## Midterm 1, Financial Econometrics, Econ 40357 University of Notre Dame Prof. Mark

Tuesday October 15, 2019

Choose the best answer.

- 1. Suppose  $y_t = y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ , where  $\epsilon_t \stackrel{iid}{\sim} (0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2)$ . The impulse response at time t = 1, 2, 3, 4 for a shock of size  $\epsilon_1 = 1$  are,
  - (a) 1, 0.9,  $(0.9)^2$ ,  $(0.9)^3$
  - (b) 1, 0.8, 0, 0
  - (c) 1, 1, 1, 1
  - (d) 1, 0, 0, 0
- 2. Suppose  $y_t = \rho y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ , where  $\rho = 0.9$  and  $\epsilon_t \stackrel{iid}{\sim} (0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2)$ . The impulse response at time t = 1, 2, 3, 4 for a shock of size  $\epsilon_1 = 1$  are,
  - (a) 1, 0.9,  $(0.9)^2$ ,  $(0.9)^3$
  - (b) 1, 0.8, 0, 0
  - (c) 1, 1, 1, 1
  - (d) 1, 0, 0, 0
- 3. Suppose  $X_t$  has the normal distribution with mean  $\mu = 100$  and variance  $\sigma^2 = 20$ . Then  $\frac{E(X_t \mu)^3}{\sigma^3} = \frac{E(X_t 100)^3}{20^3}$  is
  - (a) Negative
  - (b) Positive
  - (c) 0
  - (d) 1
- 4. Suppose  $X_t$  has the student-t distribution with 4 degrees of freedom. It has mean  $\mu=100$  and variance  $\sigma^2=20$ . Then  $\frac{E(X_t-\mu)^3}{\sigma^3}=\frac{E(X_t-100)^3}{20^3}$  is
  - (a) Negative
  - (b) Positive
  - (c) 0
  - (d) 1
- 5. Suppose  $X_t$  has the student-t distribution with 4 degrees of freedom. It has mean  $\mu = 100$  and variance  $\sigma^2 = 20$ . Then  $\frac{E(X_t \mu)4}{\sigma^4} = \frac{E(X_t 100)^4}{20^4}$  is
  - (a) Negative
  - (b) Positive, but less than 3
  - (c) Positive, but greater than 3
  - (d) 1

- 6. Suppose Kai runs the Jarque-Bera test on a sample of data. Kai gets a value for the test statistic of 30 (the 5% critical value for a  $\chi^2_2$  is 5.99). He concludes
  - (a) The observations are not independent
  - (b) The observations are not stationary
  - (c) The observations are not normally distributed
  - (d) The observations are not persistent
- 7. Let  $x_t$  and  $y_t$  be stationary time series. When Louie runs the regression  $y_t = \alpha + \beta x_t + \epsilon_t$ , the slope is his estimator of
  - (a)  $\frac{Cov(y_t, x_t)}{Var(x_t)}$
  - (b)  $\frac{Cov(y_t, x_t)}{Var(y_t)}$
  - (c)  $\frac{Cov(y_{t}, x_{t})}{\sqrt{Var(y_{t})}} \sqrt{Var(x_{t})}$
  - (d)  $E(y_t) \beta E(x_t)$
- 8. Suppose  $y_t = \rho y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ , where  $0 < \rho < 1$ , and  $\epsilon_t \stackrel{iid}{\sim} (0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2)$ . The optimal forecast of  $y_{t+3}$ , formed at time t is,
  - (a)  $y_t$
  - (b)  $\rho y_t$
  - (c)  $\rho y_{t+2}$
  - (d)  $\rho^3 y_t$
- 9. Suppose  $y_t = \theta_1 \epsilon_t + \theta_2 \epsilon_{t-1} + \theta_3 \epsilon_{t-3}$ , where  $\epsilon_t \stackrel{iid}{\sim} (0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2)$ , and  $\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 = 1.2$ .
  - (a)  $y_t$  has a unit root
  - (b)  $y_t$  is nonstationary
  - (c)  $y_t$  is stationary
  - (d)  $y_t$  is i.i.d.
- 10. Suppose  $y_t = \epsilon_t + \theta_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2 \epsilon_{t-2}$ , where  $\epsilon_t \stackrel{iid}{\sim} (0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2)$ , and  $\theta_1 + \theta_2 = 0.8$ . The optimal forecast of  $y_{t+3}$  at time t is,
  - (a)  $\theta_1 \epsilon_{t+3} + \theta_2 \epsilon_{t+2} + \theta_3 \epsilon_{t+1}$
  - (b)  $\theta_1 \epsilon_t$
  - (c) 0
  - (d)  $y_t$

