

# 110A HW4

Warren Kim

Winter 2024

## Question 1

Let  $F$  be a field, and consider the polynomial ring  $F[x, y]$  with two variables. Show that  $I = (x, y)$  is not a principal ideal (i.e., it cannot be generated by a single element).

## Response

**Proof:** Suppose  $(x, y) = (z)$ . Then  $x \in F[x, y]$  where  $z = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}x^i y^j$ . Consider  $x = p(x, y)z$  where  $p = \sum_{i,j} b_{ij}x^i y^j$ . Then

$$x = \left( \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}x^i y^j \right) \left( \sum_{i,j} b_{ij}x^i y^j \right) = \left( \sum_{i,j} k_{ij}x^i y^j \right)$$

Then  $1 = a_{00}b_{10} + b_{00}a_{10}$  and  $0 = a_{00}b_{00}$ .

□

## Question 2

Let  $R$  be a ring, and let  $I_1, \dots, I_k$  be ideals. Show that the following sets are ideals:

1.  $I_1 + \dots + I_k = \{i_1 + \dots + i_k \mid i_j \in I_j\}$
2.  $I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_k$

## Response

1.  $I_1 + \dots + I_k = \{i_1 + \dots + i_k \mid i_j \in I_j\}$  is an ideal.

**Proof:** Let  $R$  be a ring, and  $I_1, \dots, I_k$  be ideals.

- (a)  $0 \in I_1 + \dots + I_k$ . Since  $I_j$  is an ideal,  $0 \in I_j$  so we get  $0 + \dots + 0 = 0 \in I_1 + \dots + I_k$ .
- (b) Closure under addition. Take two elements  $a, b \in I_1 + \dots + I_k$ . We can rewrite  $a, b$  as,  $a = p_1 + \dots + p_k$  and  $b = q_1 + \dots + q_k$  for  $p_j, q_j \in I_j$ . Then  $a + b = (p_1 + \dots + p_k) + (q_1 + \dots + q_k) = (p_1 + q_1) + \dots + (p_k + q_k)$ , and since  $p_j + q_j \in I_j$  for all  $j \leq k$ , we get  $a + b \in I_1 + \dots + I_k$ , so  $I_1 + \dots + I_k$  is closed under addition.
- (c)  $-a \in I_1 + \dots + I_k$ . Let  $a := a_1 + \dots + a_k \in I_1 + \dots + I_k$ . Since  $I_j$  is an ideal, there exists  $-a \in I_j$ , so we get  $-a_1 + \dots + -a_k = -(a_1 + \dots + a_k) = -a \in I_1 + \dots + I_k$ .
- (d) Absorbing property. Take any  $a \in I_1 + \dots + I_k$ . We can rewrite  $a$  as,  $a = p_1 + \dots + p_k$  for  $p_j \in I_j$ . Consider an element  $r \in R$ . Then,  $ar = (p_1 + \dots + p_k)r = p_1r + \dots + p_kr$ . Similarly,  $ar = r(p_1 + \dots + p_k) = rp_1 + \dots + rp_k$ . Since  $I_j$  is an ideal,  $p_jr, rp_j \in I_j$ , so  $ar \in I_1 + \dots + I_k$ . Therefore,  $I_1 + \dots + I_k$  satisfies the absorbing property.

Because  $I_1 + \dots + I_k$  satisfies (a) - (d),  $I_1 + \dots + I_k$  is an ideal. □

2.  $I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_k$  is an ideal.

**Proof:** Let  $R$  be a ring, and  $I_1, \dots, I_k$  be ideals.

- (a)  $0 \in I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_k$ . Since  $I_j$  is an ideal,  $0 \in I_j$ , so  $0 \in I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_k$ .
- (b) Closure under addition. Take two elements  $a, b \in I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_k$ . Then since each  $I_j$  is an ideal, they are closed under addition. So,  $a + b \in I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_k$  because  $a + b \in I_j$ . Therefore,  $I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_k$  is closed under addition.
- (c)  $-a \in I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_k$ . Take any  $a \in I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_k$ . Then, since  $I_j$  is an ideal,  $-a \in I_j$ , so  $-a \in I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_k$ .
- (d) Absorbing property. Take any  $a \in I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_k$ . Consider an element  $r \in R$ . Then, since each  $I_j$  is an ideal, they satisfy the absorbing property. Therefore,  $ar, ra \in I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_k$  because  $ar, ra \in I_j$ . Therefore,  $I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_k$  satisfies the absorbing property.

Because  $I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_k$  satisfies (a) - (d),  $I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_k$  is an ideal. □

### Question 3

Let  $R$  be a ring,  $a \in R$ , and  $I \subseteq R$  be an ideal. Show that the set  $a + I = \{a + x \mid x \in I\}$  is precisely the congruence class modulo  $I$  that contains  $a$ . That is, show that  $b \equiv a \pmod{I}$  if and only if  $b \in a + I$ .

