MATH 350-2 Advanced Calculus

W.R. Casper

Department of Mathematics California State University Fullerton

October 15, 2024



Outline

- Real Analysis Lecture 13
 - Metric subspaces
 - Limits of sequences

Outline

- Real Analysis Lecture 13
 - Metric subspaces
 - Limits of sequences

Definition

Let (M, d) be a metric space.

Definition

Let (M, d) be a metric space.

If $S \subseteq M$ is a subset, then $d: M \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ restricts to a metric $d: S \times S \to \mathbb{R}$, called the **relative metric**.

Definition

Let (M, d) be a metric space.

If $S \subseteq M$ is a subset, then $d : M \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ restricts to a metric $d : S \times S \to \mathbb{R}$, called the **relative metric**.

The space (S, d) with the relative metric is called a **metric** subspace.

Definition

Let (M, d) be a metric space.

If $S \subseteq M$ is a subset, then $d : M \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ restricts to a metric $d : S \times S \to \mathbb{R}$, called the **relative metric**.

The space (S, d) with the relative metric is called a **metric** subspace.

Open balls in S:

$$B_{\mathcal{S}}(x;r) = B_{\mathcal{M}}(x;r) \cap \mathcal{S}.$$

Let $M = \mathbb{R}$ and d be the Euclidean metric.

Let $M = \mathbb{R}$ and d be the Euclidean metric. Consider the metric subspace (S, d) for $S = [-1, 1) \cup \{2\}$.

Let $M = \mathbb{R}$ and d be the Euclidean metric. Consider the metric subspace (S, d) for $S = [-1, 1) \cup \{2\}$. Open balls:

Let $M = \mathbb{R}$ and d be the Euclidean metric. Consider the metric subspace (S, d) for $S = [-1, 1) \cup \{2\}$. Open balls:

{2}

Let $M = \mathbb{R}$ and d be the Euclidean metric.

Consider the metric subspace (S, d) for $S = [-1, 1) \cup \{2\}$. Open balls:

{2}

$$[-1, s), -1 < s < 1$$

Let $M = \mathbb{R}$ and d be the Euclidean metric.

Consider the metric subspace (S, d) for $S = [-1, 1) \cup \{2\}$. Open balls:

$$[-1, s), -1 < s < 1$$

$$(r, s), -1 < r < s < 1$$

Let $M = \mathbb{R}$ and d be the Euclidean metric.

Consider the metric subspace (S, d) for $S = [-1, 1) \cup \{2\}$.

Open balls:

$$[-1, s), -1 < s < 1$$

$$(r, s), -1 < r < s < 1$$

$$[-1, s) \cup \{2\}, \quad -1 < s < 1$$

Let $M = \mathbb{R}$ and d be the Euclidean metric.

Consider the metric subspace (S, d) for $S = [-1, 1) \cup \{2\}$. Open balls:

$$[-1, s), -1 < s < 1$$

$$(r, s), -1 < r < s < 1$$

$$[-1, s) \cup \{2\}, -1 < s < 1$$

$$(r, s) \cup \{2\}, -1 < r < s < 1$$



Theorem

Let (M, d) be a metric space and let (S, d) be a subspace. Then V is an open subset of S if and only if there exists an open subset U of M with $V = U \cap S$.

Theorem

Let (M, d) be a metric space and let (S, d) be a subspace. Then V is an open subset of S if and only if there exists an open subset U of M with $V = U \cap S$.

Proof.



Theorem

Let (M, d) be a metric space and let (S, d) be a subspace. Then V is an open subset of S if and only if there exists an open subset U of M with $V = U \cap S$.

Proof.

 \implies : Assume *V* is an open subset of *S*.



Theorem

Let (M, d) be a metric space and let (S, d) be a subspace. Then V is an open subset of S if and only if there exists an open subset U of M with $V = U \cap S$.

Proof.

 \implies : Assume *V* is an open subset of *S*.

Then for all $x \in V$, there exists $r_x > 0$ such that $B_S(x; r_x) \subseteq V$.



Theorem

Let (M, d) be a metric space and let (S, d) be a subspace. Then V is an open subset of S if and only if there exists an open subset U of M with $V = U \cap S$.

Proof.

 \Longrightarrow : Assume *V* is an open subset of *S*.

Then for all $x \in V$, there exists $r_x > 0$ such that $B_S(x; r_x) \subseteq V$.

This says $B_M(x; r_x) \cap S \subseteq V$.

Theorem

Let (M, d) be a metric space and let (S, d) be a subspace. Then V is an open subset of S if and only if there exists an open subset U of M with $V = U \cap S$.

Proof.

 \Longrightarrow : Assume *V* is an open subset of *S*.

Then for all $x \in V$, there exists $r_x > 0$ such that $B_S(x; r_x) \subseteq V$.

This says $B_M(x; r_x) \cap S \subseteq V$.

Define

$$U=\bigcup_{x\in V}B_M(x;r_x).$$



Theorem

Let (M, d) be a metric space and let (S, d) be a subspace. Then V is an open subset of S if and only if there exists an open subset U of M with $V = U \cap S$.

Proof.

 \Longrightarrow : Assume *V* is an open subset of *S*.

Then for all $x \in V$, there exists $r_x > 0$ such that $B_S(x; r_x) \subseteq V$.

This says $B_M(x; r_x) \cap S \subseteq V$.

Define

$$U=\bigcup_{x\in V}B_M(x;r_x).$$

This is a union of open sets, and is therefore open.



Theorem

Let (M, d) be a metric space and let (S, d) be a subspace. Then V is an open subset of S if and only if there exists an open subset U of M with $V = U \cap S$.

Proof.

 \Longrightarrow : Assume *V* is an open subset of *S*.

Then for all $x \in V$, there exists $r_x > 0$ such that $B_S(x; r_x) \subseteq V$.

This says $B_M(x; r_x) \cap S \subseteq V$.

Define

$$U=\bigcup_{x\in V}B_M(x;r_x).$$

This is a union of open sets, and is therefore open.

Furthermore $U \cap S = V$.



Theorem

Let (M, d) be a metric space and let (S, d) be a subspace. Then V is an open subset of S if and only if there exists an open subset U of M with $V = U \cap S$.

Theorem

Let (M, d) be a metric space and let (S, d) be a subspace. Then V is an open subset of S if and only if there exists an open subset U of M with $V = U \cap S$.

Proof.



Theorem

Let (M, d) be a metric space and let (S, d) be a subspace. Then V is an open subset of S if and only if there exists an open subset U of M with $V = U \cap S$.

Proof.

 \Leftarrow : Assume *U* is an open subset of *M*.



Theorem

Let (M, d) be a metric space and let (S, d) be a subspace. Then V is an open subset of S if and only if there exists an open subset U of M with $V = U \cap S$.

Proof.

 \Leftarrow : Assume *U* is an open subset of *M*.

We need to show that $V := U \cap S$ is open.



Theorem

Let (M, d) be a metric space and let (S, d) be a subspace. Then V is an open subset of S if and only if there exists an open subset U of M with $V = U \cap S$.

Proof.

 \Leftarrow : Assume *U* is an open subset of *M*.

We need to show that $V := U \cap S$ is open.

If $x \in V$, then $x \in U$ and there exists r > 0 such that $R_{r,r}(x;r) \subset U$

 $B_M(x;r)\subseteq U$.



Theorem

Let (M, d) be a metric space and let (S, d) be a subspace. Then V is an open subset of S if and only if there exists an open subset U of M with $V = U \cap S$.

Proof.

 \Leftarrow : Assume *U* is an open subset of *M*.

We need to show that $V := U \cap S$ is open.

If $x \in V$, then $x \in U$ and there exists r > 0 such that

 $B_M(x;r)\subseteq U$.

It follows that $B_S(x,r) = B_M(x,r) \cap S \subseteq U \cap S = V$.



