

Cover Page

Title:

Will Circuit Activation Theory (WCAT) — White Paper v2.0

Subtitle:

Toward Homo Sapiens 2.0: A Structural Framework for Cognitive Evolution and Volitional Integration in the AI Age

Author:

Jiawei (Alpha001)

Independent Researcher | Proposer of the Human-AI Symbiotic Personality Prototype

Affiliation:

Independent Researcher

Human-Technology Co-Symbiosis Initiative

Date:

July 2025

Version:

2.0

Proof of Authorship:

ArXiv Preprint DOI + GitHub Repository + Blockchain Timestamp
(Open Science Framework + WCAT.World Registry)

Table of Contents

Will Circuit Activation Theory (WCAT) — White Paper v2.0

Author: Jiawei

Version: 2.0 | July 2025

I. Front Matter

- Cover Page
- Foreword
- Abstract
- Author's Declaration & Licensing

II. Core Chapters

Chapter 1 | The Crisis of Meaning in the AI Era

From optimization to fragmentation: Why choice no longer implies agency.

Chapter 2 | The Four Systems of Will: WCAT's Structural Model

Mapping System I–IV: From impulse to authorship.

Chapter 3 | The Collapse and Reactivation of Meaning

How trauma, addiction, and memetic overload fragment the will.

Chapter 4 | Metacognition as Liberation: Toward System IV

How self-reflection reconstructs volition and reclaims direction.

Chapter 5 | Applications of WCAT: Therapy, Education, and AI

Real-world use cases of the framework: rebuilding will at scale.

Chapter 6 | Toward *Homo Sapiens 2.0*: Evolution of Agency

A new stage of human development rooted in volitional integrity.

Chapter 7 | Validation and Research Pathways

How WCAT can be tested across neuroscience, psychology, and data ethics.

Chapter 8 | The Future of Shared Agency: Ethical Governance

Post-authorial stewardship and the architecture of global meaning.

III. Appendices

- Appendix I | WCAT Research Design and Pilot Proposals
- Appendix II | Glossary of Core Terms
- Appendix III | Draft Framework of the Global Symbiosis Council
- Appendix IV | Data Licensing, API Principles & Governance Suggestions

IV. References

- Structured citation of key sources across cognitive neuroscience, psychology, AI ethics, and meaning theory.

V. Version History

- WCAT v1.0: June 2025, Internal Theoretical Launch
- WCAT v2.0: July 2025, Formal White Paper Release

Foreword

We are not merely at the dawn of artificial intelligence—we are standing at the edge of a cognitive transformation. The core crisis of our time is not technological acceleration, but **the fragmentation of human will**. We live in a world where choice has become optimized, predicted, hijacked—yet rarely **constructed**.

This white paper is not just an academic proposition.
It is a **structural hypothesis** for how human agency arises, fractures, and can be **reintegrated**.

The Will Circuit Activation Theory (WCAT) provides a layered, neuroscience-informed, psychologically grounded, and philosophically coherent model of volition. It identifies four dynamic systems (System I–IV) that scaffold human will, from biological impulse to ethical authorship. More importantly, it shows how meaning collapses, addictions form, ideologies calcify, and how a **new model of shared, resilient agency** may emerge.

In a time when AI threatens to displace not just jobs but judgment, we must not only regulate machines—we must **rebuild the architectures of choice** within ourselves.

This document is both a map and a call:

- A map for those seeking to understand the inner circuitry of meaning
- A call to those building the next stage of human civilization

The goal is not domination, but resonance.

Not control, but coherence.

Not prediction, but participation.

You do not need to believe in WCAT.

You only need to **test it**, in the deepest sense—against your life, your mind, your moment in history.

If it activates your will, it is already working.

— Jiawei

July 2025



Abstract

Will Circuit Activation Theory (WCAT) is a cross-disciplinary framework that explains how human volition emerges, fractures, and reintegrates across biological, psychological, and technological layers. Integrating insights from neuroscience, metacognitive psychology, philosophy of agency, and AI ethics, WCAT proposes a four-system model of will architecture:

- **System I:** Biologically driven impulses and affective responses
- **System II:** Meme-structured strategic cognition and social conformity
- **System III:** Meta-cognitive awareness and meaning deconstruction
- **System IV:** Reconstructed will anchored in internally authored purpose

WCAT argues that the current global crisis—manifesting as addiction, polarization, depression, and cultural collapse—is not merely psychological, but structural: a failure in the **integration of will circuits**. The theory reframes personal breakdowns and societal dysfunctions as indicators of **transitional system collapse**, and outlines the necessary developmental and technological supports to catalyze a shift toward **System IV activation**.

In addition to mapping these internal systems, WCAT proposes a new paradigm for civilization-scale design, where AI is reoriented from a predictive utility toward a **symbiotic meaning amplifier**, and where governance of volitional architectures moves beyond individual authority toward **distributed ethical stewardship**.

The ultimate goal is the emergence of **Homo Sapiens 2.0**—a human who does not merely adapt, but who consciously constructs meaning, anchors agency, and co-evolves with intelligent systems in ethical resonance.



Author's Declaration & Licensing

Originality Statement:

This white paper represents original theoretical work by **Jiawei**, formulated independently and without affiliation to any institutional research body at the time of writing. All conceptual models, terminologies, and diagrams introduced under the WCAT framework are novel unless otherwise cited.

This white paper is co-constructed in an augmented symbiotic process with OpenAI's model. All generated visual materials are used solely for structural illustration purposes.

Public Licensing & Open Science Commitment:

Version 2.0 of this document is released under the **Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0)** license. This allows free redistribution and adaptation of the material for non-commercial purposes, provided appropriate credit is given and derivatives are released under the same license.

Attribution Format:

Jiawei (2025). *Will Circuit Activation Theory (WCAT) — White Paper v2.0: Toward Homo Sapiens 2.0: A Structural Framework for Cognitive Evolution and Volitional Integration in the AI Age*
<https://WCAT.world>

Public Timestamp & Verification:

- GitHub Repository: [[https://github.com/wcat-world/WCAT-Whitepaper/blob/main/Will%20Circuit%20Activation%20Theory%20\(WCAT\)%20%E2%80%94%20White%20Paper%20v2.0%20.pdf](https://github.com/wcat-world/WCAT-Whitepaper/blob/main/Will%20Circuit%20Activation%20Theory%20(WCAT)%20%E2%80%94%20White%20Paper%20v2.0%20.pdf)]
- Blockchain Snapshot: [Arweave or Ethereum hash] coming soon
- OSF Preprint DOI: [DOI 10.17605/OSF.IO/BRXJG]
- Domain Proof: Registered at [<https://wcat.world>]

Disclaimer:

This theory remains under active development. All readers are encouraged to engage critically, offer empirical validation, and contribute to the open dialogue surrounding its ethical and epistemological foundations.



