1. Interpreted the question (10pts)						
a. 2_/2 points: Asked meaningful clarifying questions						
b. 2 /2 points: Identified inputs and outputs						
c. 2 /2 points: Visually illustrated the problem domain						
d. 4_/4 points: Identified correct data structure						
e. Notes:						
2. Solved the technical problem (12pts)						
a4_/4 points: Presents a working pseudo-code solution						
b. 3/3 points: Code was syntactically correct						
c. 3_/3 points: Code was idiomatically correct						
d. 2/2 points: Solution was the best possible option						
e. Notes:						
3. Analyzed the proposed solution (6pts)						
a. 2 /2 points: Stepped through their solution						
b. 2 /2 points: Big O time and space are analyzed						
c. 2 /2 points: Explain an approach to testing						
d. Notes:						
4. O a management and a official to the various language (4.0 mater)						
4. Communicated effectively throughout (12pts)						
a. 6/6 points: Verbalized their thought process						
b. 2_/2 points: Used correct terminology						
c. 1/1 point: Used the time available effectively						
d/1 point: Was not overconfident (not listening to suggestions)						
e/1 point: Was not under-confident (unsure of known algorithm)						
f/1 point: Whiteboard was readable (penmanship and spacing)						
g. Notes:						
40 /40 Total points						

2/16

Start time: 11:24 End Time: 11:35

Christian Miller Date:

Name: