

# Linear Algebra

Warren Alphonso

May 18, 2019

A very reductionist summary of key Linear Algebra concepts from *Linear Algebra and its Applications* by Lay, Lay, and McDonald.

## 1 Systems of Linear Equations

**Definition 1.1.** A **linear equation** is an equation that can be written in the form

$$a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n = b$$

where  $b$  and the coefficients  $a_k$  are real or complex numbers.

We can record the important information of a system of linear equations in a matrix. Given the system

$$x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3 = 0$$

$$2x_2 - 8x_3 = 8$$

$$5x_1 - 5x_3 = 10$$

we place the coefficients of each variable aligned in columns

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & -8 \\ 5 & 0 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

This is called the **coefficient matrix** and

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -8 & 8 \\ 5 & 0 & -5 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$$

is called the **augmented matrix**. The size of a matrix is described as  **$m \times n$**  where  $m$  denotes the number of rows and  $n$  the number of columns.

**Definition 1.2. Elementary Row Operations:**

1. (Replacement) Replace one row by the sum of itself and a multiple of another row.
2. (Interchange) Interchange two rows.
3. (Scaling) Multiply all entries in a row by a nonzero constant.

**Definition 1.3. Row Echelon form** denotes a matrix with:

1. All nonzero rows are above any rows of all zeros.
2. Each leading entry of a row is in a column to the right of the leading entry of the row above it.
3. All entries in column below a leading entry are zeros.

**Reduced Row Echelon form** means the leading entry in nonzero rows is 1.

**Parallelogram Rule for Addition:** If  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  are represented as points on the plane, then  $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}$  corresponds to the fourth vertex of the parallelogram whose other vertices are  $0$ ,  $\mathbf{u}$ , and  $\mathbf{v}$ .

**Definition 1.4.**  $\text{Span}\{v_1, \dots, v_p\}$  denotes the set of all vectors formed by  $c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_p v_p$ .

**Definition 1.5.** A set of vectors  $\{v_1, \dots, v_p\}$  is said to be **linearly independent** if the equation

$$c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_p v_p = 0$$

has only the trivial solution.

**Theorem 1.** *If a set contains more vectors than there are entries in each vector, then the set is linearly dependent. That is, the set  $\{v_1, \dots, v_p\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is linearly dependent if  $p > n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & \dots & v_p \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $A$  is  $n \times p$ , and the equation  $Ax = 0$  corresponds to a system of  $n$  equations in  $p$  unknowns. In  $Ax = b$ , the  $x$  vector must have dimension  $p$ , so if  $p > n$ , then there are more variables than equations, so  $Ax = 0$  has a nontrivial solution, and the columns of  $A$  are linearly dependent. ■

An alternate way to conceptualize matrix multiplication: A **transformation** (or **function** or **mapping**)  $T$  from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is a rule that assigns to each vector  $x$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  a vector  $T(x)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . The set  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is called the **domain** of  $T$  and  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is called the **codomain** of  $T$ . The set of all images  $T(x)$  is called the **range** of  $T$ .

**Definition 1.6.** A transformation  $T$  is **linear** if it preserves vector addition and scalar multiplication. That is:

1.  $T(u + v) = T(u) + T(v)$
2.  $T(cu) = cT(u)$  for all scalars  $c$

Every matrix transformation is a linear transformation. These two requirements mean that  $T(0) = 0$  for linear transformations.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be a linear transformation. Then there exists a **unique** matrix  $A$  such that

$$T(x) = Ax$$

In fact,  $A$  is the  $m \times n$  matrix whose  $j$ th column is the vector  $T(e_j)$ , where  $e_j$  is the  $j$ th column of the identity matrix in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$A = [T(e_1) \cdots T(e_n)]$$

*Proof.* Write  $x = I_n x = [e_1 \cdots e_n]x = x_1 e_1 + \cdots + x_n e_n$ , and use the linearity of  $T$  to compute

$$T(x) = T(x_1 e_1 + \cdots + x_n e_n) = x_1 T(e_1) + \cdots + x_n T(e_n)$$

$$= [T(e_1) \cdots T(e_n)] \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = Ax$$

■

**Definition 1.7.** A mapping  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is **onto**  $\mathbb{R}^m$  if each  $b$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is the image of at least one  $x$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Definition 1.8.** A mapping  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is **one-to-one** if each  $b$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is the image of at most one  $x$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

## 2 Matrix Algebra

**Definition 2.1.** If  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix and  $B$  is an  $n \times p$  matrix, then

$$AB = A \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & \cdots & b_p \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Ab_1 & Ab_2 & \cdots & Ab_p \end{bmatrix}$$

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix and let  $B$  and  $C$  have sizes for which the indicated sums and products are defined.

1.  $A(BC) = (AB)C$
2.  $A(B + C) = AB + AC$
3.  $(B + C)A = BA + CA$
4.  $r(AB) = (rA)B = A(rB)$
5.  $I_m A = A = A I_n$

Warnings:

1. In general,  $AB \neq BA$
2. If  $AB = AC$ , then it is **not true** in general that  $B = C$
3. If  $AB = 0$ , then it is **not true** always that  $A = 0$  or  $B = 0$

**Definition 2.3.** The **transpose** of  $A$  is the matrix whose columns are formed from the corresponding rows of  $A$ , denoted by  $A^T$ .

