

# Problem Set 6

Warren Kim

May 21, 2023

## Question 2 part (b)

Show that  $L_A$  is closed.

### Response

*Proof.* Let  $x \in L_{L_A}$ . Then,  $x$  is a limit point of  $L_A$ , so for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists some  $y \in L_A \cap (x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$  such that  $y \neq x$ . Since  $x \neq y$  by definition of a neighborhood,  $y$  is also a limit point of  $L_A$ , but  $y \in L_A$  since for any two sets  $A, B$ , if  $x \in A \cap B$  then  $x \in A$ . Since  $y$  was arbitrary, this holds for every limit point in  $L_A$ . So,  $x \in L_A$ . Since  $x$  was arbitrary, this holds for any point in  $L_{L_A}$ , so  $L_A$  is closed.  $\square$

### Question 3

Show that  $c \in A$  is an isolated point if and only if it is not a limit point of  $A$ .

#### Response

*Proof.* ( $c \in A$  is an isolated point  $\implies c \in A$  is not a limit point)

Let  $c \in A$  be an isolated point. Then, by definition, there exists some  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $A \cap (c - \varepsilon, c + \varepsilon) = \{c\}$  which implies that for any  $y \neq c$ ,  $y \notin \{c\}$ . Then by the neighborhood definition of a limit point,  $c \in A$  cannot be a limit point since  $\exists \varepsilon > 0 : A \cap (c - \varepsilon, c + \varepsilon) : y \neq c = \emptyset \iff \neg[\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists y \in A \cap (c - \varepsilon, c + \varepsilon) : y \neq c]$ , or the negation of the neighborhood definition of a limit point. Therefore, if  $c \in A$  is an isolated point, then it is not a limit point of  $A$ .

( $c \in A$  is an isolated point  $\longleftarrow c \in A$  is not a limit point)

Let  $c \in A$  not be a limit point. Then, by the neighborhood definition of a limit,  $\neg[\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists y \in A \cap (c - \varepsilon, c + \varepsilon) : y \neq c] \iff \exists \varepsilon > 0 : A \cap (c - \varepsilon, c + \varepsilon) : y \neq c = \emptyset$ . That is, the only point in the intersection of  $A$  and  $(c - \varepsilon, c + \varepsilon)$  is  $c$  itself, since  $c \in A$  by assumption. This is precisely the definition of an isolated point. Therefore, if  $c \in A$  is not a limit point, then it is an isolated point.

Since we proved both directions, the proof is complete. □

## Question 4 part (a)

(a) Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Prove that the interval  $[a, b] := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a \leq x \leq b\}$  is a closed set.

### Response

*Proof.* Assume by contradiction that  $[a, b]$  is not closed. Then there exists an  $x \in L_{[a, b]}$  but  $x \notin [a, b]$ . By the neighborhood definition of a limit, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists some  $y \in [a, b] \cap (x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$ . There are two cases:

**Case I:**  $x < a$

Choose  $\varepsilon = a - x > 0$  (by assumption,  $x < a$ ). Then,  $[a, b] \cap (x - (a - x), x + (a - x)) = [a, b] \cap (x - \varepsilon, a) = \emptyset$ , a contradiction that  $x$  is a limit point of  $[a, b]$ .

**Case II:**  $x > b$

Choose  $\varepsilon = x - b > 0$  (by assumption,  $x > b$ ). Then,  $[a, b] \cap (x - (x - b), x + (x - b)) = [a, b] \cap (b, x + \varepsilon) = \emptyset$ , a contradiction that  $x$  is a limit point of  $[a, b]$ .

Since  $x$  was arbitrary, this holds for any  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [a, b]$ . In either case, we reach a contradiction. Therefore,  $[a, b]$  must be closed.  $\square$

## Question 5 part (c)

Prove the following by using the  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -definition of the functional limit:

$$(c) \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{x^2 - x + 1}{x + 1} = \frac{1}{2}$$

## Response

*Scratch*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{x^2 - x + 1}{x + 1} - \frac{1}{2} \right| &= \left| \frac{2(x^2 - x + 1) - (x + 1)}{2(x + 1)} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{2x^2 - 3x + 1}{2(x + 1)} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{(2x - 1)(x - 1)}{2(x + 1)} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{2x - 1}{x + 1} \right| \delta < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\delta = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} |x - 1| < 1 &\implies 0 < x < 2 \\ |2x - 1| < 1 &\implies -\frac{1}{2} < 2x - 1 < \frac{3}{2} \\ |x + 1| < 1 &\implies 1 < x + 1 < 3 \end{aligned}$$

So  $1 < x < \frac{3}{2}$  to ensure that all three conditions are met. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| f(x) - \frac{1}{2} \right| &\leq \frac{3}{2} \delta < \varepsilon \\ \delta &< \frac{2}{3} \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose  $\delta = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{2}{3} \varepsilon \right\}$ . If  $|x - 1| < \delta$ , then  $x + 1 \neq 0$  and  $\frac{x^2 - x + 1}{x + 1} \leq \left| \frac{2x - 1}{x + 1} \right| \delta < \varepsilon$ .  
Therefore,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{x^2 - x + 1}{x + 1} = \frac{1}{2}$ . □

## Question 7 part (a)

(a)  $f(x) = \frac{x}{|x|}$

### Response

- (i)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x)$ :  
 $\exists(x_n) : x_n > 0, x_n \rightarrow 1$ . Then,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n) = f(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_n}{|x_n|}) = 1$ , so  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{x}{|x|} = 1$ .
- (ii)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^-} f(x)$ :  
 $\exists(x_n) : x_n < 0, x_n \rightarrow -1$ . Then,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n) = f(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_n}{|x_n|}) = -1$ , so  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{x}{|x|} = -1$ .
- (iii)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x)$ :  
Since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{x}{|x|} \neq \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{x}{|x|}$ ,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x}{|x|}$  does not exist.
- (iv)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x)$ :  
 $\exists(x_n) : x_n \rightarrow 1$ . Then,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n) = f(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_n}{|x_n|}) = 1$ , so  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{x}{|x|} = 1$ .
- (v)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f(x)$ :  
 $\exists(x_n) : x_n \rightarrow -1$ . Then,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n) = f(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_n}{|x_n|}) = -1$ , so  $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{x}{|x|} = -1$ .