Name:	Alexander Bowers	Date: 3/2/18	Start time: 1130	End Time: 1230				
1. lr	nterpreted the ques	stion (10pts)						
	a. <u>2</u> /2 points: As	ked meaningful	clarifying questions					
	b. 2 /2 points: Identified inputs and outputs							
	c. 2/2 points: Visually illustrated the problem domain							
	d. 4 /4 points: Identified correct data structure							
	e. Notes:							
2. S	olved the technica	l problem (12pt	3)					
	a. 4 /4 points: Pr	esents a working	pseudo-code solution	on				
	b. <u>3</u> /3 points: Co	ode was syntacti	cally correct					
	c. 2 /3 points: Co	ode was idiomati	cally correct					
	d. 2 /2 points: So	olution was the b	est pos sible option					
	e. Notes:							
2 1	nalyzad tha propa	and colution (Gr	+0/					
3. A	nalyzed the propos	` •	•					
	a. 2 /2 points: St							
	 b. <u>2</u>/2 points: Big c. <u>2</u>/2 points: Ex 		_					
	d. Notes:							
	d. 140100							
4. C	communicated effe	ctively througho	out (12pts)					
	a. <u>6</u> /6 points: Ve	rbalized their the	ought process					
	b. 2/2 points: Us	sed correct termi	nology					
	c. <u>1</u> /1 point: Use	ed the time availa	ble effectively					
	d. <u>1</u> /1 point: Wa	s not overconfide	ent (not listening to s	uggestions)				
	e. <u>1</u> /1 point: Wa	s not under-conf	ident (unsure of knov	vn algorithm)				
	f. <u>1</u> /1 point: Wh	iteboard was rea	dable (penmanship a	ınd spacing)				
	g. Notes:							
	40/40 Total pain	t o						
	40/40 Total poin	ເວ						