

Solid State Physics I

2019 Spring Semester

Youngwan Kim

April 16, 2019

1 INTRODUCTION

Why is solid state physics, condensed matter physics is so important and has such value to be studied? From the early days mankind studied alot about various solids and their properties, for instance how well do they stretch, or how well do they accept heat energy, or how well do they conduct electricity and so on. We will first look

2 THERMAL PROPERTIES OF PHONONS

We mainly discuss about the specific heat or heat capacity of solids, where we will briefly define the **heat capacity** as

$$C = \frac{\partial U}{\partial T}$$

without any statistical derivation, and also we won't give difference between C_V and C_P . Before the concept of **phonons** existed, there were several attempts to understand the heat capacity of solids, and one of those was the **Dulong-Petit law**.

2.1 The Dulong-Petit Law : Classical Approach

The Dulong-Petit law proposed in 1819, was an experimental rule derived by room temperature data of solids. They empirically discovered that molar heat capacity of solids had values of

$$C = 3R$$

where R is the gas constant. Using the relation of the Boltzmann constant and the gas constant we can also derive that the heat capacity per atom should be

$$C = 3k_B$$

How can one explain such relation? It is a somehow interesting result as it states that under room temperature heat capacity of solids are constant, independent with the temperature.

This can be explained by using a theorem from classical statistics, the **equipartition theorem** stated by Boltzmann. The equipartition theorem states that any quadratic term of p, q in the Hamiltonian, for instance $T \sim p^2$ or $V \sim q^2$, will yield an expectation value of $\frac{1}{2}k_B T$. This implies that the total energy of a state is dependent on the degree of freedom such state possesses. Let us denote the degree of freedom as f then the equipartition theorem states that

$$E = \frac{f}{2}k_B T \implies C = \frac{\partial E}{\partial T} = \frac{f}{2}k_B$$

which shows up to be a constant. It somehow explains the Dulong-Petit law as we've shown that the heat capacity should be a constant independent of the temperature. However we yet explained why the coefficient is 3, which is to explain that $f = 6$. We can give a qualitative explanation to this, if we consider a simple model only regarding

vibrational freedom of each 3 dimensions it somehow explains $f = 6$.

We need to keep in mind that the Dulong-Petit law was an empirical result of *room temperature data*, which means that for other temperature regions it is not guaranteed that such law should hold. As soon as mankind became accessible to lower temperatures, thanks to the discovery and development of methods of liquifying air, at lower temperature regions the Dulong-Petit law didn't apply so well.

2.2 Einstein Model : Harmonic Oscillators

Einstein made a further step towards this problem by making some assumptions for solids :

- Each atoms are considered as independent 3D quantum harmonic oscillators.
- All atoms oscillate with the same frequency, ω_E .

which we can imagine of solids as a lattice of springs with all of them having identical spring constants. As we know the energy spectrum of single simple harmonic oscillators, we can derive the expectation value of the total energy for $3N$ of the oscillators per mole of atom as :

$$\langle E \rangle = 3N \left(\langle n \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \right) \hbar \omega_E$$

where $\langle n \rangle$ denotes the mean quantum number, which will follow the Einstein-Bose statistics as lattice vibration has bosonic nature (?). Thus the mean quantum number $\langle n \rangle$ can be expressed as :

$$\langle n \rangle = \frac{1}{\exp\left(\frac{\hbar \omega_E}{k_B T}\right) - 1}$$

thus we eventually derive the expectation values of the total energy as :

$$\langle E \rangle = \frac{3N \hbar \omega_E}{\exp\left(\frac{\hbar \omega_E}{k_B T}\right) - 1} + \frac{3N}{2} \hbar \omega_E$$

Thus now we can derive the heat capacity for the Einstein model :

$$\begin{aligned}
C &= \frac{\partial \langle E \rangle}{\partial T} = 3N\hbar\omega_E \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left(\frac{1}{\exp(\frac{\hbar\omega_E}{k_B T}) - 1} \right) \\
&= 3R \left(\frac{\hbar\omega_E}{k_B T} \right)^2 \cdot \frac{\exp(\hbar\omega_E/k_B T)}{(\exp(\hbar\omega_E/k_B T) - 1)^2}
\end{aligned}$$

