

INQUIRE

Inaugural Practitioner Seminar

Raman Uppal
Edhec Business School

Academic Perspective

20 January 2015

Discussion of
Efficiently Combining Multiple Sources of Alpha
by Zoltan Nagy (Barra/MSCI)

Raman Uppal
Edhec Business School

Academic Perspective

20 January 2015

Related academic papers I

- ▶ Role of alpha and factors in portfolio weights and portfolio return.
 - MacKinlay, C. and L. Pastor, *Asset Pricing Models: Implications for Expected Returns and Portfolio Selection*, Review of Financial Studies 13, 883-916.
 - Pesaran M. and P. Zaffaroni, 2009, *Optimality and Diversifiability of Mean Variance and Arbitrage Pricing Portfolios*, Working paper.
- ▶ Dangers of using models based on “important” factors
 - Harvey, Liu, Zhu, 2013, ... *and the Cross Section of Expected Returns*, Working paper.
 - Kogan, L. and M. Tian, 2013, *Firm Characteristics and Empirical Factor Models: A Data-Mining Experiment*, Working paper.

Related academic papers II

- ▶ Are differences in risk-adjusted out-of-sample returns significant?
 - How are transaction costs of each strategy accounted for?
 - Is the analysis truly out of sample?
- ▶ IR is an incomplete measure of portfolio performance; it is accurate only if there are no intermediate cashflows.
 - Bhamra, H. and R. Uppal, 2014, *Does Household Finance Matter? Small Financial Errors with Large Social Costs*, Working Paper, Edhec.
- ▶ Mean-variance portfolios are not time-consistent.
 - Basak, S., and G. Chabakauri, 2010, *Dynamic Mean-Variance Asset Allocation*, Review of Financial Studies 23, 2970-3016.

Discussion of
Risk Parity Strategies For Equity Portfolio Management
by Frank Siu (Axioma)

Raman Uppal
Edhec Business School

Academic Perspective

20 January 2015

Alternative-weighted portfolios

- ▶ **Out-of-sample performance** of Markowitz mean-variance optimal portfolios that **ignore estimation error** is very poor.
- ▶ One can consider **three** types of portfolios that **do not** rely on **estimates of mean returns**:
 - ① **Fundamental-weighted portfolios**:
 - These portfolios are **based on fundamentals** such as sales, dividends, earnings, etc., rather than financial measures of size.
 - ② **Minimum-variance portfolios**:
 - The **optimal** weights are based **only** on risk estimates.
 - Dangl and Kashi (2013, Working paper) provide an excellent discussion of the performance of these portfolios over time.
 - ③ **Risk-parity portfolios**:
 - These portfolios are based only on risk estimates but there is **no optimization**.

Interpretation of Risk-Budgeting Portfolio Weights

- ▶ Assume only two **uncorrelated** assets with risk budgets b_1, b_2 :

$$w_1 = \frac{\frac{\sqrt{b_1}}{\sigma_1}}{\frac{\sqrt{b_1}}{\sigma_1} + \frac{\sqrt{b_2}}{\sigma_2}} \quad \dots \text{increasing in risk budget, decreasing in volatility}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{b_1}{\beta_1}}{\frac{b_1}{\beta_1} + \frac{b_2}{\beta_2}} \quad \dots \text{increasing in its risk budget, decreasing in beta.}$$

- ▶ Risk-budgeting portfolios can be interpreted as minimum-risk portfolios subject to a constraint on portfolio diversification.
 - Just like in Jagannathan and Ma (2003, Journal of Finance), one can show that the risk-budgeting portfolio is a minimum-variance portfolio **with shrinkage** of the covariance matrix.

Equal-Risk-Contribution Portfolio: Definition

- ▶ A special case of the risk-budgeting portfolio is the **equal-risk-contribution** portfolio, where all the risk budgets are equal:

$$b_i = b_j = \frac{1}{N}.$$

- ▶ Thus, the equal-risk-contribution portfolio inherits all the properties of the risk-budgeting portfolio.
- ▶ The equal-risk contribution portfolio also has some additional properties derived by Maillard, Roncalli, Teiletche (2010):

$$w_1 = \frac{\frac{1}{\sigma_1}}{\frac{1}{\sigma_1} + \frac{1}{\sigma_2}},$$

implying that the **weight of an asset** is

- **inversely proportional to its volatility**, and
- **independent of correlation**.

Understanding Portfolio Weights From Different Criteria

- It is difficult to decide how best to choose a portfolio that is “optimally diversified”.

Portfolio	Evaluation in terms of “optimal divers.”
• Mean-variance optimal portfolio	Portfolio on the efficient frontier
• Minimum-variance optimal portfolio	Portfolio on the efficient frontier
• Equal-weighted portfolio	Has the lowest weight concentration
• Weight-budgeting portfolio	Arbitrary weight budgets
• Equal-risk-contribution portfolio	Lowest risk concentration
• Risk-budgeting portfolio	Arbitrary risk budgets

Risk-Budgeting Portfolios: Performance

- ▶ These portfolios outperform cap-weighted portfolio.
- ▶ These portfolios have exposure to factors other than value and size, such as low idiosyncratic volatility, low beta, momentum.
- ▶ Risk-budgeting portfolios may provide
 - **better conditional performance** across bull/bear markets;
 - **more control on factor exposure**;
 - **better diversification**, especially when strategies are combined.