Theorem

Let (M, d) be a metric space and let (S, d) be a subspace. Then V is an open subset of S if and only if there exists an open subset U of M with $V = U \cap S$.

Proof.

 \Leftarrow : Assume *U* is an open subset of *M*.

We need to show that $V := U \cap S$ is open.

If $x \in V$, then $x \in U$ and there exists r > 0 such that

 $B_M(x;r)\subseteq U$.

It follows that $B_S(x,r) = B_M(x,r) \cap S \subseteq U \cap S = V$.

This shows that x is an interior point of S in the metric subspace (S, d).



Theorem

Let (M, d) be a metric space and let (S, d) be a subspace. Then V is an open subset of S if and only if there exists an open subset U of M with $V = U \cap S$.

Proof.

 \Leftarrow : Assume *U* is an open subset of *M*.

We need to show that $V := U \cap S$ is open.

If $x \in V$, then $x \in U$ and there exists r > 0 such that

 $B_M(x;r)\subseteq U$.

It follows that $B_S(x,r) = B_M(x,r) \cap S \subseteq U \cap S = V$.

This shows that x is an interior point of S in the metric subspace (S, d).

Since $x \in V$ was arbitrary, this shows that V is open in S.



Theorem

Let (M, d) be a metric space and let (S, d) be a subspace. Then B is a closed subset of S if and only if there exists a closed subset A of M with $B = A \cap S$.

Theorem

Let (M, d) be a metric space and let (S, d) be a subspace. Then B is a closed subset of S if and only if there exists a closed subset A of M with $B = A \cap S$.

Proof.

Theorem

Let (M, d) be a metric space and let (S, d) be a subspace. Then B is a closed subset of S if and only if there exists a closed subset A of M with $B = A \cap S$.

Proof.

Let $B \subseteq S$.

Theorem

Let (M, d) be a metric space and let (S, d) be a subspace. Then B is a closed subset of S if and only if there exists a closed subset A of M with $B = A \cap S$.

Proof.

Let $B \subseteq S$.

B is closed in S if and only if $S \setminus B$ is open in B

Theorem

Let (M, d) be a metric space and let (S, d) be a subspace. Then B is a closed subset of S if and only if there exists a closed subset A of M with $B = A \cap S$.

Proof.

Let $B \subseteq S$.

B is closed in S if and only if $S \setminus B$ is open in B. This is true if and only if there exists an open subset U of M with $S \setminus B = U \cap S$.

Closed sets in subspaces

Theorem

Let (M, d) be a metric space and let (S, d) be a subspace. Then B is a closed subset of S if and only if there exists a closed subset A of M with $B = A \cap S$.

Proof.

Let $B \subseteq S$.

B is closed in S if and only if $S \setminus B$ is open in B

This is true if and only if there exists an open subset U of M with $S \setminus B = U \cap S$.

This is true if and only if there exists an open subset U of M with $B = (M \setminus U) \cap S$.

Closed sets in subspaces

Theorem

Let (M, d) be a metric space and let (S, d) be a subspace. Then B is a closed subset of S if and only if there exists a closed subset A of M with $B = A \cap S$.

Proof.

Let $B \subseteq S$.

B is closed in S if and only if $S \setminus B$ is open in B

This is true if and only if there exists an open subset U of M with $S \setminus B = U \cap S$.

This is true if and only if there exists an open subset U of M with $B = (M \setminus U) \cap S$.

this is true if and only if there exists a closed subset A of M with $B = A \cap S$.



Consider the metric space (\mathbb{R}, d) with $d = d_{\text{eucl}}$ the Euclidean metric, and the metric subspace (S, d) for S = [0, 1].

