# Quantifiers, Predicates, and Validity

CSE2315, Chapter 1-3

#### Variable and Statement

#### Variable

— A variable is a symbol that stands for an individual in a collection or set. For example, the variable x may stand for one of the days. We may let x = Monday or x = Tuesday, etc

#### Incomplete Statements

- A sentence containing a variable is called an incomplete statement. An incomplete statement is about the individuals in a definite domain or set.
   When we replace the variable by the name of an individual in the set we obtain a statement about that individual.
- Example of an incomplete statement: "x has 30 days."
- Here, x can be any month and substituting that, we will get a complete statement.

### Quantifiers and Predicates

#### Quantifiers:

- Quantifiers are phrases that refer to given quantities, such as "for some" or "for all" or "for every," indicating how many objects have a certain property.
- Two kinds of quantifiers:
  - Universal and Existential
- Universal Quantifier: represented by ∀
  - The symbol is translated as and means "for all", "given any", "for each," or "for every," and is known as the universal quantifier.
- Existential Quantifier: represented by ∃
  - The symbol is translated as and means variously "for some," "there exists," "there is a," or "for at least one".

## Quantifiers and Predicates

#### Predicate

- It is the verbal statement that describes the property of a variable. Usually represented by the letter P, the notation P(x) is used to represent some unspecified property or predicate that x may have
  - e.g. P(x) = x has 30 days.
  - P(April) = April has 30 days.
- Combining the quantifier and the predicate, we get a complete statement of the form  $(\forall x)P(x)$  or  $(\exists x)P(x)$ .
- The collection of objects is called the domain of interpretation.
- Truth value of expressions formed using quantifiers and predicates
  - What is the truth value of  $(\forall x)P(x)$  where x is all the months and P(x) = x has less than 32 days.
  - Undoubtedly, the above is true since no month has 32 days.

## Truth value of the following expressions

- Truth of expression  $(\forall x)P(x)$ 
  - 1. P(x) is the property that x is yellow, and the domain of interpretation is the collection of all flowers:
  - 2. P(x) is the property that x is a plant, and the domain of interpretation is the collection of all flowers:
  - P(x) is the property that x is positive, and the domain of interpretation consists of integers:
  - Can you find one interpretation in which both  $(\forall x)P(x)$  is true and  $(\exists x)P(x)$  is false?
  - Can you find one interpretation in which both  $(\exists x)P(x)$  is true and  $(\forall x)P(x)$  is false?
- Predicates involving properties of single variables: unary predicates
- Binary, ternary and *n*-ary predicates are also possible.
  - $(\forall x)$   $(\exists y)$ Q(x, y) is a binary predicate. This expression reads as "for every x there exists a y such that Q(x, y)."

#### **Instant Excercises**

- What is the truth value of each of the following wffs in the interpretation where the domain consists of the integers, O(x) is "x is odd," L(x) is "x < 10," and G(x) is "x > 9"?
  - A.  $(\exists x) O(x)$
  - B.  $(\forall x)[L(x) \rightarrow O(x)]$
  - C.  $(\exists x)[L(x) \land G(x)]$
  - D.  $(\forall x)[L(x) \lor G(x)]$
- $(\forall x) (\exists y) Q(x,y) & (\exists y) (\forall x) Q(x,y)$ ?
  - Domain of interpretation is integers
  - Q(x, y) : x < y
  - $(\forall x)$   $(\exists y)$  Q(x, y)  $\rightarrow$  For any integers (x), there is a larger integer (y)
  - $(\exists y) (\forall x) Q(x, y) \rightarrow$  There is a single integer (y), that is larger than any integer (x)

## Interpretation

- Formal definition: An interpretation for an expression involving predicates consists of the following:
  - A collection of objects, called the domain of interpretation, which must include at least one object.
  - An assignment of a property of the objects in the domain to each predicate in the expression.
  - An assignment of a particular object in the domain to each constant symbol in the expression.
- Predicate wffs can be built similar to propositional wffs using logical connectives with predicates and quantifiers.
- Examples of predicate wffs
  - $(\forall x)[P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)]$
  - $(\forall x) ((\exists y)[P(x, y) \lor Q(x, y)] \rightarrow R(x))$
  - $S(x, y) \wedge R(x, y)$

