AETD Document Availability Authorization (DAA) Peer Review and Project Check List

Title:			
STI Type: _		Due Date:	
via the Inter	net) only STI that ha	SA authors of STI shall publish or otherwise release external to NASA (including is been reviewed at the appropriate organizational level for technical accuracy, policy, and publication standards, and approved for release as prescribed by erein.	
Technical (Author ¹	Content: Peer Reviewer ²		
		Is the document technically sound with adequate data?	
		Is the approach valid and supported by the data?	
		Is the material presented clearly?	
		Is there adequate reference to previous work?	
		Is there abstract information?	
		Is all the mathematics correct?	
		Are all the figures and tables necessary and adequate?	
		Is the title as brief as possible without obscuring the meaning?	
		Has an NTR for this effort been submitted?	
Grammatic	al Content:		
Author ¹	Peer Reviewer ²		
		Spelling and grammar checked	
		Is the paper written with a single voice and consistent content?	
Style Guide	ə:		
Author ¹	Peer Reviewer ²		
		Have the authors been appropriately identified including their status (civil servant, contractor, etc) and type (author, editor, etc)?	
	-	Acronyms are completely defined upon first use (presentation or paper)	
		Acronym table (alphabetic) included as required	
		All sources are identified/referenced (including figures)	
		All figures are referenced correctly in the text (for papers/abstracts)	
		Each page is numbered	
		Legible labeling on graphs, tables, and figures (including all units designations)	
		Captions are self-explanatory without being overly long	

AETD Document Availability Authorization (DAA) Peer Review and Project Check List

Notes

- 1. The author has the responsibility of completing the paper and submitting all the required forms on time.
- 2. The Peer Reviewer has the responsibility to ensure that the paper is technically correct and presented in a professional manner.
- 3. The Branch Approver is responsible for the overall editing of the STI document. This includes the voice of the document (especially if there are multiple authors), clarity of the writing, and technical content (as it refers to the NASA mission).
- 4. <u>Technical Editor Notes</u> (courtesy of J. Scott Smith/551) is a good resource for preparing and reviewing publications.
- **5.** The document shall be formatted according to the technical society's or journal's guidelines. When no formatting guidelines are given, the author can refer to NASA/SP-2005-7602, NASA Publications Guide for Authors or Style Manual, Rev ed., US Government Printing Office, 2000 for further information.

Project Review: If you are matrixed to Code 400 and your publication is project related, ensure a Project Review in parallel with the Technical Peer Review. The Project reviewer needs to electronically sign this form below. This checklist shall be attached to the eDAA and include the Project Reviewer's name and date on the AETD eDAA Form in the Comments Section.

Name:	Signature:	Date:
Submitting Author:		
Name:	Signature:	Date:
Reviewed by (peer rev	iew cannot be performed by an eDAA App	prover):
Name [.]	Signature:	Date: