

ITERATIVE SOLUTION OF A MIXED FINITE ELEMENT DISCRETISATION OF AN INCOMPRESSIBLE MAGNETOHYDRODYNAMICS PROBLEM

Michael Wathen

MSc presentation
UBC Computer Science

August 2014

Problem background

- MHD models electrically conductive fluids (such as liquid metals, plasma, salt water, etc) in an electric field
- Applications: electromagnetic pumping, aluminium electrolysis, the Earth's molten core and solar flares
- MHD models couple electromagnetism (governed by Maxwell's equations) and fluid dynamics (governed by the Navier-Stokes equations)
- Movement of the conductive material that induces and modifies any existing electromagnetic field
- Magnetic and electric fields generate a mechanical force on the fluid

Problem background

- MHD models electrically conductive fluids (such as liquid metals, plasma, salt water, etc) in an electric field
- Applications: electromagnetic pumping, aluminium electrolysis, the Earth's molten core and solar flares
- MHD models couple electromagnetism (governed by Maxwell's equations) and fluid dynamics (governed by the Navier-Stokes equations)
- Movement of the conductive material that induces and modifies any existing electromagnetic field
- Magnetic and electric fields generate a mechanical force on the fluid

Problem background

- MHD models electrically conductive fluids (such as liquid metals, plasma, salt water, etc) in an electric field
- Applications: electromagnetic pumping, aluminium electrolysis, the Earth's molten core and solar flares
- MHD models couple electromagnetism (governed by Maxwell's equations) and fluid dynamics (governed by the Navier-Stokes equations)
- Movement of the conductive material that induces and modifies any existing electromagnetic field
- Magnetic and electric fields generate a mechanical force on the fluid

Problem background

- MHD models electrically conductive fluids (such as liquid metals, plasma, salt water, etc) in an electric field
- Applications: electromagnetic pumping, aluminium electrolysis, the Earth's molten core and solar flares
- MHD models couple electromagnetism (governed by Maxwell's equations) and fluid dynamics (governed by the Navier-Stokes equations)
- Movement of the conductive material that induces and modifies any existing electromagnetic field
- Magnetic and electric fields generate a mechanical force on the fluid

Problem background

- MHD models electrically conductive fluids (such as liquid metals, plasma, salt water, etc) in an electric field
- Applications: electromagnetic pumping, aluminium electrolysis, the Earth's molten core and solar flares
- MHD models couple electromagnetism (governed by Maxwell's equations) and fluid dynamics (governed by the Navier-Stokes equations)
- Movement of the conductive material that induces and modifies any existing electromagnetic field
- Magnetic and electric fields generate a mechanical force on the fluid

Incompressible Navier-Stokes Equations:

$$\begin{aligned} -\nu \Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla p &= f && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \nabla \cdot u &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= u_D && \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

- u : fluid velocity
- p : fluid pressure
- f : body force acting on the fluid
- ν : kinematic viscosity

Maxwell operator in mixed form:

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times b) + \nabla r = g \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$\nabla \cdot b = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$b \times n = b_D \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

$$r = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

- b : magnetic field
- r : scalar multiplier
- n : unit outward normal

MHD model: coupled Navier-Stokes and Maxwell's equations

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\nu \Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla p - \kappa (\nabla \times b) \times b &= f && \text{in } \Omega, \\
 \nabla \cdot u &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\
 \kappa \nu_m \nabla \times (\nabla \times b) + \nabla r - \kappa \nabla \times (u \times b) &= g && \text{in } \Omega, \\
 \nabla \cdot b &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega,
 \end{aligned}$$

with appropriate boundary conditions.

- $(\nabla \times b) \times b$: Lorentz force accelerates the fluid particles in the direction normal to the electric and magnetic fields.
- $\nabla \times (u \times b)$: electromotive force modifying the magnetic field

MHD model: coupled Navier-Stokes and Maxwell's equations

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\nu \Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla p - \kappa (\nabla \times b) \times b &= f && \text{in } \Omega, \\
 \nabla \cdot u &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\
 \kappa \nu_m \nabla \times (\nabla \times b) + \nabla r - \kappa \nabla \times (u \times b) &= g && \text{in } \Omega, \\
 \nabla \cdot b &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega,
 \end{aligned}$$

with appropriate boundary conditions.

