how you are planning to answer your research question and how to test your hypothesis or explore your question. Be as specific as possible and preferably use an

illustration to help the reader understand how all your plans connect.

- Also, include information on what data you are going to use and if you already have ethical consent. If you do already have consent, putting the FETC case number on your front page also suffices.
- Describe what software/packages you will use.

...

### 3 References

- Add a reference list for the literature used in the text – you can use the formatting style of the chosen journal, but this is not mandatory.
- Add about 20 extra references that will be used for the thesis (you do not have to have read these already).

Qian, T., Klasnja, P., & Murphy, S. A. (2020). Linear mixed models with endogenous covariates: Modeling sequential treatment effects with application to a mobile health study. *Statistical Science : A Review Journal of the Institute of Mathematical Statistics*, 35(3), 375–390. <https://doi.org/10.1214/19-sts720>