Phys 772: Week 3 Tuesday * QED: 2 = - 1 FMV Fmv (manless vector field) + \$\varphi(iD-me)\$ (fermion field) + $(D_{\mu}\varphi)^{+}(D_{\mu}\varphi)^{-} - m_{\mu}^{2}\varphi^{+}\varphi$ (realar)
with $D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + i \varphi A_{\mu}$ with $A_{\mu}\varphi = -e$ $\Rightarrow \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\nu\nu} + \overline{4}(i\partial_{\mu} - m_{e})\varphi$ $+ (\partial_{\mu} \varphi)^{\dagger} (\partial_{\mu} \varphi) - w_{\pi}^{2} \varphi^{\dagger} \varphi$ +eA \overline{T} $\gamma \gamma \gamma +$ $(j\varphi)$ $-ie(\varphi^{\dagger})\gamma \gamma - (\partial \gamma \varphi)^{\dagger}$ $(j\varphi)$ Feynman rules from i d:

i e yr

i e yr

i e yr

e

i e yr

i m + (p;) $\frac{i(k+m)}{k^2-m^2+i\epsilon} \qquad \frac{i}{k^2-m^2+i\epsilon}$

onno - Lgab ξ ε_ν ξ ε^χ 1 1 1 1 u tu ~ Compton scattering: y(k,) e(p,) → y (kz) e (pz) $\left(\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}} \right) = \frac{e(p_{2})}{k_{1}} + \frac{e(p_{2})}{k_{2}} = \frac{e(p_{2})}{k_{2}} = \frac{e(p_{2})}{k_{1}}$ $\gamma(k_1)$ $e(p_1)$ $\gamma(k_1)$ $\gamma(k_1)$ $\gamma(k_1)$ $M = \overline{u}_{2} \varepsilon_{2}^{*} \left(ie \gamma^{n}\right) \frac{i \left(k+m\right)}{h^{2} - m^{2} + i \varepsilon} \left(ie \gamma^{v}\right) u_{1} \varepsilon_{1} v_{2}$ + $\frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{4} (ie)^{k} \frac{(ie)^{k}}{k^{2}-m^{2}+i\epsilon} \frac{(ie)^{k}}{k^{2}-m^{2}-i\epsilon} \frac{(ie)^{k}}{k^{2}-m^{2}-i\epsilon} \frac{(ie)^{k}}{k^{2}-m^{2}-i\epsilon} \frac{(ie)^{k}}{k^{2}-m^{2}-i$ = M = 12 12 | M | averaged over initial 27,22 1,52 summed over final

Polarization directions: $\sum_{\lambda=1}^{2} \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(\bar{p}, \lambda) \mathcal{E}^{\nu}(\bar{p}, \lambda) = -g^{\mu\nu} + f^{\mu}p_{\lambda}^{\nu}$ with p= (Ep, p) Because of gange invariance second term does not contribute: p.p.= 2E2 pr Mr =0 con just use - gnv for any octemal not true for m + 0 recks fields: $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon r(\bar{p}, \lambda) \varepsilon^{\nu} \star (\bar{p}, \lambda) = -gr^{\nu} + fr^{\nu}$ $\Rightarrow M^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda_{1}} \xi_{1}^{*} \xi_{2} \xi_{3} \sum_{\lambda_{1}} \xi_{1}^{*} \xi_{1} \xi_{1}^{*}$ $= \sum_{\lambda_{1}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}} \xi_{1}^{*} \xi_{2} \xi_{3} \sum_{\lambda_{1}} \xi_{1}^{*} \xi_{1} \xi_{1}^{*} \xi_{1}^{*}$ $= \sum_{\lambda_{1}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}} \xi_{1}^{*} \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \xi_{3} \xi_{3}^{*} \xi_{1}^{*} \xi_{1$

$$\sum_{S_{2}} u_{2} u_{2} \rightarrow T_{1} \left[\dots \left(p_{2} + m \right) \dots \right]$$

$$\sum_{S_{2}} u_{2} u_{2} \rightarrow T_{2} \left(\dots \left(p_{2} + m \right) \dots \right)$$