- $(\nabla \times b) \times b$: Lorentz force accelerates the fluid particles in the direction normal to the electric and magnetic fields.
- $\nabla \times (u \times b)$: electromotive force modifying the magnetic field

Discretisation

- Finite element discretisation based on the formulation in Schötzau 2004
- Fluid variables: lowest order Taylor-Hood ($\mathcal{P}_2/\mathcal{P}_1$)
- Magnetic variables: mixed Nédélec element approximation
- Nédélec elements capture solutions correctly on non-convex domains

Non-linear solver

- MHD model is non-linear: $\mathcal{K}(x)x = b$
- Standard Oseen iteration: $\mathcal{K}(x_k)x_{k+1} = b$
- Re-arrange to solve for updates
- $\mathcal{K}(x_k)\delta x = b - \mathcal{K}(x_k)x_k$ where $x_{k+1} = x_k + \delta x$

Discretised and linearised MHD model:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A + O(u) & B^T & C(b)^T & 0 \\ B & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -C(b) & 0 & M & D^T \\ 0 & 0 & D & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \delta u \\ \delta p \\ \delta b \\ \delta r \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r_u \\ r_p \\ r_b \\ r_r \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} r_u &= f - Au - O(u)u - C(b)^T b - B^T p, \\ r_p &= -Bu, \\ r_b &= g - Mu + C(b)b - D^T r, \\ r_r &= -Db. \end{aligned}$$

A : discrete Laplacian operator, O : discrete convection operator,
 B : discrete divergence operator, M : discrete curl-curl operator,
 C : coupling terms, D : discrete divergence operator.

Decoupling schemes

Magnetic Decoupling (MD):

$$\mathcal{K}_{\text{MD}} = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} A + O(u) & B^T & 0 & 0 \\ B & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & M & D^T \\ 0 & 0 & D & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

Complete Decoupling (CD):

$$\mathcal{K}_{\text{CD}} = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} A & B^T & 0 & 0 \\ B & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & M & D^T \\ 0 & 0 & D & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

Linear solver and Preconditioning

Consider

$$Ax = b,$$

to iteratively solve:

$$\text{find } x_k \in x_0 + \text{span}\{r_0, Ar_0, \dots, A^{k-1}r_0\}$$

where $r_0 = b - Ax_0$ and x_0 is the initial guess.

Key for success: preconditioning

1. the preconditioner P approximates A
2. P easy to solve for than A

Want eigenvalues of $P^{-1}A$ to be clusters

Ideal preconditioning

Non-singular (1,1) block

$$\mathcal{K} = \begin{pmatrix} F & B^T \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \mathcal{P} = \begin{pmatrix} F & B^T \\ 0 & BF^{-1}B^T \end{pmatrix}$$

Murphy, Golub & Wathen 2000 showed exactly two eigenvalues: ± 1

Singular (1,1) block

$$\mathcal{K} = \begin{pmatrix} F & B^T \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \mathcal{P} = \begin{pmatrix} F + B^T W^{-1} B & 0 \\ 0 & W \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } W \text{ is SPD}$$

Greif & Schötzau 2006 showed exactly two eigenvalues: ± 1

Ideal preconditioning

Non-singular (1,1) block

$$\mathcal{K} = \begin{pmatrix} F & B^T \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \mathcal{P} = \begin{pmatrix} F & B^T \\ 0 & BF^{-1}B^T \end{pmatrix}$$

Murphy, Golub & Wathen 2000 showed exactly two eigenvalues: ± 1

Singular (1,1) block

$$\mathcal{K} = \begin{pmatrix} F & B^T \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \mathcal{P} = \begin{pmatrix} F + B^T W^{-1} B & 0 \\ 0 & W \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } W \text{ is SPD}$$

Greif & Schötzau 2006 showed exactly two eigenvalues: ± 1

Navier-Stokes subproblem

$$\mathcal{K}_{\text{NS}} = \begin{pmatrix} F & B^T \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $F = A + O$ is the discrete convection diffusion operator. Shown in Elman, Silvester & Wathen 2005/2014 that

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{NS}} = \begin{pmatrix} F & B^T \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}, \quad S = A_p F_p^{-1} Q_p$$

is a good approximation to the Schur complement preconditioner.