### Response

**Proof:** Let  $R$  be a ring,  $a \in R$ , and  $I \subseteq R$  be an ideal. Consider the set  $a + I = \{a + x \mid x \in I\}$ .  
(  $\implies$  ) Suppose  $b \equiv a \pmod{I}$  for some  $b \in R$ . By definition,  $b - a \in I$ . Then there exists some  $i \in I$  such that  $b - a = i$ . Therefore,  $b = a + i$  for some  $i \in I$ , so  $b \in a + I$ .  
(  $\impliedby$  ) Suppose  $b \in a + I$ . By definition, there exists some  $i \in I$  such that  $b = a + i$ . Subtracting  $a$  from both sides, we get  $b - a = i$ , which is in  $I$ , so  $b \equiv a \pmod{I}$ .  $\square$

## Question 4

Let  $f : R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism, and suppose  $I \subseteq R$  is an ideal such that  $I \subseteq \ker(f)$ . Show that there is a unique homomorphism  $\bar{f} : R/I \rightarrow S$  such that  $f = \bar{f} \circ \pi$ .

### Response

**Proof:** Let  $f : R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism, and  $I \subseteq R$  an ideal such that  $I \subseteq \ker(f)$ . Consider  $\bar{f} : R/I \rightarrow S, a + I \mapsto f(a)$ . To show that  $\bar{f}$  is a homomorphism:

1. Closed under addition. Let  $a + I, b + I \in R/I$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{f}((a + I) + (b + I)) &= \bar{f}((a + b) + I) \\ &= f(a + b) \\ &= f(a) + f(b) \\ \bar{f}((a + I) + (b + I)) &= \bar{f}(a + I) + \bar{f}(b + I)\end{aligned}$$

so  $\bar{f}$  is closed under addition.

2. Closed under multiplication. Let  $a + I, b + I \in R/I$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{f}((a + I) \cdot (b + I)) &= \bar{f}((a \cdot b) + I) \\ &= f(a \cdot b) \\ &= f(a) \cdot f(b) \\ \bar{f}((a + I) \cdot (b + I)) &= \bar{f}(a + I) \cdot \bar{f}(b + I)\end{aligned}$$

so  $\bar{f}$  is closed under multiplication.

3. Preservation of the multiplicative identity. Let  $1_{R/I} := 1 + I \in R/I$ . Then

$$\bar{f}(1_{R/I}) = \bar{f}(1 + I) = f(1) = 1_S$$

so  $\bar{f}$  preserves the multiplicative identity.

So  $\bar{f}$  is a ring homomorphism. To show that  $f = \bar{f} \circ \pi$ , consider  $a \in R$ . Then

$$\bar{f} \circ \pi(a) = \bar{f}(\pi(a)) = \bar{f}(a + I) = f(a)$$

so  $f = \bar{f} \circ \pi$ . To show that  $\bar{f}$  is unique, suppose we have another homomorphism  $g : R/I \rightarrow S$  such that  $f \neq g$ . Then

$$g \circ \pi(a) = g(\pi(a)) = g(a + I) \neq f(a) = \bar{f}(a + I) = \bar{f}(\pi(a)) = \bar{f} \circ \pi(a)$$

so  $\bar{f}$  is unique. □

## Question 5

Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  be any real number. Show that the quotient ring  $\mathbb{R}[x]/(x - a)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$ . [hint: you can use, without proof, that a polynomial  $p(x)$  has a root  $a$  if and only if it can be written  $p(x) = (x - a)q(x)$ , where  $q(x)$  is another polynomial.]

### Response

**Proof:** Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{R}[x]/(x - a)$  be a quotient ring. Consider  $f : \mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  where  $p(x) \mapsto p(a)$ . To show that  $f$  is a homomorphism:

1. Closed under addition. Let  $p(x), q(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ . Then

$$f(p(x) + q(x)) = p(a) + q(a) = f(p(x)) + f(q(x))$$

so  $f$  is closed under addition.

2. Closed under multiplication. Let  $p(x), q(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ . Then

$$f(p(x) \cdot q(x)) = p(a) \cdot q(a) = f(p(x)) \cdot f(q(x))$$

so  $f$  is closed under multiplication.

3. Preservation of the multiplicative identity. Let  $1_{\mathbb{R}[x]} \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ . Then

$$f(1_{\mathbb{R}[x]}) = 1(a) = 1_{\mathbb{R}}$$

so  $f$  preserves the multiplicative identity.