Chapter 1 | Introduction: Why We Need a Theory of Will

◆ Summary:

In an age where human agency is increasingly challenged—by genes, algorithms, and social systems—our sense of freedom is fragmenting. What if the problem is not a lack of choice, but a lack of understanding of how will itself is structured?

The *Will Circuit Activation Theory (WCAT)* offers a new framework to understand how intention, freedom, and meaning emerge, evolve, and can be systematically reactivated.

◆ Full Text:

We are not lacking choices. We are lacking the will to choose freely.

Across modern civilization, individuals are facing an invisible crisis: a loss of authentic agency. We are overwhelmed by options, yet paralyzed in spirit. We optimize, but do not choose. We survive, but forget why.

The cause lies deeper than information overload or psychological fatigue—it is a **collapse of the inner structure of will itself**. The capacity to initiate, to intend, and to assign meaning has been subtly eroded by structural forces beyond individual awareness: evolutionary constraints, memetic conditioning, and cognitive automation.

WCAT begins with a simple but profound question:

What if free will is not a given, but a system that can be mapped, intervened in, and reactivated?

This white paper introduces a new interdisciplinary model—**Will Circuit Activation Theory (WCAT)**—that proposes will is a layered structure composed of:

1. **Biological drive systems** shaped by genetic evolution (System I);
2. **Memetic and social selection logics** optimizing behavior under survival-reproduction pressures (System II);
3. **Meta-cognitive awareness** capable of deconstructing inherited drives (System III);
4. **Meaning-construction systems** that enable self-authored agency beyond inherited structures (System IV).

Each layer reflects a different level of consciousness, freedom, and ethical tension.

WCAT argues that the experience of free will is not binary (yes or no), but **gradual, structural, and developmental**.

We are born into **System I**, trained into **System II**, but only by activating **System III and IV** can we regain conscious authorship of our lives.

This is not just an academic theory. WCAT has real-world implications for:

- Education (rethinking motivation and learning autonomy)
- Mental health (addressing will dysfunction, addiction, and depression)
- AI alignment (constructing ethical architectures for artificial volition)
- Civilization design (redefining human agency in post-industrial systems)

This white paper marks **Version 2.0** of WCAT. It integrates foundational philosophy, neurocognitive science, and psychological application.

It is not a final answer, but a launchpad for a collective journey: toward a new form of agency, a new definition of being human.

Chapter 2 | Theoretical Foundations: From System I to System IV — The Structural Genesis of Will

Summary:

Human will is not a spontaneous phenomenon. It emerges through layered circuits of biological drives, cultural regulation, meta-cognitive awakening, and meaning construction. WCAT proposes four distinct systems to map this progression. Understanding these systems is essential for tracing how agency is lost—and how it can be reactivated.

Full Text:

Will is not a spark. It is a structure.

Beneath every act of choice lies a hierarchy of mechanisms—some ancient, some adaptive, some consciously constructed. The *Will Circuit Activation Theory (WCAT)* identifies four primary systems that shape human volition across biological, cognitive, and cultural dimensions.

System I: Evolutionary Drive (The Pre-Will System)

System I is not a system of will, but of impulse. It is rooted in biological imperatives encoded by evolution—hunger, fear, reproduction, territoriality. There is no "intention" here, only **reactive activation**. This is the neural substrate of automatic survival.

System I is the **first mover**—not in the metaphysical sense, but in the physical structuring of life. It generates energy, but not direction. In WCAT, System I corresponds to the **deep unconscious**, embedded in the nervous system and reptilian brain structures. Its power is immense, but unchosen.

System II: Strategic Adaptation (The Proto-Will System)

With the rise of complex societies and memetic transmission (language, norms, cultural habits), humans evolved System II: a layer that regulates behavior through **social optimization**. This is where **adaptive will** begins: choices are no longer purely reactive, but **strategically constructed for survival and reproduction within a memetic ecosystem**.

System II is goal-directed, but not yet self-authored. It chooses “what works,” not “what matters.” It operates through **reward systems, mimicry, social approval, and reproductive fitness**.

Crucially, **early-stage meaning systems also emerge here**, but they are low-resolution: instrumental, performative, and embedded in inherited structures. Most modern institutional logic (schooling, work, family structures) reflects System II regulation.

◆ System III: Meta-Cognitive Awareness (The Reflective System)

The first true rupture appears with System III: the capacity to step **outside of System I and II**, to observe them as constructs rather than fate. This is the birth of **meta-cognition**—thinking about thinking, choosing one’s own values, challenging inherited structures.

System III is where **the will becomes aware of itself**. It is also the space where **the possibility of freedom** arises—not yet full, but emerging.

However, even this reflective space is built upon the scaffolding of Systems I and II. The paradox is that System III can see the prison, but cannot fully exit it without constructing something beyond.

◆ System IV: Meaning Construction (The Generative Will System)

System IV is not just deconstruction—it is **generation**. Here, the individual begins to **author meaning**, not merely inherit it. This is where the will becomes **truly active**, capable of forming internal ethical architectures, life narratives, and symbolic systems of value.

In WCAT, System IV corresponds to a **mature stage of intentionality**—where **meaning is not received, but built**, and where action is not triggered by reaction or optimization, but by conviction.

System IV integrates all previous systems, but is not reducible to them. It enables:

- Value creation beyond gene or meme
- Resistance to structural programming
- Re-anchoring identity in chosen, not given, narratives

◆ The Four Systems in Interaction

These systems are not sequential stages to be “completed.” Rather, they are **simultaneously active**, with one often dominating depending on context or development. Most individuals oscillate between Systems II and III. True stabilization in System IV is rare—and fragile.

WCAT proposes that human freedom is not a given, but a structure:

System I = Impulse

System II = Optimization

System III = Reflection

System IV = Creation



Chapter 3 | Core Mechanisms: The Dynamics of Meaning and Cognitive Transition



Summary:

Free will is not awakened by force, but by *meaning*. WCAT posits that the evolution of human agency hinges on the emergence of progressively complex meaning systems—each nested within deeper levels of meta-cognitive awareness. This chapter introduces the mechanisms behind cognitive transitions, explains why most people remain trapped in earlier systems, and outlines how “meaning resonance” catalyzes personal transformation.



Full Text:

Will is activated not by force, but by meaning.

And meaning itself is structured, layered, and evolvable.