Risk-Budgeting Portfolios: Summary

- ▶ These portfolios do not rely on estimates of expected returns.
 - ▶ They do not use optimization.
 - ▶ They lead to portfolios that are diversified (that is, the weights are not concentrated).
-
- ▶ But, why should one care about weights being diversified?
How does this relate to portfolio performance?

Discussion of
Correlation, De-correlation and Risk-Parity
by Nick Baltas (UBS)

Raman Uppal
Edhec Business School

Academic Perspective

20 January 2015

Alternatives for modeling correlation I

- ▶ “Dilemma faced in portfolio construction:
 - **Alternative 1:** make proper use of pairwise correlations (unstable and poorly estimated)
 - **Alternative 2:** completely ignore pairwise correlations.”

Alternatives for modeling correlation II

► But, there is a **third alternative**

- Estimate a **non-zero correlation coefficient** that it assume to be the same across all assets.
- Elton, Gruber and Spitzer, 2006, *Improved Estimates of Correlation Coefficients and their Impact on Optimum Portfolios*, European Financial Management, 12.3, 303–318.
- Driessen, Maenhout, and Vilkov, 2009, *The Price of Correlation Risk: Evidence from Equity Options*, Journal of Finance, 64.3, 1377–1406.

► And, there is a **fourth alternative**

- Large literature in financial econometrics on estimating **time-varying correlations**, building on the work of Engle (2002) on *Dynamic Conditional Correlation*, Journal of Business Economics and Statistics, 20.3, 339–350.

Focus on portfolio performance, rather than correlations

- ▶ In practice,
 - our interest is not in correlations per se,
 - but instead on portfolio performance.
- ▶ Hence, it would be better to ask the question:
 - Which approach for modeling correlations leads to the best out-of-sample performance of portfolio strategies.

Discussion of
Inter-temporal Risk Parity
by Raul Leote de Carvalho (BNP Paribas)

Raman Uppal
Edhec Business School

Academic Perspective

20 January 2015

Issues regarding measurement

- ▶ How are transaction costs of each strategy accounted for?
 - Daily rebalancing implies high turnover
 - Trading costs will vary with market volatility
- ▶ Are differences in risk-adjusted out-of-sample returns significant?
 - Improvement in Sharpe ratio of 0.08 (from 0.40 to 0.48) appears small.
- ▶ Improvements in Sharpe ratio decline as one rebalances less frequently.
- ▶ What is source of improvement in Sharpe ratio or Information ratio?
- ▶ Is Sharpe ratio appropriate measure when volatility is changing?

Discussion of
Asset Allocation with Concentration Risk
Insights from Real Estate
by Robert Rice (OCCAM)

Raman Uppal
Edhec Business School

Academic Perspective

20 January 2015

► Real estate is very different from other asset classes

■ Transaction costs are much larger

- Grossman and Laroque (Econometrica, 1990, v. 58.1, 25-51).

■ Behavioral biases amongst investors trading real estate are much larger

- Beracha and Skiba, 2014, *Real Estate Investment Decision-Making in Behavioral Finance* in Investor Behavior: The Psychology of Financial Planning and Investing. ed. H. Kent Baker and Victor Ricciardi.

■ Large academic literature on housing and real estate

- Real estate may not be the best asset class for applying Markowitz analysis

Suggestions

- ▶ May be useful to look at real estate **along with** other asset classes?
- ▶ May be important to look at the issue of **dynamic** asset allocation?

Discussion of
Evaluating Alternative Betas
When Is a Portfolio Efficient Enough?
by Andrew Harmstone (Morgan Stanley)

Raman Uppal
Edhec Business School

Academic Perspective

20 January 2015

Some questions I

- ▶ Why restrict attention to these particular five portfolio strategies?
 - Cap weighting, GDP weighting, equal weighting, minimum-variance, risk parity.
- ▶ There are many other strategies that have been shown to outperform the strategies considered:
 - Parametric portfolios
(Brandt, Santa-Clara, and Valkanov, 2009, Review of Financial Studies).
 - Norm-constrained minimum-variance portfolios
(DeMiguel, Garlappi, Nogales, Uppal, 2009, Management Science)

Some questions II

- ▶ How is the portfolio called “Efficient Enough” different from the Markowitz efficient-frontier portfolios?
- ▶ Implied returns from reverse optimisation
 - Not sure how these are computed?
 - Not clear what information can be obtained from them?
- ▶ How are anchor portfolios different from “prior portfolio weights” in Bayesian optimization?

Overall Comments

Raman Uppal

Edhec Business School

Academic Perspective

20 January 2015

Theory of Portfolio Selection & Performance Measurement

- ▶ Mean-variance portfolios are not time-consistent.
- ▶ SR/IR is an incomplete measure of portfolio performance; it is accurate only if there are no intermediate cashflows.

Empirical Evaluation of Portfolio Performance

- ▶ **Data-snooping biases** – dangers of using models based on “important” factors
 - Harvey, Liu, Zhu, 2013; Kogan, L. and M. Tian, 2013.
- ▶ **Differences in risk-adjusted out-of-sample returns?**
 - Is the analysis truly out of sample?
 - How are transaction costs of each strategy accounted for?
 - Are differences in SRs or IRs statistically significant?

- ▶ What exactly are we trying to achieve with these portfolios?
 - Why should one care about weights being diversified?
 - How exactly does this translate into portfolio performance?