110A HW4

Warren Kim

Winter 2024

Question 1

Let F be a field, and consider the polynomial ring F[x,y] with two variables. Show that I=(x,y) is not a principal ideal (i.e., it cannot be generated by a single element).

Response

Let R be a ring, and let I_1, \dots, I_k be ideals. Show that the following sets are ideals:

1.
$$I_1 + \cdots + I_k = \{i_1 + \cdots + i_k | i_j \in I_j\}$$

2.
$$I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \cdots \cap I_k$$

Response

1. $I_1 + \cdots + I_k = \{i_1 + \cdots + i_k | i_j \in I_j\}$ is an ideal.

Proof: Let R be a ring, and I_1, \dots, I_k be ideals.

- (a) Closure under addition. Take two elements $a, b \in I_1 + \cdots + I_k$. We can rewrite a, b as, $a = p_1 + \cdots + p_k$ and $b = q_1 + \cdots + q_k$ for $p_j, q_j \in I_j$. Then $a + b = (p_1 + \cdots + p_k) + (q_1 + \cdots + q_k) = (p_1 + q_1) + \cdots + (p_k + q_k)$, and since $p_j + q_j \in I_j$ for all $j \leq k$, we get $a + b \in I_1 + \cdots + I_k$, so $I_1 + \cdots + I_k$ is closed under addition.
- (b) Absorbing property. Take any $a \in I_1 + \cdots + I_k$. We can rewrite a as, $a = p_1 + \cdots + p_k$ for $p_j \in I_j$. Consider an element $r \in R$. Then, $ar = (p_1 + \cdots + p_k)r = p_1r + \cdots + p_kr$. Similarly, $ar = r(p_1 + \cdots + p_k) = rp_1 + \cdots + rp_k$. Since I_j is an ideal, $p_jr, rp_j \in I_j$, so $ar \in I_1 + \cdots + I_k$. Therefore, $I_1 + \cdots + I_k$ satisfies the absorbing property.

Because $I_1 + \cdots + I_k$ is closed under addition and satisfies the absorbing property, $I_1 + \cdots + I_k$ is an ideal.

2. $I_1 \cap \cdots \cap I_k$ is an ideal.

Proof: Let R be a ring, and I_1, \dots, I_k be ideals.

- (a) Closure under addition. Take two elements $a, b \in I_1 \cap \cdots \cap I_k$. Then since each I_j is an ideal, they are closed under addition. So, $a + b \in I_1 \cap \cdots \cap I_k$ because $a + b \in I_j$. Therefore, $I_1 \cap \cdots \cap I_k$ is closed under addition.
- (b) Absorbing property. Take any $a \in I_1 + \cdots + I_k$. Consider an element $r \in R$. Then, since each I_j is an ideal, they satisfy the absorbing property. Therefore, $ar, ra \in I_1 \cap \cdots \cap I_k$ because $ar, ra \in I_j$. Therefore, $I_1 \cap \cdots \cap I_k$ satisfies the absorbing property.

Because $I_1 \cap \cdots \cap I_k$ is closed under addition and satisfies the absorbing property, $I_1 \cap \cdots \cap I_k$ is an ideal.

Let R be a ring, $a \in R$, and $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal. Show that the set $a + I = \{a + x | x \in I\}$ is precisely the congruence class modulo I that contains a. That is, show that $b \equiv a \mod I$ if and only if $b \in a + I$.

Response

Proof: Let R be a ring, $a \in R$, and $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal. Consider the set $a + I = \{a + x \mid x \in I\}$. (\Longrightarrow) Suppose $b \equiv a \pmod{I}$ for some $b \in R$. By definition, $b - a \in I$. Then there exists some $i \in I$ such that b - a = i. Therefore, b = a + i for some $i \in I$, so $b \in a + I$. (\Longleftrightarrow) Suppose $b \in a + I$. By definition, there exists some $i \in I$ such that b = a + i. Subtracting a from both sides, we get b - a = i, which is in I, so $b \equiv a \pmod{I}$.

Let $f: R \to S$ be a ring homomorphism, and suppose $I \subseteq R$ is an ideal such that $I \subseteq \ker(f)$. Show that there is a unique homomorphism $\overline{f}: R/I \to S$ such that $f = \overline{f} \circ \pi$.