- $(A^T)^T = A$
- $(A + B)^T = A^T + B^T$
- $(cA)^T = cA^T$
- $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$

**Definition 2.4.** An  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is **invertible** if there is an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A^{-1}$  such that  $A^{-1}A = I$ .

- $(A^{-1})^{-1} = A$
- If  $A$  and  $B$  are  $n \times n$  invertible matrices then so is  $AB$ . And  $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$
- $(A^T)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^T$

To compute the inverse, solve the equation  $AB = I$ , by row-reducing the augmented matrix  $[A \ I]$ , until you get  $[I \ B]$ .

### 3 Determinants

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ . If  $ad - bc \neq 0$ , then  $A$  is invertible and

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

The quantity  $ad - bc$  is the **determinant** of the matrix. If the determinant is 0, the matrix  $A$  is not invertible.

**Definition 3.2.** To generalize, the determinant of an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  can be computed using a **cofactor expansion** across any row or down any column. The expansion across the  $i$ th row is

$$\det(A) = a_{i1}C_{i1} + a_{i2}C_{i2} + \cdots + a_{in}C_{in}$$

where  $C_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j}\det(A_{ij})$ .

**Theorem 3.** If  $A$  is an upper triangular matrix, then  $\det(A)$  is the product of the entries on the main diagonal.

*Proof.* Cofactoring an upper triangular matrix by using the first column ultimately leads to continuously multiplying the upper left item by the determinant of the smaller matrix. For example,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 9 \\ 0 & 4 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -8 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then,

$$\det(A) = 3 \cdot \det \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ 0 & -8 \end{bmatrix} = 3 \cdot -32 = -96 = 3 \cdot 4 \cdot -8$$

■

**Definition 3.3.** Determinants after Row Operations

1. If a multiple of a row in matrix  $A$  is added to another row to produce matrix  $B$ , then  $\det(B) = \det(A)$
2. If two rows in  $A$  are swapped to produce  $B$ , then  $\det(B) = -\det(A)$
3. If one row in  $A$  is multiplied by  $k$  to produce  $B$ , then  $\det(B) = k \cdot \det(A)$

These identities can be used to easily find determinants of square matrices. Once we reduce a matrix  $A$  to upper triangular form  $B$ , we know  $\det(B) = (-1)^r \det(A)$  if  $r$  is the number of row swaps we performed. If we cannot reduce to row echelon form, we know the determinant must be 0 since  $A$  must not be invertible.

**Theorem 4.** *If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix, then  $\det(A^T) = \det(A)$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction. The theorem is trivially true for  $n = 1$ . Assume the theorem is true for  $k \times k$  matrices. We will show it holds for  $n = k + 1$ . The cofactor of  $a_{1j}$  in  $A$  equals the cofactor of  $a_{j1}$  in  $A^T$  because it is a  $k \times k$  determinant. Thus, the cofactor of  $\det(A^T)$  down the first column equals the cofactor of  $\det(A)$  across the first row, so  $A$  and  $A^T$  have equal determinants. Thus, the statement is true for all  $n$ . ■

**Theorem 5.** *If  $A$  and  $B$  are  $n \times n$  matrices, then  $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$ .*

**Theorem 6.** *Cramer's Rule: Let  $A$  be an invertible  $n \times n$  matrix. For any  $b$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the unique solution  $x$  of  $Ax = b$  has entries given by*

$$x_i = \frac{\det(A_i(b))}{\det(A)} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

where  $A_i(b)$  denotes the matrix obtained by replacing  $A$ 's  $i$ th column with  $b$ .

*Proof.* Denote the columns of  $A$  by  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  and the columns of the  $n \times n$  identity matrix by  $e_1, \dots, e_n$ . If  $Ax = b$ , the definition of matrix multiplication tells us

$$\begin{aligned} A \cdot I_i(x) &= A \begin{bmatrix} e_1 & \cdots & x & \cdots & e_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Ae_1 & \cdots & Ax & \cdots & Ae_n \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & \cdots & b & \cdots & a_n \end{bmatrix} = A_i(b) \end{aligned}$$

Using the multiplicative property of determinants,

$$(\det(A))(\det(I_i(x))) = \det(A_i(b))$$

Since  $\det(I_i(x))$  is  $x$ , we just divide by  $\det(A)$ . ■

## 4 Vector Spaces

Some of this is from Chapter 1, but I think it makes more sense to define these concepts here.

**Definition 4.1.** A **subspace** of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is any set  $H$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that is closed under addition and scalar multiplication. That is:

1. The zero vector is in  $H$
2. For each  $u$  and  $v$  in  $H$ , the sum  $u + v$  is in  $H$
3. For each  $u$  in  $H$  and each scalar  $c$ , the vector  $cu$  is in  $H$

**Definition 4.2.** The **column space** of an  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$  is the set of all linear combinations of the columns of  $A$ , denoted by  $Col(A)$ . Since the columns of  $A$  are in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , the column space is in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

**Definition 4.3.** The **null space** of a matrix  $A$  is the set of all solutions for  $Ax = 0$ , denoted by  $Nul(A)$ . When  $Nul(A)$  contains nonzero vectors, the number of vectors in the nullspace equals the number of free variables in  $Ax = 0$ .

**Definition 4.4.** A **basis** for a subspace  $H$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a linearly independent set in  $H$  that spans  $H$ .