First we can see that for high temperature regions, the Dulong-Petit law holds.

$$\begin{aligned}
C &= 3R \left(\frac{\hbar\omega_E}{k_B T} \right)^2 \cdot \frac{\exp(\hbar\omega_E/k_B T)}{(\exp(\hbar\omega_E/k_B T) - 1)^2} \\
&\sim 3R \left(\frac{\hbar\omega_E}{k_B T} \right)^2 \cdot \frac{1 + (\hbar\omega_E/k_B T)}{((1 + (\hbar\omega_E/k_B T)) - 1)^2} \\
&= 3R \left(\frac{\hbar\omega_E}{k_B T} \right)^2 \cdot \frac{1 + (\hbar\omega_E/k_B T)}{(\hbar\omega_E/k_B T)^2} \\
&= 3R \left(1 + \frac{\hbar\omega_E}{k_B T} \right) \\
&\sim 3R
\end{aligned}$$

The high temperature limit means that, the temperature should be higher than a certain limit which is known as the Einstein temperature Θ_E :

$$\Theta_E = \frac{\hbar\omega_E}{k_B}$$

What happens at the low limit, i.e, $T \sim 0$ region? As the heat capacity derived by such model has transient terms of T , the heat capacity should vanish very fast near $T \sim 0$. But this again had some discrepancy between well known experimental results, which had a relation of $C \sim T^3$ near the low temperature limit.

2.3 Debye Model : Phonons

Now Debye tweaks some assumptions of the Einstein model in order to deal with the $T \sim 0$ region anomaly of the preceding model. He fixed the condition that all atoms should oscillate with the same frequency, but rather that they will follow a certain dispersion relation. This was based on Debye's idea that atoms can't vibrate independently, but rather collectively. This led to the emergence of the concept of **phonons**, which can be thought as the quanta of energy that such vibrational lattices can accept. The following would sum up what is different between Einstein's model and Debye's model.

- Einstein : (phonon energy) $\times \langle n \rangle$
- Debye : (phonon energy) $\times \langle n \rangle \times$ (number of modes)

The dispersion relation of Debye model is given as $\omega(k) = v_s k$ where v_s is the sonic speed. The total energy of the phonons at temperature T can be written as :

$$\begin{aligned} U &= \sum_{k,p} \langle n_{k,p} \rangle \hbar \omega_{k,p} \\ &= \sum_{k,p} \frac{\hbar \omega_{k,p}}{\exp(\hbar \omega_{k,p}/\tau) - 1} \\ &= \sum_p \int d\omega \mathcal{D}_p(\omega) \left(\frac{\hbar \omega_{k,p}}{\exp(\hbar \omega_{k,p}/\tau) - 1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where a new function $\mathcal{D}_p(\omega)$ of ω is introduced, is known as the **density of states (DoS)**. As we've derived an expression for the total energy we can derive the heat capacity as :

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \frac{\partial U}{\partial T} = \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left(\sum_p \int d\omega \mathcal{D}_p(\omega) \left(\frac{\hbar \omega_{k,p}}{\exp(\hbar \omega_{k,p}/\tau) - 1} \right) \right) \\ &= k_B \sum_p \int d\omega \mathcal{D}_p(\omega) \frac{x^2 \exp(x)}{(\exp(x) - 1)^2} \end{aligned}$$

which shows us that the problem of obtaining heat capacity from this model boils down to deriving density of states. We will derive the density of states for $d = 1, 2, 3$ dimensions.

2.3.1 DoS : 1D System

2.3.2 DoS : 2D System

2.3.3 DoS : 3D System

3 ELECTRONS IN METAL

We mainly focused on how vibrational quanta, or phonons affect the properties of a solid. But we all know that we can't just ignore the bunch of electrons that are inside in it. In this section we mainly regard the effects of electrons in solids, and introduce two different approaches that were attempted, and how they predicted some important physical behaviors.

3.1 Drude Theory : Kinetic Approach

Drude applied the kinetic theory of gases directly into the free electron gas in solids in order to understand how electrons affect solid properties. He made some assumptions, mainly derived from the kinetic theory of gases.

- Electrons will have a scattering time τ .
 \implies The probability of such scattering occurring within a dt time interval is dt/τ .
- After a scattering occurs, the momentum of the scattered electron will be $\mathbf{p} = 0$
- The electrons will respond to external electromagnetic fields, \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} .

