



The Utility and Importance of Creeds and Confessions

Samuel Miller

1839?

# Contents

About this book	iii
I The Utility and Importance of Creeds and Confessions: Adressed Particularly to Candidates for the Ministry	I
1.1 I. . . . .	4
1.2 II. Examine some of the principal objections which have been made to Creeds by their adversaries. . . .	25



# About this book

Republished by Warhorn Classics—making classic Christian content available online for FREE in high quality, readable formats.

The latest version of this book can always be found here in many electronic formats for your reading convenience on any device.

Downloads

[Download PDF](#)

[Download ePub](#)

Original

Scanned images of the original printing of this book are available [here](#).

Support Warhorn Classics

We hope this book is a blessing to you. If it is, please make a one-time or recurring contribution right now, sponsor a book from our upcoming list, or volunteer your proofreading or technical skills to help produce more content. Contact Lucas Weeks to get involved.

## Chapter I

# The Utility and Importance of Creeds and Confessions: Adressed Particularly to Candidates for the Ministry

by Samuel Miller, D.D.

“In necessariis unitas, non necessariis libertas, in omnibus charitas.”  
– Augustine

The character and situation of one who is preparing for the Sacred Office, are interesting beyond the power of language to express. Such an one, like the Master whom he professes to love and serve, is “set for the fall and rising again of many in Israel.” In all that he is, and in all that he does, the temporal and eternal welfare not

only of himself, but of thousands, may be involved. On every side he is beset with perils. Whatever may be his talents and learning, if he have not genuine piety, he will probably be a curse instead of a blessing to the Church. But this is not the only danger to which he is exposed. He may have unfeigned piety, as well as talents and learning; and yet, from habitual indiscretion; from a defect in that sobriety of mind, which is so precious to all men, but especially to every one who occupies a public station; from a fondness for novelty and innovation, or from that love of distinction which is so natural to men;—after all, instead of edifying the “body of Christ,” he may become a disturber of its peace, and a corrupter of its purity; so that we might almost say, whatever may be the result with respect to himself, “it had been good for the Church if he had never been born.”

Hence it is, that every part of the character of him who is coming forward to the holy ministry; his opinions; his temper; his attainments; his infirmities; and above all, his character as a practical Christian;—are of inestimable importance to the ecclesiastical community of which he is destined to be a minister. Nothing that pertains to him is uninteresting. If it were possible for him, strictly speaking, to “live to himself,” or to “die to himself,” the case would be different. But it is not possible. His defects as well as his excellencies; his gifts and graces, as well as the weak points of his character, must and will all have their appropriate effect on every thing that he touches. Can you wonder, then, that employed to conduct the education of candidates for this high and holy office, we feel ourselves placed under a solemn, nay, an awful responsibility? Can you wonder that, having advanced a little before you in our experience in relation to this office, we cherish the deepest solicitude at every step you take? Can you wonder, that we daily exhort you to “take heed to yourselves and your doctrine;” and that we cease not to entreat you, and to pray for you that you give all diligence to approve your selves to God and his Church able and faithful servants? Independently of all official obligation, did we not feel and act thus, we should manifest an insensibility to the interests of the Church, as well as to your true welfare,

equally inexcusable and degrading.

It is in consequence of this deep solicitude for your improvement in every kind of ministerial furniture, that we not only endeavour to conduct the regular course of your instruction in such a manner as we think best adapted to promote the great end of all your studies; but that we also seize the opportunity which the general Lecture, introductory to each session affords us, of calling your attention to a series of subjects, which do not fall within the ordinary course of our instruction.

A subject of this nature will engage our attention on the present occasion: namely, the importance of Creeds and Confessions for maintaining the unity and purity of the visible Church.

This is a subject, which, though it properly belongs to the department of Church Government, has always been, for want of time, omitted in the Lectures usually delivered on that division of our studies. And I am induced now to call your attention to it, because, as I said, it properly belongs to the department committed to me; because it is in itself a subject highly interesting and important; because it has been for a number of years past, and still is, the object of much severe animadversion, on the part of latitudinarians and heretics; and because, though abundantly justified by reason, Scripture, and universal experience, the spontaneous feelings of many, especially under the free government which it is our happiness to enjoy, rise up in arms against what they deem, and are sometimes pleased to call, the excessive “rigour” and even “tyranny” of exacting subscription to Articles of Faith.

It is my design, first, to offer some remarks on the utility and importance of written Creeds; and secondly, to obviate some of the more common and plausible objections which have been urged against them by their adversaries.



## I. I I.

By a Creed, or Confession of Faith, I mean, an exhibition, in human language, of those great doctrines which are believed by the framers of it to be taught in the Holy Scriptures; and which are drawn out in regular order, for the purpose of ascertaining how far those who wish to unite in church fellowship are really agreed in the fundamental principles of Christianity. Creeds and Confessions do not claim to be in themselves laws of Christ's house, or legislative enactments, by which any set of opinions are constituted truths, and which require, on that account, to be received as truths among the members of his family. They only profess to be summaries, extracted from the Scriptures, of a few of those great Gospel doctrines, which are taught by Christ himself; and which those who make the summary in each particular case, concur in deeming important, and agree to make the test of their religious union. They have no idea that, in forming this summary, they make anything truth, that was not truth before; or that they thereby contract an obligation to believe, what they were not bound by the authority of Christ to believe before. But they simply consider it as a list of the leading truths which the Bible teaches, which, of course, all men ought to believe, because the Bible does teach them; and which a certain portion of the visible church catholic agree in considering as a formula by means of which they may know and understand one another.

Now, I affirm, that the adoption of such a Creed is not only lawful and expedient, but also indispensably necessary to the harmony and purity of the visible Church. For the establishment of this position, let me request your attention to the following considerations.

- I.I.I I. Without a Creed explicitly adopted, it is not easy to see how the ministers and members of any particular church, and more especially a large denomination of Christians, can maintain unity among themselves.

If every Christian were a mere insulated individual, who inquired, felt, and acted for himself alone, no creed of human formation would be necessary for his advancement in knowledge, comfort, or holiness. With the Bible in his closet, and with his eyes opened to see the “wondrous things” which it contains, he would have all that was needful for his edification. But the case is far otherwise. The church is a society; a society which, however extended, is “one body in Christ,” and all who compose it, “members one of another.” Nor is this society merely required to be one in name, or to recognize a mere theoretical union; but also carefully to maintain “the unity of the Spirit in the bond of peace.” They are exhorted to “stand fast in one spirit with one mind.” They are commanded all to “speak the same thing,” and to be “of one accord, of one mind.” And this “unity of spirit”, is as essential to the comfort and edification of those who are joined together in church fellowship, as it is to a compliance with the command of their Master. “How can any walk together unless they be agreed?” Can a body of worshippers, composed of Calvinists, Arminians, Pelagians, Arians, and Socinians, all pray, and preach, and commune together profitably and comfortably, each retaining the sentiments, feelings, and language appropriate to his denomination? This would indeed make the house of God a miserable Babel. What! can those who believe the Lord Jesus Christ to be God, equal with the Father, and worship him accordingly; and those who consider all such worship as abominable idolatry:—Those who cordially renounce all dependence on their own works or merit for justification before God, relying entirely on his rich grace, “through the redemption that is in Christ Jesus;” and those who pronounce all such reliance fanatical, and man’s own righteousness the sole ground of hope: Can persons who cherish

these irreconcilably opposite sentiments and feelings on the most important of all subjects, unite with edification in the same prayers, listen from Sabbath to Sabbath to the same instructions, and sit together in comfort at the same sacramental table? As well might Jews and Christians worship together in the same temple. They must either be perfectly indifferent to the great subjects on which they are thus divided, or all their intercourse must be productive of jarring and distress. Such a discordant assembly might talk about church fellowship; but that they should really enjoy that fellowship which the Bible describes as so precious, and which the pious so much delight to cultivate, is impossible; just as impossible as “that righteousness should have fellowship with unrighteousness,” or “light hold communion with darkness, or Christ maintain concord with Belial.”

Holding these things to be self-evident, how, I ask, is any church to guard itself from that baleful discord, that perpetual strife of feeling, if not of words and conduct, which must ensue, when it is made up of such heterogeneous materials? Nay, how is a church to avoid the guilt of harbouring in its bosom, and of countenancing by its fellowship, the worst heresies that ever disgraced the Christian name? It is not enough for attaining this object, that all who are admitted profess to agree in receiving the Bible; for many who call themselves Christians, and profess to take the Bible for their guide, hold opinions, and speak a language as foreign, nay, as opposite, to the opinions and language of many others, who equally claim to be Christians, and equally profess to receive the Bible, as the east is to the west. Of those who agree in this general profession, the greater part acknowledge as of divine authority the whole sacred canon, as we receive it; while others would throw out whole chapters, and some a number of entire books from the volume of God’s revealed will. The orthodox maintain the plenary inspiration of the Scriptures; while some who insist that they are Christians, deny their inspiration altogether. In short, there are multitudes who, professing to believe the Bible, and to take it for their guide, reject every fundamental doctrine which it contains. So it was in the beginning as

well as now. An inspired Apostle declares, that some in his day, who not only professed to believe the Scriptures, but even to “preach Christ,” did really preach “another Gospel,” the teachers of which he charges those to whom he wrote to hold “accursed;” and he assures them that there are some “heresies” so deep and radical that they are to be accounted “damnable.” Surely those who maintain the true Gospel, cannot “walk together” in “church fellowship” with those who are “accursed” for preaching “another Gospel,” and who espouse “damnable heresies,” the advocates of which the disciples of Christ are not permitted even to “receive into their houses, or to “bid God speed!” How, then, I ask again, are the members of a Church, to take care that they be, according to the divine command, “of one mind,” and “of one way?” They may require all who enter their communion to profess a belief in the Bible; nay, they may require this profession to be repeated every day, and yet may be corrupted and divided by every form of the grossest error. Such a profession, it is manifest, ascertains no agreement; is a bond of no real union; a pledge of no spiritual fellowship. It leaves every thing within the range of nominal Christianity, as perfectly undefined, and as much exposed to total discord as before.

But perhaps it will be proposed as a more efficient remedy, that there be a private understanding, vigilantly acted upon, that no ministers or members be admitted, but those who are known, by private conversation with them, substantially to agree with the original body, with regard both to doctrine and order. In this way, some allege, discord may be banished, and a church kept pure and peaceful, without an odious array of Creeds and Confessions. To this proposal, I answer, in the first place, it is, to all intents and purposes, exhibiting a Creed, and requiring subscription to it, while the contrary is insinuated and professed. It is making use of a religious test, in the most rigorous manner, without having the honesty or the manliness to avow it. For what matter is it, as to the real spirit of the proceeding, whether the Creed be reduced to writing, or be registered only in the minds of the church members, and applied by them as a body, if it equally exclude applicants who are not approved! But to

this proposed remedy, I answer, in the second place, the question, what is soundness in the faith? however explicitly agreed upon by the members of the church among themselves, cannot be safely left to the understanding and recollection of each individual belonging to the body in question. As well might the civil constitution of a State, instead of being committed to writing, be left to the vague and ever varying impressions of the individual citizens who live under it. In such a constitution, every one sees there could be neither certainty nor stability. Scarcely any two retailers of its articles would perfectly agree; and the same persons would expound it differently at different times, as their interests or their passions might happen to bear sway. Quite as unreasonable and unsafe, to say the least, would it be to leave the instrument of a church's fellowship on a similar footing. Such a nuncupative creed, when most needed as a means of quieting disturbances, or of excluding corruption, would be rendered doubtful, and, of course, useless, by having its most important provisions called in question on every side. A case in which, if it were made operative at all, it would be far more likely to be perverted into an instrument of popular oppression, than to be employed as a means of sober and wholesome government.

The inference then plainly is, that no church can hope to maintain a homogeneous character;— no church can be secure either of purity or peace, for a single year; nay, no church can effectually guard against the highest degrees of corruption and strife, without some test of truth, explicitly agreed upon, and adopted by her, in her ecclesiastical capacity; something recorded; something publicly known; something capable of being referred to when most needed; which not merely this or that private member supposes to have been received; but to which the church as such has agreed to adhere, as a bond of union. In other words, a church, in order to maintain “the unity of the Spirit in the bond of peace and love,” must have a Creed - a written Creed - to which she has formally given her assent, and to a conformity to which her ministrations are pledged. As long as such a test is faithfully applied, she cannot fail of being in some good degree united and harmonious; and when nothing of the kind

is employed, I see not how she can be expected, without a miracle, to escape all the evils of discord and corruption.

- I.I.2 2. The necessity and importance of Creeds and Confessions appear from the consideration, that one great design of establishing a Church in our world was, that she might be in all ages, a depository, a guardian, and a witness of the truth.