Using the basis for a subspace  $H$  is preferable because any vector in  $H$  can only be written in one way as a linear combination of the basis vectors.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathbb{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_p\}$  is a basis for  $H$ , and suppose a vector  $x$  in  $H$  can be generated in two ways:

$$x = c_1 b_1 + \dots + c_p b_p \text{ and } x = d_1 b_1 + \dots + d_p b_p$$

Subtracting gives us:

$$0 = (c_1 - d_1)b_1 + \dots + (c_p - d_p)b_p$$

Since  $\mathbb{B}$  is linearly independent, the weights must all be zero, so  $c_j = d_j$  so the two representations are really just the same. ■

**Theorem 7.** *The pivot columns of a matrix  $A$  form a basis for  $Col(A)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $B$  be the reduced echelon form of  $A$ . The set of pivot columns of  $B$  is linearly independent, since no vector is a linear combination of the vectors that precede it. Since  $A$  is *row equivalent* to  $B$ , the pivot columns of  $A$  are linearly independent as well. Thus, the nonpivot columns of  $A$  can be discarded from the spanning set of  $Col(A)$ .

**Warning:** The pivot columns of  $A$  are only evident when  $A$  has been reduced to *echelon* form. After reducing, make sure to use the **pivot columns of  $A$  itself** for the basis of  $\text{Col}(A)$ . The columns of an echelon form of  $A$  are often not in the column space of  $A$ . ■

**Theorem 8. Unique Representation Theorem:** Let  $\mathbb{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$  be a basis for a vector space  $V$ . Then for each  $x$  in  $V$ , there exists a unique set of scalars  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  such that

$$x = c_1 b_1 + \dots + c_n b_n$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbb{B}$  spans  $V$ , we know there exist scalars such that we can form  $x$ . Assume  $x$  also has the representation

$$x = d_1 b_1 + \dots + d_n b_n$$

Then, after subtracting we have

$$0 = (c_1 - d_1)b_1 + \dots + (c_n - d_n)b_n$$

Since  $\mathbb{B}$  is linearly independent, these weights must all be zero so  $c_j = d_j$ . ■

Because of the unique representation of each vector  $x$  in a basis, we can define the coordinates of  $x$  relative to the basis  $\mathbb{B}$  as the weights  $c_1, \dots, c_n$ .

**Definition 4.5.** Changing coordinates:

$$x = \mathbb{B}[x]_{\mathbb{B}}$$

where  $\mathbb{B}$  denotes the matrix whose columns are basis vectors, and  $[x]_{\mathbb{B}}$  denotes the  $x$  vector represented by basis coordinates.

To understand this, let  $b_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $b_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $x = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $\mathbb{B} = \{b_1, b_2\}$ . To find  $[x]_{\mathbb{B}}$  of  $x$  relative to  $\mathbb{B}$ ,

$$c_1 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + c_2 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

or

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since we know the columns of  $\mathbb{B}$  are linearly independent, it must be invertible so we can multiply  $x$  by  $\mathbb{B}^{-1}$  to get  $[x]_{\mathbb{B}}$ .



## 5 EIGENVALUES AND EIGENVECTORS

**Definition 4.6.** Change of basis: We can generalize the above further. Let  $\mathbb{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$  and  $\mathbb{C} = \{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$  be bases of a vector space  $V$ . Then there is a unique  $n \times n$  matrix  ${}_{\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}}^P$  such that

$$[x]_{\mathbb{C}} = {}_{\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}}^P [x]_{\mathbb{B}}$$

The columns of  ${}_{\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}}^P$  are the  $\mathbb{C}$ -coordinate vectors of the vectors in the basis  $\mathbb{B}$ , that is

$${}_{\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}}^P = \begin{bmatrix} [b_1]_{\mathbb{C}} & \cdots & [b_n]_{\mathbb{C}} \end{bmatrix}$$

**Definition 4.7.** An **isomorphism** from  $V$  to  $W$  is a one-to-one linear transformation.

**Definition 4.8.** The **dimension** of a nonzero subspace  $H$  is the number of vectors in any basis for  $H$ . The dimension of the zero subspace  $\{0\}$  is defined to be zero.

**Definition 4.9.** The **rank** of a matrix  $A$  is the dimension of the column space of  $A$ .

**Theorem 9.** If a matrix  $A$  has  $n$  columns, then  $\text{Rank}(A) + \text{Dim}(\text{Nul}(A)) = n$ .

*Proof.* An intuitive understanding for this can be achieved by restating the theorem as follows:

$$\left( \text{num of pivot columns} \right) + \left( \text{num of nonpivot columns} \right) = \left( \text{num of columns} \right)$$

■

**Definition 4.10.** If  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix, each row has  $n$  entries and can be understood as a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The set of all linear combinations of the row vectors is called the **row space** of  $A$ , denoted by  $\text{Row}(A)$ . Note that  $\text{Row}(A) = \text{Col}(A^T)$ .

## Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

**Definition 5.1.** An **eigenvector** of an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is a nonzero vector  $x$  such that  $Ax = \lambda x$ . The scalar  $\lambda$  is called an **eigenvalue** of  $A$  if and only if the equation

$$(A - \lambda I)x = 0$$

## 5 EIGENVALUES AND EIGENVECTORS

has a nontrivial solution. The set of all solutions to this equation is the null space of the matrix  $A - \lambda I$ ; this subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is called the **eigenspace** of  $A$ .