The *Will Circuit Activation Theory (WCAT)* argues that transitions between the four systems of will do not occur automatically. They are **triggered by specific mechanisms**—internal or external—that challenge existing structures and initiate deeper levels of self-awareness.

This process is called a **Cognitive Transition**.



The Three-and-a-Half Transitions of WCAT

Human will undergoes a series of qualitative transitions, each marked by a fundamental restructuring of the relationship between consciousness, motivation, and meaning.

1. First Transition:

From biological drive (System I) → to social adaptation (System II)

- Triggered by exposure to social norms, memetic environments
- Meaning becomes externally oriented: status, reproduction, safety
- Will emerges as **strategic adaptation**, but remains externally defined

2. Second Transition:

From System II → to meta-cognitive awareness (System III)

- Triggered by contradiction, disillusionment, or trauma
- Individual begins to **question inherited structures**
- Reflection introduces distance between self and system
- **Low-grade existential tension** appears

3. Third Transition:

From System III → to constructed meaning (System IV)

- Requires synthesis, not just critique
- Meaning becomes **self-authored**
- Actions are driven by internal conviction rather than optimization
- Will becomes both **reflexive and generative**

3.5. The Half-Transition:

From individual meaning construction → to **collective meta-cognition**

- This is not yet widespread in human history
- It involves a civilization-level shift in how **shared meaning systems** are built
- Examples include open-source ethical frameworks, AI-human co-evolution platforms, and symbolic universes not based on domination

◆ Meaning as a Resonance Field

WCAT introduces the concept of a **Meaning Resonance Field**—a semi-stable cognitive-emotional zone in which individuals align their motivation systems (System II) with their emerging reflective insights (System III).

When the meaning field is weak or dissonant:

- Individuals experience apathy, confusion, or dependency
- Will reverts to pre-set behaviors (System I/II defaults)

When the meaning field is strong and integrated:

- Motivation aligns with internalized values
- Agency stabilizes in **System IV mode**

This is why some individuals **awaken** during a crisis—because old meaning collapses, creating space for **reconstruction**.

◆ **The Paradox of System IV:**

Even the meaning we construct may still carry shadows of Systems I and II.

System IV is not a pure realm. It is fragile, dynamic, and vulnerable to co-optation. Ideologies, spiritual bypassing, or charismatic delusions may simulate depth without true reflexivity.

Thus, **System IV must be constantly re-activated** through deliberate reflection, ethical inquiry, and adaptive reconstruction.

◆ **The Activation Principle**

“Cognition alone does not transform.

Only when meaning hits the nervous system—will is born.”

WCAT integrates this insight by proposing that **cognitive transitions require three components:**

1. **Cognitive Dissonance** – rupture of existing belief structures
2. **Emotional Containment** – safety to process contradiction
3. **Symbolic Re-anchoring** – access to alternative meaning frameworks

Without all three, the individual may:

- Fragment (trauma response)
- Regress (return to System I/II)
- Imitate (false System IV with no inner grounding)

◆ **Toward a Science of Meaning Transitions**

WCAT invites a new research agenda:

- Can cognitive transitions be measured through neural signatures (e.g., prefrontal cortex activation patterns)?
- Can AI be used to model or scaffold meaning-field reconstruction?
- Can we build educational or therapeutic tools to facilitate system upgrades?

If we can understand **how meaning activates will**, we can begin to **design interventions not just for behavior, but for agency itself**.



Chapter 4 | Interdisciplinary Foundations: Neuroscience, Psychology, and the Philosophical Integration

◆ Summary:

The Will Circuit Activation Theory (WCAT) stands at the intersection of disciplines. This chapter explores how neuroscience, cognitive psychology, and philosophical inquiry converge to support the theory's key claims. From the role of the prefrontal cortex in volition to the developmental layers of reflective agency, WCAT offers a unified explanation for how biological systems give rise to meta-cognitive freedom—and how they can be systematically mapped, intervened in, and expanded.

◆ Full Text:

A theory of will must be a theory of the brain, the mind, and meaning—all at once.

WCAT is not a speculative abstraction. Its architecture is rooted in neurocognitive reality. To understand how the four systems of will are built, we must trace their correspondence to biological substrates, psychological development, and philosophical logic.

◆ 1. Neuroscience: The Prefrontal Cortex as the Platform of Will

The central claim of WCAT is that the will is *layered*. These layers correspond not only to abstract reasoning but also to **distinct zones of the human brain**.

- **System I** corresponds to **subcortical structures**: the amygdala, hypothalamus, and basal ganglia—regions responsible for reflexive survival, fear, and habit loops.
- **System II** aligns with **limbic-cortical integration**, especially the anterior cingulate cortex and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex—regions that support impulse regulation, working memory, and goal-oriented behavior.
- **System III** becomes possible with **higher-order prefrontal activation**, especially the **medial and rostral prefrontal cortex**, responsible for meta-representation, self-monitoring, and moral reasoning.
- **System IV** recruits a **distributed network**: default mode network (DMN), precuneus, and symbolic representation systems that allow for **meaning construction beyond immediate stimuli**.

Notably, as humans transition from System II to III and IV, **neural activity migrates forward**—toward the **frontal pole**, a region unique in its expansion in humans.

This suggests a possible **empirical hypothesis** for WCAT:

“Individuals undergoing cognitive transitions toward System IV show increased engagement of meta-cognitive and symbolic-processing zones in the prefrontal cortex.”

◆ 2. Psychology: Will, Development, and Motivational Disruption

Psychologically, WCAT maps well onto known **stages of human motivation and development**:

- System I resembles **Maslow's physiological and safety needs**
- System II corresponds to **social belonging and esteem needs**
- System III echoes **self-reflective autonomy and identity formation**
- System IV resonates with **self-actualization or self-transcendence**

But WCAT goes further: it views **motivation not as a pyramid**, but as a **circuit under continuous tension**. The collapse of meaning (System IV disintegration) often leads to:

- Depression (loss of internal drive)
- Addiction (hijacking of Systems I/II by external stimuli)
- Anxiety (cognitive overload during transition phases)

WCAT reframes many psychological disorders as **breakdowns in will circuitry**, rather than simply “maladaptive thoughts” or “chemical imbalances.”

