

# Problem Set 1

Warren Kim

April 12, 2023

## Question 1 part (a)

In Q3(e) in HW1, we proved the De Morgan's laws in propositional logic. Here, we prove the equivalent laws in set theory.

(a) Prove the De Morgan's laws in set theory: Given two sets  $A, B \subseteq X$ , show that

$$(A \cup B)^c = A^c \cap B^c$$

$$(A \cap B)^c = A^c \cup B^c$$

### Response

*Proof.*  $(A \cup B)^c \subseteq A^c \cap B^c$

Let  $x \in (A \cup B)^c$ . Then, by definition,  $x$  is neither in  $A$  or  $B$ ; that is,  $x \notin A$  and  $x \notin B$ . But this is equivalent to  $x \in A^c$  and  $x \in B^c$ . Thus,  $x \in A^c \cap B^c$ , so  $(A \cup B)^c \subseteq A^c \cap B^c$ .

$$A^c \cap B^c \subseteq (A \cup B)^c$$

Let  $x \in A^c \cap B^c$ . Then,  $x \notin A$  and  $x \notin B$ ; that is,  $x \notin A \cup B$ , or  $x \in (A \cup B)^c$ . Thus,  $A^c \cap B^c \subseteq (A \cup B)^c$ .

Therefore,  $(A \cup B)^c = A^c \cap B^c$ . □

### Question 5 parts (b), (c), (d)

Consider a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and let  $A \subseteq X$  and  $B \subseteq X$ .

- (a) Show that  $f(A \cup B) = f(A) \cup f(B)$ .
- (b) Show that  $f(A \cup B) \subseteq f(A) \cup f(B)$ .
- (c) Let  $A, B$  be sets such that  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ . Prove the converse statement  $f(A) \cap f(B) \subseteq f(A \cap B)$  is false.  
(**Hint:** find a counterexample)

[The converse statement is still false when  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  as long as  $f(A) \cap f(B) \neq \emptyset$ , but imposing  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$  is more interesting. Note that  $f(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ .]

- (d) Give an extra condition on  $f$  which makes this statement  $f(A) \cap f(B) \subseteq f(A \cap B)$  true and prove this result.

### Response

## 1 Question 7 parts (a), (c), (e)

In class, we saw an axiomatic foundation of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Making use of the notion of successor, we can make an appropriate definition of  $+$  (i.e. addition behaves as we learnt way back). Furthermore, we can make sense of  $m - n$  when  $m > n$ . You may assume these two facts from now on. Now, you will be guided through a foundational construction of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Consider the set  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  and the following relation:

$$(m_1, n_1) \sim (m_2, n_2) \text{ if } m_1 + n_2 = n_1 + m_2$$

(Perhaps after the end of this problem, I recommend coming back and trying to understand why the equivalence relation defined as above would work to construct  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Try to draw a picture.)

- (a) Show that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  (recall the *cancellative law*:  $m + n = m + l$ , for  $m, n, l \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $n = l$ )
- (c) Use part (b) to show the following: if  $[m_1, n_1] = [m_2, n_2]$  and  $[a_1, b_1] = [a_2, b_2]$ , then  $[(m_1 + a_1, n_1 + b_1)] = [(m_2 + a_2, n_2 + b_2)]$

Part (c) shows us how to define addition  $+$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$  as follows: we define  $[(m, n)] + [(a, b)] = [(m + a, n + b)]$

- (e) Show that for every  $[(m, n)] \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $[(m, n)] + [(n, m)] = [(1, 1)]$

Part (e) tells us that the additive inverse of  $[(m, n)]$  is  $[(n, m)]$  and we write  $[(n, m)] = -[(m, n)]$ . In particular, we have made sense of what we usually denote by  $-n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

## Response