

Logical Foundations

Michał Stanisław Wójcik

December 27, 2025

1 Introduction

This document presents the logical foundations for a formal system based on first-order logic. The definitions and theorems presented here were designed by the human author. Claude AI assisted with writing proofs and definitions following the author's specifications and design. All AI-generated content in this paper has been carefully reviewed and verified by the human author.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Well Formed Formula	2
2.1	Syntax	2
3	Semantics	3
4	Substitutions	4
4.1	Syntax	4
4.2	Semantics	8

2 Well Formed Formula

2.1 Syntax

Let \mathcal{V} be an infinite countable set of variable symbols. And \mathfrak{f} be a special predicate symbol.

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{P} be a set of predicate symbols for which $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathcal{P}$ and \mathcal{F} be a set of function symbols. Let $\mathfrak{a} : \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be an arity function. then $\mathcal{L} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{a})$ is a signature of a language.

Definition 2.2 (arity). Let $\mathcal{L} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{a})$ be a signature of a language.

$$\mathcal{P}_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{p \in \mathcal{P} : \mathfrak{a}(p) = k\}, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{f \in \mathcal{F} : \mathfrak{a}(f) = k\}. \quad (2)$$

Definition 2.3 (recursive: term). Let $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{a})$ be a signature of a language.

1. For any $v \in \mathcal{V}$, v is a **term**.
2. For any $c \in \mathcal{F}_0$, c is a **term**.
3. For any $f \in \mathcal{F}_k$ and τ_1, \dots, τ_k terms, $f(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$ is a **term**.

Definition 2.4 (recursive: atomic formula). Let $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{a})$ be a signature of a language.

1. For any $p \in \mathcal{P}_0$, p is an **atomic formula**.
2. For any $p \in \mathcal{P}_k$ and τ_1, \dots, τ_k are terms, $p(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$ is an **atomic formula**.

We will denote a set of all atomic formulas as $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$.

Definition 2.5 (recursive: base formula, well formed formula). Let $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{a})$ be a signature of a language.

1. For any atomic formula ϕ , ϕ is a **base formula**.
2. For any **base formula** ϕ , ϕ is a **well formed formula**.
3. For any **well formed formula** ϕ , (ϕ) is a **base formula**.
4. For any **base formulas** α, β , $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ is a **well formed formula**.
5. For any $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and a **base formula** ϕ , $\forall v. \phi$ is a **well formed formula**.

We will denote set of all base formulas as $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})$ and set of all well formed formulas as $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$.

Note 2.6 (syntactic equality). We use the notation $\stackrel{\text{sx}}{=}$ to denote syntactic equality of terms and formulas. Two terms (or formulas) are syntactically equal if they are the same syntactic construct.

3 Semantics

Definition 3.1 (\mathcal{L} -structure). Let $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{a})$ be a signature of a language and A be an arbitrary mathematical domain, then a mapping \mathbf{M} such that

$$\mathbf{M} : \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \{0, 1\} \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{0, 1\}^{(A^k)} \cup A \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A^{(A^k)}, \quad (3)$$

where $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{f}) = 0$,

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathcal{P}_0) \subset \{0, 1\} \text{ and } \mathbf{M}(\mathcal{P}_k) \subset \{0, 1\}^{(A^k)} \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, \quad (4)$$

and

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathcal{F}_0) \subset A \text{ and } \mathbf{M}(\mathcal{F}_k) \subset A^{(A^k)} \text{ for } k = 1, \dots \quad (5)$$

is called an \mathcal{L} -structure with domain A .

For convenience we will denote values of \mathbf{M} as $p^{\mathbf{M}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{M}(p)$ for $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $f^{\mathbf{M}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{M}(f)$ for $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

Definition 3.2 (assignment). Let A be an arbitrary mathematical domain. Then $j : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow A$ is called an variables assignment in A .

Definition 3.3 (recursive: term evaluation). Let $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{a})$ be a signature of a language and A be an arbitrary mathematical domain, let \mathbf{M} be an \mathcal{L} -structure and $j : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow A$. Let τ be an arbitrary term.