Christians, collectively as well as individually, are represented in Scripture as witnesses for God. They are commanded to maintain his truth, and to “hold forth the word of life,” in all its purity and lustre before a perverse generation, that others may be enlightened and converted. They are exhorted to “buy the truth, and not to sell it;”—to “contend earnestly for the faith once delivered to the saints;”—to “hold fast the form of sound words which they have received;”—and to “strive together for the faith of the Gospel.” These, and many other commands, of similar import, plainly make it the duty of every Christian church to detect and expose prevailing heresies; to exclude all such as embrace radical heresy from their communion; and to “lift up a standard” for truth, whenever the enemy comes in like a flood.”

But does not all this imply taking effectual measures to distinguish between truth and error? Does not all this necessarily infer the duty of drawing, and publicly manifesting, a line between those who, while they profess, in general, to believe the Bible, really deny all its essential doctrines; and those who simply and humbly receive “the truth as it is in Jesus?” But how is this distinction to be made, seeing those who embrace the essential doctrines of the Gospel, equally profess to receive the Bible? It can only be done by carefully ascertaining and explicitly declaring how the church herself, and how those whom she suspects of being in error, understand and interpret the Bible; that is, by extracting certain articles of faith from the Scriptures, according to her understanding of them, and

comparing these articles with the professed belief of those whom she supposes to be heretics. And what is this but extracting from the Scriptures a Confession of Faith—a Creed, and applying it as a test of sound principles? It does really appear to me that those orthodox brethren, who admit that the church is bound to raise her voice against error, and to “contend earnestly” for the truth; and yet denounce Creeds and Confessions, are, in the highest degree inconsistent with themselves.

They acknowledge the obligation and importance of a great duty ; and yet reject the only means by which it can be performed. Quite as unreasonable, I am constrained to say, as the task masters of Egypt, “they require work to be done, without allowing the materials necessary to its accomplishment. Before the church, as such, can detect heretics, and cast them out from her bosom: before she can raise her voice, in “a day of rebuke and of blasphemy,” against prevailing errors, her governors and members must be agreed what is truth; and, unless they would give themselves up, in their official judgments, to all the caprice and feverish effervescence of occasional feeling, they must have some accredited, permanent document, exhibiting what they have agreed to consider as truth. There is really no feasible alternative. They must either have such “a form of sound words,” which they have voluntarily adopted, and pledged themselves to one another to “hold fast;” or they can have no security that any two or more successive decisions concerning soundness in the faith will be alike. In other words, they cannot attain, in any thing like a steady, uniform, consistent manner, one of the great purposes for which the visible church was established.

It surely will not be said, by any considerate person, that the church, or any of her individual members, can sufficiently fulfil the duty in question, by simply proclaiming from time to time, in the midst of surrounding error, her adherence and her attachment to the Bible. Every one must see that this would be, in fact, doing nothing as “witnesses of the truth;” because it would be doing nothing peculiar; nothing distinguishing; nothing which every heretic in Christendom is not ready to do, or rather is not daily doing, as loudly, and

as frequently as the most orthodox church. The very idea of “bearing testimony to the truth,” and of separating from those who are so corrupt that Christian communion cannot be maintained with them, necessarily implies some public discriminating act, in which the church agrees upon, and expresses her belief in, the great doctrines of Christianity, in contradistinction from those who believe erroneously. Now to suppose that any thing of this kind can be accomplished, by making a profession, the very same, in every respect, with that which the worst heretics make, is too palpably absurd to satisfy any sober inquirer.

Of what value, let me ask, had the Waldenses and Albigenses been, as witnesses of the truth - as lights in the world, amidst the darkness of surrounding corruption;—especially of what value had they been to the church in succeeding times, and to us at the present day, if they had not formed, and transmitted to posterity those celebrated Confessions of Faith, as precious as they are memorable, which we read in their history, and which stand as so many monumental testimonies to the true “Gospel of the grace of God?” Without these, how should we ever have known in what manner they interpreted the Bible; or wherein they differed from the grossest heretics, who lived at the same time, and professed to receive the same Bible? Without these, how should we ever have seen so clearly and satisfactorily as we do, that they maintained the truth and the order of Christ’s house, amidst all the wasting desolations of the “man of sin;” and thus fulfilled his promise, that there shall always be “a seed to serve him, who shall be accounted to the Lord for a generation?”

I.I.3 3. The adoption and publication of a Creed, is a tribute to truth and candour, which every Christian church owes to the other churches, and to the world around her.

Every wise man will wish to be united in religious duty and privilege, with those who most nearly agree with himself in their views of doctrine and order; with those in intercourse with whom he can be



most happy, and best edified. Of course, he will be desirous, before he joins any church, to know something of its faith, government, and general character. I will suppose a pious and ingenuous individual about to form his religious connections for life. He looks round on the churches to which he has most access, and is desirous of deciding with which of them he can be most comfortable. I will suppose that, in this survey, he turns his eyes towards the truly scriptural and primitive church to which it is our happiness to belong. He is anxious to know the doctrine as well as the order which he may expect to find in connection with our body. How is he to know this? Certainly not by going from church to church throughout our whole bounds, and learning the creed of every individual minister from his own lips. This would be physically impossible, without bestowing on

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 23 the task a degree of time and toil, which scarcely any man could afford. He could not actually hear for himself the doctrines taught in a twentieth part of our pulpits. And if he could, he would still be under a necessity to receive, from this source alone, how far what he heard might be regarded as the uniform and universal, and especially as the permanent character of the church; and not rather as an accidental exhibition. But when such an inquirer finds that we have a published creed, declaring how we understand the Scriptures, and explicitly stating in detail the great truths which we have agreed to unite in maintaining; he can ascertain in a few hours, and without leaving his own dwelling, what we profess to believe and to practise, and how far he may hope to be at home in our communion. And while he is enabled thus to understand the system to which we profess to adhere, he enables us to understand his views, by ascertaining how far they accord with our published creed. Further, what is thus due to ingenuous individuals, who wish to know the real character of our church, is also due to neighbouring churches, who may have no less desire to ascer-

tain the principles which we embrace. It is delightful for ecclesiastical communities, who approach near to each other in

faith and order, to manifest their affection for one another, by cherishing some degree of Christian intercourse. 24 **UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE** But what church, which valued the preservation of its own purity and peace, would venture on such intercourse with a body which had no defined system, either of doctrine or government, to which it stood pledged; and which might, therefore, prove a source of pollution and disorder to every other church with which it had the smallest interchange of services? One of the ministers of such a denomination, when invited into the pulpit of an orthodox brother, might give entire satisfaction; while the very next to whom a similar mark of Christian affection and confidence was shown, might preach the most corrupt heresy. Creeds and Confessions, then, so far from having a tendency to "alienate" and "embitter" those Christian denominations which think nearly alike, and ought to maintain fraternal intercourse, really tend to make them acquainted with each other; to lay a foundation for regular and cordial intercourse; to beget mutual.

**OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS.** 25 I scruple not, therefore, to affirm, that, as every individual minister owes to all around him a frank avowal of his Christian faith, when any desire to know it; so every church owes it to her sister churches, to be equally frank and explicit in publicly declaring her principles. She, no doubt, believes those principles to be purely scriptural. In publicly avowing them, therefore, she performs the double duty of bearing testimony to the truth, and of endeavouring to draw from less pure denominations, and from the surrounding world, new support to what she conscientiously believes to be more correct sentiments than theirs. She may be erroneous in this estimate; but still she does what she can, and what she unfeignedly believes to be right; and what, of course, as long as this conviction continues, she is bound to perform. And I have no hesitation in further maintaining, that, in all ages, those Christian churches which have been most honourably distinguished for their piety, their zeal, and their adherence to the simplicity of the gospel, have been, not only most reconfident; and thus to promote the harmony of the church of

God. 3

markable for their care in forming, but also for their frankness in avowing, their doctrinal creed; and their disposition to let all around them distinctly understand what they professed to regard as the fundamental doctrines of our holy religion. It is the general principle of the enemies of Creeds, that all who profess to believe the Bible, ought, without further inquiry, to unite; to maintain ecclesiastical communion; and to live together in peace. But it is not manifest, that the only way in which those who essentially differ from each other concerning the fundamental doctrines of the gospel, can live together in perfectly harmonious ecclesiastical fellowship, is by becoming indifferent to truth; in other words, by becoming persuaded that modes of faith are of little or no practical importance to the Church, and are, therefore, not worth contending for; that clear and discriminating views of Christian doctrine are wholly 26 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE

I. I. 4 4. Another argument in favour of Creeds publicly adopted and maintained, is that they are friendly to the study of Christian doctrine, and, of course, to the prevalence of Christian knowledge.

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 27 unnecessary, and of little use in the formation of Christian character? But in proportion as professing Christians are indifferent to truth, will they not be apt to neglect the study of it? And if the study of it be generally neglected, will not gross and deplorable ignorance of it eventually and generally prevail? The fact is, when men love gospel truth well enough to study it with care, they will soon learn to estimate its value; they will soon be disposed to "contend for it," against its enemies, who are numerous in every age; and this will inevitably lead them to adopt and defend that "form of sound words" which they think they find in the sacred Scriptures. On the other hand, let any man imbibe the notion that Creeds and Confessions are unscriptural, and of course unlawful, and he will naturally and speedily pass

to the conclusion, that all contending for doctrines is useless, and even criminal. From this the transition is easy to the abandonment of the study of doctrine, or, at least, the zealous and diligent study of it. Thus it is, that laying aside all Creeds, naturally tends to make professing Christians indifferent to the study of Christian truth ; comparatively uninterested in

I would by no means, indeed, be understood to assert, that no heretics have ever been zealous in publishing and defending their corrupt opinions. The pages of ecclesiastical history abundantly show, that many of the advocates of error, both in ancient and modern times, have contended not only pertinaciously, but even fiercely, for their peculiar doctrines. But my position is, that the enemies of all Creeds and Confessions usually assume a principle, which, if carried out to its legitimate consequences, would discourage all zeal in maintaining the peculiar doctrines of the gospel; that if all zeal in maintaining peculiar doctrines were laid aside, all ardour and diligence in studying them would be likely to be laid aside also; and that, if this were the case, a state of things more unfriendly to the growth and prevalence of Christian knowledge could scarcely be imagined. Look at the loose, vague, undecisive character of the preaching heard in nine-tenths of the Unitarian, and other latitudinarian pulpits in the United States. UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE the attainment of religious knowledge ; and, finally, regardless, and, of course, ignorant of the faith once delivered to the saints."

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 29 States, and, as I suppose, throughout Christendom. If the occupants of those pulpits had it for their distinct and main object to render their hearers indifferent about understanding, and, of course, indifferent about studying, the fundamental doctrines of the gospel, they could scarcely adopt a plan more directly calculated to attain their end, than that which they actually pursue. Their incessant cry is, "matters of opinion are between God and a man's own conscience. No one else has a right to meddle with them." Hence, in pursuance of this maxim, they do, indeed, take care to

meddle very little with the distinguishing doctrines of the gospel. We conjecture what their doctrinal opinions are, in general, not so much from what they say, as from what they do not say. And the truth is, that if this character of preaching was to become universal, all discriminating views of gospel truth would, in thirty years, be banished from the church. 3\* If the friends of orthodoxy and piety, then, really desire to cherish and maintain a love for the discriminating study of Christian doctrine; a taste for religious knowledge; a spirit

of zeal for the truth, in opposition to that miserable indifference to articles of faith, which is so replete with mischief to every Christian community in which it is found; then let them be careful to present, and diligently keep before the eyes of one another, and the eye of the public, that “good confession” which they are commanded to “profess before many witnesses.” 30 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE If they fail to do this; if, under the guise of adherence to that great Protestant maxim, that the Bible is the only infallible rule of faith and manners,— (a precious all-important truth; which, properly understood, cannot be too often repeated)—they speak and act as if all who profess to receive the Bible were standing upon equally solid and safe ground; if, in a word, they consider it as unnecessary, and even criminal, to select from the mass of Scriptural truth, and to defend, as such, the fundamental doctrines of the gospel;—then, nothing short of miracle can prevent them from sinking into that coldness and sloth with respect to the study of doctrine, and finally into that deplorable “lack of knowledge” by which millions are constantly “destroyed.”

- I.I.5 5. It is an argument of no small weight in favour of Creeds, that the experience of all ages has found them indispensably necessary.