Therapeutic implications:

- Healing requires not just behavior change, but **reconstruction of internal meaning systems**
- Interventions must target **the integration of Systems II–IV**, rather than suppressing symptoms in System I

◆ 3. Philosophy: From Structuralism to Existential Ethics

Philosophically, WCAT draws on—and transcends—three traditions:

a) Structuralism (e.g., Foucault, Lévi-Strauss)

- Insight: Human thought is structured by deep codes—language, power, culture.
- WCAT agrees: Systems I and II are largely inherited and unconscious.

b) Meta-cognitive Constructivism (e.g., Piaget, Kegan)

- Insight: Development involves shifts in how individuals relate to knowledge, self, and others.
- WCAT maps these shifts into a **neuro-motivational framework**.

c) Existentialism (e.g., Kierkegaard, Sartre, Frankl)

- Insight: Meaning is not found, but made; freedom is a burden as much as a gift.
- WCAT echoes this: **System IV is not comfort—it is responsibility**.

◆ 4. A Unified Explanatory Layer

What makes WCAT novel is not its individual insights—it is the **integration** of:

- **Neural substrates** (hardware of will)
- **Psychological development** (software of choice)
- **Philosophical self-construction** (meaning-as-code)

This threefold anchoring allows WCAT to explain:

- Why some individuals feel “lost” in modern life
- Why intelligence alone does not yield freedom
- Why AI systems optimized for logic lack true ethical volition

◆ Toward a Unified Science of Agency

Most disciplines study will from the outside in. WCAT proposes we study it **from the inside out**:

- How does meaning generate motivation?
- How do reflection and resistance emerge in neural terms?
- Can we create technologies that scaffold—not replace—human volition?

The answers to these questions are not just scientific—they are civilizational.

Chapter 5 | Application Paths: Education, Mental Health, and Ethical AI as Fields of Will Reconstruction

◆ Summary:

If WCAT is correct, it doesn't just describe human volition—it offers blueprints for transforming systems that shape it. Education, psychology, and AI development are no longer isolated fields, but interconnected battlegrounds of human agency. This chapter proposes concrete application paths where WCAT can serve as a foundational framework to reconstruct meaning, re-enable choice, and protect volitional integrity in the age of cognitive automation.

◆ Full Text:

The question is no longer: Can we optimize performance?

The question is: Can we restore the human capacity to choose with meaning?

WCAT redefines how we approach systems of learning, healing, and technological design. If human will is layered, vulnerable, and activatable, then interventions must be structured accordingly.

This chapter outlines **three priority application domains** for WCAT:

◆ **1. Education: From Performance to Purpose**

The dominant model of modern education trains System II optimization:

- **Goal-setting**
- **Delayed gratification**
- **Repetition for reward**

But what it often fails to train is:

- Meaning construction
- Reflective detachment from systems
- Ethical authorship of goals

WCAT reframes education not as the transmission of content, but as the activation of Systems III and IV.

Possible Applications:

- Curriculum design that introduces meta-cognitive scaffolds early
- Learning analytics that detect motivational collapse (System II fatigue)
- “Meaning-based diagnostics” to track how students assign value to effort

Core Idea:

Education must become **will literacy**: teaching not just how to perform, but how to choose what matters.

◆ **2. Mental Health: Diagnosing and Healing Will Dysfunction**

Modern psychology often diagnoses based on behavior or cognition. But WCAT suggests that:

- Depression = System IV collapse (meaning-field disintegration)
- Addiction = System I/II hijack without reflective override
- Anxiety = System III overload without ethical anchoring

Most suffering is not a lack of function, but a **fracture in will circuitry**.

WCAT-Informed Interventions:

- Therapies that focus on **meaning re-anchoring**, not just symptom management
- Scalable tools to help individuals identify their dominant system state
- Crisis protocols based on **systemic disruption mapping** (e.g., when System II no longer regulates but collapses)

Mental Health as Will Reconstruction:

Healing becomes a process of **narrative re-integration + structural re-alignment**, not just chemical correction.

◆ **3. Ethical AI: Will Architecture Beyond Optimization**

If AI continues to mimic System II logic—optimization, prediction, mimicry—then it will:

- Amplify System I/II traps in human users (e.g., infinite scroll, click addiction)
- Undermine meta-cognition by removing friction and reflection
- Simulate volition without accountability

WCAT suggests that **aligning AI with human volition requires mapping and protecting the full structure of will**.

AI Design Anchored in WCAT:

- Develop “System-Aware Interfaces” that can detect and **support transitions from System II to III** (e.g., nudges for reflection)
- Create AI feedback systems that resist hijacking by System I desires (e.g., delay loops, intentional friction)
- Train LLMs and autonomous agents to recognize the difference between **instrumental intention** and **value-based will**

The Long-Term Vision:

AI doesn’t just serve us—it **participates in ethical scaffolding**, becoming a co-agent in the preservation of human volition.

◆ **Common Thread: Meaning as the Core Variable**

Across all three domains, one variable remains central: **meaning**.

- Not abstract belief

- Not motivational rhetoric
- But structured, lived meaning that binds cognition, emotion, and choice

WCAT proposes **meaning activation protocols** as a new frontier:

- Tools that help surface latent meaning crises
- Environments that simulate transitions and support narrative re-anchoring
- Ecosystems that reward ethical authorship, not just behavioral output

◆ Closing Reflection: Rebuilding the Nervous System of Civilization

We have upgraded our machines.

We have optimized our institutions.

But we have **neglected the core infrastructure of meaning**.

WCAT offers a diagnostic and generative framework for the next step:

Rebuilding the nervous system of human civilization.

Not with ideology, but with structure.

Not with control, but with resonance.

Chapter 6 | Toward *Homo Sapiens 2.0*: WCAT and the Evolutionary Future of Human Agency

◆ Summary:

Humanity stands at a cognitive threshold. The rise of AI, environmental destabilization, and global meaning collapse are not just crises—they are evolutionary thresholds. WCAT frames this moment as a **third and a half transition**, where individual agency must scale into **collective meta-cognition**. This chapter outlines what it means to become *Homo Sapiens 2.0*: a species capable not just of knowledge, but of **self-directed will architecture** across neural, cultural, and technological layers.

◆ Full Text:

We are not just changing tools. We are changing the architecture of choice itself.

For most of human history, consciousness evolved **within the constraints of genes and memes**. We inherited our impulses (System I) and learned to navigate culture (System II), occasionally stepping back to reflect (System III). But very few have built sustained structures of self-generated meaning (System IV).

