Selected Topics in Graph Theory
Assignment 2

Dominik Wawszczak Student ID Number: 440014

Group Number: 2

Problem 2

We will show that a $\frac{k}{100} \times \frac{k}{100}$ grid is a minor of $\Gamma_k \setminus I$. To this end, we partition the vertices of Γ_k into $\left|\frac{k}{100}\right|^2$ squares of size 100×100 . Formally, define

$$S_{i,j} = \Gamma_k [\{(a,b) : 100(i-1) < a \le 100i \land 100(j-1) < b \le 100j\}],$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{k}{100} \right\rfloor \}$.

We now prove that for every such i, j, the subgraph $S_{i,j} \setminus I$ is connected. Take any distinct $(a,b), (a',b') \in S_{i,j} \setminus I$. Without loss of generality, assume (a,b) < (a',b'), i.e., a < a' or a = a' and b < b'. We will construct a path from (a,b) to (a',b') by induction on |a-a'| + |b-b'|.

Base case: If |a - a'| + |b - b'| = 1, then (a, b) and (a', b') are adjacent in $S_{i,j}$, and thus directly connected.

Induction step: We consider two cases:

1. a = a'

If $(a', b'-1) \notin I$, we can move to that vertex and proceed. Otherwise, we go to (a', b'-2) and then proceed via:

1.1
$$(a' + 1, b' - 1), (a' + 1, b'), (a', b')$$
 if $a \mod 100 \neq 0$;

1.2
$$(a'-1,b'-2), (a'-1,b'-1), (a',b')$$
 if $a \mod 100 = 0$.

2. a < a'

If $(a'-1,b') \notin I$, we extend the path through that vertex. Otherwise, we distinguish three subcases:

- 2.1 b = b': The argument is analogous to the case a = a'.
- 2.2 b < b': If $(a', b) \notin I$, we can proceed via (a', b) to (a', b'). Otherwise, we first go to (a' 1, b), then to (a', b + 1), and finally to (a', b').
- 2.3 b > b': As before, if $(a', b) \notin I$, we proceed through (a', b) to (a', b'). Otherwise, we go to (a'-1, b), then to (a'-1, b-1), followed by (a', b-1), and continue to (a', b').

We have established that each $S_{i,j} \setminus I$ is connected. It remains to show that for any $i, j, i', j' \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{k}{100} \rfloor\}$ with |i - i'| + |j - j'| = 1, there exists an edge in $\Gamma_k \setminus I$ connecting $S_{i,j} \setminus I$ and $S_{i',j'} \setminus I$.

We consider only the case i' = i + 1 and j' = j, as other case is symmetric. Choose any $(a,b) \in V(S_{i,j} \setminus I)$ with a = 100i and b < 100j. If $(a+1,b) \notin I$, then the edge $\{(a,b), (a+1,b)\}$ connects the two components. Otherwise, we can use the edge $\{(a,b), (a+1,b+1)\}$.

Since a $\frac{k}{100} \times \frac{k}{100}$ grid is a minor of $\Gamma_k \setminus I$, we conclude that $\operatorname{tw}(\Gamma_k \setminus I) \geqslant \frac{k}{100}$, completing the proof.

Problem 4

Let $S \subseteq V(G)$ be an independent set of G, and define a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $x_i = 1$ if $i \in S$, and $x_i = 0$ otherwise. Decompose x as

$$x = \frac{|S|}{n} \cdot \mathbf{1} + y.$$

Then y is orthogonal to $\mathbf{1}$, since

$$y^{T}\mathbf{1} = \left(x - \frac{|S|}{n} \cdot \mathbf{1}\right)^{T} \cdot \mathbf{1} = x^{T}\mathbf{1} - \frac{|S|}{n} \cdot \mathbf{1}^{T}\mathbf{1} = |S| - \frac{|S|}{n} \cdot n = 0.$$

Let A be the adjacency matrix of G. Since S is an independent set, it follows that

$$x^T A x = \sum_{i,j \in \{1,2,\dots,n\}} A_{i,j} x_i x_j = 0,$$

Expanding x using the decomposition, we get

$$0 = x^{T}Ax = \left(\frac{|S|}{n} \cdot \mathbf{1} + y\right)^{T} \cdot A \cdot \left(\frac{|S|}{n} \cdot \mathbf{1} + y\right) = \frac{|S|^{2}}{n^{2}} \cdot \mathbf{1}^{T}A\mathbf{1} + 2 \cdot \frac{|S|}{n} \cdot \mathbf{1}^{T}Ay + y^{T}Ay.$$

Since 1 is an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue d, we have A1 = d1, and thus

$$\frac{|S|^2}{n^2} \cdot \mathbf{1}^T A \mathbf{1} \ = \ \frac{|S|^2}{n^2} \cdot \mathbf{1}^T d \mathbf{1} \ = \ \frac{|S|^2}{n^2} \cdot d n \ = \ \frac{|S|^2 d}{n}.$$

Also,

$$2 \cdot \frac{|S|}{n} \cdot \mathbf{1}^T A y = 2 \cdot \frac{|S|}{n} \cdot \mathbf{1}^T d y = 0,$$

since $\mathbf{1}^T y = 0$ by orthogonality. Therefore,

$$0 = \frac{|S|^2 d}{n} + y^T A y.$$

Rearranging gives

$$-\frac{|S|^2 d}{n} = y^T A y \geqslant \lambda_n \cdot ||y||^2.$$

We compute

$$\|y\|^2 \ = \ \left\|x - \frac{|S|}{n} \cdot \mathbf{1}\right\|^2 \ = \ |S| - 2 \cdot \frac{|S|^2}{n} + \frac{|S|^2}{n} \ = \ |S| - \frac{|S|^2}{n},$$

SO

$$-\frac{|S|^2 d}{n} \geqslant \lambda_n \cdot \left(|S| - \frac{|S|^2}{n}\right).$$

Dividing both sides by |S| yields

$$-\frac{|S|d}{n} \geqslant \lambda_n \cdot \left(1 - \frac{|S|}{n}\right) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad |S|d \leqslant \lambda_n |S| - \lambda_n n \quad \Longrightarrow \quad |S| \leqslant n \cdot \frac{-\lambda_n}{d - \lambda_n},$$

which completes the proof.