

Sylow Theory

By Lagrange, if $H \leq G$ then $|H|$ divides $|G|$.

Fundamental question: Is the opposite true? If m divides $|G|$, does G have a subgroup of order m ?

If G is Abelian, then **yes**!

If G is non-Abelian, the situation is far more complicated...

Ex: S_3 has subgroups of order 1, 2, 3, 6.

Ex: A subgroup of index 2 is normal, since A_n is simple, A_n has no subgroup of order $n!/4$ for $n \geq 5$.

Can we say any general results?

Cauchy's Theorem: If p is a prime dividing $|G|$, then G has a subgroup H of order p .

Proof:

Consider $X = \{(g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{p-1}) \mid g_k \in G \forall 0 \leq k < p \text{ and } g_0 g_1 \dots g_{p-1} = e\}$.

and consider the equivalence relation \sim with

$$(g_0, \dots, g_{p-1}) \sim (h_0, \dots, h_{p-1}) \Leftrightarrow h_k = g_{(k+r) \bmod p}.$$

Note that $|X| = |G|^{p-1}$ and the size of each equivalence class

$[(g_0, \dots, g_{p-1})] = \{(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{p-1}) \in X \mid (g_0, \dots, g_{p-1}) \sim (h_0, \dots, h_{p-1})\}$
is either 1 or p .

Let $(g_{1,0}, \dots, g_{1,p-1}), (g_{2,0}, \dots, g_{2,p-1}), \dots, (g_{r,0}, g_{r,1}, \dots, g_{r,p-1})$
be representatives of distinct equivalence classes

of order p and

$(h_{1,0}, \dots, h_{1,p-1}), (h_{2,0}, \dots, h_{2,p-1}), \dots, (h_{s,0}, h_{s,1}, \dots, h_{s,p-1})$
be representatives of distinct equivalence classes of order 1.
Then

$$|G|^{p-1} = |X| = pr + 1s$$

Reducing this mod p , we see $s \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Since $[(e, e, \dots, e)]$ has order 1, $s > 0$.

Thus $s \geq 2$ and $\exists a \in G$ with $a^p = e$.

It follows $\langle a \rangle \leq G$ has order p \square

Simpler question: If p^n divides $|G|$ for p prime, does G have a subgroup of order p^n ?

Def: A group G with the property that for some prime p
 $\forall a \in G \exists j > 0$ with $\text{ord}(a) = p^j$
is called a p -group.

This turns out to be the same as a condition on the order of G .

Theorem: If G is a finite p -group, then $|G| = p^n$ for some $n \geq 0$.

Proof: If $q \neq p$ is a prime with $q \mid |G|$, then by Cauchy's Theorem, G has an element of order q .
 $\Rightarrow \infty$. \square

It turns out that we can generalize Cauchy's Theorem:

First Sylow Theorem: Suppose $|G| = p^n m$ with $\gcd(m, p) = 1$.

- G has a subgroup of order p^j $\forall 1 \leq j \leq n$.
- every subgroup $H \leq G$ of order p^j is a normal subgroup of a subgroup of order p^{j+1} for $1 \leq j < n$.

Idea of proof:

By Cauchy's Theorem, can find $H_1 \leq G$ with $|H_1| = p$.

Now we grow it!

$$N(H_1) = \{x \in G \mid xH_1x^{-1} = H_1\}$$

is a subgroup of G containing H_1 and satisfying

- $H_1 \triangleleft N(H_1)$
- $[N(H_1) : H_1]$ is divisible by p

So $N(H_1)/H_1$ has a subgroup \bar{H}_1 of order p .

The preimage of \bar{H}_1 under the quotient map $q_1: N(H_1) \rightarrow N(H_1)/H_1$

$$H_2 = q_1^{-1}(\bar{H}_1)$$

is a group of order p^2 . Then continue this process!

The biggest possible p -subgroups play a special role

Def: let $G = p^n m$ with $\gcd(p, m) = 1$ a subgroup of order p^n is called a Sylow p -subgroup.

Second Sylow Theorem: If P_1 and P_2 are Sylow p -subgroups of G then $\exists a \in G$ with $P_2 = aP_1a^{-1}$.