Even in the days of the Apostles, when all their inspiration and all their miraculous powers, were insufficient to deter heretics from spreading their poison ; - men, calling themselves Christians, and professing to preach the religion of Christ, perverted his truth, and brought another gospel," which He had not taught. In this exigency, how did the churches proceed? An inspired apostle directed them not to be contented with a general profession of belief in the religion of Christ on the part of those who came to them as Christian teachers; but to examine and try them, and to ascertain whether their teaching were agreeable to the "form of sound words" which they had been taught by him : and he adds with awful solemnity - " If any man bring any other gospel unto you than that ye have received, let him be accursed." Here was, in effect, an instance, and that by Divine warrant, of employing a Creed as a test of orthodoxy : that is, men making a general profession of Christianity, are expressly directed by an inspired

In the second century, in the writings of Irenæus; and, in the third, in the writings of Tertullian, Origen, Cyprian, Gregory Thaumaturgus, and Lucian, the martyr, we find a number of Creeds and Confessions, more formally drawn out, more minute, and more extensive than those of earlier date. They were intended to bear testimony against the various forms of error which had arisen; and plainly show that, as the arts and corruptions of heretics increased, the orthodox church found more attention to the adoption and maintenance of these formularies indispensably necessary. In the fourth century, when the church was brought to the test, in what sense they understood that gospel, of which, in general terms, they declared their reception ; and how they explained its leading doctrines. It would seem, indeed, that the Confession of Faith then required was very short and simple. This, the peculiar circumstances of the times, and the no less peculiar

administration of the Church, rendered entirely sufficient. Still, whether the Confession were long or short; whether it consisted of three articles or of thirty, the principle was the same.

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 33 still more agitated by the prevalence of heresy, there was a still louder demand for accredited tests, by which the heretics were to be tried and detected. Of this demand there never was a more striking instance than in the Council of Nice, when the heresy of Arius was under the consideration of that far-famed assembly. When the Council entered on the examination of the subject, it was found extremely difficult to obtain from Arius any satisfactory explanation of his views. He was not only as ready as the most orthodox divine present, to profess that he believed the Bible; but he also declared himself willing to adopt, as his own, all the language of the Scriptures, in detail, concerning the person and character of the blessed Redeemer. But when the members of the Council wished to ascertain in what sense he understood this language, he discovered a disposition to evade and equivocate, and actually, for a considerable time, baffled the attempts of the most ingenious of the orthodox to specify his errors, and to bring them to light. He declared that he was perfectly willing to employ the popular language on the subject in controversy; and wished to have it -

34 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE believed that he differed very little from the body of the church. Accordingly the orthodox went over the various titles of Christ plainly expressive of Divinity, such as "God" "the true God" — the "express image of God," &c. — to every one of which Arius and his followers most readily subscribed; — claiming a right, however, to put their own construction on the scriptural titles in question. After employing much time and ingenuity in vain, in endeavouring to drag this artful chief from his lurking places, and to obtain from him an explanation of his views, the Council found it would be impossible to accomplish their object as long as they permitted him to in trench himself behind a mere general profession of belief in the Bible. They therefore, did, what common sense, as well as the word of God, had taught the

church to do in all preceding times, and what alone can enable her to detect the artful advocate of error. They expressed, in their own language, what they supposed to be the doctrine of Scripture concerning the Divinity of the Saviour ; in other words, they drew up a Confession of Faith on this subject, which they called upon Arius and

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 35 The same course was taken by all the pious witnesses of the truth in the dark ages, when, amidst the surrounding corruption and desolation, they found themselves called upon to bear "witness to the truth." They all professed their belief in the Bible, and their love to it; they constantly appealed to it, as the only infallible rule of faith and practice; and they studied it with incomparably more veneration and diligence than any of the errorists around them. This all history plainly evinced. But at the same time, they saw the futility of doing nothing more than proclaim in general, their adherence to the sacred volume. This would have been no distinction, and, of course, no testimony at all. It would have been nothing more than the bitterest enemies of the truth were proclaiming busily, and even clamorously, every day. They, therefore, did what the friends of orthodoxy had been in the first disciples to subscribe. This the heretics refused: and were thus virtually brought to the acknowledgment that they did not understand the Scriptures as the rest of the Council understood them, and, of course, that the charge against them was correct. *ces.*

36 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE What, now, is the inference, from all this experience of the Church of God, so universal and so uniform? It cannot be misunderstood. It speaks volumes. When the friends of truth in all ages and situations, even those who were habit of doing from the earliest ages. They framed creeds, from time to time, as the exigencies of the Church demanded, by means of which they were enabled to bear their testimony for God; to vindicate his truth; and to transmit the memorials of their fidelity to distant generations. And finally, at the glorious Reformation from Popery, by which the great Head of the Church may be said again to have "set his people free," and the memory of which shall never die; in drawing the line between "the precious and the vile," the friends of truth followed



the same course. They, with one accord, formed their Creeds and Confessions, which served, at once, as a plea for the truth, and a barrier against heresy. And it is not, perhaps, too much to say, that the volume which contains the collection of these Creeds, is one of the most precious and imperishable monuments of the piety, wisdom, and zeal of the sixteenth century.

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 37 A further argument in favour of Creeds and Confessions, may be drawn from the remarkable fact, that their most zealous opposers have generally been latitudinarians and heretics. I do not affirm that the use of Creeds has never been opposed by individuals substantially orthodox, and even by orthodox churches: for it is believed that a few rare cases of this anomaly have occurred, under the influence of strong prejudice, or very peculiar circumstances. Yet, so far as I can recollect, we have no example of it among the ancients. Most tenacious of the rights of private judgment, and most happy in the enjoyment of Christian liberty, have invariably found it necessary to resort to the adoption of Creeds, in order to ascertain for themselves, as a social body, and to communicate to others, for their benefit, their sense of the holy scriptures; we are naturally led to conclude, not only that there is no resort to such means, but that there is really no other practicable method of maintaining unity and purity in the Church of Christ. 4

Such cases are the growth of very modern times. Nor, on the other hand, is it my purpose to deny that heretics have sometimes been extremely zealous in forming and maintaining the most corrupt Creeds. For of this the early history of the Church abounds with examples, and its later periods have not been wholly without them. But what I venture to assert is, that, as a general fact, the most ardent and noisy opponents of Creeds have been those who held corrupt opinions; that none, calling themselves Christians, have been so bitter in reviling them, in modern times, as the friends of Unitarianism, and those who were leaning toward that awful gulf; and that the most consistent and zealous advocates of truth have been, every where, and at all times, distinguished by their friendship to

such formularies. Nor has this been by any means a fortuitous occurrence ; but precisely what might have been calculated, on principle, as likely to be realized. It is an invariable characteristic of the orthodox that they lay great stress on the knowledge and reception of truth ; that they consider it as necessary to holiness ; that they deem an essential

38 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 39 part of fidelity to their Master in heaven, to consist in contending for it, and maintaining it in opposition to all the forms of error. the contrary, it is almost as invariable a characteristic of modern heretics, and more especially of those who fall under the general denomination of Unitarians, that they profess lightly to esteem modes of faith ; that they manifest marked indifference to truth ; that they, for the most part, maintain, in so many words, the innocence of error ; and hence very naturally reprobate, and even vilify, all faithful attempts to oppose heresy, and to separate heretics from the Church. From those, then, who have either far departed, or at least begun to depart, from " the faith once delivered to the saints," almost exclusively, do we hear of the " oppression," and the " mischief" of Creeds and Confessions. ' And is it any marvel that those who maintain the innocence of error, should be unwilling to raise fences for keeping it out of the Church ? Is it any marvel that the Arian, the Socinian, the Pelagian, and such as are verging toward those fatal dislikes all the formularies, which tend to make errors, should exceedingly evangelical On

visible the line of distinction between the friends and the enemies of the Redeemer ? No ; " men," as has been often well observed, " men are seldom opposed to Creeds, until Creeds have become opposed to them." That they should dislike and oppose them, in these circumstances, is just as natural as that a culprit arraigned before a civil tribunal, should equally dislike the law, its officer, and its sanction. 40 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE Accordingly, if we look a little into the interior of Church history, especially within the last century, we shall find these remarks often and strikingly exemplified. We shall find, with few exceptions, that whenever a group of men began to slide, with respect to ortho-

doxy, they generally attempted to break, if not to conceal, their fall, by declaiming against Creeds and Confessions. They have seldom failed, indeed, to protest in the beginning, that they had no objections to the doctrines themselves of the Confession which they had subscribed, but to the principle of subscribing Confessions at all. Soon, however, was the melancholy fact gradually unfolded, that disaffection to the doctrines which

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 41 they once appeared to love, had more influence in directing their course, than even they themselves imagined, and that they were receding further and further from the good way " in which they formerly seemed to rejoice. Truly that cause is of a most suspicious character to which latitudinarians and heretics, at least in modern times, almost as a matter of course, yield their support; and which they defend with a zeal, in general, strictly proportioned to their hatred of orthodoxy !

#### I.I.6 7. The only further argument in support of Creeds on which I shall dwell, is, that their most zealous opposers do themselves virtually employ them in all ecclesiastical proceedings.

The favourite maxim, with the opposers of Creeds, that all who acknowledge the Bible, ought, without hesitation, to be received, not only to Christian, but also to ministerial communion, is invariably abandoned by those who urge it, the moment a case turns up which really brings it to the test. Did any one ever hear of a Unitarian congregation engaging as their pastor a preacher of Calvinism, knowing him to be such? But why not, on the principle adopted, or at least professed, by Unitarians? The Calvinist surely comes with his Bible in his hand, and professes to believe it as cordially as they. Why is not that enough?

Yet we know that, in fact, it is not enough for these advocates of unbounded liberality. Before they will consent to receive him as their spiritual guide, they must be explicitly informed, how he interprets

the Bible; in other words, what is his particular Creed; whether it is substantially the same with their own or not : and if they are not satisfied that this is the case, all other professions and protestations will be in vain. He will be inexorably rejected. Here, then, we have, in all its extent, the principle of demanding subscription to a Creed ; and a principle carried out into practice as rigorously as ever it was by the most high-toned advocate of orthodoxy . We have before seen, that the friends of truth, in all ages, have found, in their sad experience, that a general profession of belief in the Bible, was altogether insufficient, either as a bond of union, or as a fence against the inroads of error. And here we find the warmest advocates of a contrary doctrine, and with a contrary language in their mouths, 42

UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE  
OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 43 when they come to act, pursuing precisely the same course with the friends of Creeds, with only this difference, that the Creed which they apply as a test, instead of being a written and tangible document, is hidden in the bosoms of those who expound and employ it, and, of course, may be applied in the most capricious as well as tyrannical manner, without appeal; and further, that, while they really act upon this principle, they disavow it, and would persuade the world that they proceed upon an entirely different plan. Can there be a more conclusive fact than this? The enemies of Creeds themselves can not get along a day without them. It is in vain to say, that in their case no Creed is imposed, but that all is voluntary, and left entirely to the choice of the parties concerned. It will be seen hereafter that the same may be with equal truth asserted, in all those cases of subscription to articles, for which I contend, without any exception. No less vain is it to say, again, that in their case the articles insisted on are few and simple, and by no means so liable to exception as the long and detailed Creeds which some churches have adopted,

It is the principle of subscription to Creeds which is now under consideration. If the lawfulness and even the necessity of acting upon this principle can be established, our cause is gained. The extent to which we ought to go in multiplying articles, is a secondary ques-

tion, the answer to which must depend on the exigencies of the church framing the Creed . Now the adversaries of Creeds, while they totally reject the expediency, and even the lawfulness, of the general principle, yet show that they cannot proceed a step without adopting it in practice. This is enough . Their conduct is sounder than their reasoning And no wonder. Their conduct is dictated by good sense and practical experience, nay, imposed upon them by the evident necessity of the case: while their reasoning is a theory, derived, as I must believe, from a source far less enlightened, and less safe. Several other arguments might be urged in favour of written Creeds, did not the limits to which I am confined in this Lecture, forbid me further to enlarge. 44 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE It were easy to show that Confessions of

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 45 Faith, judiciously drawn, and solemnly adopted by particular churches, are not only invaluable as bonds of union, and fences against error; but that they also serve an important purpose, as accredited manuals of Christian doctrine, well fitted for the instruction of those private members of churches, who have neither leisure nor habits of thinking sufficiently close, to draw from the sacred writings themselves a consistent system of truth. It is of incalculable use to the individual who has but little time for reading, and but little acquaintance with books, to be furnished with a clear and well arranged compend of doctrine, which he is authorized to regard, not as the work of a single, enlightened, and pious divine; but as drawn out and adopted by the collected wisdom of the Church to which he belongs. There is often a satisfaction, to plain, unsophisticated mind, not to be described, in going over such a compend, article by article; examining the proofs adduced from the word of God in support of each; and “ searching the Scriptures daily to see whether the things which it teaches are so or not.”