Response

Proof: Let $f: R \to S$ be a ring homomorphism, and $I \subseteq R$ an ideal such that $I \subseteq \ker(f)$. Consider $\overline{f}: R/I \to S, a+I \mapsto f(a)$. To show that \overline{f} is a homomorphism:

1. Closed under addition. Let $a+I, b+I \in R/I$. Then

$$\overline{f}((a+I)+(b+I)) = \overline{f}((a+b)+I)$$

$$= f(a+b)$$

$$= f(a)+f(b)$$

$$\overline{f}((a+I)+(b+I)) = \overline{f}(a+I)+\overline{f}(b+I)$$

so \overline{f} is closed under addition.

2. Closed under multiplication. Let $a+I, b+I \in R/I$. Then

$$\overline{f}((a+I)\cdot(b+I)) = \overline{f}((a\cdot b)+I)$$

$$= f(a\cdot b)$$

$$= f(a)\cdot f(b)$$

$$\overline{f}((a+I)\cdot(b+I)) = \overline{f}(a+I)\cdot\overline{f}(b+I)$$

so \overline{f} is closed under multiplication.

3. Preservation of the additive identity. Let $0_{R/I} := 0 + I \in R/I$. Then

$$\overline{f}(0_{R/I}) = \overline{f}(0+I) = f(0) = 0_S$$

so \overline{f} preserves the additive identity.

4. Preservation of the multiplicative identity. Let $1_{R/I} := 1 + I \in R/I$. Then

$$\overline{f}(1_{R/I}) = \overline{f}(1+I) = f(1) = 1_S$$

so \overline{f} preserves the multiplicative identity.

So \overline{f} is a ring homomorphism. To show that $f = \overline{f} \circ \pi$, consider $a \in R$. Then

$$\overline{f} \circ \pi(a) = \overline{f}(\pi(a)) = \overline{f}(a+I) = f(a)$$

so $f = \overline{f} \circ \pi$. To show that \overline{f} is unique, suppose we have another homomorphism $g: R/I \to S$ such that $f \neq g$. Then

$$g \circ \pi(a) = g(\pi(a)) = g(a+I) \neq f(a) = \overline{f}(a+I) = \overline{f}(\pi(a)) = \overline{f} \circ \pi(a)$$

so \overline{f} is unique.

Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ be any real number. Show that the quotient ring $\mathbb{R}[x]/(x-a)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{R} . [hint: you can use, without proof, that a polynomial p(x) has a root a if and only if it can be written p(x) = (x-a)q(x), where q(x) is another polynomial.]

Response

Proof: Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}[x]/(x-a)$ be a quotient ring. Consider $f : \mathbb{R}[x] \to \mathbb{R}$ where $p(x) \mapsto p(a)$. To show that f is a homomorphism:

1. Closed under addition. Let $p(x), q(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$. Then

$$f(p(x) + q(x)) = p(a) + q(a) = f(p(x)) + f(q(x))$$

so f is closed under addition.

2. Closed under multiplication. Let $p(x), q(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$. Then

$$f(p(x) \cdot q(x)) = p(a) \cdot q(a) = f(p(x)) \cdot f(q(x))$$

so f is closed under multiplication.

3. Preservation of the additive identity. Let $0_{\mathbb{R}[x]} \in \mathbb{R}[x]$. Then

$$f(0_{\mathbb{R}[x]}) = 0(a) = 0_{\mathbb{R}}$$

so f preserves the additive identity.

4. Preservation of the multiplicative identity. Let $1_{\mathbb{R}[x]} \in \mathbb{R}[x]$. Then

$$f(1_{\mathbb{R}[x]}) = 1(a) = 1_{\mathbb{R}}$$

so f preserves the multiplicative identity.

So f is a ring homomorphism. Take an arbitrary $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there is some $p(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ such that f(p(x)) = b, so f is surjective. Pick $p(x) \in \ker(f)$. Then f(p(x)) = p(a) = 0 is only true when p(x) = (x-a)q(x) where q(x) is another polynomial. So, $\ker(f)$ is generated by the principal ideal (x-a). By the First Isomorphism Theorem (proven in class), since $f : \mathbb{R}[x] \to S$, we have that $\mathbb{R}[x]/\ker(f) \simeq \operatorname{Im}(f)$. From above, we have that $\ker(f) = (x-a)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(f) = \mathbb{R}$, so $\mathbb{R}[x]/(x-a) \simeq \mathbb{R}$.

