

Picard Iteration

Observation For a continuous function f ,

y solves $\dot{y}(t) = f(t, y(t))$, $y(t_0) = y_0$

$\Leftrightarrow y$ solves $y(t) = y_* + \int_{t_0}^t f(s, y(s)) ds$

because, by the FTC:

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) - y(t_0) &= \int_{t_0}^t \dot{y}(s) ds \\ &= \int_{t_0}^t f(s, y(s)) ds \end{aligned}$$

Consider $T(y) = y_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s, y(s)) ds$

is a function in t
for any continuous f in y

Aim: Find y such that $T(y) = y$.

Then y solves $\dot{y} = f(t, y)$, $y(t_0) = y_0$.

Recipe to find y : **Picard Iteration**

Set $y_0(t) = y_*$

$$y_1(t) = T(y_0) = T(y_*)$$

$$y_2(t) = T(y_1) = T^2(y_*)$$

:

$$y_n(t) = T(y_{n-1}(t)) = \underbrace{T^n(y_*)}_{\text{apply } T \text{ n-times}}$$

If f is Lipschitz in y , this process will converge (uniformly) for $t \in [t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta]$ to a function $y(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n(t)$ which solves $T(y) = y$, i.e. our ODE $\dot{y} = f(t, y)$.

Rmk.

$$\begin{aligned}
 y &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(y_{n-1}) \\
 &= T\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n-1}\right) \quad \text{exchange of integration, taking limit} \\
 &= T(y)
 \end{aligned}$$

i.e. we have a chance of finding y with Picard Iteration

Ex. $\dot{y} = y$ $y(0) = y_*$

$$y_0(t) = y_*$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_1(t) &= T(y_0(t)) = T(y_*) \quad f(t, y) = y \\
 &= y_* + \int_0^t f(s, y_0(s)) ds \\
 &= y_* + \int_0^t y_* ds \\
 &= y_* + y_* \cdot t \\
 &= y_*(1+t)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_2(t) &= T(y_1(t)) = T(y_*(1+t)) \\
 &= y_* + \int_0^t f(s, y_1(s)) ds \\
 &= y_* + \int_0^t y_*(1+s) ds \\
 &= y_* + y_*(t + \frac{1}{2}t^2) \\
 &= y_*(1+t+\frac{1}{2}t^2) \\
 &\vdots
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_n(t) &= y_*(1+t+\frac{1}{2}t^2+\dots+\frac{t^n}{n!}) \\
 &= y_* \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{t^k}{k!}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_* \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{t^k}{k!} = y_* e^t$$

$$\text{indeed: } y(t) = y_* e^t, \quad y(0) = y_*$$

Q: Why does Picard Iteration converge?

In general:

$$y_n(t) = y_0 + (y_1 - y_0) + (y_2 - y_1) + \dots + (y_n - y_{n-1}) \\ = y_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (y_{k+1} - y_k)$$

this series converges if $(y_{k+1} - y_k) \rightarrow 0$ fast enough

note: $y_{k+1} - y_k = T(y_k) - T(y_{k-1})$

Claim: If $|f(t, y) - f(t, \tilde{y})| \leq L |y - \tilde{y}|$

for all $t \in [t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta]$, $y, \tilde{y} \in D$, then

$$\max_{[t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta]} \underbrace{|T(y) - T(\tilde{y})|}_{\text{function in } t} \leq L \cdot \delta \max_{[t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta]} |y(t) - \tilde{y}(t)|$$

for every function y, \tilde{y} .

i.e. if $q = L \cdot \delta < 1$, then T decreases distances between (continuous) functions, and $q < 1$ is sufficient for convergence of Picard Iteration

note: $\max |y_{k+1} - y_k| = \max |T(y_k) - T(y_{k-1})|$

$$\leq q \cdot \max |y_k - y_{k-1}|$$

$$= q \cdot \max |T(y_{k-1}) - T(y_{k-2})|$$

$$\leq q^2 \cdot \max |y_{k-1} - y_{k-2}|$$

:

$$\leq q^k |y_i - y_0|$$

Analogy: $a_n = a_0 + \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k+1} + a_k$
 a_k sequence of numbers st
 $|a_{k+1} - a_k| < q^k |a_1 - a_0|$ with $q < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} |a_n| &\leq |a_0| + \sum_{k=0}^n |a_{k+1} - a_k| \\ &\leq |a_0| + \sum_{k=0}^n q^k |a_1 - a_0| \\ &= |a_0| + |a_1 - a_0| \sum_{k=0}^n q^k \\ &\leq |a_0| + |a_1 - a_0| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k \\ &= |a_0| + |a_1 - a_0| \frac{1}{1-q} \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

By Weierstrass M-test: a_n converges.

[more abstract way of proving Picard's Thm.
is Barnard's fixed point Thm. ($T(y) = y$)]

Q: Why is the claim true?

Note: $|T(y) - T(\tilde{y})|$

$$\begin{aligned} &= y_* + \int_0^t f(s, y(s)) ds - (y_* + \int_{t_0}^t f(s, \tilde{y}(s)) ds) \\ &\leq \int_{t_0}^t |f(s, y(s)) - f(s, \tilde{y}(s))| ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F \text{ Lipschitz } \rightarrow &\leq \int_{t_0}^t L |y(s) - \tilde{y}(s)| ds \\ &\leq L \cdot \max_{t \in [t_0-\delta, t_0+\delta]} |y(s) - \tilde{y}(s)| \cdot \int_{t_0}^t ds \\ &\leq L \cdot \max |y(s) - \tilde{y}(s)| \cdot |t + \delta - t| \\ &= L \cdot \delta \max |y(s) - \tilde{y}(s)| \end{aligned}$$

This implies the claim.

Q: Why do we get uniqueness?

Suppose that y_1, y_2 solve

$$\dot{y} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_*$$

$$\text{Then } \max_{t \in [t_0-\delta, t_0+\delta]} |y_1 - y_2| = \max |T(y_1) - T(y_2)| \\ \leq q \cdot \max |y_1 - y_2|$$

since y_i solves ODE $T(y_i) = y_i$

This is a contradiction ($1 \leq q < 1$) unless
 $\max |y_1 - y_2| = 0$, i.e. $y_1 = y_2$.