

Figure 7: Comparison between NUTS and our samplers for stochastic volatility model with diagonal metric adaptation (tuned by Rstan's default setting): The x-axis in each plot corresponds to the desired accept probability δ of the primal-dual averaging algorithm. The y-axis is the minimum ESS per gradient for each parameter group. ESS of samples of NUTS, eHMC, eHMCq and eHMCu is estimated by ess of R package mcmcse.




Figure 8: Comparison between NUTS and our samplers for stochastic volatility model with diagonal metric adaptation (tuned by Rstan's default setting): The x-axis in each plot corresponds to the desired accept probability δ of the primal-dual averaging algorithm. The y-axis is the minimum ESS per gradient for each parameter group. ESS of samples of NUTS, eHMC, eHMCq and eHMCu is estimated by effectiveSize of R package coda.




Figure 9: Comparison between NUTS and our samplers for stochastic volatility model with diagonal metric adaptation (tuned by Rstan's default setting): The x-axis in each plot corresponds to the desired accept probability δ of the primal-dual averaging algorithm. The y-axis is the ESJD per gradient for each parameter group.