# Security Audit of Vaulty a9943a by web3go.tech

# **Conclusion**



Audit was done by the "Web3Go" team <a href="https://web3go.tech/">https://web3go.tech/</a>)
by Vladimir Smelov <a href="mailto:vladimirfol@gmail.com">vladimirfol@gmail.com</a>)
<a href="mailto:vladimirfol@gmail.com">https://www.linkedin.com/in/vladimir-smelov-25021669/</a>)
<a href="mailto:vladimirfol@gmail.com">https://www.linkedin.com/in/vladimir-smelov-25021669/</a>)

In the final contract were not found:

- Backdoors for investor funds withdrawal by anyone.
- Bugs allowing to steal money from the contract.
- · Other security problems.

Obvious errors or backdoors were not found in the contract. The client was acknowledged about all secutiry notes below.



# Scope

One specific update:

https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/commit/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e23c4ac8a (https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/commit/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e23c4ac8a)

The previous source code was already audited.

# Methodology

- 1. Blind audit. Try to understand the structure of the code.
- 2. Find info in internet.
- 3. Ask quiestions to developers.
- 4. Draw the scheme of cross-contracts interactions.
- 5. Write user-stories, usage cases.
- 6. Run static analyzers

## Find problems with:

- backdoors
- bugs
- math
- potential leaking of funds
- · potential locking of the contract
- · validate arguments and events
- others

# Result

# **Critical**

Not found.

# Major

## Comment

1. Useless conversion uint64 to/from uint256.

At:

https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/blob/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e
 23c4ac8a/TokenVesting.sol#L148

(https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/blob/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e23c4ac8a/TokenVesting.sol #L148)

• <a href="https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/blob/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e">https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/blob/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e</a> <a href="https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/blob/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e">23c4ac8a/TokenVesting.sol#L222</a>

 $\underline{\text{(https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/blob/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e23c4ac8a/TokenVesting.sol\#L222)}$ 

there is a conversion of the periodIndex from uint64 to uint256 and then back to uint64.

#### Recommendation.

Remove useless conversion.

#### Status.

**FIXED** 

## 2. Unclear setting daysClaimed to zero.

At:

• <a href="https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/blob/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e">https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/blob/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e</a> <a href="https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/blob/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e">23c4ac8a/TokenVesting.sol#L170</a>

 $\underline{(https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/blob/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e23c4ac8a/TokenVesting.sol\#L170)}$ 

you set claim.daysClaimed = 0

but then at:

• <a href="https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/blob/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e">https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/blob/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e</a> <a href="mailto:23c4ac8a/TokenVesting.sol#L220">23c4ac8a/TokenVesting.sol#L220</a>

(https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/blob/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e23c4ac8a/TokenVesting.sol #L220)

you set it back to non-zero where daysClaimed is taken from:

• <a href="https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/blob/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e">https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/blob/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e</a>
<a href="mailto:23c4ac8a/TokenVesting.sol#L167">23c4ac8a/TokenVesting.sol#L167</a>

 $\underline{\text{(https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/blob/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e23c4ac8a/TokenVesting.sol}\\ \underline{\text{\#L167}}$ 

it's not clear why don't just set at:

https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/blob/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e
 23c4ac8a/TokenVesting.sol#L170

claim.daysClaimed = daysClaimed

## Recommendation.

Add more explanations to the code about this logick block to make it absolutely clear what is going on inside.

### Status.

ACKNOWLEDGED NOT ISSUE

## 3. Strange periodIndex decrement.

At:

https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/blob/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e
 23c4ac8a/TokenVesting.sol#L174

you do

```
periodIndex--;
```

so if someone call claimForSelf twice then at the second call, the while condition will be skipped at:

https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/blob/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e
 23c4ac8a/TokenVesting.sol#L152

 $\underline{\text{(https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/blob/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e23c4ac8a/TokenVesting.sol}\\ \underline{\text{\#L152}}$ 

and decreased periodIndex will be returned.

#### And at:

 $\underline{https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/blob/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e23c4}\\ \underline{ac8a/TokenVesting.sol\#L222}$ 

 $\underline{(https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/blob/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e23c4ac8a/TokenVesting.sol\#L222})$ 

you set periodIndex back to the past.

There is a check at:

• <a href="https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/blob/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e">https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/blob/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e</a> <a href="mailto:23c4ac8a/TokenVesting.sol#L216">23c4ac8a/TokenVesting.sol#L216</a>

(https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/blob/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e23c4ac8a/TokenVesting.sol #L216)

that should revert such transactions. But the check is not direct.

So it's possible that periodIndex goes back to the Past and this situation is reverted because the amount==0, so this is confusing.

## Recommendation.

Add something like

```
require(totalPeriods > periodIndex);
```

or get rid of strange

```
periodIndex--;
```

you can use for -loop for example over periodIndex.

#### Status.

ACKNOWLEDGED NOT ISSUE

# 4. While condition potentially can go out of block gas limit.

At:

• <a href="https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/blob/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e">https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/blob/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e</a> <a href="https://github.com/VaultyFinance/contracts/blob/a9943a9732b09c41b4ec96326f1b920e">23c4ac8a/TokenVesting.sol#L152</a>

if someone will call the method for the first time or after a long time the while condition potentialy may process huge number of periods and go out of gas block limit.

#### Recommendation.

Create specific method to process specific number of periods to fit into gas block limit.

#### Status.

ACKNOWLEDGED NOT\_ISSUE