- 11. Consider the regression  $y_t = \alpha + \beta x_t + \epsilon_t$  where  $y_t$  and  $x_t$  are stationary time series. The t-ratio is  $\tilde{\beta}/\text{se}(\hat{\beta})$ . That is, the least-squares estimate divided by its standard error. Typically, the Newey-West t-ratio will be smaller than the usual (standard) t-ratio because
  - (a) The assumptions under which Newey-West is derived are more restrictive than that for the standard t-ratio
  - (b) The assumptions under which Newey-West is derived are less restrictive than that for the standard t-ratio
  - (c) The estimate of  $\beta$  under Newey-West is usually smaller than under standard least squares
  - (d) None of the above
- 12. In maximum likelihood estimation, the parameters of the model are chosen such that
  - (a) under the assumed model, we are most likely to have observed the actual data
  - (b) under the alternative hypothesis, we would be most likely to have observed the actual data
  - (c) the t-ratios are valid whether the observations are stationary or nonstationary
  - (d) None of the above
- 13. In the AIC,  $\ln\left(\hat{\sigma}_{\epsilon}^2\right) + \frac{2k}{T}$ ,  $\ln\left(\hat{\sigma}_{\epsilon}^2\right)$  is,
  - (a) apart from a factor of proportionality, the likelihood function
  - (b) apart from a factor of proportionality, the negative of the likelihood function
  - (c) apart from a factor of proportionality, the logarithm of the likelihood function
  - (d) apart from a factor of proportionality, the negative of the logarithm of the likelihood function
- 14. In the AIC,  $\ln(\hat{\sigma}_{\epsilon}^2) + \frac{2k}{T}$ , the  $\frac{2k}{T}$  part
  - (a) is a penalty for adding parameters to the model
  - (b) is a reward for adding parameters to the model
  - (c) is the likelihood function
  - (d) is the log likelihood function
- 15. Suppose  $y_t = y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ , and  $x_t = x_{t-1} + \nu_t$ , where  $\epsilon_t \stackrel{iid}{\sim} (0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2)$ , and  $\nu_t \stackrel{iid}{\sim} (0, \sigma_{\nu}^2)$ . Sookie regresses  $y_t$  on  $x_t$ . As the sample size increases,
  - (a) the slope coefficient converges to 0
  - (b) the slope-coefficient diverges (it goes to infinity)
  - (c) the t-ratio will indicate significance
  - (d) the t-ratio will converge to 0
- 16. Suppose  $y_t = y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ , and  $x_t = x_{t-1} + \nu_t$ , where  $\epsilon_t \stackrel{iid}{\sim} (0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2)$ , and  $\nu_t \stackrel{iid}{\sim} (0, \sigma_{\nu}^2)$ . We regress  $\Delta y_t$  on  $\Delta x_t$ . As the sample size increases,
  - (a) the slope coefficient converges to 0
  - (b) the slope-coefficient diverges (it goes to infinity)
  - (c) the t-ratio will indicate significance
  - (d) the t-ratio will converge to 0

- 17. Suppose Kai runs an Augmented Dickey-Fuller test on the regression  $\Delta y_t = \alpha + \beta y_{t-1} + \delta_1 \Delta y_{t-1} + \delta_2 \Delta y_{t-2} + \delta_3 \Delta y_{t-3} + \epsilon_t$ . He is testing
  - (a)  $\beta = \delta_1 = \delta_2 = \delta_3 = 1$
  - (b)  $\beta = 0$
  - (c)  $|\beta + \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3| < 1$
  - (d)  $|\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3| < 1$
- 18. Louie runs the predictive regression  $\sum_{j=1}^{10} r_{t+j}^e = \alpha + \beta \left(\frac{d_t}{p_t}\right) + \epsilon_{t+10}$  where  $r_t^e$  is the one-year excess return on the market, and  $d_t/p_t$  is the dividend yield on the market. Louie finds  $\hat{\beta} > 0$  and statistically significant with Newey-West t-ratio. Louie can conclude, this is evidence of
  - (a) a pro-cyclical risk premium
  - (b) a counter-cyclical risk premium
  - (c) the Newey-West t-ratio is biased upwards
  - (d) the beta-risk model doesn't work
- 19. If excess returns are given by the single-factor representation,  $r_{t,i}^e = \alpha_i + \beta_i f_t + \epsilon_{t,i}$  for each asset i = 1, ..., n, where  $r_{t,i}^e$  is the excess return on asset i and  $f_t$  is factor, then
  - (a)  $\beta_i$  is asset i's exposure to the (risk) factor
  - (b) The mean excess returns of these assets vary proportionally to their betas
  - (c)  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \cdots = \alpha_n = 0$
  - (d) all of the above
- 20. (pure extra credit) In Louie's regression from question 18, the regression error
  - (a) follows an MA(10)
  - (b) follows an MA(9)
  - (c) is independent and identically distributed
  - (d) is serially uncorrelated