$$= \prod_{S_{2}} \frac{e^{4}}{4} T_{1} \left(p_{1} + m \right) \times \left(p_{1} + k_{1} \right)^{2} - m^{2} \right)^{2} + \sum_{s=1}^{N} \left(p_{1} - k_{2} + m \right)^{2} \times \left(p_{1} - k_{2} \right)^{2} - m^{2} \right)^{2} \times \left(p_{1} + k_{1} \right)^{2} - m^{2} \right)^{2} + \sum_{s=1}^{N} \left(p_{1} - k_{2} + m \right)^{2} \times \left(p_{1} + k_{1} \right)^{2} - m^{2} \right)^{2} + \sum_{s=1}^{N} \left(p_{1} - k_{2} + m \right)^{2} \times \left(p_{1} - k_{2} \right)^{2} - m^{2} \right)^{2} \times \left(p_{1} + k_{1} \right)^{2} \times \left(p_{1} - k_{2} \right)^{2} - m^{2} \right)^{2} \times \left(p_{1} - k_{2} \right)^{2} - m^{2} \right)^{2} \times \left(p_{1} - k_{2} \right)^{2} - m^{2} \right)^{2} \times \left(p_{1} - k_{2} \right)^{2$$

In[d & dd) = 4(a.b.c.d + a.d.b.c - a.c.b.d) $M^2 = 8e^4$ p.k, k, pz + ... $k_1^2 = 0$, $p_2^2 = 0$ Only terms in $\frac{1}{5^2}$ and $\frac{1}{4}$ are non-zero.

Since m = 0: $2p_1 - k_1 + p_1^2 + k_2^2 = 5$ -2 pr·k, + p2 + k, = u \rightarrow $M^2 = 2e^4 \left(\frac{u}{s} + \frac{s}{u}\right) + \text{ ferms in } O(m^2)$ which are zero hore Finally: $\frac{d6}{d\cos\theta} = \frac{1}{32\pi s} \frac{m^2}{16\pi s} \frac{e^4}{1+\cos\theta} \frac{1+\cos\theta}{1+\cos\theta}$ $\int x = 4p^{2} = \frac{e^{4} (4 + \omega^{2} Q + 9 \cos Q)}{6\pi x}$ $u = -2p^{2} (1 + \cos Q) = \frac{e^{4} (4 + \omega^{2} Q + 9 \cos Q)}{1 + \cos Q}$ Do ne get the right result? I.e. does $O(m^2)$ matter compared to $\frac{L}{1}$? Yes -> full relativistic calculation (even in the limit in >0) requires inclusion of m +0 due to man in s and u chan Mechanics is the same, but correct result: de de me valid for k,, kz < me

* et e annihilation to photons Even if Compton scattering in m > 0 limit is not accurate description, con le used by nossing symmetry to describe e te -> >> $\frac{d6}{d\cos\theta} = \frac{2\pi \lambda^2}{\sin^2\theta} \left(\frac{1 + \cos^2\theta}{\sin^2\theta} \right)$ et et annihilation to fermions

(allider linematics:
$$\frac{e^{-}}{p_1(E_1OQ_1E)}$$
)

 $p_3,y(E, \pm p \sin 0, 0, \pm p \cos 0)$

The m=0 in the final state. (E) me and mf):

 $M^2 = Q_p^2 e^4 (1+\cos^2 0)$
 $\Rightarrow \frac{dE}{2 \cos 0} = \frac{\pi Q_p^2 \alpha^2}{2 \cos \alpha^2} (1+\cos^2 0)$
 $\Rightarrow \overline{E} = \frac{4\pi Q_p^2 \alpha^2}{3 \sin \alpha^2} \propto Q_p^2 \Rightarrow \text{circoherent num}$
 $R = \frac{E}{2 \cos \alpha^2} (e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{thoug}) \propto Q_n^2 + Q_p^2 +$

 $\rightarrow |M(+-,-+)|^{2} = |M(-+,-+)|^{2} = Q_{f}^{2} e^{4} (|+\infty 0|)^{2}$ |M(+-,-+)]= |M(-+,+-)|= Qfe4(1-1000) $\frac{1}{4} \sum |M(\lambda)|^2 = O_1 e^4 (1 + \cos^2 \theta) \quad \text{indeed}$ Experimental observables often require polarization asymmetries, e.g. for fixed viconing spins and if we can somehow measure exception fermion spin: 02 e4(1+cos0)2+Q2-e4(1-cos0)2 = \frac{1}{1+(00^20)} -> see laker for AFB, ALR determination of weak neutral current - identical final state

12 My + M2/2 with $\begin{array}{c}
M_1^*M_2(+\delta_2,\delta_3\delta_4) \\
\neq M_1^*M_2(-\delta_2,\delta_3\delta_4)
\end{array}$