

$$1. a) \quad \Sigma_X = \begin{bmatrix} \text{var}(X_1) & \text{cov}(X_1, X_2) & \text{cov}(X_1, X_3) \\ \text{cov}(X_2, X_1) & \text{var}(X_2) & \text{cov}(X_2, X_3) \\ \text{cov}(X_3, X_1) & \text{cov}(X_3, X_2) & \text{var}(X_3) \end{bmatrix}$$

Since covariance is symmetric,

$$\Sigma_X = \begin{bmatrix} \text{var}(X_1) & \text{cov}(X_1, X_2) & \text{cov}(X_1, X_3) \\ \text{cov}(X_1, X_2) & \text{var}(X_2) & \text{cov}(X_2, X_3) \\ \text{cov}(X_1, X_3) & \text{cov}(X_2, X_3) & \text{var}(X_3) \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore, Σ_X is symmetric and the statement is false.

b) This statement is false - if Σ_X is singular, there is no joint pdf.

c) $B_2 | B_1 = 1 \sim N(1, 2-1)$

Therefore,

$E[B_2 | B_1 = 1] = 1 \neq 2$, so the statement is false.

d) One property of $S_X(f)$ is that $S_X(-f) = S_X(f)$

$S_X(-f) = 1 - f + \sin(-f) \neq 1 + f + \sin(f)$, so this statement is false.

$$e) \quad \Sigma_X = \begin{bmatrix} \text{var}(N_1) & \text{cov}(N_1, N_2) & \text{cov}(N_1, N_3) \\ \text{cov}(N_2, N_1) & \text{var}(N_2) & \text{cov}(N_2, N_3) \\ \text{cov}(N_3, N_1) & \text{cov}(N_3, N_2) & \text{var}(N_3) \end{bmatrix}$$

Since $\text{cov}(N_{t_1}, N_{t_2}) = \lambda \min(t_1, t_2) = \min(t_1, t_2)$

and $N_t \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda t) \Rightarrow \text{Var}(N_t) = \lambda t = t$

$$\Sigma_X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, the statement is true.

$$\begin{aligned} 2. \text{ a) } E[W] &= E[E[W|N]] \\ &= E[PN] \\ &= p\lambda \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{b) } V[W] &= V[E[W|N]] + E[V[W|N]] \\ &= V[PN] + E[Np(1-p)] \\ &= p^2\lambda + \lambda p(1-p) \end{aligned}$$

$$3. \text{ Let } A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = A \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = A^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

$$g(u, v) = \frac{f(A^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix})}{|\det A|}$$

$$= \frac{f\left(\frac{u+v}{2}, \frac{u-v}{2}\right)}{2}$$

$$4. \quad Y = AX + b$$

$$Y \sim N(AX + b, A \Sigma_X A^T)$$

By spectral theorem,

$$\Sigma_X = Q \Lambda Q^T$$

$$\text{Let } A = Q^T \Rightarrow \Sigma_Y = Q^T \Sigma_X Q = \Lambda$$

Thus, the components of y are uncorrelated

$$Q^T \mu_X + b = 0$$

$$b = -Q^T \mu_X$$

5. a) $E[T] = E[\text{Exp}(2)] = \frac{1}{2} \text{ week}$

b) $P(N_{t+2} - N_t = 0) = e^{-2(2)} \frac{(2 \cdot 2)^0}{1} = e^{-4}$

c) $E[N_{52}] = 2(52) = 104 \text{ attacks}$

d) Let X = the number of weeks out of 52 weeks with at least 7 attacks

X_i = indicator of if week i had at least 7 attacks

$X = \sum_{i=1}^{52} X_i$, each X_i is independent

$$P(X \geq 1) = 1 - P(X = 0)$$

$$= 1 - P(X_i = 0)^{52}$$

$$= 1 - P(S_7 \geq 1)^{52}$$

$$S_7 \sim \text{Gamma}(7, \lambda)$$

$$= 1 - (1 - P(S_7 < 1))^{52}$$

$$= 1 - (1 - F(1))^{52}$$

$$6. R_X(t_1, t_2) = E[Y_{t_1} Y_{t_2}] \\ = E[e^{B_{t_1}} e^{B_{t_2}}]$$

Case 1: $t_1 \leq t_2$

$$R_X(t_1, t_2) = E[e^{2B_{t_1}} e^{B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}}] \quad B_{t_1} \text{ and } B_{t_2} - B_{t_1} \text{ are independent} \\ = E[e^{2B_{t_1}}] E[e^{B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}}] \\ = E[e^{2\sqrt{t_1} \frac{B_{t_1}}{\sqrt{t_1}}}] E[e^{\sqrt{t_2 - t_1} \frac{B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}}{\sqrt{t_2 - t_1}}}]$$

