

# Augmented prediction of a true class for Positive Unlabeled data under selection bias – supplementary materials

Jan Mielniczuk<sup>a,b,\*,1</sup> and Adam Wawrzeniczyk<sup>a,1</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institute of Computer Science, Polish Academy of Sciences

<sup>b</sup>Faculty of Mathematics and Information Science, Warsaw University of Technology

ORCID (Jan Mielniczuk): <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2621-2303>, ORCID (Adam Wawrzeniczyk): <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6202-7829>

## A Example 1 – full derivation

**Example 1.** Let  $y(x) = \Phi(x)$ ,  $X \sim N(0, 1)$ , and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  (univariate probit model with standard normal predictor), and let propensity score  $e_a(x) = \mathbb{I}\{x > a\}$  i.e. above threshold  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  all positive observations are labeled. It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y = 1) &= \int P(Y = 1|X = x)f(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(x)\phi(x) dx \\ &= \int_0^1 z dz = \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

and Bayes risk of  $d_B(x)$  equals

$$\begin{aligned} L^* &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \min(\Phi(x), 1 - \Phi(x))\phi(x) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^0 \Phi(x)\phi(x) dx + \int_0^{\infty} (1 - \Phi(x))\phi(x) dx = \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

As  $s(x) = y(x)\mathbb{I}\{x > a\}$ , probability of labeling equals

$$\begin{aligned} P(S = 1) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(S = 1|X = x)f(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s(x)\phi(x) dx \\ &= \int_a^{\infty} \Phi(x)\phi(x) dx = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \Phi^2(a)) \end{aligned}$$

and  $P(S = 0) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \Phi^2(a))$ . Moreover,  $L_{PU}^* = L_{PU}^*(a)$  equals for  $a > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{PU}^*(a) &= \mathbb{E}_{X,S=0} \min(\tilde{y}(X, 0), 1 - \tilde{y}(X, 0)) \\ &= \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^0 \Phi(x)\phi(x) dx + \int_0^a (1 - \Phi(x))\phi(x) dx \right\} \\ &= \Phi(a) - \frac{\Phi^2(a)}{2} - \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

and analogous calculation for  $a \leq 0$  yields  $L_{PU}^*(a) = \Phi^2(a)/2$ .

Thus the excess risk of  $d_B(x)$  defined in (3) for  $a > 0$  equals

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}_X [\min(y(X), 1 - y(X))] \\ &\quad - \mathbb{E}_{X,S} [\min(\tilde{y}(X, S), 1 - \tilde{y}(X, S))] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} - \Phi(a) + \frac{\Phi^2(a)}{2} = \frac{1}{2}(\Phi(a) - 1)^2 \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and for  $a < 0$  equals  $\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\Phi^2(a)}{2} \geq 0$ . Note that for  $a \rightarrow \infty$  excess risk tends to 0 as  $P_{X,S=0}$  approaches  $P_X$  in this case and  $d_B^{PU}(x, 0)$  tends to  $d_B(x)$ . For  $a \rightarrow -\infty$  the excess risk tends to 1/4 (risk of  $d_B(x)$ ) as the risk of  $d_B^{PU}(x, s)$  tends to 0.

## B Experiment dataset statistics

Table A1 summarized the properties of all datasets used in the experiment section of the paper.

**Table A1.** Dataset statistics

Name	Samples	Features	Class prior $\pi$
MNIST 3v5	13454	784	0.53
MNIST OvE	70000	784	0.51
CIFAR CarTruck	12000	512	0.50
CIFAR MachineAnimal	60000	512	0.40
STL MachineAnimal	13000	512	0.40
CDC Diabetes	148458	38	0.50

## C Balanced accuracy results

Tables A2 through A5 correspond to tables 2-5 in the main paper and present U-balanced accuracy for all the performed experiments. This is an important metric, as U-metrics introduce imbalance into the measurements, which might impact accuracy negatively. We can see, however, that the obtained results are close to results presented in the main paper – while VP-B+S does not have as overwhelming of an advantage, it still clearly is the best method overall.

