

P8160 - Less is More:
Comparing Logistic and Lasso-Logistic Regression
in Breast Cancer Diagnosis

Amy Pitts, Hun Lee, Jimmy Kelliher,
Tucker Morgan, and Waveley Qiu

2022-03-28

Full Model: Newton-Raphson

Consider the following log-likelihood, gradient, and hessian matrix.
First, let

$$\pi_i = P(Y_i = 1 | x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,p}) = \frac{e^{\beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j x_{i,j}}}{1 + e^{\beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j x_{i,j}}}.$$

The log-likelihood:

$$l(\mathbf{X} | \vec{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[y_i \left(\beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j x_{i,j} \right) - \log \left(1 + \exp \left(\beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j x_{i,j} \right) \right) \right]$$

The gradient:

$$\nabla l(\mathbf{X} | \vec{\beta}) = \left[\sum^n y_i - \pi_i \quad \sum^n x_{i,1}(y_i - \pi_i) \quad \dots \quad \sum^n x_{i,p}(y_i - \pi_i) \right]_{1 \times (p+1)}^T$$

The hessian: produces a matrix $(p + 1 \times p + 1)$

$$\nabla^2 l(\mathbf{X} | \vec{\beta}) = - \sum_{i=1}^n \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{x} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{x} \end{pmatrix} \pi_i (1 - \pi_i)$$

Optimal Model: Logistic LASSO

For vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$, define $g : \mathbb{R}^{p+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to be

$$g(\beta) \equiv -\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i (z_i - \mathbf{X}_i^t \beta)^2 + O(\alpha),$$

the Taylor expansion of our log-likelihood centered around α , where

$$z_i \equiv \mathbf{X}_i^t \alpha + \frac{y_i - \pi_i}{w_i}, \quad (\text{effective response})$$

$$w_i \equiv \pi_i(1 - \pi_i), \text{ and} \quad (\text{effective weights})$$

$$\pi_i \equiv \frac{e^{\mathbf{X}_i^t \alpha}}{1 + e^{\mathbf{X}_i^t \alpha}}$$

for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Optimal Model: Logistic LASSO

It follows that for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\arg \min_{\beta_k \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ g(\beta) + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^p |\beta_j| \right\} = S(\hat{\beta}_k, \lambda_k), \text{ where}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_k \equiv \left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_{ik}^2 \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_{ik} \left(z_i - \sum_{j \neq k} \beta_j x_{ij} \right),$$

$$\lambda_k \equiv \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_{ik}^2 \right)^{-1} \lambda,$$

and S is the soft-thresholding (or *shrinkage*) function. This is analogous to a penalized, weighted Gaussian regression.

Optimal Model: Logistic LASSO

Our coordinate descent algorithm proceeds as follows.

- ▶ Outer Loop: Decrement over $\lambda \in (\lambda_{\max}, \dots, \lambda_{\min})$
- ▶ Middle Loop: Update $\alpha = \beta$ and Taylor expand g around α .
- ▶ Inner Loop: Update $\beta_k = S(\hat{\beta}_k, \lambda_k)$ sequentially for $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, p, 0, 1, \dots, p, 0, 1, \dots\}$ until convergence.

Note: the middle loop terminates when a given Taylor expansion no longer yields updates (within the specified tolerance) to β in the inner loop.