1. If $\tau \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} v$ where $v \in \mathcal{V}$, then $\tau^{\mathbf{M}, j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} j(v)$.
2. If $\tau \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} f$ where $f \in \mathcal{F}_0$, then $\tau^{\mathbf{M}, j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f^{\mathbf{M}}$.
3. If $\tau \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} f(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$ where $f \in \mathcal{F}_k$ and τ_1, \dots, τ_k are terms, then $\tau^{\mathbf{M}, j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f^{\mathbf{M}}(\tau_1^{\mathbf{M}, j}, \dots, \tau_k^{\mathbf{M}, j})$.

Definition 3.4. Let \mathcal{L} be a signature of a language. Let \mathbf{M} be a \mathcal{L} -structure with domain A and let $j : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow A$ be an assignment of variables. Then we define

$$j \frac{a}{x}(v) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} a & \text{if } v \text{ is } x, \\ j(v) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

For the purposes of this work, we will define product of infinite number of 0s and 1s.

Definition 3.5. Let S be an arbitrary set and $a_s \in \{0, 1\}$ for any $s \in S$.

$$\prod_{s \in S} a_s = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a_s = 1 \text{ for all } s \in S, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Definition 3.6 (recursive: formula evaluation). Let $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{a})$ be a signature of a language and A be an arbitrary mathematical domain, let \mathbf{M} be an \mathcal{L} -structure with domain A and $j : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow A$. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$.

1. If $\phi \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} p$ where $p \in \mathcal{P}$, then $\phi^{\mathbf{M},j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^{\mathbf{M}}$.
2. If $\phi \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} p(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$ where $p \in \mathcal{P}_k$ and τ_1, \dots, τ_k are terms, then $\phi^{\mathbf{M},j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^{\mathbf{M}}(\tau_1^{\mathbf{M},j}, \dots, \tau_k^{\mathbf{M},j})$.
3. If $\phi \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})$, then

$$\phi^{\mathbf{M},j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1 - \alpha^{\mathbf{M},j}(1 - \beta^{\mathbf{M},j}). \quad (8)$$

4. If $\phi \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \forall x. \psi$ where $x \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})$, then

$$\phi^{\mathbf{M},j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{a \in A} \psi^{\mathbf{M},j} \frac{a}{x}. \quad (9)$$

Corollary 3.7. Let $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{a})$ be a signature of a language and A be an arbitrary mathematical domain, let \mathbf{M} be an \mathcal{L} -structure with domain A and $j : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow A$. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$, then

$$(\phi \rightarrow \mathbf{f})^{\mathbf{M},j} = 1 - \phi^{\mathbf{M},j}. \quad (10)$$

Definition 3.8 (model). Let $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{a})$ be a signature of a language and A be an arbitrary mathematical domain, let \mathbf{M} be an \mathcal{L} -structure with domain A and $j : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow A$. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$, then

$$\mathbf{M}, j \models \phi \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \phi^{\mathbf{M},j} = 1. \quad (11)$$

4 Substitutions

4.1 Syntax

We will define recursively a set $\text{var}(\tau)$ of all variables in a term τ .

Definition 4.1 (recursive: variable in a term). Let $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{a})$ be a signature of a language. Let $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and τ be a term.

1. If $\tau \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} v$ then $v \in \text{var}(\tau)$.
2. If $\tau \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} f(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$ where $f \in \mathcal{F}_k$ and τ_1, \dots, τ_k are terms and $v \in \text{var}(\tau_i)$ where i is an integer with $1 \leq i \leq k$, then $v \in \text{var}(\tau)$.
3. Otherwise $v \notin \text{var}(\tau)$.

We will define recursively a set $\text{var}(\phi)$ of all variables in a formula ϕ .

Definition 4.2 (recursive: variable in a well formed formula). Let $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{a})$ be a signature of a language. Let $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$.

