

**Please read each question carefully.** Start each question on a new bluebook page. The use of calculators and other computational aides is not allowed. Good luck!

- [1] **[10 Points]** Please write your full name on this exam sheet and turn it in with your bluebook.
- [2] **[30 Points]** You've been hired by the Government of Honduras to assess the efficacy of treatment for decompression sickness among lobster divers in La Moskitia. In this region of Honduras lobsters are harvested by divers who, on occasion, get decompression sickness which may result in partial paralysis or worse. You are provided the following table of information about 300 diving accident victims.

		$Y = 0$ (No Limp)	$Y = 1$ (Limp)
$X = 0$ (Untreated)	$W = 0$ (Depth $< 75'$ )	90	10
	$W = 1$ (Depth $\geq 75'$ )	10	40
$X = 1$ (Treated)	$W = 0$ (Depth $< 75'$ )	30	20
	$W = 1$ (Depth $\geq 75'$ )	50	50

- [a] **[5 Points]** What is the probability of a victim walking with a limp conditional on treatment ( $X = 1$ ) and non-treatment ( $X = 0$ )?
- [b] **[5 Points]** What is the probability of a victim receiving treatment conditional on having dived "deep" ( $W = 1$ ) vs. "shallow" ( $W = 0$ )?
- [c] **[5 Points]** A government official worries that treatment is harming the divers and thinks it would be better to do nothing. Present a counter-argument to this official.
- [d] **[5 Points]** Let  $Y(0)$  and  $Y(1)$  denote a divers potential outcome given non-treatment and treatment respectively. Discuss the conditional independence assumption

$$(Y(0), Y(1)) \perp X | W = 0, 1.$$

Make a positive and negative argument for this assumption.

- [e] **[5 Points]** Using the assumption in part [d] construct the IPW estimate of the average treatment effect (ATE) on the outcome. Report your result to the government official. Your report should include an explanation for why and how your are adjusting for accident depth. Is treatment effective?
- [f] **[5 Points]** Say instead you were given the table:

		$Y = 0$ (No Limp)	$Y = 1$ (Limp)
$X = 0$ (Untreated)	$W = 0$ (Depth $< 75'$ )	90	10
	$W = 1$ (Depth $\geq 75'$ )	0	0
$X = 1$ (Treated)	$W = 0$ (Depth $< 75'$ )	30	20
	$W = 1$ (Depth $\geq 75'$ )	75	75

Can you compute the ATE in this case? Why or why not?

- [3] **[10 Points]** The Undergraduate Dean has been collecting data on the high school GPA ( $X$ ) of incoming students for a long, long time. She has also kept track of 1st semester GPA ( $Y$ ) for incoming students

over the same period of time. She would like to be able to predict 1st semester GPA for incoming students using their high school GPA. She reports to you the following means, variances and a covariance for  $X$  and  $Y$ :

$$\mu_X = \frac{12}{5}, \mu_Y = 2$$

and

$$\sigma_X^2 = 1/6, \sigma_Y^2 = 1/4, \sigma_{XY} = 1/5.$$

Because she has collected such a large sample you are free to treat these numbers as if they were population quantities.

[a] **[5 Points]** Calculate the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  associated with the (mean square error minimizing) linear predictor of  $Y$  given  $X$ ,  $\mathbb{E}^*[Y|X] = \alpha + \beta X$ ?

[b] **[5 Points]** What is the coefficient of determination associated with  $\mathbb{E}^*[Y|X]$ ?

[4] **[20 Points]** The World Health Organization has contracted you to design a randomized experiment evaluating the efficacy of zinc supplements on diarrhea prevalence (measured as the number of episodes in the one hundred days prior to surveying). Let  $Y(1)$  be the potential number of episodes of diarrhea if taking zinc supplements and  $Y(0)$  the control potential outcome. A baseline survey of your target population yields a diarrhea prevalence of 10 days per one hundred days with a standard deviation of 5 days. Let  $N$  be your target sample size and assume that half of respondents will be randomly assigned to treatment. Assume that the variance of  $Y(1)$  and  $Y(0)$  are equal to each other. Also assume that no respondents in your baseline survey were taking zinc supplements.

[a] **[10 Points]** Derive an expression for the ex ante probability ( $\beta$ ) that you reject the null of no effect in favor of a *one-sided* alternative of a negative effect (i.e., treatment reduces diarrhea). Let  $\alpha$  denote the size of your test and  $\theta$  the ATE. Carefully explain your reasoning and notation **[4-6 sentences]**.

[b] **[5 Points]** Assume that  $\theta = -4$ . How large would  $N$  need to be to ensure an ex ante rejection probability of 95 percent (for a test with size  $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

[c] **[5 Points]** You ultimately design an experiment with power of  $\beta = 0.90$  and size  $\alpha = 0.05$ . In the end you find no effect of zinc supplements on the prevalence of diarrhea (i.e., you fail to reject the null of no effect). Prior to the experiment you believed that the probability that zinc supplements reduced the prevalence of diarrhea was 0.9. What is your belief after your null finding? Explain **[2-4 sentences]**.

[5] **[30 Points]** Consider the following statistical model for the earnings of Berkeley students

$$Y = \alpha + \beta G + \gamma A + U, \mathbb{E}[U|G, A] = 0,$$

where  $G$  equals one if the student graduated and zero if they dropped out and  $A$  equals one if at least one of the student's parents graduated from college and zero otherwise.

[a] **[5 Points]** You read in the Oakland Tribune newspaper that Berkeley graduates earn an average of \$75,000 per year nationwide, while the earnings of dropouts average only \$15,000. Express this population earnings difference between Berkeley graduates and dropouts in terms of the statistical model given above.

[b] **[5 Points]** Under what conditions is it true that  $\beta = \$60,000$ ? Do you think these conditions are likely to be true in practice? Briefly explain your answer **[2-4 sentences]**.

[c] **[5 Points]** The same article reports that among Berkeley graduates, three fourths come from families where at least one parent completed college, while among all former students (i.e., graduates and

dropouts) only seven twelfths come from such families. It also states that the overall (i.e., unconditional) graduation rate at Berkeley is two-thirds. Among dropouts, what fraction come from families where at least one parent completed college?

[d] **[5 Points]** Assume  $\gamma = \$25,000$ . Using your answers in parts (a) and (c) solve for  $\beta$ . What is the expected earnings gain associated with graduating from Berkeley holding parent's education (i.e., A) constant? Briefly comment on why your answer differs from the earnings gap between graduates and dropouts reported by the Tribune **[2-4 sentences]**.

[e] **[5 Points]** You are considering dropping out of Cal to spend more time on Telegraph Avenue. What is the (approximate) expected earnings loss associated with this decision? Explain **[2-4 sentences]**.

[f] **[5 Points]** You move to Oakland upon graduation, your neighbor to the left tells you that he dropped out of Berkeley during the Free Speech Movement, your neighbor to the right tells you that he graduated from Berkeley about the same time. What is your expectation of the annual earnings of your two neighbors? Explain **[2-4 sentences]**.