It might also be further shown, that sound and scriptural Confessions of Faith, are of great value for transmitting to posterity knowledge of what is done by the Church , at particular times, in behalf of the truth. Every such Confession that is formed or adopted by the fol-

lowers of Christ in one age, is a precious legacy transmitted to their children, and to all that may come after them, in a succeeding age, not only bearing their testimony in support of the true doctrine of Jesus Christ, but also pouring more or less light on those doctrines, for the instruction of all to whom that testimony may come. 1. And the first which I shall mention is, that forming a Creed, and requiring subscription to it as a religious test, is superseding the Bible, and making a human composition in stead of it a standard of faith. "The Bible," 46 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE But while we attend to the principal arguments in favour of written Creeds, justice to the subject requires that we

## I.2 II. Examine some of the principal objections which have been made to Creeds by their adversaries.

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 47 say those who urge this objection, "is the only infallible rule of faith and practice. It is so complete, that it needs no human addition, and so easily understood, that it requires no human explanation. Why, then, should we desire any other ecclesiastical standard? Why subscribe ourselves, or call upon others to subscribe, any other Creed than this plain, inspired, and perfect one? Every time we do this we offer a public indignity to the sacred volume, as we virtually declare, either that it is not infallible, or not sufficient." This objection is the most specious one in the whole catalogue. And although it is believed that a sufficient answer has been furnished by some principles already laid down; yet the confidence with which it is every day repeated, renders a formal attention to it expedient; more especially as it bears, at first view, so much the appearance of peculiar veneration for the Scriptures, that many are captivated by its plausible aspect, and consider it as decisive. The whole argument which this objection presents, is founded on a false assumption. No Protestant ever professed to regard his

Creed, considered as a human composition, as of equal authority with the Scriptures, and far less of paramount authority. Every principle of this kind is, with one voice, disclaimed, by all the Creeds, and defences of Creeds, that I have ever read. And whether, notwithstanding this, the constant repetition of the charge, ought to be considered as fair argument, or gross calumny, the impartial will judge. A church Creed professes to be, as was before observed, merely an epitome, or summary exhibition of what the Scripture teach. It professes to be deduced from the Scriptures, and to refer to the Scriptures for the whole of its authority. Of course, when any one subscribes it, he is so far from dishonouring the Bible, that he does public homage to it. — He simply declares, by a solemn act, how he understands the Bible; in other words, what doctrines he considers it as containing. In short, the language of an orthodox believer, in subscribing his ecclesiastical Creed, is simply of the following import:— “ While the Socinian professes to believe the Bible, and to understand it as teaching the mere humanity of Christ: while the Arian professes to receive the same

48 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 49 Bible, and to find in it the Saviour represented as the most exalted of all creatures, but still a creature:— While the Pelagian and Semi-Pelagian make a similar profession of their general belief in the Scriptures, and interpret them as teaching a doctrine, far more favourable to human nature, and far less honourable to the grace of God, than they appear to me really to teach;— I beg the privilege of declaring, for myself, that, while I believe, with all my heart, that the Bible is the word of God, the only perfect rule of faith and manners, and the only ultimate test in all controversies — it plainly teaches, as I read and believe — the deplorable and total depravity of human nature — the essential divinity of the Saviour — a Trinity of persons in the Godhead — justification by the imputed righteousness of Christ — and regeneration and sanctification by the Holy Spirit, as indispensable to prepare the soul for heaven. These I believe to be the radical truths which God hath revealed in his word; and while they

## I.2. II. EXAMINE SOME OF THE PRINCIPAL OBJECTIONS WHICH HAVE BEEN MADE

are denied by some, and frittered away or perverted by others, who profess to believe that blessed word, I am verily persuaded they

are the fundamental principles of the plan of salvation." nourishing the Scriptures, or setting up a standard above them, there is an end of all meaning either of words or actions. But still it is asked \_ o W h e r e i s t h e n e e d o f any definitive declaration of what we understand the Scriptures to teach? Are they not intelligible enough in themselves? Can we make them plainer than their Author has done? Why hold a candle to the sun? Why make an attempt to frame a more explicit test than He who gave the Bible has thought proper to frame :- an attempt, as vain as it is presumptuous?" To this plea it is sufficient 50 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE Now, I ask, is there in all this language, anything dishonourable to the Bible? Anything that tends to supersede its authority; or to introduce a rule, or a tribunal of paramount authority? Is there not, on the contrary, in the whole language and spirit of such a declaration, an acknowledgment of God's word as of ultimate and supreme authority; and an expression of belief in certain doctrines, simply and only because they are believed to be revealed in that word? Truly, if this be dis

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 51 to answer, that, although the Scriptures are undoubtedly simple and plain; so plain that "he who runs may read;" yet it is equally certain that thousands do, in fact, mistake and misinterpret them. This cannot possibly be denied; because thousands interpret them, and that on points confessedly fundamental, not only in different, but in directly opposite ways. Of course all cannot be equally right. Can it be wrong, then, for a pious and orthodox man - or for a pious church, to exhibit, and endeavour to recommend to others, their mode of interpreting the sacred volume? As the world is acknowledged, on all hands, to be, in fact, full of mistake and error as to the true meaning of Holy Scriptures, can it be thought a superfluous task for those who have more light, and more correct opinions, to hold them up to view, as a testimony to the truth, and as a guide to such as may be in error? Surely it cannot. Yet this is neither more nor less than precisely that



formation and maintenance of a scriptural Confession of Faith for which I am pleading. Still, however, it may be asked, what right has any man, or set of men to interpose their

52 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE authority, and undertake to deal out the sense of Scripture for others? Is it not both impious in itself, and an improper assumption over the minds of our fellow men? I answer, this reasoning would prove too much, and therefore proves nothing. For, if admitted, it would prove that all preaching of the gospel is presumptuous and criminal; because preaching always consists in explaining and enforcing Scripture, and that, for the most part, in the words of the preacher himself. Indeed, if the objection before us were valid, it would prove that all the pious writings of the most eminent divines, in all ages, who have had for their object to elucidate and apply the word of God, were profane and arrogant attempts to mend his revelation, and make it better fitted than it is to promote its great design. Nay, further; upon the principle of this objection, it not only follows, that no minister of the gospel ought ever do more in the pulpit than simply to read or repeat the very words of Scripture; but it is equally evident, that he must read or repeat Scripture to his hearers, only in the languages in which they were given to the Church. For, as has been often 2

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 53 observed, it cannot be said, that the words of any translation of the Bible are the words of the Holy Spirit. They are only the words which uninspired men have chosen, in which to express, as nearly as they were able, the sense of the original. If, therefore, the objection before us be admitted, no man is at liberty to teach the great truths of revelation in any other way than by literally repeating the Hebrew text of the Old Testament, and the Greek of the New, in the hearing of the people. So extreme is the absurdity to which an erroneous principle will not fail to lead those who are weak enough, or bold enough, to follow it to its legitimate consequences! But, after all, what language do facts speak on this subject? Are those individuals or churches, who have been most distinguished for their attachment and adherence to creeds, more regardless of the Bible than other professing

Christians ? Do they appear to esteem the Bible less? Do they read it less? Do they appeal to it less frequently, as their grand and ultimate authority ? Do they quote it more rarely, or with less respect in their preaching ? Where they once refer to their very 5\*

54 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE Creeds or Catechisms, for either authority or illustration, in the pulpit, do they not, notoriously, refer to the Bible a thousand times ? Do they take less pains than others to impress the contents of the sacred volume on the minds of their children, and to hold it forth as the unceasing object of study to all? Look at the reformed churches of Scotland and Holland, of France and Geneva, in their best state, when their Confessions of Faith were most venerated, and had most power; and then say, whether any churches, since the days of the apostles, ever discovered more reverence for the Scriptures, or treated them with more devout regard, as the only perfect standard of faith and practice, than they ? Nay, am I not warranted in making a similar appeal with respect to those churches in our land, which have been most distinguished for their attachment to creeds ? Are not their ministers, in general, quite as remarkable for very rarely quoting their own ecclesiastical formularies, for either proof or illustration, as they are for their constant and abundant quotations from Scripture for both purposes? Can the same incessant and devout recurrence

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 55 to the sacred oracles be ascribed with equal truth to the great body of the opposers of Creeds, in ancient or modern times ? I will not press this comparison into further detail; but have no apprehension that even the bitter enemy of Creeds, who has a tolerable acquaintance with facts, and the smallest portion of candour, will venture to say that the result fairly deduced, is in favour of his cause. 2. Another objection frequently made to church Creeds is, that they interfere with the rights of conscience, and naturally lead to oppression. “What a right,” say those who urge this objection, “has any church, or body of churches, to impose a Creed on me, or dictate to me what I shall believe? To attempt such dictation is tyranny; to submit to it, is to surrender the right of private judgment.” There would be some

ground for this objection, if a Creed were, in any case, imposed by the civil government, or by an established Church; if any were obliged to receive it, under heavy pains and disabilities, whether they approved it or not. But as such a case does not, and, happily, cannot exist in our favoured country, the objection is surely as illegitimate

56 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE in reasoning, as it is false in fact. One is tempted to suspect that those who urge such an objection among us, have found it manufactured to their hands, by persons living under civil governments and ecclesiastical establishments of an oppressive character; and viewing it as a weapon which might be wielded with much popular effect, they have taken it into their service, and thenceforward refused to abandon it; though proved a thousand times to have no more application to any Creed or church in the United States, than to the inhabitants of another planet. It will not, surely, be denied by any one, that a body of Christians have a right, in every free country, to associate and walk together upon such principles as they may choose to agree upon, not inconsistent with public order. They have a right to agree and declare how they understand the Scriptures; what articles found in Scripture they concur in considering as fundamental; and in what manner they will have their public preaching and polity conducted, for the edification of themselves and their children. They have no right, indeed, to decide or to judge for others,

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 57 nor can they compel any man to join them. But it is surely their privilege to judge for themselves; to agree upon the plan of their own association; to determine upon what principles they will receive other members into their brotherhood; and to form a set of rules which will exclude from their body those with whom they cannot walk in harmony. The question is, not whether they make in all cases, a wise and scriptural use of this right to follow the dictates of conscience, but whether they possess the right at all? They are, indeed, accountable for the use which they make of it, and solely accountable, to their Master in heaven; but to man they surely cannot, and ought not, to be compelled to give any account. It is their own

concern. Their fellow-men have nothing to do with it, as long as they commit no offence against the public peace. To decide otherwise, would indeed be an outrage on the right of private judgment. If the principles of civil and religious liberty, generally prevalent in our happy country, be correct, demonstration itself cannot be more incontrovertible than these positions.

58 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE But if a body of professing Christians have a natural right thus to associate, to extract their own Creed from the Scriptures, and to agree upon the principles by which others may afterwards be admitted into their number; is it not equally manifest that they have the same right to refuse admittance to those with whom, they believe, they cannot be comfortably connected? Let us suppose a church to be actually associated upon the principle laid down; its Creed and other articles adopted, and published for the information of all who may wish to be informed; and its members walking together in harmony and love. Suppose, while things are in this situation, a person comes to them, and addresses them thus: "I demand admittance into your body, though I can neither believe the doctrines which you profess to embrace, nor consent to be governed by the rules which you have agreed to adopt." What answer would they be apt to give him? They would certainly reply: "Your demand is very unreasonable. Our union is a voluntary one, for our mutual spiritual benefit. We have not solicited you to join us; and you cannot pos-

SECT. II. OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 59 sibly have a right to force yourself into our body. The whole world is before you. Go where you please. We cannot agree to receive you, unless you are willing to walk with us upon our own principles." Such an answer would undoubtedly be deemed a proper one by every reasonable person. Suppose, however, this applicant were still to urge his demand; to claim admission as a right; and, upon being finally refused, to complain, that the society had "persecuted" and "injured" him? Would any one think him possessed of common sense? Nay, would not the society in question, if they could be compelled to receive such an applicant, instead of being oppressors of others, cease to free themselves?