Let R be a commutative ring, and let $I, J \subseteq R$ be ideals. Consider

$$IJ = \{i_1j_1 + \dots + i_nj_n | i_r \in I, j_s \in J, n > 0\}.$$

- 1. Show that IJ is an ideal.
- 2. Show that $IJ \subseteq I \cap J$.
- 3. Show that if I + J = R, then $IJ = I \cap J$.

Response

1. IJ is an ideal.

Proof: Let R be a ring, and $I, J \subseteq R$ be ideals. Then, to show that

$$IJ = \{i_1j_1 + \dots + i_nj_n \mid i_r \in I, j_s \in J, n > 0\}$$

is an ideal:

(a) Closure under addition. Take two elements $a, b \in IJ$. We can rewrite a, b as, $a = p_1q_1 + \cdots + p_nq_n$ and $b = u_1v_1 + \cdots + u_nv_n$ for $p_r, u_r \in I, q_s, v_s \in J$. Then

$$a + b = (p_1q_1 + \dots + p_nq_n) + (u_1v_1 + \dots + u_nv_n)$$

which is a finite sum that can be rewritten in the form $i_1j_1 + \cdots + i_nj_n$ for $i_r \in I, j_s \in J$. Then by definition, $a + b \in IJ$, so IJ is closed under addition.

(b) Absorbing property. Take any $a \in IJ$. We can rewrite a as, $a = i_1j_1 + \cdots + i_nj_n$ for $i_t \in I$, $j_s \in J$. Consider an element $t \in R$. Then, $at = (i_1j_1 + \cdots + i_nj_n)t = i_1j_1t + \cdots + i_nj_nt$. Similarly, $at = t(i_1j_1 + \cdots + i_nj_n) = ti_1j_1 + \cdots + ti_nj_n$. Since $I, J \subseteq R$ are ideals, $i_rt, ti_r \in I, j_s \in J$, so $ti_rj_s \in IJ$. Similarly, $i_r \in I, j_st, tj_s \in J$, so $i_rj_st \in IJ$. So IJ satisfies the absorbing property.

Because IJ is closed under addition and satisfies the absorbing property, IJ is an ideal. \Box

2. $IJ \subseteq I \cap J$.

Proof: Let R be a ring, and $I, J \subseteq R$ be ideals. Then, from (1), IJ is an ideal in R. Suppose we have an arbitrary element $i_1j_1 + \cdots + i_nj_n \in IJ$. Since $I, J \subseteq R$ are ideals, $i_rj_s \in I$ and $i_rj_s \in J$. That is, $i_rj_s \in I \cap J$. Since $I, J \subseteq R$ are ideals, they are both closed under addition. So, $i_1j_1 + \cdots + i_nj_n \in I \cap J$. Since $i_1j_1 + \cdots + i_nj_n$ was arbitrary, $IJ \subseteq I \cap J$.

3. If I + J = R, then $IJ = I \cap J$.

Proof: Suppose I + J = R. Then $1_I + 1_J = 1_R$. Pick any $a \in I \cap J$. Then, $a = a \cdot 1_R = a \cdot (1_I + 1_J) = a \cdot 1_I + a \cdot 1_J$. Then, $a \cdot 1_I \in I$ because $a, 1_I \in I$. Similarly, $a \cdot 1_J \in J$ because $a, 1_J \in J$. So, $a \cdot 1_I + a \cdot 1_J \in I \cap J$ since $I \cap J$ is an ideal (**Question 2**). Because $a \cdot 1_I + a \cdot 1_J \in I \cap J$ was arbitrary, $I \cap J \subseteq IJ$. From (2), $IJ \subseteq I \cap J$. It follows that $IJ = I \cap J$.

Let R be a commutative ring. Recall that $r \in R$ is nilpotent if there is some n > 0 such that $r^n = 0$.

- 1. Let Nil(R) be the set of nilpotent elements of R. Show that Nil(R) forms an ideal.
- 2. Show that R/Nil(R) has no nonzero nilpotent elements.

Response