\* Corresponding Author. Email: [jan.mielniczuk@ipipan.waw.pl](mailto:jan.mielniczuk@ipipan.waw.pl).

<sup>1</sup> Equal contribution.

**Table A2.** U-Balanced accuracy values – Method comparison – Synthetic datasets

c	Method	Synth. 1	Synth. 2	Synth. 3	Synth. SCAR
0.02	VP+S	<b>61.29 ± 2.27</b>	<b>59.43 ± 2.66</b>	<b>60.53 ± 2.30</b>	<b>63.52 ± 1.77</b>
	VP-B+S	61.04 ± 2.33	59.32 ± 2.61	60.40 ± 2.25	63.33 ± 1.73
	LBE+S	49.70 ± 0.35	50.01 ± 0.35	49.93 ± 0.32	49.69 ± 0.33
0.10	VP+S	<b>67.67 ± 0.52</b>	<b>66.72 ± 0.60</b>	<b>67.47 ± 0.61</b>	<b>68.49 ± 0.47</b>
	VP-B+S	<b>67.71 ± 0.52</b>	66.45 ± 0.54	67.43 ± 0.58	68.26 ± 0.47
	LBE+S	50.03 ± 0.33	50.83 ± 0.36	50.22 ± 0.32	49.66 ± 0.37
0.30	VP+S	67.49 ± 0.58	65.39 ± 0.59	66.24 ± 0.50	<b>70.33 ± 0.56</b>
	VP-B+S	<b>67.74 ± 0.56</b>	<b>65.61 ± 0.63</b>	<b>66.35 ± 0.52</b>	70.17 ± 0.55
	LBE+S	52.86 ± 0.18	52.88 ± 0.33	52.68 ± 0.22	50.00 ± 0.32
0.50	VP+S	<b>64.11 ± 0.54</b>	<b>61.68 ± 0.62</b>	63.18 ± 0.67	<b>69.30 ± 0.43</b>
	VP-B+S	64.09 ± 0.53	61.65 ± 0.61	<b>63.27 ± 0.70</b>	69.21 ± 0.44
	LBE+S	55.57 ± 0.49	54.62 ± 0.47	54.96 ± 0.50	56.69 ± 0.44
0.70	VP+S	<b>59.40 ± 0.78</b>	58.33 ± 0.73	<b>58.51 ± 0.65</b>	65.79 ± 0.56
	VP-B+S	<b>59.40 ± 0.79</b>	<b>58.42 ± 0.69</b>	58.35 ± 0.60	65.49 ± 0.71
	LBE+S	58.33 ± 0.65	56.61 ± 0.77	56.60 ± 0.66	<b>67.87 ± 0.59</b>
0.90	VP+S	52.19 ± 0.57	52.48 ± 0.58	52.33 ± 0.51	59.52 ± 0.98
	VP-B+S	52.19 ± 0.55	52.41 ± 0.57	52.48 ± 0.60	58.64 ± 0.85
	LBE+S	<b>57.42 ± 0.86</b>	<b>56.47 ± 0.92</b>	<b>54.63 ± 1.01</b>	<b>71.37 ± 1.02</b>