A_p : pressure space Laplacian, F_p : pressure space convection-diffusion operator, Q_p : pressure space mass matrix

Maxwell subproblem

$$\mathcal{K}_{\text{NS}} = \begin{pmatrix} M & B^T \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note: M is highly rank deficient.

Greif & Schötzau 2007 shows that L (scalar Laplacian) is the appropriate choice for W

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{iM}} = \begin{pmatrix} M + B^T L^{-1} B & 0 \\ 0 & L \end{pmatrix}$$

Practical preconditioner:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{M}} = \begin{pmatrix} M + X & 0 \\ 0 & L \end{pmatrix}$$

where X vector mass matrix is spectrally equivalent to $B^T L^{-1} B$

Maxwell subproblem

$$\mathcal{K}_{\text{NS}} = \begin{pmatrix} M & B^T \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note: M is highly rank deficient.

Greif & Schötzau 2007 shows that L (scalar Laplacian) is the appropriate choice for W

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{iM}} = \begin{pmatrix} M + B^T L^{-1} B & 0 \\ 0 & L \end{pmatrix}$$

Practical preconditioner:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{M}} = \begin{pmatrix} M + X & 0 \\ 0 & L \end{pmatrix}$$

where X vector mass matrix is spectrally equivalent to $B^T L^{-1} B$

MHD problem

Combining the Navier-Stokes and Maxwell preconditioners

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{MH}} = \begin{pmatrix} F & B^T & C^T & 0 \\ 0 & -S & 0 & 0 \\ -C & 0 & M + X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & L \end{pmatrix}$$

with the inner preconditioner

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{innerMH}} = \begin{pmatrix} F & B^T & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -S & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & M + X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & L \end{pmatrix}$$

Summary of decoupling scheme preconditioners

| Iteration scheme | Coefficient matrix | Preconditioner |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| (MD) | $\left(\begin{array}{cc cc} F & B^T & 0 & 0 \\ B & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & M & D^T \\ 0 & 0 & D & 0 \end{array} \right)$ | $\left(\begin{array}{cc cc} F & B^T & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -S & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & M + X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & L \end{array} \right)$ |
| (CD) | $\left(\begin{array}{cc cc} A & B^T & 0 & 0 \\ B & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & M & D^T \\ 0 & 0 & D & 0 \end{array} \right)$ | $\left(\begin{array}{cc cc} A & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\nu} Q_p & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & M + X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & L \end{array} \right)$ |

TABLE : Summary of coefficient matrices and corresponding preconditioners for each the decoupling scheme

Numerical software used

- Finite element software **FEniCS**: core libraries are the problem-solving interface **DOLFIN**, the compiler for finite element variational forms **FFC**, the finite element tabulator **FIAT** for creating finite element function spaces, the just-in-time compiler **Instant**, the code generator **UFC** and the form language **UFL**.
- Linear algebra software: **HYPRE** as a multigrid solver and the sparse direct solvers **UMFPACK**, **PASTIX**, **SuperLU** and **MUMPS**

Navier-Stokes subproblem in isolation: 2D

| ℓ | Dofs | Average iterations | | | |
|--------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| | u_h/p_h | $\nu = 10$ | $\nu = 1$ | $\nu = 0.1$ | $\nu = 0.01$ |
| 5 | 8,450/1,089 | 17 | 17 | 21 | 58 |
| 6 | 33,282/4,225 | 17 | 17 | 22 | 30 |
| 7 | 132,098/16,641 | 18 | 17 | 22 | 21 |
| 8 | 526,338/66,049 | 18 | 18 | 22 | 20 |
| 9 | 2,101,250/263,169 | 18 | 19 | 22 | 21 |

