

A decorative graphic on the left side of the slide consists of two overlapping parallelograms. The front one is blue and the back one is a light green. They are positioned diagonally, with the blue one partially covering the green one.

Chapter 4

Number Theory



Reference Book:

- ❖ Concrete Mathematics – Graham, Knuth, Patashnik

Topics:

- ❖ Divisibility
- ❖ Primes
- ❖ Prime Examples
- ❖ Factorial Factors
- ❖ Relative Primality
- ❖ 'mod': The Congruence Relation

Number Theory

What is Number Theory?

Number theory is the branch of mathematics that explores the integers and their properties.

Learning Outcome: Students will be able to:

- ❖ effectively express the concepts and results of Number Theory.
- ❖ construct mathematical proofs of statements and find counterexamples to false statements in Number Theory.
- ❖ collect and use numerical data to form conjectures about the integers.
- ❖ understand the logic and methods behind the major proofs in Number Theory.
- ❖ work effectively as part of a group to solve challenging problems in Number Theory.

Divisibility

❖ We say that m divides n (or n is divisible by m) if $m > 0$ and the ratio n/m is an integer. This property underlies all of number theory, so it's convenient to have a special notation for it. We therefore write

$$m \backslash n \iff m > 0 \text{ and } n = mk \text{ for some integer } k.$$

❖ (The notation ' $m|n$ ' is actually much more common than ' $m \backslash n$ ' in current mathematics literature. But vertical lines are over used $|$ for absolute values, set delimiters, conditional probabilities, etc. and backward slashes are underused. Moreover, ' $m \backslash n$ ' gives an impression that m is the denominator of an implied ratio. So we shall boldly let our divisibility symbol lean leftward.)

If m does not divide n we write ' $m \nmid n$ '



GCD & LCM

The *greatest common divisor* of two integers m and n is the largest integer that divides them both:

$$\gcd(m, n) = \max\{k \mid k \mid m \text{ and } k \mid n\}$$

For example, $\gcd(12, 18) = 6$.

Euclid's algorithm uses the recurrence

$$\gcd(0, n) = n;$$

$$\gcd(m, n) = \gcd(n \bmod m, m), \quad \text{for } m > 0.$$

$$m'm + n'n = \gcd(m, n)$$

Another familiar notion is the *least common multiple*,

$$\text{lcm}(m, n) = \min\{k \mid k > 0, \quad m \mid k \text{ and } n \mid k\}$$



Proof 1

$$k \mid m \text{ and } k \mid n \iff k \mid \gcd(m, n).$$

(Proof: If k divides both m and n , it divides $m'm + n'n$, so it divides $\gcd(m, n)$. Conversely, if k divides $\gcd(m, n)$, it divides a divisor of m and a divisor of n , so it divides both m and n .)

❖Detail:

$k \mid m$ means $m=ka$, where a is an integer

$k \mid n$ means $n=kb$, where b is an integer

Now, $\gcd(m,n) = m'm+n'n$ (according to Euclid's) algorithm

$$= m' (ka) + n' (kb)$$

$$= k (m'a + n'b)$$

i.e. $k \mid \gcd(m,n)$

[Proved]



Primes

- ❖ A positive integer p is called prime if it has just two divisors, namely 1 and p .
- ❖ 1 isn't prime, so the sequence of primes starts out like this:

2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41,



Proof 2

❖ Prove that, Any positive integer n can be written as a product of primes and it is unique.

$$n = p_1 \cdots p_m = \prod_{k=1}^m p_k, \quad p_1 \leq \cdots \leq p_m$$

❖ **Part-I:: Product of Prime:**

❖ Basis: If $m=0$ then $n=1$ (because we consider this to be an empty product)

❖ Induction: Such a factorization is always possible because if $n > 1$ is not prime it has a divisor n_1 such that $1 < n_1 < n$; thus we can write $n = n_1 \cdot n_2$. We know that by induction, n_1 and n_2 can be written as products of primes.

❖ Otherwise n is a prime number

Proof 2 (Contd)

❖ Prove that, Any positive integer n can be written as a product of primes and it is unique.

$$n = p_1 \cdots p_m = \prod_{k=1}^m p_k, \quad p_1 \leq \cdots \leq p_m$$

❖ **Part-II:: Product of Prime is unique**

❖ i.e. we shall prove there's only one way to write n as a product of primes in nondecreasing order.

❖ There is certainly only one possibility when $n = 1$, since the product must be empty in that case;

❖ so let's suppose that $n > 1$ and that all smaller numbers factor uniquely.

❖ Suppose we have two factorization – where the p 's and q 's are all prime.

❖ Nov $n = p_1 \cdots p_m = q_1 \cdots q_k, \quad p_1 \leq \cdots \leq p_m \text{ and } q_1 \leq \cdots \leq q_k,$

❖ If not, we can assume that $p_1 < q_1$, making p_1 smaller than all the q 's.

❖ Then $\gcd(p_1, q_1) = 1$ and hence, $ap_1 + bq_1 = 1$

❖ $a.p_1. (q_2. q_3. \dots q_k) + b.q_1. (q_2. q_3. \dots q_k) = 1. (q_2. q_3. \dots q_k)$

❖ $a.p_1. (q_2. q_3. \dots q_k) + b.n = 1. (q_2. q_3. \dots q_k)$

❖ p_1 divides L.H.S., so it should also divide R.H.S. But $(q_2. q_3. \dots q_k) < n$

❖ But $q_2 \dots q_k < n$, so it has a unique factorization (by induction). This contradiction shows that p_1 must be equal to q_1 after all. Therefore we can divide both of n 's factorizations by p_1 , obtaining $p_2 \dots p_m = q_2 \dots q_k < n$. The other factors must likewise be equal (by induction), so our proof of uniqueness is complete.



Factorial Factors

See pdf given in your google classroom