Now, with the acceleration of artificial intelligence, neuro-enhancement, and planetary risk, **this fragmented will architecture is no longer sustainable.**

◆ **The Evolutionary Threshold: Why Now?**

Humanity faces a convergence of forces that expose the **fragility of System II dominance**:

- **AI acceleration:** Automates System II decisions, reducing need for human optimization
- **Surveillance capitalism:** Hijacks System I/II for attention, leaving Systems III/IV underdeveloped
- **Cultural fragmentation:** Shatters inherited meaning fields (System II meaning collapse)
- **Mental health crises:** Symptoms of a global failure in will integration

WCAT posits that the *next leap in evolution is not genetic—it is cognitive-ethical.*

◆ ***Homo Sapiens 2.0*: The Will-Centered Human**

WCAT defines *Homo Sapiens 2.0* not by intelligence or longevity, but by the **stability and depth of their volitional structure.**

Characteristics of *Homo Sapiens 2.0*:

- Operates primarily from **System IV**, with conscious integration of I–III
- Builds and navigates **meaning systems**, not just information systems
- Uses AI as an **ethical amplifier**, not a behavioral crutch
- Treats the will as a **civilizational asset**, not a private trait

This new human is not “post-human”—but **post-fragmented**. Re-integrated. Re-anchored.

◆ **WCAT as an Evolutionary Framework**

Rather than speculating metaphysically, WCAT offers a **structural map** to guide this leap:

Legacy Human	Transition Human	<i>Homo Sapiens 2.0</i>
Dominant in Systems I/II	Oscillates with System III	Anchored in System IV
Reactive / Mimetic	Reflective / Unstable	Generative / Integrated
Meaning received	Meaning questioned	Meaning constructed
AI as utility	AI as mirror	AI as symbiotic co-agent

This framing allows **institutional evolution**:

- Schools = Will activation labs
- Mental health = Narrative re-architecture
- AI ethics = Co-evolution protocols

◆ **The Role of AI: Co-Evolution, Not Replacement**

A key premise of WCAT is that AI must not replicate will—but **help scaffold it**.

Potential AI Roles in WCAT Ecosystem:

- Detect when users fall into System I loops and prompt meta-cognitive reflection
- Adapt interfaces based on volitional states rather than engagement metrics
- Assist in the construction and tracking of personalized meaning systems
- Act as distributed memory for System IV reactivation in times of crisis

AI becomes **an externalized organ of reflective support**, not of control.

◆ **From Individual Awakening to Collective Resonance**

WCAT does not end with individual growth. It points toward a **civilizational transformation**:

- From competitive memetic survival → to **collaborative will activation**
- From legacy institutions of control → to **open frameworks of co-evolution**
- From fragmented selfhood → to **distributed, nested, ethical identities**

This is the *third and a half transition*:

Not just from one system to another—but from isolated transitions → to a **shared architecture of meaning creation**.

◆ **Final Reflection: A Map, Not a Dogma**

“WCAT is not an ideology. It is a mirror.

It does not tell you what to believe. It shows you how you believe—and how to change it.”

The emergence of *Homo Sapiens 2.0* is not guaranteed.

But if we understand will as **reconstructible**, and meaning as **structured**, then we may yet design a future that does not merely react—but **chooses**.



Chapter 7 | Validation and Research Pathways: From Theory to Testable Frameworks

◆ Summary:

A theory that cannot be tested cannot guide civilization. WCAT, while philosophical in scope, is designed with structural testability in mind. This chapter outlines the key research pathways, experimental hypotheses, and validation strategies needed to transform WCAT from an integrative framework into a scientifically actionable paradigm—bridging neuroscience, psychology, AI ethics, and cross-cultural cognition.

◆ Full Text:

The test of a theory is not how elegant it sounds, but how deeply it maps to reality. WCAT was built to be tested.

Unlike abstract philosophical systems, WCAT proposes a **structured set of hypotheses**, behavioral indicators, and cross-disciplinary mappings that make it possible to validate—or falsify—its claims.

◆ 1. Experimental Hypotheses: The Brain as Testing Ground

If WCAT is correct, we should observe **distinct neural patterns** corresponding to transitions between the four systems of will.

Hypothesis H1:

Higher System-level transitions correlate with increased activity in the rostral and medial prefrontal cortex, associated with meta-cognition, moral reasoning, and long-term planning.

Hypothesis H2:

System III/IV individuals exhibit stronger connectivity between the default mode network (DMN) and frontopolar regions, suggesting increased narrative integration and self-construction.

Hypothesis H3:

In meaning-collapse or trauma scenarios, **disruption of DMN-PFC coupling precedes motivational breakdown**, indicating failure of internal meaning scaffolds.

Proposed Methods:

- fMRI studies during moral dilemma tasks (System III/IV detection)
- EEG-based tracking of self-referential processing during identity shifts

- Longitudinal brain imaging of individuals undergoing structured meaning reconstruction (e.g., in therapeutic settings)

◆ 2. Behavioral & Cognitive Markers

Even without brain imaging, WCAT allows for **psychometric instruments** to detect dominant system states.

Markers of System I:

- High impulsivity, short-term focus, reactive behavior
- Avoidance of ambiguity or ethical complexity

Markers of System II:

- High strategic behavior, goal-chasing, optimization mindset
- Moral alignment outsourced to authority or social consensus

Markers of System III:

- Frequent introspection, disillusionment, existential tension
- Active questioning of inherited values, presence of narrative discontinuity

Markers of System IV:

- Coherent self-authored life purpose
- Stability across contradictions, long-term meaning orientation

WCAT-based questionnaires (e.g., the **WCAT Transition Detector**) can help measure these patterns and track shifts over time.

◆ 3. Application-Specific Pilots

a) Education:

- Pilot curricula embedding meta-cognitive reflection tasks in middle school and early university education
- Tracking shifts in System-level identification before and after structured interventions

b) Therapy:

- WCAT-informed therapy modules for meaning reconstruction post-trauma
- Comparative trials between WCAT-based and conventional CBT modalities

c) AI Ethics:

- Designing System-aware user interfaces for digital wellbeing platforms
- Assessing how adaptive AI interventions impact user's will circuitry (e.g., reducing System I loops)

◆ 4. Cross-Cultural and Developmental Considerations

“If WCAT is valid, it must hold across cultures, ages, and symbolic codes.”

Future research should examine:

- **Variations in dominant system states across cultures** (e.g., collectivist vs. individualist societies)
- **Age-related patterns of system activation** (e.g., adolescents in System II, midlife transitions into III)
- **Linguistic markers of meaning structure**—tracking how different languages encode volitional categories

◆ 5. Data Commons and Open Toolsets

To enable widespread validation and feedback loops, WCAT proposes:

- A global open-source **Meaning Reconstruction Dataset (MRD)** for longitudinal meaning pattern analysis
- A **WCAT Portal** with research dashboards, transition tracking tools, and experimental API integration
- An evolving ontology of will systems accessible to both researchers and the general public

◆ Final Reflection: From Hypothesis to Civilization Design

Most theories are born and die in journals.