So  $f$  is a ring homomorphism. Take an arbitrary  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then there is some  $p(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  such that  $f(p(x)) = b$ , so  $f$  is surjective. Pick  $p(x) \in \ker(f)$ . Then  $f(p(x)) = p(a) = 0$  is only true when  $p(x) = (x - a)q(x)$  where  $q(x)$  is another polynomial. So,  $\ker(f)$  is generated by the principal ideal  $(x - a)$ . By the First Isomorphism Theorem (proven in class), since  $f : \mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we have that  $\mathbb{R}[x]/\ker(f) \simeq \text{Im}(f)$ . From above, we have that  $\ker(f) = (x - a)$  and  $\text{Im}(f) = \mathbb{R}$ , so  $\mathbb{R}[x]/(x - a) \simeq \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

## Question 6

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring, and let  $I, J \subseteq R$  be ideals. Consider

$$IJ = \{i_1j_1 + \cdots + i_nj_n \mid i_r \in I, j_s \in J, n > 0\}.$$

1. Show that  $IJ$  is an ideal.
2. Show that  $IJ \subseteq I \cap J$ .
3. Show that if  $I + J = R$ , then  $IJ = I \cap J$ .

## Response

1.  $IJ$  is an ideal.

**Proof:** Let  $R$  be a ring, and  $I, J \subseteq R$  be ideals. Then, to show that

$$IJ = \{i_1j_1 + \cdots + i_nj_n \mid i_r \in I, j_s \in J, n > 0\}$$

is an ideal:

- (a)  $0 \in IJ$ . Take  $a \in IJ$ . Since  $I, J$  are ideals, so  $0 \in I, J$ , so  $0 \cdot a = 0 \in IJ$ .
- (b) Closure under addition. Take two elements  $a, b \in IJ$ . We can rewrite  $a, b$  as,  $a = p_1q_1 + \cdots + p_nq_n$  and  $b = u_1v_1 + \cdots + u_nv_n$  for  $p_r, u_r \in I, q_s, v_s \in J$ . Then

$$a + b = (p_1q_1 + \cdots + p_nq_n) + (u_1v_1 + \cdots + u_nv_n)$$

which is a finite sum that can be rewritten in the form  $i_1j_1 + \cdots + i_nj_n$  for  $i_r \in I, j_s \in J$ . Then by definition,  $a + b \in IJ$ , so  $IJ$  is closed under addition.

- (c) Absorbing property. Take any  $a \in IJ$ . We can rewrite  $a$  as,  $a = i_1j_1 + \cdots + i_nj_n$  for  $i_t \in I, j_s \in J$ . Consider an element  $t \in R$ . Then,  $at = (i_1j_1 + \cdots + i_nj_n)t = i_1j_1t + \cdots + i_nj_nt$ . Similarly,  $at = t(i_1j_1 + \cdots + i_nj_n) = ti_1j_1 + \cdots + ti_nj_n$ . Since  $I, J \subseteq R$  are ideals,  $i_rt, ti_r \in I, j_s \in J$ , so  $ti_rj_s \in IJ$ . Similarly,  $i_r \in I, j_st, tj_s \in J$ , so  $i_rj_st \in IJ$ . So  $IJ$  satisfies the absorbing property.

Because  $IJ$  is closed under addition and satisfies the absorbing property,  $IJ$  is an ideal.  $\square$

2.  $IJ \subseteq I \cap J$ .

**Proof:** Let  $R$  be a ring, and  $I, J \subseteq R$  be ideals. Then, from (1),  $IJ$  is an ideal in  $R$ . Suppose we have an arbitrary element  $i_1j_1 + \cdots + i_nj_n \in IJ$ . Since  $I, J \subseteq R$  are ideals,  $i_rj_s \in I$  and  $i_rj_s \in J$ . That is,  $i_rj_s \in I \cap J$ . Since  $I, J \subseteq R$  are ideals, they are both closed under addition. So,  $i_1j_1 + \cdots + i_nj_n \in I \cap J$ . Since  $i_1j_1 + \cdots + i_nj_n$  was arbitrary,  $IJ \subseteq I \cap J$ .  $\square$

3. If  $I + J = R$ , then  $IJ = I \cap J$ .

**Proof:** Suppose  $I + J = R$ . Then  $1_I + 1_J = 1_R$ . Pick any  $a \in I \cap J$ . Then,  $a = a \cdot 1_R = a \cdot (1_I + 1_J) = a \cdot 1_I + a \cdot 1_J$ . Then,  $a \cdot 1_I \in I$  because  $a, 1_I \in I$ . Similarly,  $a \cdot 1_J \in J$  because  $a, 1_J \in J$ . So,  $a \cdot 1_I + a \cdot 1_J \in I \cap J$  since  $I \cap J$  is an ideal (**Question 2**). Because  $a \cdot 1_I + a \cdot 1_J \in I \cap J$  was arbitrary,  $I \cap J \subseteq IJ$ . From (2),  $IJ \subseteq I \cap J$ . It follows that  $IJ = I \cap J$ .  $\square$

## Question 7

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Recall that  $r \in R$  is nilpotent if there is some  $n > 0$  such that  $r^n = 0$ .

1. Let  $Nil(R)$  be the set of nilpotent elements of  $R$ . Show that  $Nil(R)$  forms an ideal.
2. Show that  $R/Nil(R)$  has no nonzero nilpotent elements.

## Response