

# **FIN 513: Homework #3**

Due on Tuesday, February 13, 2018

**Wanbae Park**

## Problem 1

The put-call parity of American options on a currency is  $e^{-r_f(T-t)}S - K \leq C - P \leq S - e^{-r_d(T-t)}K$  or  $B^f S - K \leq C - P \leq S - B^d K$ , where  $S$  and  $K$  denotes spot exchange rate and strike price as usual,  $r_d$  and  $r_f$  denotes domestic risk-free rate and foreign risk-free rate, respectively.

(Proof) Suppose not.

1. Suppose  $C - P > S - e^{-r_d(T-t)}K$ . Then an arbitrage opportunity exists by constructing the following portfolio.

- (a) Buy a put option and a unit of foreign currency.
- (b) Write a call option and borrow  $e^{-r_d(T-t)}K$  amount of domestic currency on risk-free rate.

All possible situations can be separated by two cases: early exercise of written option occurs and no early exercise of written option. Assuming that early exercise occurs at  $t^* < T$ , payoff of the portfolio is as follows.

Case 1. Early exercise at  $t^* < T$  occurs.

- (a)  $e^{r_f(t^*-t)}S_{t^*}$
- (b)  $-(S_{t^*} - K) - e^{-r_d(T-t^*)}K$

The sum of payoff from two strategies is  $(e^{r_f(t^*-t)} - 1)S_{t^*} + (1 - e^{-r_d(T-t^*)})K$ , which is positive.

Case 2. No early exercise.

- i.  $S_T > K$ 
  - (a)  $0 + e^{r_f(T-t)}S_T$
  - (b)  $-(S_T - K) - K$
- ii.  $S_T \leq K$ 
  - (a)  $(K - S_T) + e^{r_f(T-t)}S_T$
  - (b)  $0 - K$

In this case, the portfolio also has a positive payoff regardless of spot exchange rate at maturity.

Since the portfolio has a positive payoff at all possible situations, there must be a cost for implementing the strategies if there is no arbitrage opportunity. However, by the assumption, the initial cost for constructing portfolio is negative, so a contradiction occurs. Therefore,  $C - P \leq S - e^{-r_d(T-t)}K$  must hold.

2. Suppose  $C - P < e^{-r_f(T-t)}S - K$ . Then there is also an arbitrage opportunity exists considering the following portfolio.

- (a) Buy a call option and invest  $K$  amount of domestic currencies on domestic risk-free rate.
- (b) Write a put option and sell short a foreign risk-free zero coupon bond.

By using similar procedure above, existence of arbitrage can be derived.

*Case 1.* Early exercise at  $t^* < T$  occurs.

- (a)  $e^{rt^*} K$
- (b)  $-(K - S_{t^*}) - e^{-r_f(T-t^*)} S_{t^*}$

In this case, the sum of payoff from two strategies above is  $S_{t^*}(1 - e^{-r_f(T-t^*)}) - (e^{rt^*} - 1)K$ , which is positive.

*Case 2.* No early exercise.

- i.  $S_T > K$ 
  - (a)  $(S_T - K) + e^{rT} K$
  - (b)  $0 - S_T$
- ii.  $S_T \leq K$ 
  - (a)  $0 + e^{rT} K$
  - (b)  $-(K - S_T) - S_T$

At the maturity, the portfolio has a positive value regardless of spot exchange rate at  $T$ .

Since the portfolio has a positive payoff at all possible situations, there is an arbitrage opportunity since we assumed that there is a negative initial cost for constructing this portfolio. Therefore,  $C - P \geq e^{-r_f(T-t)} S - K$  must hold.

Combining all results above, the put-call parity mentioned above must hold. Otherwise, there would be an arbitrage opportunity.

## Problem 2

- (a)
- (b)
- (c)
- (d)
- (e)
- (f)

(g)

(h)

### **Problem 3**

(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

### **Problem 4**

(a)

(b)