The same principle would still more strongly apply, in case of a clergyman offering himself to such a church, as a candidate for the station of pastor among them. Suppose, when he appeared to make a tender of his services, they were to present him with a copy of that Creed, and of that form of government and of worship which they had unanimously adopted, and to say—“This is what we believe. We pretend not to prescribe to others; but so we

have learned Christ;’ so we understand the Scriptures; and thus we wish ourselves, our children, and all who look up to us for guidance, to be instructed. Can you subscribe to these formularies? Are you willing to come among us upon these principles, and, as our pastor, thus to break to us, and our little ones, what we deem the bread of life?’» Could the candidate complain of such a demand? Many speak as if the church, in putting him to this test, undertook to “judge for him.” But nothing can be more remote from the truth. They only undertake to judge for themselves. If the candidate cannot, or will not, accept of the test, he will be, of course, rejected. But, in this case, no judgment is passed on his state toward God; no ecclesiastical censure, not even the smallest, is inflicted upon him. The church only claims a right to be served in the ministerial office by a man who is of the same religion with themselves. And is this an unreasonable demand? Are not the rights of conscience reciprocal? Or do they demand, that while a church shall be prohibited from “oppressing” an individual, an individual shall be allowed to “oppress” a

Accordingly, the transactions of secular life, furnish every day a practical refutation of the objection which I am now considering. Does the head of a family, when a person applies to be received as a resident under his roof, ever doubt that he has a right to inquire whether the applicant be willing to conform to the rules of his family or not; and if he decline this conformity, to refuse him admission? And even after he has been received and tried, for a while, if he prove an uncomfortable inmate, does not every one consider the master of the family as at liberty to exclude him? Has not every parent, and, of course, every voluntary association of

parents, an acknowledged right to determine what qualifications they will require in a preceptor for their children; and, if so, to bring all candidates to the test agreed on, and to reject those who do not correspond with it? And if a candidate who fell totally short of the qualifications required, and who, of course, was rejected, should make a great outcry, that he was "wanton" and "tyrannically" deprived of creeds and confessions. 61 church? Surely it cannot be necessary to wait for an answer. was 6

ed of the place to which he aspired, would not every one think him insane, or worse than insane? The same principle applies to every voluntary association, for moral, literary, or other lawful purposes. If the members have not a right to agree on what principles they will associate, and to refuse membership to those who are known to be entirely hostile to the great object of the association, there is an end of all liberty. Of the self-evident truth of all this, no one doubts. But where is the essential difference between any one of these rights, and the right of any community of professing Christians to agree upon what they deem the scriptural principles of their own union; and to refuse admission into their body of those whom they consider as unfriendly to the great purposes of truth and edification, for the promotion of which they associated? To deny them this right, would be to make them slaves indeed! It will probably, however, be alleged, that a church cannot, properly speaking, be considered as a voluntary association; that it is a community instituted by the authority of Christ; that its laws are given by Him, as it 62 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 63 sovereign Head and Lord; and that its rulers are in fact only stewards, bound to conform themselves in all that they do to his will; that, if the church were their own, they would have a right to shut out from it whom they pleased; but as it is Christ's, they must find some other rule of proceeding than their own volitions. This is, doubtless, all true. The church of Christ certainly cannot be regarded as a mere voluntary association, in the same sense in which many other societies are so called. It is the property of Christ. His will is the basis and the law

of its establishment, and, of course, none can be either admitted or excluded but upon principles which his own word prescribes. This, however, it is conceived, does not alter "one jot or tittle," the spirit of the foregoing reasoning. The union of Christians in a church state, must, still, from the nature of things, be a voluntary act; for if it were not so, it would not be a moral act at all. But if the union be voluntary, then those who form it, must certainly be supposed to have a right to follow their own convictions as to what their Divine Master has revealed and enjoined respecting the

and judging in any particular case? to the applicants or delinquents themselves; or to the church in which membership is desired? If to the latter, on what principle is she bound to proceed? As her members have voluntarily associated for their mutual instruction and edification in spiritual things, have they not a right to be satisfied that the individual who applies to be received among them, either as a private member or minister, entertains opinions, and bears a character, which will be consistent with the great object which they seek? Can any such individual reasonably refuse to satisfy them as to the accordance of his religious sentiments with theirs, if they think that both the law of Christ, and the nature of the 64 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE laws of their union. If they are not to judge in this matter, who, I ask, is to judge for them? Has the Head of the Church, then, prescribed any qualifications as necessary for private membership, or for admission to the ministerial office, in his church? If so, what are they? Will any degree of departure from the purity of faith or practice, be sufficient to exclude a man? If it will, to whom has our Lord committed the task of applying his law,

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 65 case, render such accordance necessary to Christian fellowship? If he could not reasonably refuse to give satisfaction verbally on this subject; could he, with any more reason, refuse to state his own sentiments in writing, and subscribe his name to that written statement? Surely to decline this while he consented to give a verbal exhibition of his Creed, would wear the appearance of singular caprice or

perverseness. But if no rational objection could be made to his subscribing a declaration, drawn up with his own hand, would it not be exactly the same thing, as to the spirit of the transaction, if, with a view, simply, to ascertain the fact of his belief, not to dictate laws to his conscience, a statement, previously drawn up by the church herself, should be presented for his voluntary signature? What is required of an individual in such case is, not that he shall believe what the church believes; but simply that he shall declare, as a matter of fact, whether he does possess that belief, which, from his voluntary application to be received into Christian fellowship with that church, he may be fairly presumed to possess. Again, I ask, is it possible to deny a church this right, 6\*

without striking at the root of all that is sacred in the convictions of conscience, and of all that is precious in the enjoyment of Christian communion? I fully grant, indeed, that, as her authority rests entirely on the declared will of Christ, she has no right, in the sight of God, to propose to a candidate, any other than a sound orthodox Creed. She cannot possibly be considered as having a right, on this principle, to require his assent to anti-scriptural principles. Still, however, as the rights of conscience are unalienable; and as every church must be considered, of course, as verily believing that she is acting according to her Master's will, we must concede to her the plenary right, in the sight of man, to require from those who would join her, a solemn assent to her formularies. But perhaps, it will be asked, when a man has already become a member, or minister of a church, in virtue of a voluntary and honest subscription to her articles, and afterwards alters his mind; if he be excluded from her communion as a private member, or deposed from office as a minister, is not here "oppression?" Is it not inflicting on a man a "heavy 66 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. penalty" for his opinions;" "punishing" him for his "sincere, conscientious convictions?" I answer, if the Lord Jesus Christ has not only authorized, but solemnly commanded his church to cast the heretical, as well as immoral, out of her communion, and wholly to withdraw her countenance



from those who preach "another gospel;" — then it is manifest, that the church in acting on this authority, does no one any injury. In excluding a private member from the communion of a church, or deposing a minister from office, in the regular and scriptural exercise of discipline, she deprives neither of any natural right. It is only withdrawing that which was voluntarily asked, and voluntarily bestowed, and which might have been, without injustice, withheld. It is only practically saying— "You can no longer, consistently with our views, either of obedience to Christ, or of Christian edification, be a minister or a member with us. 67 may be as happy and as useful as you can in any other connexion; but we must take away that authority and those privileges which we once gave you, and of which your further exercise among us would be subversive of You

those principles which we are solemnly pledged to support." Is this language unreasonable? Is the measure which it contemplates oppressive? Would it be more just in itself, or more favourable to the rights of conscience, if any individual could retain his place as a teacher and guide in a church, contrary to its wishes; to the subversion of its faith; to the disturbance of its peace; and finally to the endangering of its existence; and all this contrary to his own solemn engagements, and to the distinct understanding of its members, when he joined them? Surely every friend of religious liberty would indignantly answer, No! Such a church would be the oppressed party, and such a member, the tyrant. The conclusion, then, is, that when a church makes use of a Creed in the manner that has been described; as a bond of union; as a barrier against what it deems heresy; and in conformity with what it conscientiously believes to be the will of Christ; it is so far from encroaching on the "rights" of others; so far from being chargeable with "oppression;" that it is really, in the most enlightened

68 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 69 ened manner, and on the largest scale, maintaining the rights of conscience; and that for such a church, instead of doing this, to give up its own testimony to the truth and order of God's house; to surrender its own comfort,

peace, and edification, for the sake of complying with the unreasonable demands of a corrupt individual, would be to subject itself to the worst of slavery. What is the subjugation of the many, with all their interests, rights, and happiness to the dictation of one, or a few, but the essence of tyranny? 3. A third objection often urged against subscription to Creeds and Confessions is, that it is unfriendly to free inquiry. 66 When a man, say the enemies of Creeds, "has once a public formulary, and taken his subscribed ecclesiastical oaths, he must continue so to believe to stand with a church which reaches the end of life or resign his place; new light in abundance may offer itself to his view; but he must close his eyes against it. Now, can it be right," say they, "for any one voluntarily to place himself in circumstances of so much temptation; willingly to place himself within the reach of strong inducements to

70 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE In answer to this objection, my first remark is, that when a man takes on himself the solemn and highly responsible office of a public instructor of others, we must presume that he has examined the most important of the various Creeds, called Christian, with all the deliberation, sincerity, and prayer, of which he is capable, and that he has made up his mind with respect to the leading doctrines of Scripture. To suppose any one capable of entering on the duties of the ministerial office while he is wavering and unsettled, and liable to be "carried about by every wind of doctrine," is to suppose him both weak and criminal to a very great degree. I know, indeed, that some ardent opposers of Creeds, consider a state of entire indecision with regard even to leading theological doctrines, as the most laudable and desirable state of mind. They wish every man, not only to feel himself a learner to the end of life, which is undoubtedly right; but, also, if possible, to keep himself in that equilibrium of mind with respect to the most important doctrinal opinions, which shall amount to tamper with conscience, and to resist conviction?"

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 71 to perfect indifference whether he retains or relinquishes his present sentiments. This they eulogize, as "openness to conviction," "freedom from

prejudice," &c. are ever learning, and never able to come to the knowledge of the truth." I repeat it, we must suppose him who undertakes to be a teacher of others, to be himself, as the apostle expresses it, "grounded and settled in the faith." We ought to be considered, then, as having all the security that the nature of the case admits, that he who comes forward as one of the lights and leaders of a religious community, is firm in the principles which he has professed, and will not be very apt, essentially, to alter his Creed. But further; the same objection might be urged, with quite as much force, against a man's making any public declaration of his sentiments, either by preaching, or by writing, and printing; lest he should afterwards obtain Without stopping to combat this sentiment at large, I hesitate not to pronounce it unreasonable in itself; contrary to Scripture; and an enemy to all Christian stability and comfort. We know what is said in the word of God, of those who 66

72 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE more light, and yet be tempted to adhere, contrary to his conscience, to what he had before so publicly espoused. But does any honest minister of the Gospel think it his duty to forbear to preach, or otherwise to express his opinions, because it is possible he may afterwards change them? We know that if the preacher of a Unitarian congregation should alter his views, and become orthodox, he must quit his place, give up his salary, and seek employment among his new connexions. The same thing would happen, if a change the converse of this were to occur, and an orthodox preacher become a Unitarian. then? Because an honest man, when he changes his mind on the subject of religion, will always hold himself in readiness to change his situation, and to make every necessary sacrifice, shall he, therefore, never venture to take any public station, lest he should not always think as he does at present? What Nay, this objection, if it prove anything, will be found to prove by far too much even for our opponents themselves. The adversaries of Creeds acknowledge, with one consent, that every one ought to be ready to profess

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 73 his belief in the Bible. But is-

## I.2. II. EXAMINE SOME OF THE PRINCIPAL OBJECTIONS WHICH HAVE BEEN MADE

noteventhis profession just as liable to the charge of being sunfriendly to free inquiry” as any other? Suppose any one,after solemnly declaring his belief in the Bible,should cease to believe it? Would he be bound to consider his old sub scription as still binding, and as precluding further examination? Or would it be reason able in any man to decline any profession of belief inthe Bible,lest he should,one day, alter his mind,and feel himself embarrassed b y his profession ? There can be no doubt, that every public act,by which a man pledges himself,even as a private member,to any particular denomi nation of Christians,interposes some obstacle in the way of his afterwards deserting that denomination,and uniting himself with ano ther. And,perhaps,itmay besaid,themore delicate and honourable his mind, the more reluctant and slow he will be to abandon his old connexions, and choose new ones. So that such an one will really labour under a temptation to resist light, and remain where he is. But because this is so, shall a man therefore, never join any Church ; never take 7

74 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE one step that will, directly or indirectly, pledge his religious Creed or character, lest he should afterwards alter his mind,and be constrained to transfer his relation to a differ ent body,and thus be liable to find himself embarrassed by his former steps? Upon this principle,we must go further, and adopt the doctrine equally absurd and heathenish, that no parent ought ever to instruct his child in what he deems the most precious truths of the Gospel,lesthe should fillhis mind with pre judices, and present an obstacle to free and unshackled inquiry afterwards. For there can be no doubt that early parental instruction does present more or less obstacle,in the way of a subsequent change of opinion, on those subjectswhich thatinstructionembraced. Yet our Father in heaven has expressly com manded us to instruct our children and to endeavour to pre -occupy their minds with every thing that is excel- lent both in principle and practice. In short,if the objection before us be valid, then no one ought ever to go for ward inthe discharge of any duty; for he may one day cease to think it a duty; in other words, he ought habitually, and upon

