

Introduction to ReasonML

Ryan Watkins

June 26, 2018

Javascript so far

Javascript so far

Features

- ▶ ES6 (Babel + Webpack)

Javascript so far

Features

- ▶ ES6 (Babel + Webpack)
- ▶ Template literals

Javascript so far

Features

- ▶ ES6 (Babel + Webpack)
- ▶ Template literals
- ▶ Destructuring (but no pattern-matching)

Javascript so far

Features

- ▶ ES6 (Babel + Webpack)
- ▶ Template literals
- ▶ Destructuring (but no pattern-matching)
- ▶ Promises + (async/await)

Javascript so far

Features

- ▶ ES6 (Babel + Webpack)
- ▶ Template literals
- ▶ Destructuring (but no pattern-matching)
- ▶ Promises + (async/await)
- ▶ Spread operator

Javascript so far

Features

- ▶ ES6 (Babel + Webpack)
- ▶ Template literals
- ▶ Destructuring (but no pattern-matching)
- ▶ Promises + (async/await)
- ▶ Spread operator
- ▶ Get/set on class definitions

Javascript so far

Features

- ▶ ES6 (Babel + Webpack)
- ▶ Template literals
- ▶ Destructuring (but no pattern-matching)
- ▶ Promises + (async/await)
- ▶ Spread operator
- ▶ Get/set on class definitions
- ▶ Some trivial features

Problems

- ▶ No pattern matching

Javascript so far

Features

- ▶ ES6 (Babel + Webpack)
- ▶ Template literals
- ▶ Destructuring (but no pattern-matching)
- ▶ Promises + (async/await)
- ▶ Spread operator
- ▶ Get/set on class definitions
- ▶ Some trivial features

Problems

- ▶ No pattern matching
- ▶ Extremely loose typing

Javascript so far

Features

- ▶ ES6 (Babel + Webpack)
- ▶ Template literals
- ▶ Destructuring (but no pattern-matching)
- ▶ Promises + (async/await)
- ▶ Spread operator
- ▶ Get/set on class definitions
- ▶ Some trivial features

Problems

- ▶ No pattern matching
- ▶ Extremely loose typing
- ▶ Runtime errors

Javascript so far

Features

- ▶ ES6 (Babel + Webpack)
- ▶ Template literals
- ▶ Destructuring (but no pattern-matching)
- ▶ Promises + (async/await)
- ▶ Spread operator
- ▶ Get/set on class definitions
- ▶ Some trivial features

Problems

- ▶ No pattern matching
- ▶ Extremely loose typing
- ▶ Runtime errors
- ▶ Module system not great

What is ReasonML?

What is ReasonML?

- ▶ It's Ocaml with JS-like syntax

What is ReasonML?

- ▶ It's Ocaml with JS-like syntax
- ▶ Contains pattern-matching

What is ReasonML?

- ▶ It's Ocaml with JS-like syntax
- ▶ Contains pattern-matching
- ▶ Several FP features

What is ReasonML?

- ▶ It's Ocaml with JS-like syntax
- ▶ Contains pattern-matching
- ▶ Several FP features
- ▶ Solid type system (30+ years of research)

What is ReasonML?

- ▶ It's Ocaml with JS-like syntax
- ▶ Contains pattern-matching
- ▶ Several FP features
- ▶ Solid type system (30+ years of research)
- ▶ Extremely fast compilation to JS (10x faster than TypeScript)

What is ReasonML?

- ▶ It's Ocaml with JS-like syntax
- ▶ Contains pattern-matching
- ▶ Several FP features
- ▶ Solid type system (30+ years of research)
- ▶ Extremely fast compilation to JS (10x faster than TypeScript)

Ocaml to JS?

Ocaml to JS?

- ▶ Made possible by bucklescript

Ocaml to JS?

- ▶ Made possible by bucklescript
- ▶ Human readable output

Ocaml to JS?

- ▶ Made possible by bucklescript
- ▶ Human readable output
- ▶ Ocaml to Native and JS

Comparing Reason/Bucklescript to Elm

Comparing Reason/Bucklescript to Elm

- ▶ Reason is a general-purpose language that can target node, native code and utilize both the opam and npm ecosystems. Elm is more opinionated and focused, aiming at improving the experience in its own niche and ecosystem, at a rather high cost to its flexibility. Elm has better error messages, though Reason is closing in fast. BuckleScript has superb js interop, generates highly readable and easily debuggable js code and can utilize the npm ecosystem to its full extent, while Elm's FFI and interop is rather convoluted. Elm is pure, while Reason is pragmatic (take that as you wish :)). OCaml has had 25 or so years to mature, and has an active academic base of contributors that keep it close to the forefront of programming language development, while Elm is relatively new and unstable, but moves faster. Reason has first-class support for React, while Elm is focused solely on "The Elm Architecture" (TEA). Reason does however also have a TEA implementation that does a surprisingly good job of mimicing its muse.

Comparing Reason/Bucklescript to Elm

- ▶ Reason is a general-purpose language that can target node, native code and utilize both the opam and npm ecosystems. Elm is more opinionated and focused, aiming at improving the experience in its own niche and ecosystem, at a rather high cost to its flexibility. Elm has better error messages, though Reason is closing in fast. BuckleScript has superb js interop, generates highly readable and easily debuggable js code and can utilize the npm ecosystem to its full extent, while Elm's FFI and interop is rather convoluted. Elm is pure, while Reason is pragmatic (take that as you wish :)). OCaml has had 25 or so years to mature, and has an active academic base of contributors that keep it close to the forefront of programming language development, while Elm is relatively new and unstable, but moves faster. Reason has first-class support for React, while Elm is focused solely on "The Elm Architecture" (TEA). Reason does however also have a TEA implementation that does a surprisingly good job of mimicing its muse.

Potential and PL Theory

Last statement regarding the potential of PL theory