Programming Design In-class Practices Operator Overloading

Ling-Chieh Kung

Department of Information Management National Taiwan University

Problem 1: the comparison operator ==

- Consider the example program of the class **MyVector**.
- Overload the comparison operator as follows:
 - Implement an instance function.
 - Compare a given MyVector object (as the invoking object) with a double value d.
 - If all vector elements equal **d** (more precisely, deviates from **d** by no more than 0.00001), return true; otherwise, return false.
- Try it on your own computer.

Problem 2: the indexing operator []

• Recall the two implementations for the overloaded indexing operator []:

```
class MyVector
{
    // ...
    double operator[](int i) const;
    double& operator[](int i);
};
```

- Explain what will happen if:
 - The non-constant one is removed.
 - The constant one is removed.

Problem 3: the indexing operator []

- One of your friends does not want to have two implementations to overload [].
- He proposed to write only one implementation like this:

```
class MyVector
{
    // ...
    double& operator[](int i) const;
};
```

```
double& MyVector::operator[](int i) const
{
  if(i < 0 || i >= n)
    exit(1);
  return m[i];
}
```

- He claims: "All **MyVector** objects, constant or not, may invoke this function. Moreover, the returned value may be put at the LHS of an assignment operator."
- Will this implementation pass compilation? Is there any drawback?

Problem 4: about this

• Recall one implementation of the assignment operator:

```
class MyVector
{
    // ...
    void operator=(const MyVector& v);
};
```

- This is to avoid copying values for operations like **a1 = a1**.
- May we replace this != &v by
 *this != v?
 - Will this pass compilation?
 - Will it avoid value copying?

```
void MyVector::operator=(const MyVector& v)
  if (this != &v)
    if(this->n != v.n)
      delete [] this->m;
      this->n = v.n;
      this->m = new double[this->n];
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)
      this-m[i] = v.m[i];
```

Problem 5: == again

- Recall that we overloaded = in Problem 1.
 - We may write operations like a1 = 0.2 with that implementation.
- Implement something to allow statements like 0.2 = a1.
 - Otherwise, show that we do not need to implement any additional thing.

Problem 6: negation

- Let's implement the negation operator –.
 - Let a1 by a MyVector object.
 - -a1 should not modify a1.
 - -a1 should return a MyVector object whose element values are the negation of those in a1.
 - The program at the right should execute successfully and print out Equal!.
 - -a1 should not be allowed to exist at the LHS of an assignment operator.

```
int main()
  double d = 1.23;
  double m[3] = \{-d, -d, -d\};
  MyVector v(3, m);
  v.print();
  v = -v;
  v.print();
  if(v = d)
    cout << "Equal!";</pre>
  else
    cout << "Unequal!";</pre>
  return 0;
```

Problem 7: streaming out with <<

- May we do operations like **cout << a1**?
- We need to overload the stream insertion operator <<:

```
class MyVector
  friend ostream& operator << (ostream& out, const MyVector& v);
};
ostream& operator<<(ostream& out, const MyVector& v)
  out << "(";
  for (int i = 0; i < v.n - 1; i++)
    out \ll v.m[i] \ll ", ";
  out \ll v.m[v.n - 1] \ll ")";
  return out;
```

Problem 7: streaming out with <<

• Now the following program may run successfully:

```
int main()
  double d = 1.23;
  double m[3] = \{d, d, d\};
  MyVector v(3, m);
  cout \ll v \ll endl;
  if(d = v)
    cout << "Equal!";</pre>
  else
    cout << "Unequal!";</pre>
  return 0;
```

Problem 8: streaming in with >>

• Let's do operations like **cin** >> **a1** by overloading the stream extraction operator >>:

```
class MyVector
{
    // ...
    friend istream& operator>>>(istream& in, MyVector& v); // no const!
};
```