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 75 principle, to disobey some of the plainest . commands of God , lest he should afterwards entertain different views of those commands, from those which he at present entertains. Nay, if this be so, then every book a man reads, and every careful, deep inquiry he makes concerning the subject of it, must be considered as tending to influence the mind, and to interfere with perfect impartiality in any subsequent inquiry on the same subject; and, therefore, ought to be forborne! Surely no man in his senses judges or acts thus. Especially, no Christian allows himself thus to reason or act. In the path of what appears to be present duty, he feels bound to go forward, leaving future things with God. If subscription to a correct Creed be really agreeable to the will of God ; if it be necessary, both to the purity and harmony of the Church; and, therefore, in itself a duty; then, no man ought any more to hesitate about discharging this duty, than about discharging any of those duties which have been mentioned, or any others which may be supposed. There is no station in life in which its occupant does not find some peculiar temptation. But if he

be a man of a right spirit, he will meet it with Christian integrity, and overcome it with Christian courage. If he be a truly honest man, he will be faithful to his God, and faithful to his own conscience, at all hazards; and if he be not honest, he will not be very likely to benefit the Church by his discoveries and speculations. Accordingly, the voice of history confirms this reasoning. On the one hand, how many thousand instances have the last two centuries afforded, of men who were willing to incur, not only obloquy and reproach, but also beggary, imprisonment, and even death itself, in their most frightful forms, rather than abandon the truth, and subscribe to formularies which they could not conscientiously adopt! On the other hand, how many instances have occurred, within the last fifty years, of unprincipled men, after solemnly subscribing orthodox Creeds, disregarding their vows, and opposing the spirit of those Creeds, and still retaining their ecclesiastical stations, without reserve! It is plain, then, that this whole objection, though specious, has not the least solidity. Truly upright and pious men

will always follow their convictions; while, 76 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 77 with regard to those of an opposite character, their light, whether they remain or depart, will be found to be of no value, either to themselves, or the Church of God. Creeds at all, or have long since professedly omitted to enforce subscription to them. To mention only two examples: the Church of England, for nearly three centuries, has had a set of Articles decisively Calvinistic, to which all her candidates for the ministry are required to subscribe; but we know that more than a hundred and fifty years have passed away, since Pelagian and Semi-Pelagian tenets began to pollute that important branch of the reformed Church; and that within the last seventy-five or eighty years, almost every form of heresy has lurked under subscription to her orthodox Articles. And even the Church of Scotland, 7\* any 4. A fourth objection frequently brought against Creeds is, that they have altogether failed of answering the purpose professed to be intended by them. "Churches," it is said, which have Creeds the most carefully drawn, and of the most rigid character, are as far from being united in doctrinal opinions, as some which either have never had

which has had, for nearly two centuries, the most rigidly and minutely orthodox Confession on earth, is generally supposed, at this hour, to have a ministry far from being unanimous in loving and honouring her public standards. Now, if Creeds have not in fact, been productive of the great benefit intended by them, even in some of the most favourable cases that can be produced, why be perplexed and burdened with them at all?" This objection evidently proceeds on the principle, that a remedy which does not accomplish every thing, is worth nothing. Because Creeds have not completely banished dissension and discord from the churches which have adopted them, therefore they have been of no use. But is this sound reasoning? Does it accord even with common sense, or with the dictates of experience in any walk of life? Because the Constitution of the United States has not completely defended our country from all political animosity and strife, is it, therefore, worthless? Or

should we have been more united and harmonious without any constitutional provisions at all? Because the system of public law does not a n 78 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE I I

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 79 nihilateallcrime,shouldwe,of course,beas wellwithoutit. No onewillsaythis. Nay, may not the objection be retorted on those who urge it? They contend that Creeds are unnecessary; that the Bible isamply sufficient for all purposes, as a test of truth. But has the Bible banished dissension and discord from the Church? N o one will pretend that it has. Yet w h y not? Surely not on account ofany error or defect in itself; but on account of the folly and perverseness of depraved m a n , w h o , amidst all the provisions of infinite wisdom and goodness,is continually warring against the peace of the world . But I go further, and maintain that the his tory of the practical influence of Creeds, is strongly in their favour. Though they have not done every thing that could have been desired,they have done much ; and much in those very churches which have been most frequently selected as examples of their entire want of efficacy. The Calvinistic articles of the Church of England were the means of keeping her doctrinally pure, to a very re markable degree, for the greater part of a hundred years. In the reign of James I.,

80 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE very few opponents of Calvinism dared p u b lically to avow their opinions; and of those who did avow them, numbers were severely disci plined, and others saved themselves from similar treatment, by subsequent silence and discretion. The inroads of error, therefore, were very powerfully checked, and its tri umph greatly retarded by those public stand ards. In fact, the great body of the bishops and clergy professed to be doctrinal Calvinists, until a number of years after the Synod of Dort,when,chiefly by the influence of Arch bishop Laud,and his creatures,Arminianism was gradually and guardedly brought in, in consequence of which the faithful application of the thirty-nine articles,as a test of ortho doxy,and of admission to the ministry,was discontinued. Thearticlescontinuedtospeak as before, and to be solemnly subscribed ; but the spirit of the administration under

them was no longer the same. It became predomi nantly Arminian. W e may truly say,then, thattheCreedoftheChurchofEngland con tinued to operate effectually as a bond of union, and a barrier against the encroach mentsof heresy, as long as it continued to be

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 81 faithfully applied,agreeably to its known ori ginal purport. W h e n it ceased to be thus applied, it ceased to produce its wonted effect. But can this be reasonably wondered at? As well might we wonder that a medicine,when its use was laid aside,should no longer heal. The very same representa tion,in substance, may be made concerning the church of Scot land. Herpre-eminentlyexcellentCreedwas the means,under God,of keeping her united and pure,as long as that Creed continued to be honestly employed as a test,according to its true intent and spirit. W h e n this ceased to be the case, it would have been strange, indeed,if the state of things had remained as before. Itdidnotsoremain. Withlaxand dishonest subscription, heresy came in:—at first,with reserve and caution,but afterwards more openly . But even to the present day, as all know who are acquainted with the state ofthatchurch,themovementsofheresywithin her bosom, are held in most salutary check; and her condition is incomparably more fa vourable than it could have been, had her public standards been long ago abolished. Nor have the Creeds of those national

82 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE Nor are we without significant attestations to the efficacy of Creeds, and to the mischief of being without them,in our own country. Of the former, the Presbyte rian church in the United States,isono of the most signal exam ples. Conflictsshehas,indeed,had;butthey churches of Great Britain yet accomplished all the benefits to the cause of truth and right eousness which they are destined to confer. Though their genuine spirit has been long sinceforgotten by many;thisisby no means thecase withall. Therehasconstantlybeen in both those churches, a body of faithful wit nessestothetruth. Thisbody,thankstothe Almighty and all-gracious King of Zion ! is increasing. Their “ good Confes sions” form a rallying point, around which numbers are now gather ing; and those far-famed forinu laries, the favourable influence of



which has been supposed by many to be long since exhausted, and more than exhausted, will again become, there is every reason to believe, an ensign to the people," to which there shall be a flocking of those who love the "simplicity that is in Christ," more extensive and more glorious than ever before.

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 83 5. The last objection which I shall consider is, that subscription to Creeds, has not only failed entirely of producing the benefits contemplated by their friends; but has rather been found to produce the opposite evils;—to generate discord and strife. have been such as were incident to every community, ecclesiastical or civil, administered by the counsels of imperfect men. Amidst them all, she has, by the favour of her Divine Head, held on her way, substantially true to her system of doctrine and order; and though constituted, originally, by members from different countries, and of different habits, she has remained united to a degree, considering all things, truly wonderful. Of the latter, the Congregational churches of Massachusetts, furnish a melancholy memorial. Though originally formed by a people, far more homogeneous in their character and habits, and far more united in their opinions; yet, being destitute of any efficient bond of union, and equally destitute of the means of maintaining it, if it had been possessed, they have fallen a prey to dissension and error, to a degree, equally instructive and mournful. "Creeds," say

84 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE some, "instead of tending to compose differences, and to bind the members of churches more closely together, have rather proved a bone of contention, and a means of exciting mutual charges of heresy, and a thousand ill feelings, among those who might have been otherwise perfectly harmonious." In reply to this objection, my first remark is, that the alleged fact, which it takes for granted, is utterly denied. It is not true that Creeds have generated contention and strife in the bosom of those churches which have adopted them. On the contrary, it would be easy to show, by an extended induction of facts, that in those churches in which Creeds and Confessions have been most esteemed and most regarded, there union and peace

have most remarkably reigned. In truth, it has ever been the want of faithful regard to such formularies, that has led to division and strife in the Church of Christ. I doubt whether denomination of Christians ever existed, for half a century together, destitute of a public Creed, however united and harmonious it might have been, at the commencement of this period; without exhibiting, before the end any

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 85 of it, either that stillness of death, which is the result of cold indifference to the truth ; or that miserable scene of discord, in which parting asunder was the only means of escaping from open violence. My next remark is, that, even if it were shown, that orthodox public Creeds are often indirectly connected with conflict and contention in the Church ; it would form no solid argument against them. Ardent attachment to what they deemed truth, is the principle, in all ages, which has led Christian communities to adopt Creeds and Confessions of Faith. The same attachment to truth will naturally lead them to watch with care against every thing that is hostile to it; and to “ contend earnestly ” in its defence, when it is attacked . In this case, a Creed, supposing it to be a sound and scriptural one, is no more the cause of conflict and division, than a wholesome medicine which it is intended God commands is the cause of that disease to cure. The word of us to “ contend,” and to « contend earnestly, for the faith once delivered to the saints,” and to hold him “ accursed ” who preaches “ another gospel ” than that 8

which the Scriptures reveal. But when such “ contention ” becomes necessary, who is to blame for it? Surely not truth, or its advocates; but those who patronise error, and thus endeavour to corrupt the body of Christ; and, of course render contention for the truth a duty. It is granted, indeed, that, in this conflict, much unhallowed temper may be manifested. Not only on the part of the advocates of error; but also, in some degree, on the part of the friends of truth. They may contend even for the truth, with bigotry and bitterness. Still, this does not render the truth itself less precious; or the duty of contending for it less imperative; or those summaries of it which Christians have been led to form, less valuable, as testimonies for

God . 86 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE Before Christianity was preached in the Roman empire, the different classes of Pagans lived together in peace. The foundation of this peace was the opinion, that error was innocent ; and that all classes of religionists were equally safe. But when the religion of Jesus Christ was preached; when his ministers proclaimed that there was no other system either true or safe; that there was no other

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 87 foundation of hope ; that all false religions were not only highly criminal, but also eternally destructive ; and that the followers of Christ could not possibly countenance any of them ;—thence a scene of the most shocking persecution and violence, on the part of the Pagans, commenced. But on what, or on whom, are we to throw the blame, for these scenes of violence? No one, surely, will say, on Christianity. We are rather to impute it to the corruption of human nature, and to the blindness and violence of Pagan malice. If the primitive Christians had been willing to give up the precious truth committed to them , and to act upon the principle, that all modes of faith were equally safe; they might have escaped much, if not the whole of the dreadful persecution which they were called to endure. The only additional remark, therefore, which I have to make on the objection before us, is, that it can have no force, excepting upon the principle, that error ought to be left unassailed, and that contention for the truth is not a duty :—for all defence of the truth, against its active opposers — all “contending

for the truth,” must, of course, disturb that cold and death-like tranquillity which indifference to the purity of faith tends to introduce. We are commanded, “if it be possible, as much as lieth in us, to live peaceably with all men.” But it is not possible” to be at peace with some men. We must not beat peace with error or wickedness. The Divine authority makes it our duty to oppose them to the utmost of our power. And if, in the discharge of this duty, the peace of the church is, for a time, disturbed, the sin lies at the door of those who rendered the conflict necessary. Those summaries of truth, which particular occasions make it important to embody

day and to publish, are no more to blame for the struggle, than the wise and wholesome law of the land is to blame for that agitation which necessarily attends the seizure, the trial, and the execution of a malefactor. 88 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE But admitting Creeds to be lawful and necessary, it has often been asked by some who profess to be their friends, whether they ought ever to contain any other articles than those few which are strictly fundamental; - in other

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 89 words, whether we ought ever to insert among the members of a Creed intended to be subscribed by all candidates for office in a church, any more than some half a dozen articles, the reception of which is generally considered as absolutely essential to Christian character? This is a question of real importance, which certainly deserves grave consideration, and a candid answer. And for one, I have no hesitation in saying, that in my opinion, church Creeds not only lawfully may, but always ought, to contain a number of articles besides those which are fundamental. And to establish this, as it appears to me, no other proof is necessary than simply to remark, that there are many points confessedly not fundamental, concerning which, nevertheless, it is of the utmost importance to Christian peace and edification, that the members, and especially the ministers of every church should be harmonious in their views and practice. As long as the visible church of Christ continues to be divided into different sections or denominations, the several Creeds which they employ, if they are to answer any effectual purpose at all, must be so constructed as to exclude from 8\*

each those teachers whom it conscientiously believes to be unscriptural and corrupt; and whom, as long as it retains this belief, it ought to exclude. To exemplify my meaning. The Presbyterian church, and most other denominations, who have a regular system of government, believe that the Christian ministry is a divine ordinance, and that none but those who have been regularly authorized to discharge its functions, ought by any means, to attempt to preach the Gospel, or administer the Sacraments of the church.

