The Story of Stackless Python

Christian Tismer, Hervé Coatanhay

EuroPython 2012

July 4 2012



- Stackless is a Python version that does not use the C stack
 - really? naah
- Stackless is a Python version that does not keep state on the C stack
 - the stack is used but
 - cleared between function calls
- Remark:
 - theoretically. In practice...
 - ... it is reasonable 80 % of the time
 - we come back to this!

- Stackless is a Python version that does not use the C stack
 - really? naah
- Stackless is a Python version that does not keep state on the C stack
 - the stack is used but
 - cleared between function calls
- Remark:
 - theoretically. In practice...
 - ... it is reasonable 80 % of the time
 - we come back to this!

- Stackless is a Python version that does not use the C stack
 - really? naah
- Stackless is a Python version that does not keep state on the C stack
 - the stack is used but
 - cleared between function calls
- Remark:
 - theoretically. In practice...
 - ... it is reasonable 80 % of the time
 - we come back to this!

- Stackless is a Python version that does not use the C stack
 - really? naah
- Stackless is a Python version that does not keep state on the C stack
 - the stack is used but
 - cleared between function calls
- Remark:
 - theoretically. In practice...
 - ... it is reasonable 80 % of the time
 - we come back to this!

- it is like CPython
- it can do a little bit more
- adds a single builtin module

import stackless

- is like an extension
 - but, sadly, not really
 - stackless must be builtin
 - but: there is a solution...

- it is like CPython
- it can do a little bit more
- adds a single builtin module

import stackless

- is like an extension
 - but, sadly, not really
 - stackless must be builtin
 - but: there is a solution...

- it is like CPython
- it can do a little bit more
- adds a single builtin module

```
import stackless
```

- is like an extension
 - but, sadly, not really
 - stackless must be builtin
 - but: there is a solution...

- it is like CPython
- it can do a little bit more
- adds a single builtin module

```
import stackless
```

- is like an extension
 - but, sadly, not really
 - stackless must be builtin
 - but: there is a solution...

- it is like CPython
- it can do a little bit more
- adds a single builtin module

```
import stackless
```

- is like an extension
 - but, sadly, not really
 - stackless must be builtin
 - but: there is a solution...

- have tiny little "main" programs
 - ▶ tasklet
- tasklets communicate via messages
 - ▶ channel
- tasklets are often called microthreads
 - but there are no threads at all
 - only one tasklets runs at any time
- but see the PyPy STM approach
 - this will apply to tasklets as well

- have tiny little "main" programs
 - tasklet
- tasklets communicate via messages
 - channel
- tasklets are often called microthreads
 - but there are no threads at all
 - only one tasklets runs at any time
- but see the PyPy STM approach
 - this will apply to tasklets as well

- have tiny little "main" programs
 - tasklet
- tasklets communicate via messages
 - channel
- tasklets are often called microthreads
 - but there are no threads at all
 - only one tasklets runs at any time
- but see the PyPy STM approach
 - this will apply to tasklets as well

- have tiny little "main" programs
 - tasklet
- tasklets communicate via messages
 - channel
- tasklets are often called microthreads
 - but there are no threads at all
 - only one tasklets runs at any time
- but see the PyPy STM approach
 - this will apply to tasklets as well

Cooperative Multitasking ...

```
>>> import stackless
>>> channel = stackless.channel()
```

Cooperative Multitasking ...

```
>>> import stackless
>>> channel = stackless.channel()
>>> def receiving tasklet():
        print "Receiving tasklet started"
        print channel.receive()
        print "Receiving tasklet finished"
```

Cooperative Multitasking ...

```
>>> import stackless
>>> channel = stackless.channel()
>>> def receiving tasklet():
        print "Receiving tasklet started"
        print channel.receive()
        print "Receiving tasklet finished"
>>> def sending_tasklet():
        print "Sending tasklet started"
        channel.send("send from sending tasklet")
        print "sending tasklet finished"
. . .
```

... Cooperative Multitasking ...

```
>>> def another_tasklet():
...     print "Just another tasklet in the scheduler"
...

>>> stackless.tasklet(receiving_tasklet)()
<stackless.tasklet object at 0x00A45B30>
>>> stackless.tasklet(sending_tasklet)()
<stackless.tasklet object at 0x00A45B70>
>>> stackless.tasklet(another_tasklet)()
<stackless.tasklet object at 0x00A45BF0>
```

... Cooperative Multitasking ...

```
>>> def another_tasklet():
... print "Just another tasklet in the scheduler"
...
>>> stackless.tasklet(receiving_tasklet)()
<stackless.tasklet object at 0x00A45B30>
>>> stackless.tasklet(sending_tasklet)()
<stackless.tasklet object at 0x00A45B70>
>>> stackless.tasklet(another_tasklet)()
<stackless.tasklet object at 0x00A45BF0>
```

... Cooperative Multitasking

<stackless.tasklet object at 0x00A45B70>
>>> stackless.tasklet(another_tasklet)()
<stackless.tasklet object at 0x00A45BF0>
>>>

>>> stackless.run()

Receiving tasklet started
Sending tasklet started
send from sending_tasklet
Receiving tasklet finished
Just another tasklet in the scheduler
sending tasklet finished

Why not just the greenlet?

- greenlets are a subset of stackless
 - can partially emulate stackless
 - there is no builtin scheduler
 - technology quite close to Stackless 2.0
- greenlets are about 10x slower to switch context because using only hard-switching
- but the main difference is ...

Why not just the greenlet?

- greenlets are a subset of stackless
 - can partially emulate stackless
 - there is no builtin scheduler
 - technology quite close to Stackless 2.0
- greenlets are about 10x slower to switch context because using only hard-switching
- but the main difference is ...

Why not just the greenlet?

- greenlets are a subset of stackless
 - can partially emulate stackless
 - there is no builtin scheduler
 - technology quite close to Stackless 2.0
- greenlets are about 10x slower to switch context because using only hard-switching
- but the main difference is ...

Pickling Program State

Example (p. 1 of 2)

```
import pickle, sys
import stackless

ch = stackless.channel()

def recurs(depth, level=1):
    print 'enter level %s%d' % (level*' ', level)
    if level >= depth:
        ch.send('hi')
    if level < depth:
        recurs(depth, level+1)
    print 'leave level %s%d' % (level*' ', level)</pre>
```

Pickling Program State

Example (p. 2 of 2)

```
def demo(depth):
    t = stackless.tasklet(recurs)(depth)
    print ch.receive()
    pickle.dump(t, file('tasklet.pickle', 'wb'))

if __name__ == '__main__':
    if len(sys.argv) > 1:
        t = pickle.load(file(sys.argv[1], 'rb'))
        t.insert()
    else:
        t = stackless.tasklet(demo)(9)
    stackless.run()

# remember to show it interactively
```

Greenlet vs. Stackless

- Greenlet is a pure extension module
 - performance is good enough
- Stackless can pickle program state
 - stays a replacement of Python
- Greenlet never can, as an extension
- easy installation lets people select greenlet over stackless
 - see the eventlet

Software archeology

- Around since 1998
 - version 1
 - using only soft-switching
 - continuation-based
 - ★ please let me skip old design errors :-)
- Complete redesign in 2002
 - version 2
 - ★ using only hard-switching
 - ★ birth of tasklets and channels
- Concept merge in 2004
 - version 3
 - * 80-20 rule:
 - soft-switching whenever possible
 - hard-switching if foreign code is on the stack
 - these 80 % can be pickled

Status of Stackless Python

- mature
- Python 2 and Python 3

Thank you

- http://www.stackless.com/
- You can hire me as a consultant
- Questions?