TABLE : Iteration table for a PCD preconditioned for various values of ν

Maxwell subproblem in isolation: 2D

| ℓ | Dofs b_h/r_h | Number of iterations | | | |
|--------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | | $\nu_m = 10$ | $\nu_m = 100$ | $\nu_m = 1000$ | $\nu_m = 10000$ |
| 5 | 10,368/4,225 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 41,216/16,641 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 7 | 164,352/66,049 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 8 | 656,384/263,169 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 8 |
| 9 | 2,623,488/1,050,625 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 8 |
| 10 | 10,489,856/4,198,401 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 |

TABLE : Iteration count for Maxwell preconditioner

MHD: why inner-outer?

| ℓ | Dofs | $\kappa = 0.1$ | | | $\kappa = 1$ | | | $\kappa = 10$ | | | $\kappa = 100$ | | |
|--------|-----------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | | lts _{NL} | lts _O | lts _I | lts _{NL} | lts _O | lts _I | lts _{NL} | lts _O | lts _I | lts _{NL} | lts _O | lts _I |
| 4 | 6,180 | 5 | 22.2 | 15.4 | 6 | 18.8 | 14.7 | 7 | 30.7 | 14.1 | 9 | 61.4 | 24.4 |
| 5 | 24,132 | 5 | 23.8 | 11.4 | 6 | 27.3 | 15.2 | 8 | 43.8 | 24.0 | 10 | 80.3 | 37.9 |
| 6 | 95,364 | 5 | 28.0 | 17.4 | 6 | 20.2 | 15.3 | 7 | 41.1 | 15.4 | 9 | 74.6 | 31.1 |
| 7 | 379,140 | 5 | 18.6 | 14.6 | 7 | 16.3 | 14.2 | 7 | 37.4 | 16.4 | 14 | 73.9 | 34.7 |
| 8 | 1,511,940 | 5 | 20.4 | 15.2 | 8 | 24.3 | 14.9 | 7 | 39.6 | 18.4 | 11 | 75.4 | 33.3 |

TABLE : Number of non-linear and average number of preconditioning iterations for various values of κ with $\nu = 1$ and $\nu_m = 10$.

| ℓ | Dofs | $\kappa = 0.1$ | | | $\kappa = 1$ | | | $\kappa = 10$ | | | $\kappa = 100$ | | |
|--------|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | | lts _{NL} | lts _{NS} | lts _M | lts _{NL} | lts _{NS} | lts _M | lts _{NL} | lts _{NS} | lts _M | lts _{NL} | lts _{NS} | lts _M |
| 4 | 6,180 | 4 | 22.5 | 4.5 | 5 | 23.0 | 3.4 | 10 | 21.9 | 2.3 | - | 22.2 | 2.2 |
| 5 | 24,132 | 4 | 22.1 | 4.5 | 5 | 22.0 | 3.4 | 10 | 21.4 | 2.3 | - | 21.7 | 2.2 |
| 6 | 95,364 | 4 | 21.5 | 4.5 | 5 | 21.2 | 3.4 | 10 | 21.1 | 2.3 | - | 21.5 | 2.3 |
| 7 | 379,140 | 4 | 21.5 | 4.8 | 5 | 21.2 | 3.4 | 10 | 21.1 | 2.4 | - | 21.6 | 2.2 |
| 8 | 1,511,940 | 4 | 21.5 | 4.8 | 5 | 21.4 | 3.4 | 10 | 21.1 | 2.4 | - | 21.7 | 2.2 |

TABLE : Number of non-linear iterations and average number of iterations to solve the Navier-Stokes and Maxwell's subproblem for the MD scheme with $\nu = 1$ and $\nu_m = 10$.

