

Semantyka i weryfikacja programów

Bartosz Klin

(slajdy Andrzeja Tarleckiego)

Instytut Informatyki

Wydział Matematyki, Informatyki i Mechaniki

Uniwersytet Warszawski

<http://www.mimuw.edu.pl/~klin>

pok. 5680

klin@mimuw.edu.pl

Strona tego wykładu:

<http://www.mimuw.edu.pl/~klin/sem19-20.html>

Program Semantics & Verification

Bartosz Klin

(slides courtesy of Andrzej Tarlecki)

Institute of Informatics

Faculty of Mathematics, Informatics and Mechanics

University of Warsaw

<http://www.mimuw.edu.pl/~klin>

office: 5680

klin@mimuw.edu.pl

This course:

<http://www.mimuw.edu.pl/~klin/sem19-20.html>

Parameters

Parameter passing:

- call by value
- call by variable
- call by name

We will do static binding only

$$S \in \mathbf{Stmt} ::= \dots \mid \mathbf{begin} \ D_V \ D_P \ S \ \mathbf{end}$$
$$\mid \mathbf{call} \ p \mid \mathbf{call} \ p(\mathbf{vr} \ x) \mid \mathbf{call} \ p(\mathbf{vl} \ e) \mid \mathbf{call} \ p(\mathbf{nm} \ e)$$
$$D_V \in \mathbf{VDecl} ::= \mathbf{var} \ x; D_V \mid \varepsilon$$
$$D_P \in \mathbf{PDecl} ::= \mathbf{proc} \ p \ \mathbf{is} \ (S); D_P \mid \mathbf{proc} \ p(\mathbf{vr} \ x) \ \mathbf{is} \ (S); D_P$$
$$\mid \mathbf{proc} \ p(\mathbf{vl} \ x) \ \mathbf{is} \ (S); D_P \mid \mathbf{proc} \ p(\mathbf{nm} \ x) \ \mathbf{is} \ (S); D_P \mid \varepsilon$$

Semantic domains

$$\mathbf{PEnv} = \mathbf{IDE} \rightarrow (\mathbf{PROC}_0 + \mathbf{PROC}_1^{\text{vr}} + \mathbf{PROC}_1^{\text{vl}} + \mathbf{PROC}_1^{\text{nm}} + \{??\})$$

$$\mathbf{PROC}_0 = \mathbf{Store} \rightarrow (\mathbf{Store} + \{??\})$$

$$\mathbf{PROC}_1^{\text{vr}} = \mathbf{Loc} \rightarrow \mathbf{PROC}_0$$

$$\mathbf{PROC}_1^{\text{vl}} = \mathbf{Int} \rightarrow \mathbf{PROC}_0$$

$$\mathbf{PROC}_1^{\text{nm}} = (\mathbf{Store} \rightarrow (\mathbf{Int} + \{??\})) \rightarrow \mathbf{PROC}_0$$

Semantic functions

As before:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{S}: \text{Stmt} \rightarrow \underbrace{\text{VEnv} \rightarrow \text{PEnv} \rightarrow \text{Store}}_{\text{STMT}} \rightarrow (\text{Store} + \{??\}) \\ \mathcal{D}_P: \text{PDecl} \rightarrow \underbrace{\text{VEnv} \rightarrow \text{PEnv}}_{\text{PDECL}} \rightarrow (\text{PEnv} + \{??\}) \end{array}$$

Semantic clauses

No parameters

$\mathcal{S}[\text{call } p] \rho_V \rho_P = P \text{ where } P = \rho_P p \in \mathbf{PROC}_0$

$\mathcal{D}_P[\text{proc } p \text{ is } (S); D_P] \rho_V \rho_P =$
 $\mathcal{D}_P[D_P] \rho_V \rho_P[p \mapsto P] \text{ where } P = \mathcal{S}[S] \rho_V \rho_P[p \mapsto P]$

Parameter called by variable

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}[\text{call } p(\mathbf{vr} \ y)] \rho_V \rho_P &= P \ l \text{ where } P = \rho_P \ p \in \mathbf{PROC}_1^{\mathbf{vr}}, \ l = \rho_V \ y \\ \mathcal{D}_P[\text{proc } p(\mathbf{vr} \ x) \text{ is } (S); D_P] \rho_V \rho_P &= \\ \mathcal{D}_P[D_P] \rho_V \rho_P[p \mapsto P] \text{ where } P \ l &= \mathcal{S}[S] \rho_V[x \mapsto l] \rho_P[p \mapsto P] \end{aligned}$$

Parameter called by value

$\mathcal{S}[\text{call } p(\mathbf{vl} \ e)] \rho_V \rho_P s = P \ n \ s \text{ where } P = \rho_P p \in \mathbf{PROC}_1^{\mathbf{vl}}, \ n = \mathcal{E}[e] \rho_V s$

$\mathcal{D}_P[\text{proc } p(\mathbf{vl} \ x) \text{ is } (S); D_P] \rho_V \rho_P =$

$\mathcal{D}_P[D_P] \rho_V \rho_P[p \mapsto P] \text{ where}$

$P \ n \ s = \mathcal{S}[S] \rho'_V \rho_P[p \mapsto P] s' \text{ where}$

$l = s \ next, \ \rho'_V = \rho_V[x \mapsto l], \ s' = s[l \mapsto n, \ next \mapsto l + 1]$

Parameter called by name

$\mathcal{S}[\text{call } p(\text{nm } e)] \rho_V \rho_P = P (\mathcal{E}[e] \rho_V) \text{ where } P = \rho_P p \in \mathbf{PROC}_1^{\text{nm}}$
 $\mathcal{D}_P[\text{proc } p(\text{nm } x) \text{ is } (S); D_P] \rho_V \rho_P =$
 $\mathcal{D}_P[D_P] \rho_V \rho_P[p \mapsto P] \text{ where } P \ E = \mathcal{S}[S] \rho_V[x \mapsto E] \rho_P[p \mapsto P]$

OOOPS!

$\rho_V[x \mapsto E] \notin \mathbf{VEnv}$

Corrections necessary!

$\mathbf{VEnv} = \mathbf{Var} \rightarrow (\mathbf{Loc} + (\mathbf{Store} \rightarrow (\mathbf{Int} + \{??\}))) + \{??\}$

$\mathcal{E}[x] \rho_V s = \text{let } v = \rho_V x \text{ in if } v \in \mathbf{Loc} \text{ then } s v$
 $\text{if } v \in (\mathbf{Store} \rightarrow (\mathbf{Int} + \{??\})) \text{ then } v s$

This allows for evaluation of called-by-name parameters,
but not for assignments to variables passed in such a way

Input/output

TINY⁺⁺⁺

$S \in \mathbf{Stmt} ::= \dots \mid \mathbf{read} \ x \mid \mathbf{write} \ e$

Semantic domains

$$\mathbf{Stream} = \mathbf{Int} \times \mathbf{Stream} + \{\mathbf{eof}\}$$

$$\mathbf{Input} = \mathbf{Stream}$$

$$\mathbf{Output} = \mathbf{Stream}$$

$$\mathbf{State} = \mathbf{Store} \times \mathbf{Input} \times \mathbf{Output}$$

Actually:

$$\mathbf{Stream} = (\mathbf{Int} \otimes_L \mathbf{Stream}) \oplus \{\mathbf{eof}\}_\perp$$

where:

$$\mathbf{D} \otimes_L \mathbf{D}' = \mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{D}'_\perp$$

Interpretation:

$$\mathbf{Stream} = \mathbf{Int}^* + \mathbf{Int}^\omega$$

Semantic functions

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}: \mathbf{Exp} &\rightarrow \underbrace{\mathbf{VEnv} \rightarrow \mathbf{State} \rightarrow (\mathbf{Int} + \{??\})}_{\mathbf{EXP}} \\ \mathcal{B}: \mathbf{BExp} &\rightarrow \underbrace{\mathbf{VEnv} \rightarrow \mathbf{State} \rightarrow (\mathbf{Bool} + \{??\})}_{\mathbf{BEXP}}\end{aligned}$$

Only one clause to modify here:

$$\mathcal{E}[[x]] \rho_V \langle s, i, o \rangle = s \, l \text{ where } l = \rho_V x$$

Semantics of statements

$$\mathcal{S}: \text{Stmt} \rightarrow \underbrace{\text{VEnv} \rightarrow \text{PEnv} \rightarrow \text{State}}_{\text{STMT}} \rightarrow (\text{State} + \{??\})$$

Again, only one clause to change:

$$\mathcal{S}[\![x := e]\!] \rho_V \rho_P \langle s, i, o \rangle = \langle s[l \mapsto n], i, o \rangle \text{ where } l = \rho_V x, n = \mathcal{E}[\![e]\!] \rho_V \langle s, i, o \rangle$$

(plus a similar change in $\mathcal{D}_V[\![\text{var } x; D_V]\!] \dots = \dots$) and two clauses to add:

$$\mathcal{S}[\![\text{read } x]\!] \rho_V \rho_P \langle s, i, o \rangle = \langle s[l \mapsto n], i', o \rangle \text{ where } l = \rho_V x, \langle n, i' \rangle = i$$

$$\mathcal{S}[\![\text{write } e]\!] \rho_V \rho_P \langle s, i, o \rangle = \langle s, i, \langle n, o \rangle \rangle \text{ where } n = \mathcal{E}[\![e]\!] \rho_V \langle s, i, o \rangle$$

Cheating a bit: writing out in the reverse order

Programs

New syntactic domain:

$$\mathbf{Prog} ::= \mathbf{prog} \ S$$

with obvious semantic function:

$$\mathcal{P}: \mathbf{Prog} \rightarrow \underbrace{\mathbf{Input} \rightarrow (\mathbf{Output} + \{??\})}_{\mathbf{PROG}}$$

given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}[\mathbf{prog} \ S] \ i = o' \text{ where } \mathcal{S}[S] \ \rho_V^\emptyset \ \rho_P^\emptyset \ \langle s^\emptyset, i, \mathbf{eof} \rangle = \langle s', i', o' \rangle, \\ \rho_V^\emptyset \ x = ??, \rho_P^\emptyset \ p = ??, s^\emptyset \ next = 0, s^\emptyset \ l = ?? \end{aligned}$$

Okay, but...

Do we want to allow statements to erase output?

Changing philosophy

From: What happens now?

To: What the overall answer will be?

Direct semantics

begin ... ; ... ; ... **end**

$s^\emptyset \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}[\dots]} s_i \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}[\dots]} s_j \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}[\dots]} s' \rightsquigarrow \text{“overall result”}$

Continuation semantics

begin ... ; ... ; ... **end**

$\kappa' : \rightsquigarrow \text{“overall result”}$

$\xleftarrow{\mathcal{S}[\dots]} \kappa_i : \rightsquigarrow \text{“overall result”}$

$\xleftarrow{\mathcal{S}[\dots]} \kappa_j : \rightsquigarrow \text{“overall result”}$

$\xleftarrow{\mathcal{S}[\dots]} \kappa^\emptyset : \rightsquigarrow \text{“overall result”}$