**Theorem 10.** *The eigenvalues of a triangular matrix are the entries on its main diagonal.*

*Proof.* Consider the  $3 \times 3$  case. If  $A$  is upper triangular, then

$$A - \lambda I = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} - \lambda & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & a_{22} - \lambda & a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33} - \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

The scalar  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue if and only if  $(A - \lambda I)x = 0$  has a nontrivial solution, which means the equation must have a free variable, which would only occur if at least one of the values on the diagonal is zero. ■

**Theorem 11.** *The eigenvectors of  $A$ ,  $v_1, \dots, v_r$ , that correspond to distinct eigenvalues,  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ , are linearly independent.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$  is linearly dependent. Let  $p$  be the least index such that  $v_{p+1}$  is a linear combination of the preceding linearly independent eigenvectors. Then there exist scalars such that

$$c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_p v_p = v_{p+1}$$

Multiply both sides by  $A$ , using the fact that  $Av_k = \lambda_k v_k$ , to get

$$c_1 \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + c_p \lambda_p v_p = \lambda_{p+1} v_{p+1}$$

We can also multiply both sides of our first equation by  $\lambda_{p+1}$  and then subtract to get

$$c_1 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_{p+1}) v_1 + \dots + c_p (\lambda_p - \lambda_{p+1}) v_p = 0$$

Because we assumed  $v_{p+1}$  was the first linearly dependent eigenvector, the set  $\{v_1, \dots, v_p\}$  must be linearly independent. That means all  $(\lambda_k - \lambda_{p+1})$  should be 0, but because the eigenvalues are distinct they cannot be. Thus, we arrive at a contradiction. ■

Remember that to find eigenvalues, we need to find scalars  $\lambda$  such that

$$(A - \lambda I)x = 0$$

has a nontrivial solution. This is equivalent to the matrix  $A - \lambda I$  being not invertible, which is equivalent to  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ . Writing the determinant as a polynomial involving only  $\lambda$  is called the characteristic equation of a matrix.

**Theorem 12.** *Diagonalization Theorem: An  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is **diagonalizable** if and only if  $A$  has  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors. If this condition is met, we can write*

$$A = PDP^{-1}$$

where  $P$  is a matrix whose columns are  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors of  $A$ , and  $D$  is a diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are corresponding eigenvalues of  $A$ .

*Proof.* Right multiplying both sides by  $P$  gives us  $AP = PD$ .

$$AP = A \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & \cdots & v_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Av_1 & \cdots & Av_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 v_1 & \cdots & \lambda_n v_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$PD = P \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 v_1 & \cdots & \lambda_n v_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Since these are equal and  $P$  has an inverse because its columns are linearly independent eigenvectors,  $A = PDP^{-1}$ . ■

## 6 Orthogonality and Least Squares

**Definition 6.1.** The **inner product** of  $u$  and  $v$  is

$$u \cdot v = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & \cdots & u_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{bmatrix} = u_1 v_1 + \cdots + u_n v_n$$

**Properties of inner products:** Let  $u$ ,  $v$ , and  $w$  be vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

1.  $u \cdot v = v \cdot u$
2.  $(u + v) \cdot w = u \cdot w + v \cdot w$
3.  $u \cdot u \geq 0$

**Definition 6.2.** The **norm** of  $v$  is  $\|v\|$  defined by

$$\|v\| = \sqrt{v \cdot v}$$

The distance between  $v$  and  $u$  is  $\|u - v\|$ .

**Definition 6.3.** Two vectors  $u$  and  $v$  are **orthogonal** if  $u \cdot v = 0$ .

Note the zero vector is orthogonal to every other vector.

The Pythagorean Theorem tells us that if  $u$  and  $v$  are orthogonal then

$$\|u + v\|^2 = \|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2$$

The set of all vectors  $z$  that are orthogonal to  $W$  is called the **orthogonal complement** of  $W$ , denoted by  $W^\perp$ .

**Theorem 13.** Let  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix. Then

$$(\text{Row}(A))^\perp = \text{Nul}(A) \text{ and } (\text{Col}(A))^\perp = \text{Nul}(A^T)$$

*Proof.* If  $x$  is in  $\text{Nul}(A)$ , then  $[Ax_1 \ Ax_2 \ \cdots \ Ax_n]$ , which implies that  $x$  is orthogonal to all the rows in  $A$ . Conversely, if  $x$  is orthogonal to  $\text{Row}(A)$ , then clearly  $Ax = 0$ . A similar proof can be shown for the second statement. ■

**Definition 6.4.** In  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $u \cdot v = \|u\| \|v\| \cos(\theta)$ . To see this, we can use the law of cosines,

$$\|u - v\|^2 = \|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2 - 2\|u\| \|v\| \cos(\theta)$$

**Definition 6.5.** The set of vectors  $\{u_1, \dots, u_p\}$  is called an **orthogonal set** if each pair of distinct vectors is orthogonal.

**Theorem 14.** If  $S = \{u_1, \dots, u_p\}$  is an orthogonal set of nonzero vectors, then  $S$  is linearly independent.