**Table A3.** U-Balanced accuracy values – Method comparison – Real-world datasets

c	Method	MNIST 3v5	MNIST OvE	CIFAR CT	CIFAR MA	STL MA	CDC-Diabetes
0.02	VP+S	76.89 ± 1.14	68.32 ± 1.19	87.28 ± 0.49	91.24 ± 0.21	82.13 ± 0.64	50.00 ± 1.48
	VP-B+S	<b>78.37 ± 1.53</b>	<b>74.88 ± 1.51</b>	<b>92.46 ± 0.43</b>	<b>94.03 ± 0.09</b>	<b>83.42 ± 0.69</b>	<b>51.16 ± 1.74</b>
	LBE+S	49.95 ± 0.34	50.14 ± 0.14	50.00 ± 0.40	50.16 ± 0.18	50.17 ± 0.24	49.97 ± 0.20
0.10	VP+S	80.06 ± 0.61	74.69 ± 1.38	91.64 ± 0.32	92.75 ± 0.24	87.19 ± 0.32	57.91 ± 0.78
	VP-B+S	<b>84.29 ± 0.76</b>	<b>83.22 ± 1.23</b>	<b>93.46 ± 0.19</b>	<b>94.28 ± 0.13</b>	<b>88.24 ± 0.30</b>	<b>60.93 ± 0.68</b>
	LBE+S	50.25 ± 0.34	49.79 ± 0.15	50.61 ± 0.38	50.34 ± 0.28	50.78 ± 0.28	49.93 ± 0.19
0.30	VP+S	82.31 ± 0.67	80.74 ± 0.86	93.20 ± 0.26	94.06 ± 0.10	<b>88.68 ± 0.28</b>	57.47 ± 0.84
	VP-B+S	<b>86.95 ± 0.51</b>	<b>87.99 ± 0.64</b>	<b>94.20 ± 0.16</b>	<b>94.78 ± 0.09</b>	88.32 ± 0.35	<b>61.60 ± 0.92</b>
	LBE+S	50.77 ± 0.31	49.79 ± 0.18	55.32 ± 1.30	60.51 ± 4.24	55.90 ± 1.85	49.93 ± 0.17
0.50	VP+S	83.05 ± 0.70	84.64 ± 0.78	94.24 ± 0.24	<b>94.52 ± 0.12</b>	<b>89.34 ± 0.47</b>	59.37 ± 0.56
	VP-B+S	<b>88.49 ± 0.83</b>	<b>89.86 ± 0.59</b>	<b>94.73 ± 0.24</b>	94.43 ± 0.15	88.60 ± 0.53	<b>62.98 ± 0.41</b>
	LBE+S	51.80 ± 0.34	52.90 ± 1.30	72.38 ± 2.72	73.44 ± 2.77	70.34 ± 2.07	62.39 ± 1.83
0.70	VP+S	88.28 ± 0.67	89.75 ± 0.54	94.35 ± 0.32	<b>94.23 ± 0.17</b>	<b>88.63 ± 0.54</b>	60.07 ± 0.73
	VP-B+S	<b>90.09 ± 0.64</b>	<b>91.30 ± 0.55</b>	<b>94.66 ± 0.27</b>	93.51 ± 0.26	87.97 ± 0.51	62.31 ± 0.62
	LBE+S	54.66 ± 0.65	60.61 ± 1.00	91.25 ± 1.47	90.31 ± 1.01	83.02 ± 1.48	<b>69.67 ± 1.02</b>
0.90	VP+S	<b>87.14 ± 0.74</b>	<b>92.30 ± 0.40</b>	91.97 ± 0.55	92.77 ± 0.45	82.75 ± 1.47	63.01 ± 0.71
	VP-B+S	86.15 ± 0.70	91.84 ± 0.60	92.00 ± 0.55	89.65 ± 0.34	82.43 ± 1.45	58.52 ± 0.53
	LBE+S	67.77 ± 0.98	83.49 ± 0.79	<b>93.50 ± 0.46</b>	<b>93.68 ± 0.46</b>	<b>88.38 ± 0.70</b>	<b>72.88 ± 0.38</b>

