



# CMP3035M Cross-Platform Development

| Learning Outcome   | Criterion   | Pass  | 2:2  | 2:1  | 1st  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| [LO2] Design, prototype, and evaluate mobile applications using hi-fidelity approaches, based on well-developed user scenarios | <b>Concept</b><br><br><b>Weight: 15%</b>                    | The design and features of the app are based on an adequate user scenario for the chosen mobile moment. A cursory look has been taken at similar apps.  | The design and features of the app are a response to a well-developed user scenario based on an interesting mobile moment. Detailed requirements are justified. A comparison of competing applications has resulted in specific design decisions.                                  | The design and features of the app go beyond the obvious requirements for the chosen mobile moment. Design decisions can be traced back directly to a well-presented user scenario. A thorough analysis of competing applications has clearly identified how the app was influenced by them.   | The design and features of the app are a novel response to the chosen mobile moment and is excellently described and motivated. An in-depth analysis illustrates how the app clearly separates itself from any competitors and has learned lessons from them.  |
| [LO2] Design, prototype, and evaluate mobile applications using hi-fidelity approaches, based on well-developed user scenarios | <b>Prototyping</b><br><br><b>Weight: 20%</b>                | The iterative process has been described but design justifications are weak.<br><br>The insights from the concept stage have been taken into account.<br><br>There is no evidence of user testing beyond reflections from the developer themselves. | The iterative process has been described well with clear design justifications.<br><br>The iterative process makes good use of knowledge gained from the concept stage.<br><br>Different prototypes have been evaluated with users which have directly influenced the development. | The iterative process is well documented with good discussions of different prototypes and their advantages and shortcomings.<br><br>The prototypes are clear responses to the requirements from the concept stage and explore them in a meaningful way.<br><br>Thorough evaluations have been used throughout with users. This has resulted in clear and thoughtful design decisions. | An excellently described iterative process that lays out the design process and follows logical steps and conclusions.<br><br>The prototyping is shaped by the original design requirements in each stage and critically reflects on them within the design process.<br><br>Regular evaluations were thoroughly planned and conducted. The different target groups for the app are well represented. Insights from the evaluations have clearly shaped the unfolding design process. |
| [LO3] Develop cross-platform mobile applications utilizing industry standard tools and technologies                            | <b>Final App: User Experience</b><br><br><b>Weight: 30%</b> | The user experience of the final app is basic but adequate.<br><br>The app has been deployed on at least one physical device and not just an emulator.  | The app provides an engaging user experience across all platforms.<br><br>The app has been deployed on at least two physical devices with different operating systems.   | The app provides a high-quality user experience by following best practice guidelines for mobile design.<br><br>The app has been deployed on at least two physical devices with different operating systems. In addition, the app has also been emulated on a variety of devices with different screen sizes and resolutions.  | The app showcases a professional user experience across devices and is almost indistinguishable from a native app.<br><br>The app has been deployed on at least two physical devices with different operating systems. In addition, the app has also been deployed on a variety of devices with different screen sizes and resolutions that include low-as well as high-end devices.   |
| [LO3] Develop cross-platform mobile applications utilizing industry standard tools and technologies                            | <b>Final App: Functionality</b><br><br><b>Weight: 30%</b>   | The app implements the expected core functionality in an adequate way.  | The app implements at least one meaningful feature that goes beyond basic functionality, and does so in a convincing way.  | The app meaningfully implements more than one challenging feature or several basic features in an especially clever way.   | The app is of a high technical challenge and shows a deep understanding of appropriate development approaches.   |



[LO1] Critically assess the implications and constraints of native mobile development in comparison to platform agnostic approaches

**Reflection  
Weight: 5%**

A basic overview of advantages and disadvantages of cross-platform development approaches.

Personal experiences from developing the app are used to illustrate the most salient advantages and disadvantages of cross-platform development approaches.

Personal experiences from developing the app are used to discuss advantages and disadvantages of cross-platform development approaches in detail. A discussion critically assesses the suitability of such a process for different development scenarios.

The personal experiences from developing the app are used to critically discuss advantages and disadvantages of cross-platform development approaches. A discussion leads to a clear and well-motivated assessment of when such an approach should be chosen.