*Proof.* We know

$$0 = c_1 u_1 + \cdots + c_p u_p$$

Multiplying by  $u_1$ ,

$$0 = (c_1 u_1 + \cdots + c_p u_p) \cdot u_1$$

$$0 = (c_1 u_1) \cdot u_1 + (c_2 u_2) \cdot u_1 + \cdots + (c_p u_p) \cdot u_1$$

$$0 = c_1 (u_1 \cdot u_1)$$

Since  $u_1$  is nonzero,  $(u_1 \cdot u_1)$  must be nonzero, so  $c_1$  must be 0. A similar proof can be used to show  $c_2, \dots, c_p$  must be zero. Thus,  $S$  is linearly independent. ■

**Definition 6.6.** An **orthogonal basis** for subspace  $W$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a basis for  $W$  that is also an orthogonal set.

**Theorem 15.** Let  $\{u_1, \dots, u_p\}$  be an orthogonal basis for subspace  $W$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For each  $y$  in  $W$ , the weights  $c_k$  in

$$y = c_1 u_1 + \dots + c_p u_p$$

are given by

$$c_j = \frac{y \cdot u_j}{u_j \cdot u_j} \text{ for } (j = 1, \dots, p)$$

*Proof.* Taking the dot product of  $u_1$  on both sides,

$$y \cdot u_1 = (c_1 u_1 + c_2 u_2 + \dots + c_p u_p) \cdot u_1 = c_1 (u_1 \cdot u_1)$$

Since  $u_1$  is nonzero, we can divide by  $(u_1 \cdot u_1)$  to solve for  $c_1$ . The same proof can be used to solve  $c_2, \dots, c_p$ . ■

**Definition 6.7.** A set is **orthonormal** if all its vectors are unit vectors and are orthogonal to one another. Let  $U$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix with orthonormal columns. Then,

1.  $\|Ux\| = \|x\|$
2.  $(Ux) \cdot (Uy) = x \cdot y$

An orthonormal basis can be constructed from an orthogonal basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_p\}$  by simply normalizing all the  $v_k$ .

**Theorem 16.** An  $m \times n$  matrix  $U$  has orthonormal columns if and only if  $U^T U = I$ . If  $U$  is a square matrix, then it is called an **orthogonal matrix** and has  $U^{-1} = U^T$ .

*Proof.* We will prove with a simpler version of  $U$  with only three columns, but the proof can generalize. Let  $U = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then

$$U^T U = \begin{bmatrix} u_1^T \\ u_2^T \\ u_3^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1^T u_1 & u_1^T u_2 & u_1^T u_3 \\ u_2^T u_1 & u_2^T u_2 & u_2^T u_3 \\ u_3^T u_1 & u_3^T u_2 & u_3^T u_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

By definition of orthonormal vectors, only the diagonal entries simplify to 1 and all other entries simplify to 0. ■

**Definition 6.8.** Orthogonal projection: Consider representing a nonzero vector  $u$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as the sum of two vectors, one a multiple of some vector  $y$  and the other orthogonal to  $y$ . That is,

$$u = \alpha y + (u - \alpha y)$$

This means  $u - \alpha y$  is orthogonal to  $y$  if and only if

$$0 = (u - \alpha y) \cdot y = u \cdot y - \alpha(y \cdot y)$$

That is, in order for  $(u - \alpha y)$  to be orthogonal to  $y$ ,

$$\alpha = \frac{u \cdot y}{y \cdot y} \text{ and } y = \frac{u \cdot y}{y \cdot y} y$$

The vector  $y$  is called the **orthogonal projection of  $u$  onto  $y$** .

$$y = \text{proj}_L y = \frac{y \cdot u}{y \cdot y} y$$

**Definition 6.9.** Orthogonal Decomposition We can extend projections to subspaces. Let  $W$  be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then each  $y$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can be written

$$y = \hat{y} + z$$

where  $\hat{y}$  is in  $W$  and  $z$  is in  $W^\perp$ . If  $\{u_1, \dots, u_p\}$  is an orthogonal basis of  $W$ , then

$$\hat{y} = \frac{y \cdot u_1}{u_1 \cdot u_1} u_1 + \dots + \frac{y \cdot u_p}{u_p \cdot u_p} u_p$$

and  $z = y - \hat{y}$ .

The vector  $\hat{y}$  is called the **orthogonal projection of  $y$  onto  $W$**  and is written as  $\text{proj}_W y$ .

Note the the denominator  $u_k \cdot u_k = 1$  if  $W$  is an orthonormal basis. It follows that for orthonormal bases of  $W$ ,

$$\text{proj}_W y = (y \cdot u_1)u_1 + (y \cdot u_2)u_2 + \dots + (y \cdot u_p)u_p = UU^T y$$

**Theorem 17.** *The Gram-Schmidt Process: The Gram-Schmidt process is an algorithm for producing an orthogonal or orthonormal basis for a nonzero subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .*

*Given a basis  $\{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$  for nonzero subspace  $W$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , define*

$$v_1 = x_1$$

## 6 ORTHOGONALITY AND LEAST SQUARES

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_2 &= x_2 - \frac{x_2 \cdot v_1}{v_1 \cdot v_1} v_1 \\
 v_3 &= x_3 - \frac{x_3 \cdot v_1}{v_1 \cdot v_1} v_1 - \frac{x_3 \cdot v_2}{v_2 \cdot v_2} v_2 \\
 &\vdots \\
 v_p &= x_p - \frac{x_p \cdot v_1}{v_1 \cdot v_1} v_1 - \cdots - \frac{x_p \cdot v_{p-1}}{v_{p-1} \cdot v_{p-1}} v_{p-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\{v_1, \dots, v_p\}$  is an orthogonal basis for  $W$ . In addition

$$\text{Span}(\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}) = \text{Span}(\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}) \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq p$$