Yet there are very pious, excellent men, who have adopted the sentiments of some high-toned Independents, who verily think that every "gifted brother," whether ordained or not, has as good a right to preach as any man; and, if invited by the church to do it, to administer the Sacraments. Now, no sober minded Presbyterian will consider this as a fundamental question. Fundamental, indeed, it is, to ecclesiastical order; but to the existence of Christian character, it is not. Men may differ entirely on this point, and yet be equally united to Christ by faith, and, of course equally safe as to their eternal

90 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE  
OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 91 Take another example. No man in his senses will consider the question which divides the Pedobaptists and the Antipedobaptists as a fundamental one. Though I have no doubt that infant baptism is a doctrine of the Bible, and an exceedingly important doctrine. But would any real, consistent Presbyterian be willing to connect himself with a church, calling itself by that name, in which, while one portion considered none but a regular minister as competent to the discharge of the functions alluded to, as many of the other portion as chose, claimed and actually exercised the right, to rise in the congregation, and preach, baptize, and dispense the Lord's Supper, when and how each might think proper; and not only so, but when the ordained ministers occupying the pulpit, in succession, differed no less entirely among themselves in reference to the disputed question; some encouraging, and others repressing, the efforts of these "gifted brethren? I do not ask whether such a church could be tranquil or comfortable; but whether it could possibly exist in a state of coherence, for twelve months together?

trine; and that the rejection of it is a mischievous error; yet I have quite a little doubt that some eminently pious men have been of a different opinion. But what would be the situation of a church equally divided, or nearly so, on this point; ministers as well as private members constantly differing among themselves; members of each party conscientiously persuaded that the others were wrong; each laying great stress on the point of difference,

as one concerning which there could be no compromise, or accommodation; all claiming and endeavouring to exercise the right not only to reason, but to act, according to their respective convictions; and every one zealously endeavouring to make proselytes to his own principles and practice? Which would such a church most resemble— the builders of Babel, when their speech was confounded; or a holy and united family, “ walking together in the fear of the Lord, and in the consolations of the Holy Ghost, and edifying one another in love?” Let me offer one illustration more. The question between Presbyterians and Prelatists is generally acknowledged not to be funda 92 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 93 mental. I do not mean that this is acknowledged by such of our Episcopal brethren as coolly consign to what they are pleased to call the “ uncovenanted mercy of God,” all those denominations who have not a ministry episcopally ordained; and who, on account of this exclusive sentiment are styled by Bishop Andrews, “ iron hearted,” and by Archbishop Wake, “ madmen:” but my meaning is, that all Presbyterians, without exception; a great majority of the best Prelatists themselves; and all moderate, sober-minded Protestants, of every country, acknowledge that this point of controversy is one which does by no means affect Christian character or hope. Still it is not plain, that a body of ministers entirely differing among themselves as to this point; though they might love, and commune with, each other, as Christians; could not possibly act harmoniously together in the important rite of ordination; whatever they might do in other religious concerns? In all these cases, it is evident there is nothing fundamental to the existence of vital piety. Yet it is equally evident, that those who differ entirely and zealously concerning

But for further details on this subject, both for and against the doctrine which I maintain, I must refer you to those works which have been devoted to its more extended discussion: more particularly to what is said by the judicious and excellent Mr. Dunlop, in the able 94 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE the points supposed, cannot be comfortable in the same ecclesiastical communion. But how is

their coming together, and the consequent discord and strife, which would be inevitable, to be prevented? I know of no method but so constructing their Confessions of Faith as to form different families or denominations, and to shut out from each those who are hostile to its distinguishing principles of order. It is plain, then, that unless Confessions of Faith contain articles, not, strictly speaking, fundamental, they cannot possibly answer one principal purpose for which they are formed, viz. guarding churches which receive the pure order and discipline, as well as truth, of Scripture, from the intrusion of teachers, who, though they may be pious, yet could not fail to disturb the peace, and mar the edification of the more correct and sound part of the body.

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 95 Preface to his "Collection of Confessions:" to "The Confessional," by Mr. Blackburn, one of the most zealous and formidable opposers of Creeds; which will prepare you for perusing some of the best of the many valuable answers to that far-famed work: to "Walker's Vindication of the Church of Scotland," & c: and, finally, to Mr. Dyer's "Inquiry into the Nature of Subscription to Articles of Religion." The subject, beloved Pupils, on which I have been addressing you, is eminently a practical one. It enters deeply into many questions of personal and official duty. I shall, therefore, detain you a few moments longer, by calling your attention to some of those practical inferences from the foregoing principles and reasonings, which appear to me to deserve your serious regard - and I. From the representation which has been given, we may see how little reason any have to be afraid of Creeds as instruments of oppression. There is something so perfectly visionary and unreasonable in the very thought of "ty

96 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE ranny," or "oppression," as connected with subscription to Creeds, in this country, that the only wonder is, how it can be admitted, for a moment, into any sober mind. Who does or can impose a creed upon any one, or ever attempt to do it? Is any man in the United States obliged to profess any belief; to subscribe any Creed; or to join any church whatever? Every man, indeed, is bound by the law of God, to

believe correctly, and to connect himself with a pure church. He is not and cannot be at liberty, in the sight of Jehovah, to neglect either. But is any man bound by human law, ecclesiastical or civil, to do any of these things? Is any man in the United States, after he has subscribed a Creed, and joined a church, obliged, by any human authority, to adhere to either a single day longer than he pleases? Is he not at perfect liberty to withdraw, at any moment, and that with or without giving a reason for his conduct, as he thinks proper? Everlasting thanks to Him who gives us this freedom! May it be perpetual and universal! Now, one would think, this is liberty enough to satisfy any reasonable man. But it seems there are really.

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 97 those who wish for more. They demand, in effect, that the church should be willing to take all manner of heresy, as well as orthodoxy, to her bosom, and to act as if she regarded both with an equal eye. Nay, they ask that heretics be freely allowed to impose themselves upon her, whether she be willing or not - not to unite and edify her members, but to divide and distract them;—that they be at liberty to come into the Redeemer's family, and there, without any regard to its scriptural rules, or its happy harmony, to propagate such discordant sentiments, and to establish such new principles of order, or disorder, as the intruders may choose to adopt. But is this Christian liberty? Is this a kind of liberty which any benevolent, or even honest man would wish to possess? It is liberty, truly of the most extraordinary kind, to the individual who intrudes; but what becomes of the liberty of the ecclesiastical body which he thus enters, contrary to its wishes and comfort, and to its real injury? It is, evidently, the same sort of privilege in the church, as the privilege of invading the retreat of private families, or disturbing the peace of civil society.

98 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE etc., at pleasure, and with impunity, would be regarded by the inhabitants of any free country. 2. We may see from what has been said, that subscribing a Church Creed, is not a mere formality; but a very solemn transaction, which means much, and involves the most serious obligations. It is certainly a transaction which ought to be entered upon with much deep



deliberation and humble prayer; and in which, if a man be bound to be sincere in any thing, he is bound to be honest to his God, honest to himself, and honest to the Church which he joins. For myself, I know of no transaction, in which insincerity is more justly chargeable with the dreadful sin of "lying to the Holy Ghost," than in this. It is truly humiliating and distressing to know, that in some churches it has gradually become customary, to consider Articles of Faith as merely articles of peace; in other words, as articles which he who subscribes, is not considered as professing to believe; but as merely engaging not to oppose—at least in any public or offensive manner. Whether we bring this principle to the test of reason, of Scripture, of the

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 99 original design of Creeds, or of the ordinary import of language among honourable men; it seems equally liable to the severest reprobation, as disreputable and criminal in a very high degree. Nor does it appear to me to be any alleviation, either of the disgrace or the sin, that many of the governors of the churches referred to, as well as of those who subscribe, publicly avow their adoption of this principle; admit the correctness of it; keep each other in countenance; and thus escape, as they imagine, the charge of hypocrisy. What would be thought of a similar principle, if generally adopted and avowed, with respect to the administration of oaths in civil courts? Suppose both jurors and witnesses, feeling it a grievance to be bound by their oaths to speak the truth, were to agree among themselves, and openly to give out, that they did not mean, when they swore, to take on themselves any such obligation; that they did not so understand the import of their oaths, and did not intend to recognize any such meaning? And suppose the judges were freely to admit them to their oaths with a similar understanding? Would a witness or a juror, in such a case be

exempt from the charge of perjury, or the judge from the guilt of subornation of perjury? I presume not, in the estimation of any sober-minded man. If it were otherwise, then bad men, who form a majority of every community, might, by combining, violate all the principles of virtue and order, not only with impunity, but

also without sin. Set it down, then, as a first principle of common honesty, as well as of Christian truth, that subscription to Articles of Faith, is a weighty transaction, which really means what it professes to mean ; that no man is ever at liberty to subscribe articles which he does not truly and fully believe; and that, in subscribing, he brings himself under a solemn, covenant engagement to the church which he enters, to walk with it "in the unity of faith," and "in the bond of peace and love." If he cannot do this honestly, let him not profess to do it at all. I see not but that here, in sincerity, concealment, double dealing, and mental reservations, are, to say the least, quite as mean and base as they can be in the transactions of social and civil life. You will, perhaps, ask me, what shall be the UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. Is there another Church on earth? I again answer- by no means. I know of no other mode of proceeding in such a case as this, which Christian candour, and a pure conscience will justify, than the following: Let the candidate for admission unfold to the Presbytery before which he presents himself, all his doubts and scruples, with perfect frankness; opening his whole heart, as if on oath ; and neither softening nor concealing any thing. Let him cause them distinctly to understand, that if he subscribe the done by a man who loves the Presbyterian Church; who considers it as approaching nearer to the scriptural model than any other with which he is acquainted; who regards its Confession of Faith as by far the best, in its great outlines, and in all its fundamental articles, that he knows; and who yet, in some of its minor details cannot entirely concur ? Can such an one honestly subscribe, without any previous explanation of his views ? I answer by no means. Ought he, then, you will ask, to abandon all thoughts of uniting himself with our Church, when he is in cordial harmony with it in all fundamental principles, and near to it in all respects, than to any other ?

Confession of Faith, he must be understood to do it in consistency with the exceptions and explanations which he specifies. If the Presbytery, after this fair understanding, should be of the opinion, that

the excepted points were of little or no importance, and interfered with no article of faith, and should be willing to receive his subscription in the usual way, he may proceed. Such a method of proceeding will best accord with every principle of truth and honour; and will remove all ground of either self-reproach, or of reproach on the part of others, afterwards. 102 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE 3. From the view which has been presented of this subject, we may decide how an honest man ought to act, after subscribing to a public creed. He will feel it to be his duty to adhere sincerely and faithfully to that Creed, in public and in private; and to make it his study to promote, by all means in his power, the peace and purity of the body with which he has connected himself. And if he should, at any time, alter his views concerning any part of the Creed or order of the Church in question, it will be incumbent on him to inquire, whether the points, concerning which he has altered

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 103 his mind, are of such a nature as that he can conscientiously be silent concerning them, and “give no offence” to the body to which he belongs. If he can reconcile this with an enlightened sense of duty, he may remain in peace. But if the points concerning which his views have undergone a change, are of so much importance in his estimation, as that he cannot be silent, but must feel himself bound to publish, and endeavour to propagate them; then let him peaceably withdraw, and join some other branch of the visible Church, with which he can walk harmoniously. Such he may find almost every where, unless his views be singularly eccentric. But, at any rate, he has no more right to insist on remaining, and being permitted publicly to oppose, what he has solemnly vowed to receive and support; than a member of any voluntary association, which he entered under certain engagements, but with which he no longer agrees, has a right obstinately to retain his connexion with it, and to avail himself of the influence which his connexion gives him, to endeavour to tear it in pieces. It is no solid objection to this view of the

104 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE subject, to allege, that every man is under obligations to obey the great Head of the Church, alto-

gether paramount to those which bind him, in virtue of any ecclesiastical engagements, to obey the Church herself. This is most readily granted. No man can lawfully bind himself to disobey Christ, in any case whatever. But this principle, it is conceived, has nothing to do with the point under consideration. Though a man cannot properly bind himself always to believe as he now believes; nor always to remain in connexion with the ecclesiastical body which he now joins; yet he may safely promise that he will be a regular and orderly member of the body, as long as he does remain in connexion with it. When he ceases to be able to do this, without sinning against God, he will, if he be an honest man, immediately withdraw. If he remain, and suffer himself habitually to violate his engagement, under the pretence of benefitting the body to which he has vowed allegiance, he will be chargeable with the sin of treacherously and basely "doing evil that good may come." To illustrate my meaning by a familiar example. Every student of this Seminary has,