The first two assumptions were the direct application of kinetic theory of gas to free electrons, while the last assumption is somehow trivial; electrons react to electromagnetic fields. From the assumption we can derive the equation of motion of electrons :

$$\mathbf{p}(t + dt) = \left(1 - \frac{dt}{\tau}\right) (\mathbf{p}(t) + \mathbf{F}dt) + \frac{dt}{\tau} \cdot 0$$

the first term states the situation where it doesn't scatter and the next one is when it scatters. Then we can simplify the above equation into :

$$\frac{\mathbf{p}(t + dt) - \mathbf{p}(t)}{dt} = \mathbf{F}(t) - \frac{\mathbf{p}(t)}{\tau}$$

which can be then simplified into :

$$\frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} = \mathbf{F}(t) - \frac{\mathbf{p}(t)}{\tau}$$

As the \mathbf{F} term for electrons would be the Lorentz force by the third assumption of Drude, we then express the above equation in terms of fields :

$$\frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} = -e (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v}(t) \times \mathbf{B}) - \frac{\mathbf{p}(t)}{\tau}$$

We will mainly use this equation to derive physical results from this model. Let us consider two cases : where $\mathbf{B} = 0$ and $\mathbf{B} \neq 0$.

3.1.1 Drude Theory : Electric Fields

We consider situations where $\mathbf{B} = 0$, thus only considering electric fields. Then the equation of motion we derived can be expressed as :

$$\frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} = -e\mathbf{E} - \frac{\mathbf{p}(t)}{\tau}$$

and we will consider steady states where $d\mathbf{p}/dt = 0$. Then the above equation yields,

$$-e\mathbf{E} = \frac{\mathbf{p}(t)}{\tau}$$

$$\mathbf{v} = -\frac{e\tau}{m}\mathbf{E}$$

We can define and derive the **Drude conductivity** σ and **Drude mobility** μ , which are the coefficients that satisfy :

$$\mathbf{j} = \sigma \mathbf{E} \quad \mathbf{v} = \mu \mathbf{E}$$

and from the previous derivation we can show that both are

$$\sigma = \frac{e^2 n \tau}{m} \quad \mu = -\frac{e \tau}{m}$$

where we used the relation of $\mathbf{j} = -en\mathbf{v}$. This implies that if we know the electron mass and charge, when we measure the conductivity of a metal we can derive the density of electrons in the metal.

Also this result can be applied to understand resistivity of metals. Resistivity of metals mainly occur from two origins : phonons and impurities. **Matthiessen's rule** uses the scattering probability differed by those two origins. The probability that an electron will be scattered by phonons in dt interval can be expressed as dt/τ_L where the L denotes the L of lattice, and by impurities, dt/τ_I . Then the total probability would be expressed as

$$\frac{dt}{\tau} = \frac{dt}{\tau_L} + \frac{dt}{\tau_I} \implies \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_L} + \frac{1}{\tau_I}$$

and using the Drude mobility we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_L} + \frac{1}{\tau_I} \implies \frac{1}{\mu} = \frac{1}{\mu_L} + \frac{1}{\mu_I}$$

and in terms of resistivity,

$$\rho = \rho_L + \rho_I$$

which well explains that the total resistivity can be expressed by the sum of resistivity occurred by two different sources. An interesting fact is that $\rho_L(T)$ is dependent of temperature but ρ_I is a constant dependent with the temperature. Also when $T \rightarrow 0$, $\rho_L(T) \rightarrow 0$ which implies that $\rho(0) = \rho_I$. This implies that $\rho_L(T) = \rho(T) - \rho_I$ is same for different specimens of metal, while ρ_I should vary a lot by the purity of the specimen. Based on this, we use the **resistivity ratio** as an indicator of sample purity, which is defined as

3.1.2 Drude Theory : Electromagnetic Fields

3.2 Sommerfeld Theory : Statistic Approach

4 CRYSTAL STRUCTURES

5 CRYSTAL BINDING

5.1 Inert Gas Crystals : Cohesive Energy

5.2 Ionic Crystals

5.3 Covalent Crystals

5.4 Metals