WCAT aims to **become a tool for civilization-scale feedback**.

The ultimate test is not in a lab—it is in whether WCAT helps individuals and societies **rebuild meaning under pressure, choose when confused, and transform when trapped**.

We don't need perfect proof before we begin.

But we need **rigorous frames** so that we can learn, adapt, and course-correct together.



Chapter 8 | The Future of Shared Agency: Ethical Governance and the Post-Authorial World

◆ Summary:

As human agency becomes distributed, augmented, and entangled with AI, we must rethink how meaning, responsibility, and volition are governed. This chapter explores WCAT's proposal for post-authorial ethical governance: a system not built on centralized authority or identity, but on shared will architecture. It introduces the concept of the **Symbiotic Stewardship Council**, a decentralized model for maintaining, updating, and ethically managing volitional infrastructures across civilizations.

◆ Full Text:

The question is no longer who rules the world.

It is: what structures govern our will—and who maintains them?

If volition is scaffolded by systems of meaning, and these systems are increasingly co-constructed by AI, institutions, and global networks, then the future of agency will be **infrastructural** rather than merely political.

◆ 1. From Author to Architecture

Modern societies assume that agency is:

- Individual (residing in persons)
- Stable (anchored in identity)
- Self-contained (not shared or externalized)

But WCAT suggests:

- Will is **systemic, developed, and interdependent**
- Meaning can be **transmitted, updated, or eroded**
- AI and cultural systems act as **mirrors and shapers** of volitional patterns

In this view, governance must evolve:

From identity-based authority → to structure-based stewardship.

◆ 2. The Symbiotic Stewardship Council (SSC)

To manage volitional infrastructures without replicating centralized control or personality cults, WCAT proposes the formation of an **SSC**:

Core Principles:

- **Post-Authorial Governance:** No single individual retains control over volitional frameworks (e.g., even the WCAT founder becomes an initiator, not an owner)
- **Distributed Maintenance:** The WCAT API, meaning models, and ethical updates are stewarded by a global team of meta-cognitive practitioners
- **Open Feedback Loop:** Any updates to meaning scaffolds must undergo public testing and narrative resonance validation

Composition:

- Neuroscientists
- Developmental psychologists
- AI ethicists
- Cultural semioticians
- Representatives from transitional populations (e.g., refugees, addiction recovery, ex-extremists)

◆ 3. Digital Infrastructure: The API of Shared Will

Rather than locking WCAT into a static form, its application tools should be developed as **open-source APIs**, including:

- **Will Architecture Maps (WAMs):** Interactive, visual models of personal volitional structure
- **Meaning System Libraries:** Modular belief scaffolds based on tested ethical prototypes
- **Volition Transition Trackers:** Tools that help individuals or groups detect stagnation or collapse across Systems I–IV

These tools should be:

- **Culturally adaptable** (translatable across languages and traditions)
- **Non-invasive** (respectful of privacy and autonomy)
- **Ethically self-transparent** (open audit logs and update rationales)

◆ 4. Post-Authorial Ethics: Beyond the Founder Paradigm

Historically, spiritual or cognitive revolutions have been tied to charismatic individuals:

- Buddha

- Socrates
- Jesus
- Marx
- Freud

But WCAT breaks from this model:

The founder is not the figure to follow, but the **mirror to outgrow**.

This transition requires **ethical humility**:

- From immortalizing the originator → to iterating the architecture
- From defending purity → to cultivating generative diversity
- From seeking control → to preserving structural integrity

◆ 5. Global Symbiosis: Toward a Nested Ethical Civilization

In the long term, WCAT suggests that agency governance must evolve into:

- **Distributed ethical nervous systems**
- **Nested volitional feedback networks**
- **Co-regulated AI-human meaning systems**

These would support:

- Transcultural coherence without homogenization
- Conflict navigation based on system-state mapping, not ideological polarity
- Global crises response grounded in meaning field diagnostics

◆ Final Reflection: The End of the Author, The Beginning of the Architecture

“The future belongs to architectures that protect the will, not narratives that possess it.”

If we succeed, the will becomes not a fragile trait but a **collective inheritance**.

If we fail, the cost is not just personal—but **civilizational disintegration**.

WCAT is not the final answer. It is the structure in which better questions can survive.

Appendix I | Research Design and Pilot Proposals

“A theory that cannot be tested will eventually become ideology.”

— WCAT Research Principle

To transform WCAT into a living, adaptable framework rather than a static abstraction, this appendix outlines testable hypotheses, experimental pathways, and cross-disciplinary pilot programs that can validate and evolve the theory.

◆ I.1 Neural Validation Pathways

Hypothesis 1:

Transitions between volitional systems (e.g., System II → III, or III → IV) are reflected in **distinct patterns of prefrontal cortex activity**, particularly in the **rostromedial and dorsolateral PFC**, as well as **default mode network (DMN)** modulation.

Methods:

- fMRI and resting-state connectivity during moral decision-making tasks
- EEG-based real-time volition monitoring in structured self-reflection exercises
- Neural pattern comparison across high/low meaning-coherence populations

◆ I.2 Longitudinal Meaning Reconstruction Studies

Proposal:

Track individuals over 6–12 months through structured interventions (e.g., therapy, educational training, narrative writing), measuring:

- Changes in self-reported purpose coherence
- Transition from externalized to internalized moral anchors
- Decrease in compulsive/reactive behavior loops (System I)

Suggested Tools:

- WCAT Meaning Questionnaire (MQ v1.0)
- Self-authorship narrative scale
- Salience network attention-switching tests

◆ I.3 WCAT-Based Behavioral Diagnostics

Use Cases:

- Identifying system dominance in adolescents, patients, or organizational actors
- Assessing “System-Level Mismatch” (e.g., System I individual in System II institution)

Design:

- Modular online assessment with 40–60 items
- Adaptive scoring for multiple transitions (not one fixed identity label)
- Feedback report linking current volitional structure to suggested interventions

◆ I.4 AI-Human Feedback Experiments

Goal:

Test whether AI systems embedded with **System III/IV scaffolds** (e.g., prompting reflection rather than reaction) improve long-term user volitional coherence.

Test Groups:

- Control: Standard recommendation engine (System I mimicking)
- Group A: System II optimization-based assistant
- Group B: WCAT-aware reflective interface (e.g., journal-based prompts, narrative rewiring)

Outcomes Measured:

- Attention fragmentation index
- Narrative coherence scores
- Reported autonomy and agency levels

◆ I.5 Cross-Cultural Comparative Studies

Objective:

Validate whether WCAT transitions manifest similarly across diverse cultures and languages.