## Scope of a variable in an expression

- Brackets are used wisely to identify the scope of the variable.
  - $(\forall x) [\exists (y)[P(x, y) \lor Q(x, y)] \rightarrow R(x)]$ 
    - Scope of  $(\exists y)$  is  $P(x, y) \vee Q(x, y)$  while the scope of  $(\forall x)$  is the entire expression.
  - $(\forall x)S(x) \lor (\exists y)R(y)$ 
    - Scope of x is S(x) while the scope of y is R(y).
  - $(\forall x)[P(x, y) \rightarrow (\exists y) Q(x, y)]$ 
    - Scope of variable y is not defined for P(x, y) hence y is called a free variable. Such expressions might not have a truth value at all.
- What is the truth value of the expression
  - $-\exists (x)[A(x) \land (\forall y)[B(x, y) \rightarrow C(y)]]$  in the interpretation, where
  - A(x) is "x > 0", B(x, y) is "x > y" and C(y) is " $y \le 0$ " where the domain of x is positive integers and the domain of y is all integers

True, x = 1 is a positive integer and any integer less than x is  $\le 0$ 

## Translation: Verbal statements to symbolic form

- "Every person is nice" can be rephrased as "For any thing, if it is a person, then it is nice." So, if P(x) is "x is a person" and Q(x) be "x is nice," the statement can be symbolized as
  - $(\forall x)[P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)]$
  - Variations: "All persons are nice" or "Each person is nice"
- How about( $\forall x$ )[P(x)  $\land$  Q(x)] ?
  - Domain: whole world, then not everything in the world is nice person.
- "There is a nice person" can be rewritten as "There exists something that is both a person and nice."
  - $(\exists x)[P(x) \land Q(x)]$
  - Variations: "Some persons are nice" or "There are nice persons."
- How about  $(\exists x)[P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)]$ ?
  - This will only be true if there are no persons in the world but that is not the case.
- Hence such a statement is false, so almost always,  $\exists$  goes with  $\land$  (conjunction) and  $\forall$  goes with  $\rightarrow$  (implication).

- Translation
  To translate an English statement into wff, use intermediate English statement
- The word "only" can be tricky depending on its presence in the statement.
  - X loves only  $Y \Leftrightarrow If X$  loves anything, then that thing is Y.
  - Only X loves  $Y \Leftrightarrow If$  anything loves Y, then it is X.
  - X only loves  $Y \Leftrightarrow If X$  does anything to Y, then it is love.
- Example for forming symbolic forms from predicate symbols
  - J(x) is "x is John"; M(x) is "x is Mary"; L(x, y) is "x loves y"
  - John loves only Mary ⇔ For any thing, if it is John then, if it loves anything, that thing is Mary  $\Leftrightarrow$  $(\forall x)[J(x) \rightarrow (\forall y)(L(x, y) \rightarrow M(y))]$
  - Only John loves Mary ⇔ For any thing, if it is Mary then, if anything loves it, that thing is John  $\Leftrightarrow$  $(\forall x) [M(x) \rightarrow (\forall y) (L(y, x) \rightarrow J(y))]$
  - John only loves Mary ⇔ For any thing, if it is John then, for any other thing, if that thing is Mary, then John loves it ⇔  $(\forall x)[J(x) \rightarrow (\forall y)(M(y) \rightarrow L(x, y))]$

## Tips for translation to predicate wff

- Textbook p45
- Look for the key words that signify the type of quantifier
  - For all, for every, for any, for each : universal quantifier
  - For some, there exists: existential quantifier
- English sometimes uses "understood" universal quantifiers
  - "Dogs chase rabbits" is understood to mean, "All dogs chase all rabbits."
- If you use a universal quantifier, the connective that goes with is almost always "implication"
- If you use an existential quantifier, the connective that goes with is almost always "conjunction"
- Whatever comes after the word "only" is the conclusion of an implication.
- You are most apt to arrive at a correct translation if you follow the order of the English words

#### Class exercise

- S(x): x is a student; I(x): x is intelligent; M(x): x likes music
- Write wffs that express the following statements:
  - All students are intelligent.