1. If $\phi \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} p(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$ where $p \in \mathcal{P}_k$ and τ_1, \dots, τ_k are terms, and $v \in \text{var}(\tau_i)$ where i is an integer with $1 \leq i \leq k$, then $v \in \text{var}(\phi)$.
2. If $\phi \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})$, and $v \in \text{var}(\alpha)$ or $v \in \text{var}(\beta)$, then $v \in \text{var}(\phi)$.
3. If $\phi \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \forall x. \psi$ where $x \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})$, and either $v = x$ or $v \in \text{var}(\psi)$, then $v \in \text{var}(\phi)$.
4. Otherwise $v \notin \text{var}(\phi)$.

We will define recursively a set $\text{free}(\phi)$ of all free variables in a formula ϕ .

Definition 4.3 (recursive: free variable in a well formed formula). Let $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{a})$ be a signature of a language. Let $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$.

1. If $\phi \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$ and $v \in \text{var}(\phi)$, then $v \in \text{free}(\phi)$.
2. If $\phi \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})$, and $v \in \text{free}(\alpha)$ or $v \in \text{free}(\beta)$, then $v \in \text{free}(\phi)$.
3. If $\phi \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \forall x. \psi$ where $x \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})$ and $v \neq x$ and $v \in \text{free}(\psi)$, then $v \in \text{free}(\phi)$.
4. Otherwise $v \notin \text{free}(\phi)$.

Definition 4.4 (recursive: substitution in term). Let $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{a})$ be a signature of a language. Let $x \in \mathcal{V}$ and τ, σ be terms.

1. If $\sigma \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} x$, then $\sigma(x/\tau) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tau$.
2. If $\sigma \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} v$ where $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and v is not x , then $\sigma(x/\tau) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} v$.
3. If $\sigma \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} c$ where $c \in \mathcal{F}_0$, then $\sigma(x/\tau) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} c$.
4. If $\sigma \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} f(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)$ where $f \in \mathcal{F}_k$ and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ are terms, then $\sigma(x/\tau) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(\sigma_1(x/\tau), \dots, \sigma_k(x/\tau))$.

Definition 4.5 (recursive: substitution in well formed formula). Let $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{a})$ be a signature of a language. Let $x \in \mathcal{V}$, τ be a term, and $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$.

1. If $\phi \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} p$ where $p \in \mathcal{P}_0$, then $\phi(x/\tau) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p$.
2. If $\phi \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} p(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)$ where $p \in \mathcal{P}_k$ and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ are terms, then $\phi(x/\tau) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p(\sigma_1(x/\tau), \dots, \sigma_k(x/\tau))$.
3. If $\phi \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})$, then $\phi(x/\tau) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \alpha(x/\tau) \rightarrow \beta(x/\tau)$.

4. If $\phi \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \forall y.\psi$ where $y \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})$:

(a) if $y = x$ or $x \notin \text{free}(\psi)$, then $\phi(x/\tau) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall y.\psi$.

(b) if $y \neq x$ and $x \in \text{free}(\psi)$:

i. if $y \notin \text{var}(\tau)$ and $\psi(x/\tau)$ is **admissible**, then $\phi(x/\tau) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall y.\psi(x/\tau)$.

ii. otherwise $\phi(x/\tau)$ is **not admissible**.

Lemma 4.6. Let $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{a})$ be a signature of a language. Let σ be a term. Let $x \in \mathcal{V}$ and τ be a term. Let $y \in \mathcal{V}$ and $y \notin \text{var}(\tau) \cup \text{var}(\sigma)$. Then

$$\sigma(x/y)(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \sigma(x/\tau). \quad (12)$$

Proof. We proceed by structural induction on the term σ .

Case 1: $\sigma \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} x$.

Then $\sigma(x/y) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} y$, and

$$(\sigma(x/y))(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} y(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \tau.$$

Also, $\sigma(x/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \tau$. Therefore, $\sigma(x/y)(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \sigma(x/\tau)$.

Case 2: $\sigma \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} v$ where $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and $v \neq x$.

Since y is not a variable in σ , we have $v \neq y$. Then $\sigma(x/y) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} v$, and

$$(\sigma(x/y))(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} v(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} v$$

(since $v \neq y$). Also, $\sigma(x/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} v$ (since $v \neq x$). Therefore, $\sigma(x/y)(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \sigma(x/\tau)$.