$$B_{t_1} \sim N(0, t_1) \Rightarrow \frac{B_{t_1}}{\sqrt{t_1}} \sim N(0, 1) \\ B_{t_2} - B_{t_1} \sim N(0, t_2 - t_1) \Rightarrow \frac{B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}}{\sqrt{t_2 - t_1}} \sim N(0, 1) \\ = E[e^{2\sqrt{t_1} Z}] E[e^{\sqrt{t_2 - t_1} Z}] \\ = M(2\sqrt{t_1}) M(\sqrt{t_2 - t_1}) \\ = \exp(2t_1) \exp(\frac{1}{2}(t_2 - t_1)) \\ = \exp(\frac{4t_1}{2} + \frac{t_2 - t_1}{2}) \\ = \exp(\frac{3t_1 + t_2}{2})$$

Case 2: $t_1 > t_2$

$$R_X(t_1, t_2) = E[e^{2B_{t_2}} e^{B_{t_1} - B_{t_2}}] \\ = E[e^{2B_{t_2}}] E[e^{B_{t_1} - B_{t_2}}] \\ = E[e^{2\sqrt{t_2} \frac{B_{t_2}}{\sqrt{t_2}}}] E[e^{\sqrt{t_1 - t_2} \frac{B_{t_1} - B_{t_2}}{\sqrt{t_1 - t_2}}}] \\ = E[e^{2\sqrt{t_2} Z}] E[e^{\sqrt{t_1 - t_2} Z}] \\ = M(2\sqrt{t_2}) M(\sqrt{t_1 - t_2}) \\ = \exp(2t_2) \exp(\frac{1}{2}(t_1 - t_2)) \\ = \exp(\frac{3t_2 + t_1}{2})$$

To generalize both cases,

$$R_X(t_1, t_2) = \exp\left(\frac{t_1 + t_2}{2} + \min(t_1, t_2)\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 7. a) \mu_X(t) &= E[X_t] = E[X_0(-1)^{N_t}] \\
 &= E[X_0] E[(-1)^{N_t}] \\
 &= \left[(1)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] E[(-1)^{N_t}] \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 b) R_X(t_1, t_2) &= E[X_{t_1} X_{t_2}] \\
 &= E[X_0(-1)^{N_{t_1}} X_0(-1)^{N_{t_2}}] \\
 &= E[X_0^2] E[(-1)^{N_{t_1} + N_{t_2}}] \\
 &= (1^2(\frac{1}{2}) + (-1)^2(\frac{1}{2})) E[(-1)^{N_{t_1} + N_{t_2}}] \\
 &= E[(-1)^{N_{t_1} + N_{t_2}}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Case 1: $t_1 \leq t_2$

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_X(t_1, t_2) &= E[(-1)^{2N_{t_1} - N_{t_1} + N_{t_2}}] \\
 &= E[(-1)^{2N_{t_1}}] E[(-1)^{N_{t_2} - N_{t_1}}] \\
 &= E[(-1)^{N_{t_2} - N_{t_1}}] \\
 \text{Let } Y &= N_{t_2} - N_{t_1} \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda(t_2 - t_1)) \\
 &= \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} (-1)^y \frac{[\lambda(t_2 - t_1)]^y e^{-\lambda(t_2 - t_1)}}{y!} \\
 &= e^{-\lambda(t_2 - t_1)} \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} \frac{[-\lambda(t_2 - t_1)]^y}{y!} \\
 &= e^{-\lambda(t_2 - t_1)} e^{-\lambda(t_2 - t_1)} \\
 &= e^{-2\lambda(t_2 - t_1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $t_1 > t_2$

Using the same procedure but swapping t_1 with t_2 ,
 $R_X(t_1, t_2) = e^{-2\lambda(t_1 - t_2)}$

To generalize $R_X(t_1, t_2)$ to both cases,

$$R_X(t_1, t_2) = e^{-2\lambda|t_1 - t_2|}$$

c) Since $\mu_X(t) = 0$ is constant and $R_X(t_1, t_2) = e^{-2\lambda|t_1 - t_2|}$ depends only on the difference $t_1 - t_2$, $\{X_t, t \geq 0\}$ is WSS

$$8. S_X(f) = e^{-f^2/2}$$

$$E[X_t^2] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-f^2/2} df$$

$$= \sqrt{2\pi} \quad (\text{from calculator})$$

9. done