*Proof.* We proceed by induction. If we set  $v_1 = x_1$ , then  $\text{Span}(\{v_1\}) = \text{Span}(\{x_1\})$ . Suppose for some  $k < p$ , we construct  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  so that  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  is an orthogonal basis for  $W_k$ . Define

$$v_{k+1} = x_{k+1} - \text{proj}_{W_k} x_{k+1}$$

By Orthogonal Decomposition,  $v_{k+1}$  is orthogonal to  $W_k$ . Since  $\text{proj}_{W_k} x_{k+1}$  is in  $W_k$ , it is also in  $W_{k+1}$ , which means  $v_{k+1}$  is also in  $W_{k+1}$  since  $W_{k+1}$  is a subspace which must be closed under subtraction.  $v_{k+1} \neq 0$  because  $x_{k+1}$  is not in  $W_k = \text{Span}(\{x_1, \dots, x_k\})$ . Thus,  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  is an orthogonal set of nonzero vectors in  $k+1$ -dimensional subspace  $W_{k+1}$ , so they must be a basis for  $W_{k+1}$ . Thus, the Gram-Schmidt algorithm yields an orthogonal basis by induction. ■

**Theorem 18.** *If  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix with linearly independent columns, then we can factor  $A = QR$ , where  $Q$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix whose columns form an orthonormal basis for  $\text{Col}(A)$  and  $R$  is an  $n \times n$  upper triangular invertible matrix with positive entries on its diagonal.*

*Proof.* The columns of  $A$  form a basis  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  for  $\text{Col}(A)$ . We can use Gram-Schmidt to construct an orthogonal basis for  $W = \text{Col}(A)$  and then scale this basis to get an orthonormal basis for  $\text{Col}(A)$

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & \cdots & u_n \end{bmatrix}$$

For  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $x_k \in \text{Span}(Q)$ , that is, there are constants such that

$$x_k = r_{1k}u_1 + \cdots + r_{kk}u_k + 0 \cdot u_{k+1} + \cdots + 0 \cdot u_n$$

## 6 ORTHOGONALITY AND LEAST SQUARES

We can assume  $r_{kk} \geq 0$ , if it isn't, just multiply both  $r_{kk}$  and  $u_k$  by  $-1$ . Thus,

$$r_k = \begin{bmatrix} r_{1k} \\ \vdots \\ r_{kk} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

So,  $x_k = Qr_k$ . Let  $R = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 & \cdots & r_n \end{bmatrix}$ . Finally,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Qr_1 & \cdots & Qr_n \end{bmatrix} = QR$$

■

**Definition 6.10.** If  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix and  $b$  is in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , a **least-squares solution** of  $Ax = b$  is an  $\hat{x}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\|b - A\hat{x}\| \leq \|b - Ax\|$$

for all  $x$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This should hold even if  $b$  is outside  $\text{Col}(A)$ .

**Theorem 19.** Let  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix. The following are logically equivalent:

1.  $Ax = b$  has a unique least-squares solution for each  $b$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .
2. The columns of  $A$  are linearly independent.
3. The matrix  $A^T A$  is invertible

When these are true, the least-squares solution  $\hat{x}$  is given by

$$\hat{x} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b$$

*Proof.* To get the best approximation of  $b$  on  $A$ , we can take the projection

$$\hat{b} = \text{proj}_{\text{Col}(A)} b$$

Now we know the equation  $A\hat{x} = \hat{b}$  is consistent, and that  $\hat{x}$  would be the least-squares solution. We know  $b - \hat{b}$  is orthogonal to  $\text{Col}(A)$ , so  $b - A\hat{x}$  is orthogonal to each column of  $A$ , that is

$$A^T(b - A\hat{x}) = 0$$



Simplifying

$$A^T b - A^T A \hat{x} = 0$$

$$A^T A \hat{x} = A^T b$$

$$\hat{x} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b$$

■

**Theorem 20.** *When the columns of  $A$  are orthogonal, as they often are in linear regression problems, then we can use QR factorization to produce a computationally easier calculation.*

*Given an  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$  with linearly independent columns, let  $A = QR$  be the QR factorization. For any  $b$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , the least-squares solution is given by*

$$\hat{x} = R^{-1} Q^T b$$

*Proof.* Let  $\hat{x} = R^{-1} Q^T b$ . Then

$$A\hat{x} = QR\hat{x} = QRR^{-1}Q^T b = QQ^T b$$

Since the columns of  $Q$  form an orthonormal basis for  $\text{Col}(A)$ ,  $QQ^T b$  is the orthogonal projection of  $b$  onto  $\text{Col}(A)$  by Definition 6.9. Hence, it is a least-squares solution. ■

**Definition 6.11.** Inner product: **Inner products** on a vector space  $V$  is a function that, to each pair of vectors  $u$  and  $v$ , associates a real number  $\langle u, v \rangle$  and satisfies the following for vectors  $u, v, w$  in  $V$  and scalar  $c$ :

1.  $\langle u, v \rangle = \langle v, u \rangle$
2.  $\langle u + v, w \rangle = \langle u, w \rangle + \langle v, w \rangle$
3.  $\langle cu, v \rangle = c\langle u, v \rangle$
4.  $\langle u, u \rangle \geq 0$

A vector space with an inner product is called an **inner product space**.