Case 3: $\sigma \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} c$ where $c \in \mathcal{F}_0$.

Then $\sigma(x/y) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} c$, and

$$(\sigma(x/y))(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} c(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} c.$$

Also, $\sigma(x/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} c$. Therefore, $\sigma(x/y)(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \sigma(x/\tau)$.

Case 4: $\sigma \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} f(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)$ where $f \in \mathcal{F}_k$ and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ are terms.

Since $y \notin \text{var}(\sigma)$, $y \notin \text{var}(\sigma_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. By the definition of substitution in term, $\sigma(x/y) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} f(\sigma_1(x/y), \dots, \sigma_k(x/y))$. Then

$$(\sigma(x/y))(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} f(\sigma_1(x/y)(y/\tau), \dots, \sigma_k(x/y)(y/\tau)).$$

By inductive hypothesis, $\sigma_i(x/y)(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \sigma_i(x/\tau)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. Therefore,

$$(\sigma(x/y))(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} f(\sigma_1(x/\tau), \dots, \sigma_k(x/\tau)) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \sigma(x/\tau).$$

This completes the proof by structural induction. \square

Lemma 4.7. Let $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{a})$ be a signature of a language. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$. Let $x \in \mathcal{V}$ and τ be a term. Let $y \in \mathcal{V}$ and $y \notin \text{var}(\tau) \cup \text{var}(\phi)$. If $\phi(x/\tau)$ is admissible then

$$\phi(x/y)(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \phi(x/\tau). \quad (13)$$

Proof. We proceed by structural induction on the formula ϕ .

Case 1: $\phi \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} p$ where $p \in \mathcal{P}_0$.

Then $\phi(x/y) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} p$, and

$$(\phi(x/y))(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} p(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} p.$$

Also, $\phi(x/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} p$. Therefore, $\phi(x/y)(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \phi(x/\tau)$.

Case 2: $\phi \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} p(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)$ where $p \in \mathcal{P}_k$ and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ are terms.

Since y is not a variable in ϕ , y is not a variable in any σ_i for $i = 1, \dots, k$. By the definition of substitution in well formed formula, $\phi(x/y) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} p(\sigma_1(x/y), \dots, \sigma_k(x/y))$.

Then

$$(\phi(x/y))(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} p(\sigma_1(x/y)(y/\tau), \dots, \sigma_k(x/y)(y/\tau)).$$

By Lemma 4.6, $\sigma_i(x/y)(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \sigma_i(x/\tau)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. Therefore,

$$(\phi(x/y))(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} p(\sigma_1(x/\tau), \dots, \sigma_k(x/\tau)) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \phi(x/\tau).$$

Case 3: $\phi \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})$.

Since $y \notin \text{var}(\phi)$, $y \notin \text{var}(\alpha)$ and $y \notin \text{var}(\beta)$. By the definition of substitution in well formed formula, $\phi(x/y) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \alpha(x/y) \rightarrow \beta(x/y)$. Then

$$(\phi(x/y))(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \alpha(x/y)(y/\tau) \rightarrow \beta(x/y)(y/\tau).$$

By inductive hypothesis, $\alpha(x/y)(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \alpha(x/\tau)$ and $\beta(x/y)(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \beta(x/\tau)$. Therefore,

$$(\phi(x/y))(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \alpha(x/\tau) \rightarrow \beta(x/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \phi(x/\tau).$$

Case 4: $\phi \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \forall z.\psi$ where $z \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})$.

Subcase 4a: If $z = x$ or $x \notin \text{free}(\psi)$, then by the definition of substitution, $\phi(x/y) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \forall z.\psi$. Since $y \notin \text{var}(\phi)$, $y \notin \text{var}(\psi)$. Then

$$(\phi(x/y))(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} (\forall z.\psi)(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \forall z.\psi(y/\tau).$$

Since $y \notin \text{var}(\psi)$, we have $\psi(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \psi$. Also, $\phi(x/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \forall z.\psi$ (since $z = x$ or $x \notin \text{free}(\psi)$). Therefore, $\phi(x/y)(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \phi(x/\tau)$.