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 105 at his entrance, made a solemn promise, that as long as he shall continue a member of it, he will conscientiously and vigilantly observe all the rules and regulations specified in the plan for its instruction and government, so far as the same relate to the students; and further, that he will obey all the lawful requisitions of the Professors and Directors," &c. As this engagement was voluntarily made, no honest man will doubt that you are all bound to act in conformity with it, to the utmost tittle, as far as you have ability. Suppose, however, that one of your number should become persuaded, that some of the "regulations specified in the plan" of the Seminary, are not only unwise, and inconvenient, but also immoral; what ought he to do? Ought he to remain in the institution, and habitually violate the regulations to which he excepted, pleading that he could not conscientiously obey them, because, though he had solemnly engaged to do so, he felt himself under a prior and paramount obligation to "obey God rather than man?" This, surely, no Christian would approve, nor any faithful government tolerate. No; every principle of honour and integrity

would dictate, that he should immediately withdraw from the Seminary; and if, after withdrawing, he should be able to convince the General Assembly of our Church, that his exceptions were just, and should prevail with that body to alter the offensive rules; then, and not till then, he might, with a good conscience, resume his place in the institution. 106

UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE

4. We are led to reflect, from the representation which has been given, how easy it is for a single imprudent or unsound minister to do extensive and irreparable mischief in the Church. Such an one, especially if he be a man of talents and influence, by setting himself, either openly or covertly, against the public standards of his Church; by addressing popular feeling, and availing himself of popular prejudice; may do more, in a short time, to prepare a way for fatal error, than all his usefulness, though multiplied a hundred fold, would be able to countervail. Ministers, my young friends, may be said to hold in their hands the interests of the Church, to a degree which no other class of men do; and which ought to make them tremble under a sense of their responsibility! Such is the character

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 107

of the ministry of any particular Church, will be, generally speaking, the character of the Church itself. On the one hand, if the ministers of religion be generally enlightened, orthodox, holy, diligent, and faithful men, the Church to which they belong, will never fail to display the influence of this character in happy results. On the other hand, never was the Church, in any country or age, corrupted, divided, and ruined, but the mischief was done by its ministers. However humiliating or painful this assertion may be, it is undoubtedly confirmed by all Scripture, and all experience. And as the general influence of the clerical character is so vital; so it is not easy to measure the mischief that may be done by one unsound, graceless, imprudent, turbulent minister. If, in every walk of society, "one sinner destroyeth much good," how much more wide spread, deplorable, and fatal is the mischief, when the criminal individual is a minister! By erroneous opinions; by corrupt habits; by a love of innovation; by embracing himself, and extensively imparting to others, pernicious

delusions;-he may do more in five or ten years,to agitate, divide, corrupt,

108 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE Beloved Pupils! be it your study, at all times,to cherish a deep sense of your solemn responsibility to God and his Church. In a littlewhile,youwillbeamong thosetowhom the most weighty interests that can be c o m mitted toman,willbeentrusted. Befaitful to your high trust. Guard, with the utmost vigilance,the Church's orthodoxy. Nothing can be truly right,where her doctrinal prin ciples are essentially wrong. But,O,think not that mere frigid orthodoxy,however per and weaken the Church,than,perhaps,a score of the most faithful ministers in the land,can do,humanly speaking,forpromoting its purity and peace,in half a century. The influence of two or three individuals,of popular talents, in Massachusetts,more than fifty years ago, in gradually undermining orthodoxy, and in reconciling the public mind to heretical opi nions, is as well known, as it is deeply de plored,by many who are acquainted with the ecclesiastical history of New England. The authors of this mischief have long since gone to their account; but their works have sur vived them ; and of their awful ravages, no one can estimate the extent,or see the end.

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 109 fect,isallthatisneeded. Labourtodiffuse, in every direction; the holy and benign influ ence of truth. If t h e household of faith" be corrupted by heresy,or torn by schism, or agitated by unhallowed innovation,or become cold through want of ministerial faithfulness, see to it, that none of you be found among the workers of the mischief. See to it that you seek unceasingly, not " your own things," your own aggrandizement,your own honour, your own fancies, or your own speculations, but t h e things which are Jesus Christ's." If you cannot benefit the Church, (and no man has a right to say that he cannot, if he have a heart for the purpose) at least, do not lend your influence to the,unhallowed work of corrupting and dividing it. And if you should ever be brought into circumstances in which you can do nothing else, see that you be found, like the ministers

of the Lord" of old, "weeping between the porch and the altar, and saying, spare thy people, o, Lord, and give not thine heritage to reproach; save them, and lift them up forever!" 5. We may infer, from what has been said, the duty and importance of all the members, 10

and especially the ministers, of the Presbyterian Church, exerting themselves to spread a knowledge of her public standards. I say, her "public standards," notwithstanding all the sneer and censure which have been cast on this language. For every intelligent and candid man in the community knows that we employ it to designate, not formularies which we place above the Bible; but simply those which ascertain and set forth how we interpret the Bible. These formularies — if they be really an epitome of the word of God, and surely we think them so — every minister is bound to circulate, with unwearied assiduity, among the people of his charge. This is so far, in general, from being faithfully done, that I seriously doubt, whether there be a Protestant Church in Christendom, in which there is so striking a defect as to the discharge of this duty, especially in some parts of the country, as in the Presbyterian Church. Our Episcopal brethren exercise a most laudable diligence in placing the volume which contains their articles, forms, and offices, in every family within their reach, which belongs to their communion, or can be considered as  
110 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. III tending towards it. Our Methodist and Baptist brethren, with no less diligence, do the same, with respect to those books which exhibit the doctrines and order of their respective denominations. All this is as it should be. It bespeaks men sincere in their belief, and earnest in the dissemination of what they deem correct principles? Why is it that so many ministers of the Presbyterian Church, with a Confession of Faith, and Catechisms, which, I verily believe, and which the most of them readily acknowledge, are by far the best that were ever framed by uninspired wisdom; and with a Form of Government and Discipline more consentaneous with apostolical practice than that of any other Church on earth, are yet so negligent, not to say so in different, as to the circulation of these formularies?

They, perhaps, do not take the trouble even to inquire whether there be a copy of the volume which contains them, in every family, or even in every neighbourhood, of their respective charges. How are we to account for the peculiar frequency of this negligence in the ministry of our Church? It would be far from being true, I trust, to say,

that our clergy are more unfaithful in the general discharge of their duties, than those of any other communion. May we not rather ascribe the fact in question to another fact, from which it might be expected naturally to arise? The fact to which I allude is, that, in the Presbyterian Church, at the present day, and in this country—whatever may have been the case in former times—there is less of sectarian feeling; less of what is called, the *esprit du corps*, than in any other ecclesiastical body among us. We are in truth, if I do not mistake, so excessively free from it, as to be hardly ready to defend ourselves when attacked. We are so ready to fraternize with all evangelical denominations, that we almost forget that we have a denomination of our own, to which we are peculiarly attached. Now, this general spirit is undoubtedly excellent; worthy of constant culture, and the highest praise. But may it not be carried to an extreme? Universal, active benevolence, is a Christian duty; but when the head of a family, in the ardour of its exercise, feels no more concern or responsibility respecting his own household, than he does about the house

## II2 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 113 For him to desire that others should view it in the same light? And if he be justifiable in recommending these peculiarities from the pulpit—as all allow—is he not equally justifiable in recommending them from the press, especially by means of accredited publications? Happy will it be for our Church, then, if her future ministry shall be more attentive to the duty in question, than many of those who have gone before them. To you, beloved Candidates for the sacred office, let me recommend a sacred regard to this duty. Resist, holds of others, he acts an unreasonable part, and, what is worse, disobeys the command of God. Something analogous to this, I apprehend, is the mistake



of that Christian, or that minister, who in the fervour of his catholicism, loses sight of the fact, that God, in his providence, has connected him with a particular branch of the visible Church, the welfare and edification of which he is peculiarly bound to seek. If his own branch of the Church have any thing of peculiar excellence in his estimation, on account of which he prefers it—which is always to be supposed—can it be wrong to

114 UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE always, to the utmost of your power, the littleness of sectarian bigotry, and strive to banish it from the Church. But, at the same time, cherish among her members an enlightened attachment to that particular branch of the family of Christ in which their lot is cast. For this purpose, strive to promote among them a general and intimate acquaintance with our Confession of Faith, and Form of Government and Discipline, as well as our Catechisms, which latter, I fain would hope, are not entirely neglected in any part of the Church. Never advise the people to take the contents of these public formularies on trust; but diligently to compare every part of them with Scripture, and see how far they agree with the unerring Standard. Thus will you be likely to become instrumental in forming solid, intelligent Christians. Thus may you hope to become the spiritual fathers of multitudes, “whose faith shall stand, not in the wisdom of men, but in the power of God.”

## I.2. II. EXAMINE SOME OF THE PRINCIPAL OBJECTIONS WHICH HAVE BEEN MADE

- I.2.1 6. Once more; if the foregoing principles be just, then how unhappy is the mistake of those who imagine, that by abandoning all Creeds and Confessions, they are about to render the Church an essential service; to build her up more extensively and gloriously than ever! There are those who imagine that a new order of things is about to open on the Church, amounting to as great a change of dispensation as ever marked the progress of the Redeemer's kingdom, in any preceding age. In this new and undefined prospect, they seem to themselves to see the approaching prostration of most of those fences, and the dissolution of most of those ties, which have heretofore been regarded as indispensable to the maintenance of unity and harmony in the family of Christ. I shall only say, that it will be time enough to provide for this new order of things when it shall arrive; and that, in the mean while, in the present state of the world, I should as soon think of extending and edifying the Church, by laying aside all the means of grace; as of promoting its purity and peace, by abandoning those methods of binding its members together, which have been found necessary ever since the days of the Apostles.

The apostle Peter thus exhorted the Christians in his day: "Be sober, be vigilant, because your adversary, the Devil, as a roaring lion goeth about seeking whom he may devour. And another apostle, reminding those to whom he wrote, that this adversary often times "transformed himself into an angel of light." So it was

eighteen centuries ago; and so it is at this hour. The very blessings of the Church, as they have been in all ages, so they are now, converted into means of deception. The progressive harmony of the different evangelical denominations; their increasing zeal for the spread of the Gospel; their growing disposition to sacrifice many smaller differences on the altar of our common Christianity; have so fired the imaginations of some ardent, sanguine spirits, that they have allowed themselves to be hurried on to the unwarranted conclusion, that all former rules were about to be laid aside, and all former barriers to be broken down. Remember my young friends, that a similar notion has been entertained, and afterwards abandoned, in almost every century since the incarnation of Christ. Remember, too, that even when the Millennium shall arrive, human nature will still be depraved, and will still

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 117 While I exhort you, then, to hail with delight the spirit of harmony, of union, and of active co-operation, which is among the most precious and animating “signs of the times” in which we live; and while I earnestly hope that no student of this Seminary will ever stand afar off, or turn away with an evil eye, when the true standard of Christ is raised by any denomination; let me, at the same time, intreat you always to temper your zeal with soberness. I say soberness; for this is a quality, not always found associated even with great vigour of talent, and great warmth of piety. Many a man of admirable endowments in other respects; endowments which qualified him, if they had been happily distanced in need of law and regulation, not, perhaps, as much, but as really as now. And, finally, remember that before that blessed day shall actually dawn upon our world, we shall probably have many a sore conflict with the enemies of truth, and stand in need of all those methods of distinguishing and binding together its friends, to which the word of God, and uniform experience have so long given their sanction.

The Church is still in the wilderness;” and every age has its appropriate trials. Among those of the present day, is a spirit of restless innova-

tion ; a disposition to consider every thing that is new as of course an improvement. Happy are they, who, taking the word of God for their guide, and walking in “ the footsteps of the flock,” continually seek the purity, the peace, and the edification of the Master’s family :- Who, listening with more respect to the unerring Oracle, and to the sober lessons of Christian experience, than to the delusions of fashionable error, hold on their way, “ turning neither to the right hand nor to the left,” to adorn and bless the Church ; has been either so transported by the visions of a heated fancy ; or so deceived by keeping his eye fixed on a single point only of the vast scene before him ; or so impelled by the approaches of others, as anomalous as himself ; that, like the comet of the infidel philosopher, he has only been able to strike off a few wandering stars from the parent luminary, while he himself, given up to an orbit more and more eccentric, never returned, either to regularity or usefulness.

OF CREEDS AND CONFESSIONS. 119  
 “ north and left,” and considering their highest honour and happiness to be employed as humble, peaceful instruments in building up that “ kingdom which is not meat and drink, but righteousness, and peace, and joy in the Holy Ghost !” May God grant to each of us this best of all honours ! And to his name be the praise, for ever ! Amen !