

### Problem 1

If  $G$  is not connected, we can analyze each connected component independently, subtracting the combined sizes of all other components from  $k$ . Therefore, for the remainder of the solution, we assume that  $G$  is connected.

If there exists a subset of vertices  $X$  such that  $|X| \leq k$  and  $G \setminus X$  is a tree, then  $\text{tw}(G) \leq k + 1$ , as  $\text{tw}(G \setminus X) = 1$ , where  $\text{tw}$  denotes treewidth. We will use the algorithm presented in the lecture, which runs in time  $27^l \cdot l^{\mathcal{O}(1)} \cdot n^2$ , where  $l$  is the target treewidth and  $n$  is the number of vertices. We apply it to  $G$  with  $l = k + 1$ . The algorithm will yield one of two possible outcomes:

1. Confirmation that  $\text{tw}(G) > k + 1$ .

In this case, we conclude that no such subset  $X$  exists.

2. A tree decomposition of width at most  $4k + 8$ .

The remainder of the solution focuses on this scenario.

We now proceed with dynamic programming, assuming the decomposition is nice. For any subtree  $H$  of the decomposition and its boundary  $\partial H$ , let  $f : V(\partial H) \rightarrow \{0, \dots, 4k + 9\}$ . Define  $\text{dp}_H(f)$  as the size of the maximum set  $Y \subseteq V(H \setminus \partial H)$  satisfying the following conditions:

1.  $H \setminus (Y \cup f^{-1}(0))$  is a forest.
2. Each tree in  $H \setminus (Y \cup f^{-1}(0))$  contains at least one vertex from  $\partial H$ , unless  $\partial H$  is empty (a special case when  $H$  is the entire decomposition).

Note that this implies there are at most  $|V(\partial H)|$  trees in  $H \setminus (Y \cup f^{-1}(0))$ .

3. For all  $v, u \in V(\partial H) \setminus f^{-1}(0)$ ,  $v$  and  $u$  belong to the same connected component (tree) of  $H \setminus (Y \cup f^{-1}(0))$  if and only if  $f(v) = f(u)$ .

To compute  $\text{dp}_H$ , we consider the following cases:

1.  $H = \emptyset$

In this case, we simply set  $\text{dp}_H(\text{empty function}) = 0$ .

2.  $H = \text{introduceVertex}(H', v)$

Here,  $\text{dp}_H(f) = \max(\{\text{dp}_{H'}(f') : f = f'[v \mapsto a] \wedge (f^{-1}(a) = \{v\} \vee a = 0)\})$ . We use the notation  $f[p \mapsto q]$  to denote the function  $g$  defined by:

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} q, & \text{if } x = p, \\ f(x), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

3.  $H = \text{introduceEdge}(H', v, u)$

In this case,

$$\text{dp}_H(f) = \begin{cases} \text{dp}_{H'}(f), & \text{if } f(u) = 0 \text{ or } f(v) = 0, \\ \max(\{\text{dp}_{H'}(f') : f' \text{ is good}\}), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $f'$  is *good* if it satisfies:

3.1  $f'(v) \neq 0$  and  $f'(u) \neq 0$  and  $f'(v) \neq f'(u)$ .

3.2 For all  $w \in V(\partial H)$ , if  $f'(w) \in \{f'(v), f'(u)\}$ , then  $f(w) = f'(v)$ . Otherwise,  $f(w) = f'(w)$ .

If no good function  $f'$  exists for a given  $f$ , set  $\text{dp}_H(f) = -\infty$ .

4.  $H = \text{forgetVertex}(H', v)$

Here,  $\text{dp}_H(f) = \max(\{\text{dp}_{H'}(f') + [a \neq 0] : a \in \{0, \dots, 4k+9\} \wedge f' = f[v \mapsto a]\})$ , where  $[P]$  denotes the Iverson bracket, i.e.,  $[P] = 1$  if  $P$  is true, and  $[P] = 0$  otherwise.

Additionally, we must check whether  $f^{-1}(a) = \emptyset$ . If this condition holds, we set  $\text{dp}_H(f) = -\infty$ , except when  $H$  represents the entire decomposition, in which case this check is omitted.