Subcase 4b: If $z \neq x$ and $x \in \text{free}(\psi)$, then since $\phi(x/\tau)$ is admissible, we have $z \notin \text{var}(\tau)$ and $\psi(x/\tau)$ is admissible. Since $y \notin \text{var}(\phi)$, we have $y \neq z$ and $y \notin \text{var}(\psi)$ and then by the definition of substitution, $\phi(x/y) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \forall z.\psi(x/y)$ ($\psi(x/y)$ is trivially admissible). Thus, we have

$$(\phi(x/y))(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} (\forall z.\psi(x/y))(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \forall z.\psi(x/y)(y/\tau).$$

By inductive hypothesis, $\psi(x/y)(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \psi(x/\tau)$. Therefore,

$$(\phi(x/y))(y/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \forall z.\psi(x/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \phi(x/\tau).$$

This completes the proof by structural induction. \square

Definition 4.8. Let $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{a})$ be a signature of a language. Let $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{V}$ be pairwise distinctive variables, let τ_1, \dots, τ_k be terms, and $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$. Let $\phi(x_i/\tau_i)$ be admissible for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

$$\phi(x_1/\tau_1, \dots, x_k/\tau_k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi(x_1/y_1) \dots (x_k/y_k)(y_1/\tau_1) \dots (y_k/\tau_k), \quad (14)$$

where $y_j \notin \text{var}(\phi) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{var}(\tau_i)$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$.

4.2 Semantics

Lemma 4.9. Let \mathcal{L} be a signature of a language and A be an arbitrary mathematical domain. Let \mathbf{M} be an \mathcal{L} -structure with domain A and $j : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow A$ be an assignment. For any term σ , any $x \in \mathcal{V}$, and any term τ , we have

$$\sigma \stackrel{\mathbf{M},j}{\frac{\tau^{(\mathbf{M},j)}}{x}} = (\sigma(x/\tau))^{\mathbf{M},j}. \quad (15)$$

Proof. We proceed by structural induction on the term σ .

Case 1: $\sigma \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} x$.

Then $\sigma(x/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \tau$ and

$$\sigma \stackrel{\mathbf{M},j}{\frac{\tau^{(\mathbf{M},j)}}{x}} = (j \stackrel{\tau^{(\mathbf{M},j)}}{x})(x) = \tau^{\mathbf{M},j} = (\sigma(x/\tau))^{\mathbf{M},j}.$$

Case 2: $\sigma \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} v$ where $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and $v \neq x$.

Then $\sigma(x/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} v$ and

$$\sigma \stackrel{\mathbf{M},j}{\frac{\tau^{(\mathbf{M},j)}}{x}} = (j \stackrel{\tau^{(\mathbf{M},j)}}{x})(v) = j(v) = v^{\mathbf{M},j} = (\sigma(x/\tau))^{\mathbf{M},j}.$$

Case 3: $\sigma \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} c$ where $c \in \mathcal{F}_0$.

Then $\sigma(x/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} c$ and

$$\sigma \stackrel{\mathbf{M},j}{\frac{\tau^{(\mathbf{M},j)}}{x}} = c^{\mathbf{M}} = (\sigma(x/\tau))^{\mathbf{M},j}.$$

Case 4: $\sigma \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} f(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)$ where $f \in \mathcal{F}_k$ and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ are terms.

Then $\sigma(x/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} f(\sigma_1(x/\tau), \dots, \sigma_k(x/\tau))$. By inductive hypothesis, $\sigma_i \stackrel{\mathbf{M},j}{\frac{\tau^{(\mathbf{M},j)}}{x}} = (\sigma_i(x/\tau))^{\mathbf{M},j}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma \stackrel{\mathbf{M},j}{\frac{\tau^{(\mathbf{M},j)}}{x}} &= f^{\mathbf{M}}(\sigma_1 \stackrel{\mathbf{M},j}{\frac{\tau^{(\mathbf{M},j)}}{x}}, \dots, \sigma_k \stackrel{\mathbf{M},j}{\frac{\tau^{(\mathbf{M},j)}}{x}}) \\ &= f^{\mathbf{M}}((\sigma_1(x/\tau))^{\mathbf{M},j}, \dots, (\sigma_k(x/\tau))^{\mathbf{M},j}) \\ &= (f(\sigma_1(x/\tau), \dots, \sigma_k(x/\tau)))^{\mathbf{M},j} \\ &= (\sigma(x/\tau))^{\mathbf{M},j}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof by structural induction. \square