**Theorem 21.** *The Cauchy-Schwartz Inequality: For all  $u, v$  in  $V$ ,*

$$|\langle u, v \rangle| \leq \|u\| \|v\|$$

*Proof.* If  $u = 0$ , both sides are zero, so the inequality is true. If  $u \neq 0$ , let  $W$  be the subspace spanned by  $u$ , then

$$\|proj_W v\| = \left\| \frac{\langle v, u \rangle}{\langle u, u \rangle} u \right\| = \frac{|\langle v, u \rangle|}{\|u\|^2} \|u\| = \frac{|\langle u, v \rangle|}{\|u\|}$$

Since  $\|proj_W v\| \leq \|v\|$ , we simplify the above to

$$\frac{|\langle u, v \rangle|}{\|u\|} \leq \|v\|$$

, which proves the theorem. ■

**Theorem 22.** *The Triangle Inequality: For all  $u, v$  in  $V$ ,*

$$\|u + v\| \leq \|u\| + \|v\|$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u + v\|^2 &= \langle u + v, u + v \rangle = \langle u, u \rangle + 2\langle u, v \rangle + \langle v, v \rangle \\ &\leq \|u\|^2 + 2|\langle u, v \rangle| + \|v\|^2 \\ &\leq \|u\|^2 + 2\|u\| \|v\| + \|v\|^2 \\ &= (\|u\| + \|v\|)^2 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where the second to last step follows from the Cauchy-Schwartz Inequality. ■

## 7 Symmetric Matrices and Quadratic Forms

**Definition 7.1.** A **symmetric** matrix is a matrix  $A$  such that  $A^T = A$ . This condition necessitates  $A$  be a square matrix. Its main diagonal entries are arbitrary, but the other entries must occur in pairs on opposite sides of the main diagonal.

**Theorem 23.** *If  $A$  is symmetric, then any two eigenvectors from different eigenspaces are orthogonal.*

*Proof.* Let  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  be eigenvectors that correspond to distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ .

$$\lambda_1 v_1 \cdot v_2 = (\lambda_1 v_1)^T v_2 = (A v_1)^T v_2 = (v_1^T A^T) v_2 = v_1^T (A v_2) = v_1^T (\lambda_2 v_2) = \lambda_2 v_1 \cdot v_2$$

Thus,  $(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) v_1 \cdot v_2 = 0$ , so since the eigenvalues are distinct, the eigenvectors must be orthogonal. ■

## 7 SYMMETRIC MATRICES AND QUADRATIC FORMS

Note that this means when we diagonalize into  $A = PDP^{-1}$ ,  $P$  is an orthogonal matrix so  $P^{-1} = P^T$ . If a matrix  $A$  can be written as

$$A^T = PDP^T = PDP^{-1}$$

then it is called **orthogonally diagonalizable**.

$A$  is orthogonally diagonalizable if and only if  $A$  is symmetric. Proving “if” is complicated but to prove “only if” note that

$$A^T = (PDP^T)^T = P^{TT} D^T P^T = PDP^T = A$$

**Theorem 24.** *The Spectral Theorem for Symmetric Matrices: The set of eigenvalues of a matrix  $A$  are sometimes referred to as the **spectrum** of  $A$ .*

*An  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix  $A$  has the following properties:*

1.  *$A$  has  $n$  real eigenvalues, counting multiplicities.*
2. *The dimension of the eigenspace for each eigenvalue  $\lambda$  equals the multiplicity of the  $\lambda$ .*
3. *The eigenspaces are mutually orthogonal.*
4.  *$A$  is orthogonally diagonalizable.*

**Definition 7.2.** A **quadratic form** of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a function  $Q$  whose value at a vector  $x$  can be computed by an expression of the form  $Q(x) = x^T A x$ , where  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix called the **matrix of the quadratic form**.

The simplest form of this is  $Q(x) = x^T I x = \|x\|^2$ .

## 8 Quantum Mechanics Notations

Notation	Description
$z^*$	Complex conjugate of the complex number $z$ . $(1 + i)^* = 1 - i$
$ \psi\rangle$	Vector. Also known as a <i>ket</i> .
$\langle\psi $	Vector dual to $ \psi\rangle$ . Also known as a <i>bra</i> . The dual is a <i>linear operator</i> from inner product space $V$ to complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ ; the matrix representation of dual vectors is just a row vector.
$\langle\varphi \psi\rangle$	Inner product between the vectors $ \varphi\rangle$ and $ \psi\rangle$ .
$ \varphi\rangle \otimes  \psi\rangle$	Tensor product of $ \varphi\rangle$ and $ \psi\rangle$ .
$ \varphi\rangle \psi\rangle$	Abbreviated notation for tensor product.
$A^*$	Complex conjugate of the $A$ matrix.
$A^\dagger$	Hermitian conjugate or adjoint of the $A$ matrix, $A^\dagger = (A^T)^*$ . $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} a^* & c^* \\ b^* & d^* \end{bmatrix}$
$\langle\varphi A \psi\rangle$	Inner product between $ \varphi\rangle$ and $A \psi\rangle$ . Equivalently, inner product between $A^\dagger \varphi\rangle$ and $ \psi\rangle$ .

