

From: Congressman Bob Good <VA05BGIMA@mail.house.gov> To: marjorie.jackson@mail.house.gov Subject: Defending Your Second Amendment Rights

Date: Thu, 15 Jun 2023 17:23:35 -0400 <meta http-equiv="Content-Type"

content="text/html; charset=utf-8"><body><table align="center" style="width: 750px;"><tbody><tr><td align="center"></td> </tr><tr><td style="font-size: 12pt; font-family: Times New

Roman;">
 <p style="text-align: center;">June 15, 2023 </p> <p>Dear

Marjorie,

 <p style="font-size: 11pt; font-family: Calibri,

sans-serif;"><span style="font-size: 12pt; font-family: 'Times New Roman',

serif;">You should never have to wonder whether your constitutional rights are

at risk in this country. <span class="ui-provider gs b c d e f g h i j k l m n

o p q r s t u v w x y z ab ac ae af ag ah ai aj ak" dir="ltr">Unfortunately,

the Second Amendment has recently been subjected to onerous regulations by

officials at the ATF. </p> <p style="font-size: 11pt;

font-family: Calibri, sans-serif;"><span style="font-size: 12pt; font-family:

'Times New Roman', serif;">The bsp;<a

href="https://iqconnect.house.gov/iqextranet/iqClickTrk.aspx?&cid=VA05BG&crop=15837QQQ1

style="color: #0563c1;"><span style="font-size: 12pt; font-family: 'Times New

Roman', serif;">recent rule<span style="font-size: 12pt;

font-family: 'Times New Roman', serif;"> banning stabilizing braces by the ATF

subjects millions of law-abiding gun owners to felony charges over a piece of

plastic. This new ruling affects law-abiding gun owners, but particularly

impacts service-disabled veterans who use pistol braces while exercising their

Second Amendment rights. To those veterans, active-duty servicemen, national

guardsmen, and reservists, and your families, who have given so much to defend

our country, I will not sit idly by while the Federal Government comes after

your Second Amendment rights.</p> <p style="font-size: 11pt;

font-family: Calibri, sans-serif;"><span style="font-size: 12pt; font-family:

'Times New Roman', serif;">Bureaucrats at the ATF must not be allowed to

effectively rewrite a law that was never intended to apply to firearm

accessories.<span style="font-size: 12pt; font-family: 'Times New

Roman', serif;"> I proudly supported Rep. Andrew Clyde's (R-GA) bsp;<a

href="https://iqconnect.house.gov/iqextranet/iqClickTrk.aspx?&cid=VA05BG&crop=15837QQQ1

style="color: #0563c1;"><span style="font-size: 12pt; font-family: 'Times New

Roman', serif;">legislation<span style="font-size: 12pt;

font-family: 'Times New Roman', serif;"> to overturn this unconstitutional

ruling. Together with my Republican colleagues, we successfully pushed for a

vote on the House floor and passed the Clyde resolution on June 13th in an

effort to bsp;<span style="font-size: 12pt; font-family: 'Times New

Roman', serif;">stop this injustice. </p> <p style="font-size: 11pt;

font-family: Calibri, sans-serif;"><span style="font-size: 12pt; font-family:

'Times New Roman', serif;">Additionally, I introduced legislation to

permanently address this issue. The Protecting Individual Sovereignty Through

Our Laws Act, or PISTOL Act, would prevent the ATF from considering pistols

with brace attachments to be short-barreled rifles under the National Firearms

Act (NFA), and would define in statute what a pistol is for the purpose of