5.  $H = \text{merge}(H', H'')$

In this case  $\partial H' = \partial H''$ , and we calculate  $\text{dp}_H$  as  $\text{dp}_H(f) = \text{dp}_{H'}(f) + \text{dp}_{H''}(f)$ .

The answer is  $\text{dp}_T(\text{empty function}) \geq V(G) - k$ , where  $T$  is the full decomposition.

The total time complexity is bounded by

$$27^{k+1} \cdot (k+1)^{\mathcal{O}(1)} \cdot n^2 + n^{\mathcal{O}(1)} \cdot ((4k+10)^{4k+10})^2.$$

Thus, this algorithm is FPT when parameterized by  $k$ , which completes the proof.

## Problem 2

We apply the color-coding technique. Let  $c = k(l-1)$  denote the maximum total number of vertices along the paths, excluding the start and finish vertices. We color each vertex (excluding the start and finish vertices) with one of  $c$  colors. For each  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ , let  $\text{dp}_i(v, C)$  be true if and only if there exists a path from  $s_i$  to  $v$  such that the set of vertex colors on this path equals exactly  $C$ , with no two vertices sharing the same color. Here, we only consider subsets  $C$  of size at most  $l-1$ .

The values of  $\text{dp}_i$  are initially set to false and are then computed using the following rules:

1.  $\text{dp}_i(s_i, \emptyset) = \text{true}$ .
2.  $\text{dp}_i(v, C) = \bigvee_{(u,v) \in E(D)} (\text{color}(v) \in C \wedge \text{dp}_i(u, C \setminus \{\text{color}(v)\}))$ , for all  $v \in V(D) \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^k \{s_j, t_j\}$ .
3.  $\text{dp}_i(t_i, C) = \bigvee_{(u,t_i) \in E(D)} \text{dp}_i(u, C)$ .

To compute these values correctly, ensuring that no dp entry is referenced before it has been calculated, subsets  $C$  are considered in increasing order of size. Subsequently,  $\text{dp}_i(v, C)$  is filled for all  $v \in V(D)$ .

The number of such subsets is bounded by:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \binom{c}{j} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} c^j = \frac{c^l - 1}{c - 1} \leq c^l = (k(l-1))^l \leq (kl)^l.$$

Thus, this computation requires  $\mathcal{O}((kl)^l k(n+m))$  time, where  $n = |V(D)|$ , and  $m = |E(D)|$ .

The number of  $k$ -tuples of such subsets is bounded by  $((kl)^l)^k = (kl)^{kl}$ . We iterate over these tuples, performing the following for each  $(C_1, \dots, C_k)$ :

1. Verify that the subsets  $C_1, \dots, C_k$  are pairwise disjoint.

This step can be done in  $\mathcal{O}(k^3 l)$  time.

2. Check whether  $\text{dp}_1(t_1, C_1) = \dots = \text{dp}_k(t_k, C_k) = \text{true}$ .

In this case, the answer to the problem is „Yes.”.

The time complexity of one iteration is  $\mathcal{O}((kl)^l k(n+m) + (kl)^{kl} k^3 l)$ .

The probability of a single iteration failing to find a solution, assuming one exists, is  $1 - \frac{c!}{c^c}$ , as there are  $c^c$  ways to assign  $c$  colors to  $c$  vertices, and  $c!$  of these avoid duplicate colors. Repeating the iteration  $e^c$  times reduces the failure probability to:

$$\left(1 - \frac{c!}{c^c}\right)^{e^c} < \left(1 - \frac{1}{e^c}\right)^{e^c} < 1 - \frac{1}{e},$$

a constant.

The total time complexity is:

$$\mathcal{O}(e^{kl}((kl)^l k(n+m) + (kl)^{kl} k^3 l)),$$

which is FPT when parameterized by  $k+l$ , as  $kl \leq (k+l)^2$ .

This algorithm can be determinized using an  $(n, c, c)$ -splitter, as discussed in the lecture. The splitter, with size  $e^c c^{\mathcal{O}(\log c)}$ , can be found in time  $e^c c^{\mathcal{O}(\log c)} n \log n$ . The resulting complexity is:

$$e^{kl} (kl)^{\mathcal{O}(\log kl)} (n \log n + \mathcal{O}((kl)^l k(n+m) + (kl)^{kl} k^3 l)),$$

which is also FPT when parameterized by  $k+l$ , completing the proof.