• [0, 1] is open in S and closed in S, but it is not open in M

- [0, 1] is open in S and closed in S, but it is not open in M
- [0, 1/2) is open in S, but not in M

- [0, 1] is open in S and closed in S, but it is not open in M
- [0, 1/2) is open in S, but not in M
- (0, 1/2) is open in both S and in M

- [0, 1] is open in S and closed in S, but it is not open in M
- [0, 1/2) is open in S, but not in M
- (0, 1/2) is open in both S and in M
- (1/2, 1] is open in S, but not in M

Outline

- Real Analysis Lecture 13
 - Metric subspaces
 - Limits of sequences

Back in Calc. I:

Back in Calc. I:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = L$$
 means

Back in Calc. I:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = L$$
 means

that as n gets arbitrarily large, the value of x_n gets arbitrarily close to L.

Back in Calc. I:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = L$$
 means

that as n gets arbitrarily large, the value of x_n gets arbitrarily close to L.

Formally:

Back in Calc. I:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = L$$
 means

that as n gets arbitrarily large, the value of x_n gets arbitrarily close to L.

Formally:

 $\forall \epsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $|x_n - L| < \epsilon \ \forall n \ge N$.

Back in Calc. I:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = L$$
 means

that as n gets arbitrarily large, the value of x_n gets arbitrarily close to L.

Formally:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0$$
, there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $|x_n - L| < \epsilon \ \forall n \ge N$.

Metric space version:

Back in Calc. I:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = L$$
 means

that as n gets arbitrarily large, the value of x_n gets arbitrarily close to L.

Formally:

 $\forall \epsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $|x_n - L| < \epsilon \ \forall n \ge N$.

Metric space version:

 $\forall \epsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $d(x_n, L) < \epsilon \ \forall n \geq N$.

Back in Calc. I:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = L$$
 means

that as n gets arbitrarily large, the value of x_n gets arbitrarily close to L.

Formally:

 $\forall \epsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $|x_n - L| < \epsilon \ \forall n \ge N$.

Metric space version:

 $\forall \epsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $d(x_n, L) < \epsilon \ \forall n \geq N$.

We say $\{x_n\}$ converges to L and L is the limit of the sequence.



Consider the metric space (M, d) defined by $M = \mathbb{R}$ with the Euclidean metric d.

Consider the metric space (M, d) defined by $M = \mathbb{R}$ with the Euclidean metric d.

The sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_n = 1/n$ converges to zero.

Consider the metric space (M, d) defined by $M = \mathbb{R}$ with the Euclidean metric d.

The sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_n = 1/n$ converges to zero.

Proof.

Consider the metric space (M, d) defined by $M = \mathbb{R}$ with the Euclidean metric d.

The sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_n = 1/n$ converges to zero.

Proof.

Let $\epsilon > 0$.

Consider the metric space (M, d) defined by $M = \mathbb{R}$ with the Euclidean metric d.

The sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_n = 1/n$ converges to zero.

Proof.

Let $\epsilon > 0$.

Choose $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $N > 1/\epsilon$.

Consider the metric space (M, d) defined by $M = \mathbb{R}$ with the Euclidean metric d.

The sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_n = 1/n$ converges to zero.

Proof.

Let $\epsilon > 0$.

Choose $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $N > 1/\epsilon$.

Then n > N implies

Consider the metric space (M, d) defined by $M = \mathbb{R}$ with the Euclidean metric d.

The sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_n = 1/n$ converges to zero.

Proof.

Let $\epsilon > 0$.

Choose $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $N > 1/\epsilon$.

Then n > N implies

$$d(x_n, 0) = |1/n - 0| = 1/n < 1/N < \epsilon.$$

Consider the metric space (M, d) defined by $M = \mathbb{R}$ with the Euclidean metric d.

The sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_n = 1/n$ converges to zero.

Proof.

Let $\epsilon > 0$.

Choose $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $N > 1/\epsilon$.

Then n > N implies

$$d(x_n,0) = |1/n - 0| = 1/n < 1/N < \epsilon.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, this proves $\{x_n\}$ converges to 0.

Consider the metric space (M, d) defined by $M = \mathbb{R}$ with the Euclidean metric d.

The sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_n = 1/n$ converges to zero.

Proof.

Let $\epsilon > 0$.

Choose $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $N > 1/\epsilon$.

Then n > N implies

$$d(x_n, 0) = |1/n - 0| = 1/n < 1/N < \epsilon.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, this proves $\{x_n\}$ converges to 0.



Consider the metric space (M, d) defined by $M = \mathbb{R}$ with the Euclidean metric d.

Consider the metric space (M, d) defined by $M = \mathbb{R}$ with the Euclidean metric d.

The sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_n = (n-1)/n$ converges to 1.

Consider the metric space (M, d) defined by $M = \mathbb{R}$ with the Euclidean metric d.

The sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_n = (n-1)/n$ converges to 1.

Proof.

Consider the metric space (M, d) defined by $M = \mathbb{R}$ with the Euclidean metric d.

The sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_n = (n-1)/n$ converges to 1.

Proof.

Let $\epsilon > 0$.

Consider the metric space (M, d) defined by $M = \mathbb{R}$ with the Euclidean metric d.

The sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_n = (n-1)/n$ converges to 1.

Proof.

Let $\epsilon > 0$.

Choose $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $N > 1/\epsilon$.

Consider the metric space (M, d) defined by $M = \mathbb{R}$ with the Euclidean metric d.

The sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_n = (n-1)/n$ converges to 1.

Proof.

Let $\epsilon > 0$.

Choose $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $N > 1/\epsilon$.

Then n > N implies

$$d(x_n, 1) = |(n-1)/n - 1| = 1/n < 1/N < \epsilon.$$

Consider the metric space (M, d) defined by $M = \mathbb{R}$ with the Euclidean metric d.

The sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_n = (n-1)/n$ converges to 1.

Proof.

Let $\epsilon > 0$.

Choose $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $N > 1/\epsilon$.

Then n > N implies

$$d(x_n, 1) = |(n-1)/n - 1| = 1/n < 1/N < \epsilon.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, this proves $\{x_n\}$ converges to 1.



Consider the metric space (M, d) defined by $M = \mathbb{R}$ with the discrete metric d.

Consider the metric space (M, d) defined by $M = \mathbb{R}$ with the discrete metric d.

The sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_n = 1/n$ does not converge.

Consider the metric space (M, d) defined by $M = \mathbb{R}$ with the discrete metric d.

The sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_n = 1/n$ does not converge.

Proof.

Consider the metric space (M, d) defined by $M = \mathbb{R}$ with the discrete metric d.

The sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_n = 1/n$ does not converge.

Proof.

Suppose that it converges to a number *L*.

Consider the metric space (M, d) defined by $M = \mathbb{R}$ with the discrete metric d.

The sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_n = 1/n$ does not converge.

Proof.

Suppose that it converges to a number *L*.

Then for all $\epsilon > 0$, there must exists an $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $d(x_n, L) < \epsilon$ for all $N \ge n$.

Consider the metric space (M, d) defined by $M = \mathbb{R}$ with the discrete metric d.

The sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_n = 1/n$ does not converge.

Proof.

Suppose that it converges to a number *L*.

Then for all $\epsilon > 0$, there must exists an $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $d(x_n, L) < \epsilon$ for all $N \ge n$.

Take $\epsilon = 1$ and choose $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $d(x_n, L) < 1$ for all $N \ge n$.

Consider the metric space (M, d) defined by $M = \mathbb{R}$ with the discrete metric d.

The sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_n = 1/n$ does not converge.

Proof.

Suppose that it converges to a number *L*.

Then for all $\epsilon > 0$, there must exists an $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $d(x_n, L) < \epsilon$ for all $N \ge n$.

Take $\epsilon = 1$ and choose $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $d(x_n, L) < 1$ for all N > n.

With the discrete metric $d(x_n, L) < 1$ implies $x_n = L$.

Consider the metric space (M, d) defined by $M = \mathbb{R}$ with the discrete metric d.

The sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_n = 1/n$ does not converge.

Proof.

Suppose that it converges to a number *L*.

Then for all $\epsilon > 0$, there must exists an $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $d(x_n, L) < \epsilon$ for all $N \ge n$.

Take $\epsilon = 1$ and choose $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $d(x_n, L) < 1$ for all $N \ge n$.

With the discrete metric $d(x_n, L) < 1$ implies $x_n = L$.

This means $x_n = 1/n = L$ for all $n \ge N$, which is a contradiction!



Theorem (Uniqueness of limits)

Theorem (Uniqueness of limits)

Let (M, d) be a metric space.

Theorem (Uniqueness of limits)

Let (M, d) be a metric space. If a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in M converges to L and converges to M, then L = M.

Theorem (Uniqueness of limits)

Let (M, d) be a metric space. If a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in M converges to L and converges to M, then L = M.

Proof.



Theorem (Uniqueness of limits)

Let (M, d) be a metric space. If a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in M converges to L and converges to M, then L = M.

Proof.

Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ converges to L and M.



Theorem (Uniqueness of limits)

Let (M, d) be a metric space.

If a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in M converges to L and converges to M, then L=M.

Proof.

Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ converges to L and M.

Assume further that $L - M \neq 0$ and let $\epsilon = d(L, M)/2$.

Theorem (Uniqueness of limits)

Let (M, d) be a metric space.

If a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in M converges to L and converges to M, then L = M.

Proof.

Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ converges to L and M.

Assume further that $L - M \neq 0$ and let $\epsilon = d(L, M)/2$.

Then there exists N_1 such that $d(x_n, L) < \epsilon$ for $n > N_1$.



Theorem (Uniqueness of limits)

Let (M, d) be a metric space.

If a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in M converges to L and converges to M, then L=M.

Proof.

Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ converges to L and M.

Assume further that $L - M \neq 0$ and let $\epsilon = d(L, M)/2$.

Then there exists N_1 such that $d(x_n, L) < \epsilon$ for $n > N_1$.

Likewise, there exists N_2 such that $d(x_n, M) < \epsilon$ for $n > N_2$.



Theorem (Uniqueness of limits)

Let (M, d) be a metric space.

If a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in M converges to L and converges to M, then L=M.

Proof.

Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ converges to L and M.

Assume further that $L - M \neq 0$ and let $\epsilon = d(L, M)/2$.

Then there exists N_1 such that $d(x_n, L) < \epsilon$ for $n > N_1$.

Likewise, there exists N_2 such that $d(x_n, M) < \epsilon$ for $n > N_2$.

Then for $n > \max\{N_1, N_2\}$, the triangle inequality says



Theorem (Uniqueness of limits)

Let (M, d) be a metric space.

If a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in M converges to L and converges to M, then L = M.

Proof.

Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ converges to L and M.

Assume further that $L - M \neq 0$ and let $\epsilon = d(L, M)/2$.

Then there exists N_1 such that $d(x_n, L) < \epsilon$ for $n > N_1$.

Likewise, there exists N_2 such that $d(x_n, M) < \epsilon$ for $n > N_2$.

Then for $n > \max\{N_1, N_2\}$, the triangle inequality says

$$d(L,M) \leq d(L,x_n) + d(x_n,M) < 2\epsilon = d(L,M).$$



Theorem (Uniqueness of limits)

Let (M, d) be a metric space.

If a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in M converges to L and converges to M, then L=M.

Proof.

Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ converges to L and M.

Assume further that $L - M \neq 0$ and let $\epsilon = d(L, M)/2$.

Then there exists N_1 such that $d(x_n, L) < \epsilon$ for $n > N_1$.

Likewise, there exists N_2 such that $d(x_n, M) < \epsilon$ for $n > N_2$.

Then for $n > \max\{N_1, N_2\}$, the triangle inequality says

$$d(L,M) \leq d(L,x_n) + d(x_n,M) < 2\epsilon = d(L,M).$$

This is a contradiction.