**Table A4.** U-Balanced accuracy values – Decision rule comparison – Synthetic datasets

c	Method	Synth. 1	Synth. 2	Synth. 3	Synth. SCAR
0.02	S-Prophet	73.29 ± 0.35	73.25 ± 0.36	71.37 ± 0.35	73.48 ± 0.35
	Y-Prophet	73.32 ± 0.36	73.25 ± 0.36	71.40 ± 0.36	73.51 ± 0.35
	VP-B	60.94 ± 2.39	<b>59.42 ± 2.55</b>	60.14 ± 2.31	<b>63.33 ± 1.68</b>
	VP-B+S	<b>61.04 ± 2.33</b>	59.32 ± 2.61	<b>60.40 ± 2.25</b>	<b>63.33 ± 1.73</b>
	VP-B+S + true s(x)	60.81 ± 2.36	59.16 ± 2.68	60.18 ± 2.29	63.23 ± 1.70
	VP-B+S + true y(x)	73.29 ± 0.37	73.22 ± 0.36	71.43 ± 0.36	73.46 ± 0.34
0.10	S-Prophet	72.52 ± 0.31	72.06 ± 0.35	70.49 ± 0.31	73.61 ± 0.35
	Y-Prophet	72.60 ± 0.35	72.13 ± 0.38	70.65 ± 0.37	73.69 ± 0.33
	VP-B	<b>68.02 ± 0.46</b>	<b>66.65 ± 0.57</b>	<b>67.62 ± 0.59</b>	68.16 ± 0.38
	VP-B+S	67.71 ± 0.52	66.45 ± 0.54	67.43 ± 0.58	<b>68.26 ± 0.47</b>
	VP-B+S + true s(x)	67.80 ± 0.52	66.57 ± 0.49	67.30 ± 0.62	68.20 ± 0.46
	VP-B+S + true y(x)	72.53 ± 0.35	71.75 ± 0.41	70.42 ± 0.36	73.55 ± 0.33
0.30	S-Prophet	69.91 ± 0.47	69.02 ± 0.52	67.65 ± 0.42	72.69 ± 0.48
	Y-Prophet	70.57 ± 0.43	69.37 ± 0.42	68.49 ± 0.39	73.60 ± 0.35
	VP-B	<b>68.08 ± 0.52</b>	<b>65.85 ± 0.58</b>	<b>67.19 ± 0.52</b>	<b>70.53 ± 0.49</b>
	VP-B+S	67.74 ± 0.56	65.61 ± 0.63	66.35 ± 0.52	70.17 ± 0.55
	VP-B+S + true s(x)	67.67 ± 0.57	65.70 ± 0.64	66.48 ± 0.55	70.14 ± 0.51
	VP-B+S + true y(x)	69.30 ± 0.47	68.33 ± 0.52	67.14 ± 0.43	72.61 ± 0.50
0.50	S-Prophet	65.33 ± 0.70	63.89 ± 0.56	63.25 ± 0.71	71.13 ± 0.62
	Y-Prophet	68.13 ± 0.49	66.56 ± 0.48	66.02 ± 0.50	73.18 ± 0.36
	VP-B	<b>64.95 ± 0.45</b>	<b>62.65 ± 0.56</b>	<b>64.12 ± 0.70</b>	<b>70.31 ± 0.49</b>
	VP-B+S	64.09 ± 0.53	61.65 ± 0.61	63.27 ± 0.70	69.21 ± 0.44
	VP-B+S + true s(x)	64.08 ± 0.48	61.58 ± 0.60	63.15 ± 0.67	69.30 ± 0.46
	VP-B+S + true y(x)	64.44 ± 0.62	62.68 ± 0.60	62.03 ± 0.82	70.47 ± 0.69
0.70	S-Prophet	57.88 ± 0.51	56.62 ± 0.38	56.71 ± 0.61	66.39 ± 0.48
	Y-Prophet	64.64 ± 0.70	63.34 ± 0.70	62.71 ± 0.73	73.39 ± 0.41
	VP-B	<b>61.12 ± 0.65</b>	<b>59.53 ± 0.68</b>	<b>59.84 ± 0.68</b>	<b>68.95 ± 0.68</b>
	VP-B+S	59.40 ± 0.79	58.42 ± 0.69	58.35 ± 0.60	65.49 ± 0.71
	VP-B+S + true s(x)	59.33 ± 0.61	58.45 ± 0.61	58.31 ± 0.70	65.01 ± 0.78
	VP-B+S + true y(x)	57.07 ± 0.73	56.58 ± 0.52	56.44 ± 0.64	65.76 ± 0.39
0.90	S-Prophet	50.38 ± 0.19	50.35 ± 0.21	50.47 ± 0.16	55.62 ± 0.55
	Y-Prophet	61.12 ± 1.16	60.29 ± 1.07	58.82 ± 1.08	72.72 ± 1.00
	VP-B	<b>58.85 ± 0.62</b>	<b>57.12 ± 0.69</b>	<b>55.19 ± 0.99</b>	<b>71.61 ± 0.91</b>
	VP-B+S	52.19 ± 0.55	52.41 ± 0.57	52.48 ± 0.60	58.64 ± 0.85
	VP-B+S + true s(x)	52.99 ± 0.40	52.90 ± 0.41	51.86 ± 0.67	60.43 ± 0.93
	VP-B+S + true y(x)	50.94 ± 0.53	51.03 ± 0.41	52.25 ± 0.50	54.80 ± 0.55