**Definition 8.1.** The Pauli matrices: The Pauli matrices are  $2 \times 2$  matrices that are extremely useful. They are:

$$\sigma_0 \equiv I \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \sigma_1 \equiv \sigma_x \equiv X \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_2 \equiv \sigma_y \equiv Y \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \sigma_3 \equiv \sigma_z \equiv Z \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Definition 8.2.** Outer product representation: Suppose  $|v\rangle$  is a vector in space  $V$ , and  $|w\rangle$  is a vector in space  $W$ . Define  $|w\rangle\langle v|$  to be the linear operator from

$V$  to  $W$  defined by

$$(|w\rangle\langle v|)(|v'\rangle) \equiv |w\rangle\langle v|v'\rangle = \langle v|v'\rangle|w\rangle$$

This equation means that applying the *operator*  $|w\rangle\langle v|$  to  $|v'\rangle$  is the same as multiplying  $|w\rangle$  by the complex number  $\langle v|v'\rangle$ .

Outer product notation can be used to demonstrate the *completeness relation* for orthonormal vectors. Let  $|i\rangle$  be an orthonormal vector in a basis for vector space  $V$ , so an arbitrary vector  $|v\rangle$  can be written as  $|v\rangle = \sum_i v_i |i\rangle$ . Note that  $\langle i|v\rangle = v_i$ .

$$\left(\sum_i |i\rangle\langle i|\right)|v\rangle = \sum_i |i\rangle\langle i|v\rangle = \sum_i v_i |i\rangle = |v\rangle$$

which implies

$$\sum_i |i\rangle\langle i| = I$$

This final equation is known as the *completeness relation*. We can use it to represent any operator in outer product notation. If  $A : V \rightarrow W$  is a linear operator,  $|v_i\rangle$  is an orthonormal basis vector for  $V$ , and  $|w_j\rangle$  is an orthonormal basis vector for  $W$ .

$$A = I_W A I_V$$

Using the completeness relation twice,

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{ij} |w_j\rangle\langle w_j|A|v_i\rangle\langle v_i| \\ &= \sum_{ij} \langle w_j|A|v_i\rangle |w_j\rangle\langle v_i| \end{aligned}$$

which is the outer product representation for  $A$ . This also means that  $A$  has element  $\langle w_j|A|v_i\rangle$  in the  $i$ th column and  $j$ th row.

We can define a *diagonalizable* operator in terms of outer products as well:  $A = \sum_i \lambda_i |i\rangle\langle i|$ , where  $|i\rangle$  form an orthonormal set of eigenvectors with corresponding eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$ .

**Definition 8.3.** Adjoint: Suppose  $A$  is a linear operator on a Hilbert space,  $V$ . For all  $|v\rangle, |w\rangle \in V$ , there exists a linear operator  $A^\dagger$  such that:

$$(|v\rangle, A|w\rangle) \equiv (A^\dagger|v\rangle, |w\rangle)$$

and

$$|v\rangle^\dagger \equiv \langle v|$$

This linear operator is known as the *adjoint* or *Hermitian conjugate*. The action of the adjoint is to take the matrix to its conjugate-transpose matrix,  $A^\dagger \equiv (A^*)^T$ . For example,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1+3i & 2i \\ 1+i & 1-4i \end{bmatrix}^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} 1-3i & 1-i \\ -2i & 1+4i \end{bmatrix}$$

An operator  $A$  whose adjoint is itself is known as a *Hermitian*.

**Theorem 25.** If  $|w\rangle$  and  $|v\rangle$  are two vectors,  $(|w\rangle\langle v|)^\dagger = |v\rangle\langle w|$ .

*Proof.*

$$(|w\rangle\langle v|)^\dagger = \langle v|^\dagger |w\rangle^\dagger = |v\rangle\langle w|$$

■

**Definition 8.4.** *Projectors* are an important class of Hermitian operators. Suppose  $W$  is a  $k$ -dimensional vector subspace of the  $d$ -dimensional vector space  $V$ . Gram-Schmidt ensures we can construct an orthonormal basis  $|1\rangle, \dots, |d\rangle$  for  $V$  such that  $|1\rangle, \dots, |k\rangle$  is an orthonormal basis for  $W$ . We define

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^k |i\rangle\langle i|$$

to be the *projector* onto the subspace  $W$ . By the above theorem, we know  $|v\rangle\langle v|$  is Hermitian, so  $P$  is Hermitian.

The orthogonal complement of  $P$  is the operator  $P^\perp = I - P$ . We know  $I$  spans the entire basis of  $V$ , so subtracting  $P$  means  $P^\perp$  is a projector onto the vector space spanned by  $|k+1\rangle, \dots, |d\rangle$ .

Note that  $P^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k |i\rangle\langle i| i\rangle\langle i| i\rangle\langle i|$ , since  $\langle i|j\rangle = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . Since  $\langle i|i\rangle = 1$ ,  $P^2 = P$ .

**Definition 8.5.** An operator  $A$  is *normal* if  $AA^\dagger = A^\dagger A$ . A Hermitian operator is normal.