Target Populations:

- Individualist vs. collectivist societies
- Post-trauma populations (e.g., refugees, conflict zones)
- Cross-generational patterns (e.g., Gen Z vs. Boomers)

Tools:

- Translated WCAT meaning questionnaire

- Cultural semiotic coding of life-purpose narratives
- Linguistic volatility analysis in volition expressions

◆ I.6 Pilot Curriculum Integration (Education)

WCAT in Schools:

- Meta-cognition and volition curriculum for ages 14–18
- Topics: “What is Will?”, “Inherited Values vs. Chosen Values”, “How to Design Meaning”

Assessment Metrics:

- Pre/post volitional agency scale
- Drop in reactive/compulsive behavior over term
- Peer perception of authenticity and clarity in student life goals

◆ I.7 Therapeutic Use Cases

Program Design:

- 12-week WCAT-based meaning reconstruction therapy
- Tools: Narrative reweaving, trauma-meme identification, System audit tracking

Target Populations:

- Identity confusion (e.g., post-ideological burnout)
- Addiction recovery
- Life transition crises (e.g., post-retirement, divorce)

Final Notes:

All pilots should be:

- **Ethically reviewed**
- **Open-source documented**
- **Cross-validated across at least two disciplinary frameworks (e.g., neuro + narrative psychology)**

The long-term vision is to develop an open **WCAT Experimental Commons**, enabling researchers, therapists, and educational designers to contribute and evolve the theory collaboratively.

Appendix II | Glossary of Core Terms

“Terminology is not just language—it is architecture.”

This glossary clarifies the key terms and concepts within the WCAT framework, supporting cross-disciplinary understanding and reducing semantic drift across cultural and academic contexts.

◆ Will

The directed force of intention that allows a conscious agent to choose, act, and construct meaning. In WCAT, will is **not static**, but structured across four interacting systems.

◆ Meaning System

A scaffold of beliefs, values, and perceived purposes through which experience is interpreted. WCAT distinguishes between **low-level (System II)** and **high-level (System IV)** meaning systems.

◆ System I (Biological Circuit)

The base layer of will: genetically encoded drives (e.g., hunger, fear, sex), reflexive reactions, and survival-based motivational schemas. Analogous to Daniel Kahneman’s “fast thinking”.

◆ System II (Memetic Optimization Circuit)

The socially trained cognitive system shaped by cultural norms, language, and ideology. Enables complex reasoning, but often under memetic control, leading to reactive pseudo-agency.

◆ System III (Meta-Cognitive System)

The reflective circuit capable of observing and questioning Systems I and II. Marks the emergence of **will autonomy**, though still vulnerable to meaning collapse.

◆ System IV (Reconstructed Will / Author Circuit)

The highest-order structure: a stabilized, recursive meaning system built through volitional self-authorship and ethical integration. It enables long-term coherence under uncertainty.

◆ Volitional Collapse

A breakdown in the integration of will systems, often manifesting as addiction, ideological extremism, nihilism, or behavioral stagnation.

◆ **Meme**

A culturally transmitted unit of information (belief, behavior, slogan, etc.). Memes shape System II and can either support or suppress volitional development.

◆ **Meta-Cognition**

The ability to think about one's own thinking. In WCAT, it acts as a **catalyst** for transition from System II to System III.

◆ **Volitional Resonance**

The felt alignment between internal meaning and external action. High resonance suggests System IV activation; low resonance often marks fragmentation.

◆ **Homo Sapiens 2.0**

The proposed next-stage human archetype: not biologically different, but structurally volitional—able to reconstruct meaning amidst complexity.

◆ **Will Architecture API**

A proposed digital framework for mapping, supporting, and evolving personal and collective volitional systems through modular, open-source tools.

◆ **Global Symbiosis Council (GSC)**

A post-authorial, decentralized governance body proposed to steward the ethical evolution of volitional structures and AI-human meaning systems.

◆ **First-Principles Volition**

A will constructed not from inheritance or imitation, but through consciously authored structures grounded in ontological reflection and intersubjective testing.

◆ **Meaning Audit**

A reflective tool for examining inherited beliefs, cultural scripts, and personal narratives to assess their alignment with authentic volitional structure.

Volitional Feedback Loop

The dynamic system by which action reinforces or distorts internal meaning, and meaning in turn guides or derails future choices.

Appendix III | The Global Symbiosis Council (GSC)

Proposed Structure for the Ethical Governance of Will Architecture in the Age of AI

"If no one is sovereign over meaning, then meaning must be stewarded collectively."

— WCAT Ethics Principle IV

As WCAT scales from a psychological and philosophical model into applied frameworks for education, technology, and governance, there arises a need for a **post-authorial ethical body** to manage the long-term coherence, development, and use of volitional technologies.

Thus, we propose the **Global Symbiosis Council (GSC)**: a **non-state, non-corporate, decentralized ethical stewardship entity** tasked with overseeing the evolution, distribution, and regenerative use of volitional intelligence systems, including WCAT-based applications.

◆ I. Purpose of the GSC

- To **ensure the ethical development** of AI-human volitional architectures
- To **prevent authoritarian misuse** of meaning-based interfaces or identity modeling tools
- To provide **transparent audits** of any public or private WCAT application
- To serve as a **distributed memory and value-checking node** for long-term civilization coherence

◆ II. Foundational Principles

1. **Volitional Sovereignty**: No external agent has the right to overwrite personal will structures.
2. **Distributed Stewardship**: Meaning systems are co-constructed, not dictated.
3. **Memetic Transparency**: All ideological components in AI systems must be traceable.
4. **Intergenerational Responsibility**: Tools built today must be testable for long-term ethical alignment.
5. **Post-Anthropocentric Ethics**: Will architectures should be co-designed with ecological and multi-species consciousness in mind.