**Table A5.** U-Balanced accuracy values – Decision rule comparison – Real-world datasets

c	Method	MNIST 3v5	MNIST OvE	CIFAR CT	CIFAR MA	STL MA	CDC-Diabetes
0.02	VP-B	78.32 ± 1.45	74.49 ± 1.49	92.42 ± 0.41	93.91 ± 0.09	<b>83.46 ± 0.68</b>	51.12 ± 1.76
	VP-B+S	<b>78.37 ± 1.53</b>	<b>74.88 ± 1.51</b>	<b>92.46 ± 0.43</b>	<b>94.03 ± 0.09</b>	83.42 ± 0.69	<b>51.16 ± 1.74</b>
0.10	VP-B	84.10 ± 0.65	82.80 ± 1.28	93.35 ± 0.19	<b>93.28 ± 0.12</b>	<b>88.27 ± 0.29</b>	<b>61.38 ± 0.77</b>
	VP-B+S	<b>84.29 ± 0.76</b>	<b>83.22 ± 1.23</b>	<b>93.46 ± 0.19</b>	<b>94.28 ± 0.13</b>	88.24 ± 0.30	60.93 ± 0.68
0.30	VP-B	86.75 ± 0.55	<b>88.04 ± 0.65</b>	94.12 ± 0.18	<b>94.85 ± 0.08</b>	<b>89.11 ± 0.28</b>	<b>63.78 ± 0.78</b>
	VP-B+S	<b>86.95 ± 0.51</b>	87.99 ± 0.64	<b>94.20 ± 0.16</b>	94.78 ± 0.09	88.32 ± 0.35	61.60 ± 0.92
0.50	VP-B	88.33 ± 0.88	<b>90.09 ± 0.57</b>	94.69 ± 0.25	<b>94.72 ± 0.10</b>	<b>89.81 ± 0.34</b>	<b>67.36 ± 0.25</b>
	VP-B+S	<b>88.49 ± 0.83</b>	89.86 ± 0.59	<b>94.73 ± 0.24</b>	94.43 ± 0.15	88.60 ± 0.53	62.98 ± 0.41
0.70	VP-B	<b>90.23 ± 0.66</b>	<b>92.15 ± 0.47</b>	<b>94.81 ± 0.26</b>	<b>94.65 ± 0.15</b>	<b>90.82 ± 0.36</b>	<b>68.93 ± 0.40</b>
	VP-B+S	90.09 ± 0.64	91.30 ± 0.55	94.66 ± 0.27	93.51 ± 0.26	87.97 ± 0.51	62.31 ± 0.62
0.90	VP-B	<b>87.31 ± 0.72</b>	<b>93.55 ± 0.45</b>	<b>94.07 ± 0.33</b>	<b>94.89 ± 0.19</b>	<b>90.03 ± 0.73</b>	<b>71.38 ± 0.37</b>
	VP-B+S	86.15 ± 0.70	91.84 ± 0.60	92.00 ± 0.55	89.65 ± 0.34	82.43 ± 1.45	58.52 ± 0.53