Some intelligent students like music.

Everyone who likes music is a stupid student.

Only intelligent students like music.

## Negation of statements

- A(x): Everything is fun
- Negation will be "it is false that everything is fun," i.e. "something is nonfun."
- In symbolic form,  $[(\forall x)A(x)]' \Leftrightarrow (\exists x)[A(x)]'$
- Similarly negation of "Something is fun" is "Nothing is fun" or "Everything is boring."
- Hence,  $[(\exists x)A(x)]' \Leftrightarrow (\forall x)[A(x)]'$

#### Class Exercise

- What is the negation of "Everybody loves somebody sometime."
  - Everybody hates somebody sometime
  - Somebody loves everybody all the time
  - Everybody hates everybody all the time
  - Somebody hates everybody all the time
- What is the negation of the following statements?
- Some pictures are old or faded.
- All people are tall and thin.
- Some students eat only pizza.
- Only students eat pizza.

## Validity

- Analogous to a tautology of propositional logic.
- Truth of a predicate wff depends on the interpretation.
- A predicate wff is valid if it is true in all possible interpretations just like a
  propositional wff is true if it is true for all rows of the truth table.
- A valid predicate wff is intrinsically true.

	Propositional Wffs	Predicate Wffs
Truth values	True or false – depends on the truth value of statement letters	True, false or neither (if the wff has a free variable)
Intrinsic truth	Tautology – true for all truth values of its statements	Valid wff – true for all interpretations
Methodology	Truth table to determine if it is a tautology	No algorithm to determine validity

## Validity Examples

- $(\forall x)P(x) \rightarrow (\exists x)P(x)$ 
  - This is valid because if every object of the domain has a certain property, then there
    exists an object of the domain that has the same property.
- $(\forall x)P(x) \rightarrow P(a)$ 
  - Valid quite obvious since a is a member of the domain of x.
- $(\exists x) P(x) \rightarrow (\forall x) P(x)$ 
  - Not valid since the property cannot be valid for all objects in the domain if it is valid
    for some objects of that domain. Can use a mathematical context to check as well.
  - Say P(x) = "x is even," then there exists an integer that is even but not every integer is even (domain: integer)
- $(\forall x)[P(x) \lor Q(x)] \rightarrow (\forall x)P(x) \lor (\forall x)Q(x)$ 
  - Invalid, can prove by mathematical context by taking P(x) = x is even and Q(x) = x is odd (domain: integer).
  - In that case, the hypothesis is true but the conclusion is false because it is not the
    case that every integer is even or that every integer is odd.

#### Class Exercise

- What is the truth of the following wffs where the domain consists of integers:
  - 1.  $(\forall x)[L(x) \rightarrow O(x)]$  where O(x) is "x is odd" and L(x) is "x < 10"?
  - 2.  $(\exists y)(\forall x)(x + y = 0)$ ?
  - 3.  $(\exists y)(\exists x)(x^2 = y)$ ?
  - 4.  $(\forall x)[x < 0 \rightarrow (\exists y)(y > 0 \land x + y = 0)]$ ?
- Using predicate symbols and appropriate quantifiers, write the symbolic form of the following English statement:
- D(x) is "x is a day" M is "Monday" T is "Tuesday"
- S(x) is "x is sunny" R(x) is "x is rainy"
  - 1. Some days are sunny and rainy.
  - 2. It is always a sunny day only if it is a rainy day.
  - 3. It rained both Monday and Tuesday.
  - 4. Every day that is rainy is not sunny.