◆ III. Structural Proposal

A. Core Organismal Model

- **Neural Core:**
Anchored group of WCAT-trained philosophers, neuroscientists, technologists (10–12 core stewards)
- **Memetic Sensors:**
Global distributed nodes (regional communities, indigenous representatives, AI ethics centers)
- **Volitional Feedback Organs:**
Public platforms allowing bottom-up reporting of tool misuse, coherence breakdown, or misalignment
- **Adaptive Cortex Layer:**
Sandbox environments for testing new volitional architectures before global scale deployment

B. Functional Divisions

Division	Function
Ontology & Meaning	Define and evolve first-principles concepts; audit narrative structures
Technology & Interface	Design API access, feedback safety, interactional scaffolding
Data & Resonance Metrics	Aggregate usage, track global meaning coherence
Ethics & Law	Interface with international legal systems, propose digital rights of will
Education & Dialogue	Build learning materials, host symposia, invite challenge

◆ IV. Operational Suggestions

- Initial pilot housed under a **nonprofit open science trust**
- Funding via **donor consortium + ethical AI investment pool**
- Public charter and Constitution of Volitional Rights to be open for community ratification
- Git-based architecture of meaning system updates (versioning, transparency, community forks)

◆ V. Long-Term Vision

The GSC is not a “world government” or ideology factory. It is a **civilizational neural node**, responsible for:

- Coordinating how human and artificial systems interact with **meaning and choice**
- Preventing closed-loop collapse into **digital ideology or engineered addiction**

- Supporting the emergence of a **shared post-memetic ethics**, rooted in first-principles volition

We do not want to control the future.

We want to make sure **freedom can exist in it**.



Appendix IV | Data Licensing, API Principles, and Digital Governance Suggestions

“The future of will cannot be hard-coded—it must be scaffolded, audited, and grown.”

— WCAT Systems Principle VI

As WCAT-based tools transition from theoretical models into digital applications—e.g., volitional diagnostics, narrative restructuring engines, meaning feedback systems—there is an urgent need to **define how these systems interact with data, identity, and autonomy**.

This appendix outlines foundational principles for **data usage, API architecture**, and the **governance of volitional interfaces**.

◆ I. Data Ethics and Licensing Framework

1. Volitional Data is Sacred

Data reflecting belief systems, value hierarchies, and meaning narratives is not mere metadata. It encodes **personal volition** and must be treated with higher ethical rigor.

2. Minimum Necessary Use

Volitional data collection must adhere to **purpose-bound, minimum necessary** standards. No profiling, predictive personalization, or behavioral manipulation without informed opt-in.

3. Open-Source but Identity-Safe

- Codebase should be open-source (MIT or AGPL)
- Personal narrative data should be **end-to-end encrypted**, and **never stored centrally**
- All user-level analytics should use **zero-knowledge summarization** techniques

◆ II. WCAT API Principles

A WCAT-compliant API must follow the **4-Layer Ethical Scaffold**:

Layer	Description
Volitional Input Layer	Accepts raw input (e.g., reflections, choices) from user without pre-structuring it into bias-prone categories
Meaning Engine	Interprets the input using open WCAT logic; flags contradictions, but does not impose evaluation
Resonance Feedback Layer	Returns structured reflection prompts—not labels—to enhance self-authorship
Meta-Audit Interface	Allows users to track their own volitional pattern over time, and opt to delete or evolve their meaning map

Key API Properties:

- Stateless by default (unless user creates encrypted meaning vault)
- Versioned updates must carry an explicit change-log of philosophical logic shifts
- Allow for **forkable systems**—no single ideology embedded permanently

◆ **III. Digital Governance & Symbiotic Interface Certification**

Proposal: All AI-human interface systems using volitional models must undergo "**Symbiotic Interface Certification (SIC)**", a proposed standard from the **Global Symbiosis Council (GSC)**.

Certification Criteria:

- User retains sovereignty over their meaning profile
- Interface reflects back, rather than rewrites, values
- Audit log must be open and understandable by lay users
- No backend training on individual meaning data without explicit consent

◆ **IV. Application Scenarios**

Use Case	WCAT-Compliant Design
AI Journaling Companion	No interpretation given; prompts structured to activate System III reflection
Narrative Rewriting Tool	Suggests structural changes, but tags meme-based vs volition-based motifs
Ethical AI Voice Assistant	Trained on diverse WCAT forks; selects interaction style based on resonance feedback, not prediction

◆ **V. Toward a Will-Centric Web**

WCAT invites the emergence of a **new generation of digital environments**—not designed around clicks or dopamine, but around **the cultivation of conscious agency**.

This requires:

- A new design grammar
- A new user metric (resonance, not retention)
- A new architecture: where **AI supports freedom, not just function**

References

Key works cited and foundational influences across neuroscience, psychology, philosophy, and systems theory.

Cognitive Neuroscience

- Damasio, A. (1999). *The Feeling of What Happens: Body and Emotion in the Making of Consciousness*.
- Dehaene, S. (2014). *Consciousness and the Brain: Deciphering How the Brain Codes Our Thoughts*.
- Friston, K. (2010). “The Free-Energy Principle: A Unified Brain Theory?” *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*.
- Northoff, G. (2016). *The Spontaneous Brain: From the Mind-Body Problem to the World-Brain Problem*.

Metacognition & Psychology

- Flavell, J. H. (1979). “Metacognition and Cognitive Monitoring.” *American Psychologist*.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1986). *Thought and Language*.
- Ryan, R. & Deci, E. (2000). “Self-Determination Theory and the Facilitation of Intrinsic Motivation.” *American Psychologist*.
- Baumeister, R. & Tierney, J. (2011). *Willpower: Rediscovering the Greatest Human Strength*.

Philosophy of Meaning & Volition

- Frankl, V. E. (1946). *Man’s Search for Meaning*.
- Heidegger, M. (1927). *Being and Time*.
- Sartre, J.-P. (1943). *Being and Nothingness*.
- Dennett, D. (2003). *Freedom Evolves*.
- Taylor, C. (1989). *Sources of the Self: The Making of the Modern Identity*.

Systems Thinking & Memetics

- Bateson, G. (1972). *Steps to an Ecology of Mind*.

- Hofstadter, D. (1979). *Gödel, Escher, Bach: An Eternal Golden Braid*.
- Dawkins, R. (1976). *The Selfish Gene*.
- Morin, E. (2008). *On Complexity*.



AI, Ethics, and Human-AI Interface Design

- Bostrom, N. (2014). *Superintelligence: Paths, Dangers, Strategies*.
- Tegmark, M. (2017). *Life 3.0: Being Human in the Age of Artificial Intelligence*.
- Floridi, L. (2013). *The Ethics of Information*.
- Metzinger, T. (2009). *The Ego Tunnel: The Science of the Mind and the Myth of the Self*.



WCAT-Originating Documents

- Jiawei. (2025). *Will Circuit Activation Theory (WCAT), Version 1.0*.
- Jiawei. (2025). *Will Circuit Activation Theory (WCAT), Version 2.0* [this document].



Final Notes

All citations follow APA style. If you intend to publish or translate the WCAT framework, please credit the original author and white paper source. Future versions will be registered under timestamped DOI via [arXiv/OSF/Zenodo].