Theorem 4.10. *Let \mathcal{L} be a signature of a language. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$ and let τ be an arbitrary term. If $\phi(x/\tau)$ is admissible, then for any \mathcal{L} -structure \mathbf{M} with domain A and for any assignment of variables $j : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow A$ we have*

$$(\mathbf{M}, j \xrightarrow[\tau(\mathbf{M}, j)]{x}) \models \phi \iff (\mathbf{M}, j) \models \phi(x/\tau). \quad (16)$$

Proof. We proceed by structural induction on $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$.

Case 1: $\phi \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} p$ where $p \in \mathcal{P}_0$.

By the definition of substitution in well formed formula, $\phi(x/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} p$. By the definition of formula evaluation, $\phi^{\mathbf{M}, j} = p^{\mathbf{M}}$ for any assignment j . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{M}, j \xrightarrow[\tau(\mathbf{M}, j)]{x}) \models \phi &\iff \phi^{\mathbf{M}, j \xrightarrow[\tau(\mathbf{M}, j)]{x}} = 1 \\ &\iff p^{\mathbf{M}} = 1 \\ &\iff \phi^{\mathbf{M}, j} = 1 \\ &\iff (\mathbf{M}, j) \models \phi \\ &\iff (\mathbf{M}, j) \models \phi(x/\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $\phi \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} p(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)$ where $p \in \mathcal{P}_k$ and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ are terms.

By the definition of substitution in well formed formula, $\phi(x/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} p(\sigma_1(x/\tau), \dots, \sigma_k(x/\tau))$.

By Lemma 4.9, for each term σ_i , we have $\sigma_i^{\mathbf{M}, j \xrightarrow[\tau(\mathbf{M}, j)]{x}} = (\sigma_i(x/\tau))^{\mathbf{M}, j}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{M}, j \xrightarrow[\tau(\mathbf{M}, j)]{x}) \models \phi &\iff \phi^{\mathbf{M}, j \xrightarrow[\tau(\mathbf{M}, j)]{x}} = 1 \\ &\iff p^{\mathbf{M}}(\sigma_1^{\mathbf{M}, j \xrightarrow[\tau(\mathbf{M}, j)]{x}}, \dots, \sigma_k^{\mathbf{M}, j \xrightarrow[\tau(\mathbf{M}, j)]{x}}) = 1 \\ &\iff p^{\mathbf{M}}((\sigma_1(x/\tau))^{\mathbf{M}, j}, \dots, (\sigma_k(x/\tau))^{\mathbf{M}, j}) = 1 \\ &\iff (p(\sigma_1(x/\tau), \dots, \sigma_k(x/\tau)))^{\mathbf{M}, j} = 1 \\ &\iff (\phi(x/\tau))^{\mathbf{M}, j} = 1 \\ &\iff (\mathbf{M}, j) \models \phi(x/\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Case 3: $\phi \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})$.

By the definition of substitution in well formed formula, $\phi(x/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \alpha(x/\tau) \rightarrow \beta(x/\tau)$. By inductive hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{M}, j \xrightarrow[\tau(\mathbf{M}, j)]{x}) \models \alpha &\iff (\mathbf{M}, j) \models \alpha(x/\tau), \\ (\mathbf{M}, j \xrightarrow[\tau(\mathbf{M}, j)]{x}) \models \beta &\iff (\mathbf{M}, j) \models \beta(x/\tau). \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of formula evaluation,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{M}, j \frac{\tau(\mathbf{M}, j)}{x}) \models \phi &\iff \phi^{\mathbf{M}, j \frac{\tau(\mathbf{M}, j)}{x}} = 1 \\
&\iff 1 - \alpha^{\mathbf{M}, j \frac{\tau(\mathbf{M}, j)}{x}} (1 - \beta^{\mathbf{M}, j \frac{\tau(\mathbf{M}, j)}{x}}) = 1 \\
&\iff 1 - (\alpha(x/\tau))^{\mathbf{M}, j} (1 - (\beta(x/\tau))^{\mathbf{M}, j}) = 1 \\
&\iff (\alpha(x/\tau) \rightarrow \beta(x/\tau))^{\mathbf{M}, j} = 1 \\
&\iff (\phi(x/\tau))^{\mathbf{M}, j} = 1 \\
&\iff (\mathbf{M}, j) \models \phi(x/\tau).
\end{aligned}$$