MHD: (MD) scheme 2D

| ℓ | Dofs | Av solve time | Total time | Its _{NL} | Its _{NS} | Its _M |
|--------|------------|---------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| 5 | 24,132 | 0.5 | 7.3 | 5 | 22.0 | 3.4 |
| 6 | 95,364 | 2.5 | 30.4 | 5 | 21.2 | 3.4 |
| 7 | 379,140 | 13.1 | 134.7 | 5 | 21.2 | 3.4 |
| 8 | 1,511,940 | 69.8 | 627.3 | 5 | 21.4 | 3.4 |
| 9 | 6,038,532 | 407.7 | 3159.7 | 5 | 21.6 | 3.2 |
| 10 | 24,135,684 | 3022.1 | 19668.3 | 5 | 21.6 | 3.4 |

TABLE : Number of non-linear iterations and average number of iterations to solve the Navier-Stokes and Maxwell's subproblem for the MD scheme with $\kappa = 1$, $\nu = 1$ and $\nu_m = 10$.

MHD: (MD) scheme 3D

| l | Dofs | Av solve time | Total time | Its _{NL} | Its _{NS} | Its _M |
|---|-----------|---------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| 1 | 963 | 0.04 | 2.7 | 5 | 23.2 | 3.4 |
| 2 | 5977 | 0.20 | 17.2 | 5 | 34.2 | 3.0 |
| 3 | 41805 | 4.86 | 151.1 | 5 | 34.2 | 3.4 |
| 4 | 312,085 | 242.1 | 2222.8 | 5 | 32.4 | 3.4 |
| 5 | 2,410,533 | 30222.3 | 159032.8 | 5 | 30.8 | 3.2 |

TABLE : Number of non-linear iterations and average number of iterations to solve the Navier-Stokes and Maxwell's subproblem for the MD scheme with $\kappa = 1$, $\nu = 1$ and $\nu_m = 10$ in 3D.

MHD: (CD) scheme 2D

| ℓ | Dofs | Av solve time | Total time | Its _{NL} | Its _S | Its _M |
|--------|------------|---------------|------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 5 | 24,132 | 0.4 | 7.7 | 11 | 29.0 | 3.3 |
| 6 | 95,364 | 2.6 | 38.8 | 11 | 28.5 | 3.4 |
| 7 | 379,140 | 13.0 | 181.7 | 11 | 27.5 | 3.4 |
| 8 | 1,511,940 | 66.5 | 888.0 | 11 | 28.3 | 3.5 |
| 9 | 6,038,532 | 358.0 | 4565.4 | 11 | 28.3 | 3.4 |
| 10 | 24,135,684 | 2335.7 | 28337.4 | 11 | 27.4 | 3.5 |

TABLE : Number of non-linear iterations and average number of iterations to solve the Stokes and Maxwell's subproblem for the CD scheme with $\kappa = 1$, $\nu = 1$ and $\nu_m = 10$.

MHD: (CD) scheme 3D

| ℓ | Dofs | Av solve time | Total time | Its _{NL} | Its _S | Its _M |
|--------|-----------|---------------|------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1 | 963 | 0.03 | 1.8 | 6 | 30.0 | 3.5 |
| 2 | 5,977 | 0.20 | 9.9 | 6 | 45.7 | 3.2 |
| 3 | 41,805 | 4.32 | 89.2 | 6 | 43.0 | 2.8 |
| 4 | 312,085 | 214.3 | 1786.5 | 6 | 42.3 | 2.8 |
| 5 | 2,410,533 | 26954.4 | 165671.1 | 6 | 41.3 | 2.8 |

TABLE : Number of non-linear iterations and average number of iterations to solve the Stokes and Maxwell's subproblem for the CD scheme with $\kappa = 1$, $\nu = 1$ and $\nu_m = 10$ in 3D.

Future work:

- Scalable inner solvers
- Release code on a public repository
- Parallelisation of the code
- Robustness with respect to kinematic viscosity
- Other non-linear solvers
- Different mixed finite element discretisations