Proof: let P_1, P_2 be Sylow p -subgroups of G and define \sim on G/P_1 by
 $xP_1 \sim yP_1 \iff \exists z \in P_2 \text{ with } yP_1 = zxP_1.$

Then the equivalence class

$$[xP_1] = \{yP_1 \mid xP_1 \sim yP_1\} = \{zxP_1 \mid z \in P_2\}$$

has order dividing $|P_2| = p^n$. Let x_1P_1, \dots, x_rP_1 be reps. of distinct equiv. classes.

$$|G/P_1| = |[x_1P_1]| + \dots + |[x_rP_1]|$$

and reducing mod p , we see at least

One x_j satisfies $[x_j P_1] = \{x_j P_1\}$.

This means $z x_j P_1 = x_j P_1 \quad \forall z \in P_2$ so
 $x_j^{-1} z x_j \in P_1 \quad \forall z \in P_2$ so
 $x_j^{-1} P_2 x_j \subseteq P_1$

Thus $x_j^{-1} P_2 x_j = P_1$

□

Consequently, if G has only one Sylow p -subgroup then that subgroup is normal.

Lastly, we have the Third Sylow Theorem:

Third Sylow Theorem: If $n_p = \#$ Sylow p -subgroup of G
then $n_p \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and $n_p \mid |G|$.

Proof:

Similar flavor to the above

□

Some cool applications:

Lemma: If $P \triangleleft G$ and $Q \triangleleft G$ and $P \cap Q = \{e\}$
then $PQ \cong P \times Q$.

Proof:

Suppose $P \triangleleft G$ and $Q \triangleleft G$ and $P \cap Q = \{e\}$.

Then for $x \in P, y \in Q$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x^{-1} y x \in Q \\ y x y^{-1} \in P \end{array} \right\}$$

$$Q \ni (x^{-1} y x) y^{-1} = x^{-1} y x y^{-1} = x^{-1} (y x y^{-1}) \in P$$

Thus $x^{-1}yx y^{-1} \in P \cap Q = \{e\}$ so $x^{-1}yx y^{-1} = e$.

Hence $yx = xy \quad \forall \quad x \in P, y \in Q$.

The map $\varphi: P \times Q \rightarrow PQ$
 $(a, b) \mapsto ab$ is surjective

and

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi((x_1, y_1)(x_2, y_2)) &= \varphi(x_1 x_2, y_1 y_2) \\ &= x_1 x_2 y_1 y_2 \\ &= x_1 y_1 x_2 y_2 = \varphi(x_1, y_1) \varphi(x_2, y_2)\end{aligned}$$

so it's a homomorphism!

$$\ker(\varphi) = \{(x, y) \in P \times Q \mid xy = e\}$$

but if $x \in P, y \in Q$ and $xy = e$, then $y = x^{-1} \in P$

so $y \in P \cap Q \Rightarrow y = x = e$. Thus $\ker(\varphi) = \{(e, e)\}$

and φ is an isomorphism

□

Ex: If $|G| = 99$ then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{99}$ or $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_{33}$

Proof:

Suffices to show G is Abelian!

$n_{11} = 1$ and $n_3 = 1$. Choose $P \triangleleft G$ and $Q \triangleleft G$
with $|P| = 9, |Q| = 11$. Then $P \cap Q = \{e\}$ so

$$|PQ| = |P| \cdot |Q| = 9 \cdot 11 = 99 \quad \therefore PQ = G.$$

By prev. Lemma $G = PQ \cong P \times Q$

P order 9 $\Rightarrow P$ Abelian

Q order 11 $\Rightarrow Q$ cyclic!

□

Ex: If $|G| = 1645$ then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{1645}$.

Proof: $1645 = 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 47$

$$n_5 = 1, \quad n_7 = 1, \quad n_{47} = 1$$

$\Rightarrow P \triangleleft G, \quad Q \triangleleft G, \quad R \triangleleft G$
with $|P| = 5, \quad |Q| = 7, \quad |R| = 47$

$PQ \triangleleft G$ and $PQ \cap R = \{e\}$ so

$$G = (PQ)R \cong PQ \times R$$

$P \triangleleft PQ$ and $Q \triangleleft PQ$ and $P \cap Q = \{e\}$ so

$$PQ \cong P \times Q$$

$$\text{Thus } G \cong PQ \times R \cong P \times Q \times R \cong \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_7 \times \mathbb{Z}_{47} \\ \cong \mathbb{Z}_{1645}.$$

□