Case 4: $\phi \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \forall y. \psi$ where $y \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})$.

Subcase 4a: If $y = x$ or $x \notin \text{free}(\psi)$, then by the definition of substitution, $\phi(x/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \forall y. \psi$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{M}, j \frac{\tau(\mathbf{M}, j)}{x}) \models \phi &\iff \phi^{\mathbf{M}, j \frac{\tau(\mathbf{M}, j)}{x}} = 1 \\
&\iff \prod_{a \in A} \psi^{\mathbf{M}, (j \frac{\tau(\mathbf{M}, j)}{x}) \frac{a}{y}} = 1 \\
&\iff \prod_{a \in A} \psi^{\mathbf{M}, j \frac{a}{y}} = 1 \quad (\text{since } y = x \text{ and } (j \frac{\tau(\mathbf{M}, j)}{x}) \frac{a}{x} = j \frac{a}{x}, \text{ or } x \notin \text{free}(\psi)) \\
&\iff \phi^{\mathbf{M}, j} = 1 \\
&\iff (\mathbf{M}, j) \models \phi \\
&\iff (\mathbf{M}, j) \models \phi(x/\tau).
\end{aligned}$$

Subcase 4b: If $y \neq x$ and $x \in \text{free}(\psi)$. Since $\phi(x/\tau)$ is admissible, $y \notin \text{var}(\tau)$ and $\psi(x/\tau)$ is admissible, then by the definition of substitution, $\phi(x/\tau) \stackrel{\text{sx}}{=} \forall y. \psi(x/\tau)$. By inductive hypothesis, for any $a \in A$,

$$(\mathbf{M}, j \frac{a \tau^{\mathbf{M}, j \frac{a}{y}}}{y} \frac{x}{x}) \models \psi \iff (\mathbf{M}, j \frac{a}{y}) \models \psi(x/\tau).$$

Since $y \notin \text{var}(\tau)$, the evaluation of τ does not depend on the value assigned to

y . Therefore, $\tau^{\mathbf{M}, j \frac{a}{y}} = \tau^{\mathbf{M}, j}$ for all $a \in A$.

Moreover, since $x \neq y$, we have $j \frac{\tau^{\mathbf{M}, j} a}{x} \frac{y}{y} = j \frac{a \tau^{\mathbf{M}, j}}{y} \frac{x}{x}$ for all $a \in A$.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{M}, j \frac{\tau(\mathbf{M}, j)}{x}) \models \phi &\iff \phi^{\mathbf{M}, j \frac{\tau(\mathbf{M}, j)}{x}} = 1 \\
&\iff \prod_{a \in A} \psi^{\mathbf{M}, (j \frac{\tau(\mathbf{M}, j)}{x}) \frac{a}{y}} = 1 \\
&\iff \prod_{a \in A} \psi^{\mathbf{M}, j \frac{a \tau(\mathbf{M}, j)}{y x}} = 1 \\
&\iff \prod_{a \in A} (\psi(x/\tau))^{\mathbf{M}, j \frac{a}{y}} = 1 \quad (\text{by inductive hypothesis}) \\
&\iff (\forall y. \psi(x/\tau))^{\mathbf{M}, j} = 1 \\
&\iff (\phi(x/\tau))^{\mathbf{M}, j} = 1 \\
&\iff (\mathbf{M}, j) \models \phi(x/\tau).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof by structural induction. □