Chapter ML:VI

VI. Decision Trees

- Decision Trees Basics
- Impurity Functions
- Decision Tree Algorithms
- Decision Tree Pruning

Decision Tree Algorithms

ID3 Algorithm [Quinlan 1986] [CART Algorithm]

Setting:

- X is a multiset of feature vectors.
- C is a set of classes.
- $D = \{(\mathbf{x}_1, c_1), \ldots, (\mathbf{x}_n, c_n)\} \subseteq X \times C$ is a multiset of examples.

Learning task:

- Fit D using a decision tree T.

Decision Tree Algorithms

ID3 Algorithm [Quinlan 1986] [CART Algorithm] (continued)

Setting:

- X is a multiset of feature vectors.
- C is a set of classes.
- $D = \{(\mathbf{x}_1, c_1), \ldots, (\mathbf{x}_n, c_n)\} \subseteq X \times C$ is a multiset of examples.

Learning task:

- Fit D using a decision tree T.

Characteristics of the ID3 algorithm:

1. Each splitting is based on one nominal feature and considers its complete domain. Splitting based on feature A with domain $\mathit{dom}(A) = \{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$:

   $$X = \{\mathbf{x} \in X : \mathbf{x}|_A = a_1\} \cup \ldots \cup \{\mathbf{x} \in X : \mathbf{x}|_A = a_m\}$$

2. Splitting criterion is information gain.

Decision Tree Algorithms

ID3 Algorithm [Mitchell 1997 version] [algorithm template]

ID3(D, Features)

1. Create a node t for the tree.
2. Label t with the most common class in D.
3. If all examples in D have the same class, return the single-node tree t.
4. If Features is empty, return the single-node tree t.

Decision Tree Algorithms

ID3 Algorithm [Mitchell 1997 version] [algorithm template] (continued)

ID3(D, Features)

1. Create a node t for the tree.
2. Label t with the most common class in D.
3. If all examples in D have the same class, return the single-node tree t.
4. If Features is empty, return the single-node tree t.

   Otherwise:

   5. Let A* be the feature from Features that best classifies examples in D.

      Assign t the decision feature A*.

   6. For each possible value "a" in dom(A*) do:
      - Add a new tree branch below t, corresponding to the test A* = "a".
      - Let D_a be the subset of D that has value "a" for A*.
      - If D_a is empty:
        Then add a leaf node with the label of the most common class in D.
        Else add the subtree ID3(D_a, Features \ {A*}).
7. Return t.

Decision Tree Algorithms

ID3 Algorithm (pseudo code) [algorithm template]

ID3$(D, \mathit{Features})$

```
1. t = createNode()
2. label(t) = mostCommonClass(D)
3. IF ∀(x, c) ∈ D : c = label(t) THEN return(t) ENDIF   // D is pure.
4. IF Features = ∅ THEN return(t) ENDIF   // We are running out of features.
5.
6.
7.
```

Decision Tree Algorithms

ID3 Algorithm (pseudo code) [algorithm template] (continued)

ID3$(D, \mathit{Features})$

1. $t = \mathit{createNode}()$
2. $\mathit{label}(t) = \mathit{mostCommonClass}(D)$
3. **IF** $\forall(\mathbf{x}, c) \in D : c = \mathit{label}(t)$ **THEN** $\mathit{return}(t)$ **ENDIF** // D is pure.
4. **IF** $\mathit{Features} = \emptyset$ **THEN** $\mathit{return}(t)$ **ENDIF** // We are running out of features.
5. $A^* = \text{argmax}_{A \in \mathit{Features}}(\mathit{informationGain}(D, A))$
6.
7.

Decision Tree Algorithms

ID3 Algorithm (pseudo code) [algorithm template] (continued)

ID3$(D, \mathit{Features})$

1. $t = \mathit{createNode}()$
2. $\mathit{label}(t) = \mathit{mostCommonClass}(D)$
3. **IF** $\forall(\mathbf{x}, c) \in D : c = \mathit{label}(t)$ **THEN** $\mathit{return}(t)$ **ENDIF** // D is pure.
4. **IF** $\mathit{Features} = \emptyset$ **THEN** $\mathit{return}(t)$ **ENDIF** // We are running out of features.
5. $A^* = \text{argmax}_{A \in \mathit{Features}}(\mathit{informationGain}(D, A))$
6. **FOREACH** $a \in \mathit{dom}(A^*)$ **DO**
   $D_a = \{(\mathbf{x}, c) \in D : \mathbf{x}|_{A^*} = a\}$
   IF $D_a = \emptyset$ **THEN**
   ELSE
   $\mathit{createEdge}(t, a, \mathit{ID3}(D_a, \mathit{Features} \backslash \{A^*\}))$
   ENDIF
   ENDDO
7. $\mathit{return}(t)$

Decision Tree Algorithms

ID3 Algorithm (pseudo code) [algorithm template] (continued)

ID3$(D, \mathit{Features})$

1. $t = \mathit{createNode}()$
2. $\mathit{label}(t) = \mathit{mostCommonClass}(D)$
3. **IF** $\forall(\mathbf{x}, c) \in D : c = \mathit{label}(t)$ **THEN** $\mathit{return}(t)$ **ENDIF** // D is pure.
4. **IF** $\mathit{Features} = \emptyset$ **THEN** $\mathit{return}(t)$ **ENDIF** // We are running out of features.
5. $A^* = \text{argmax}_{A \in \mathit{Features}}(\mathit{informationGain}(D, A))$
6. **FOREACH** $a \in \mathit{dom}(A^*)$ **DO**

   $D_a = \{(\mathbf{x}, c) \in D : \mathbf{x}|_{A^*} = a\}$

   IF $D_a = \emptyset$ **THEN** // We are running out of data.

   $t' = \mathit{createNode}()$

   $\mathit{label}(t') = \mathit{label}(t)$

   $\mathit{createEdge}(t, a, t')$

   ELSE

   $\mathit{createEdge}(t, a, \mathit{ID3}(D_a, \mathit{Features} \backslash \{A^*\}))$

   ENDIF

   ENDDO
7. $\mathit{return}(t)$

Remarks:

- Step 3 of of the ID3 algorithm checks the purity of D and, given this case, assigns the unique class to the respective node.
- The ID3 (Iterative Dichotomiser 3) was published by Ross Quinlan in 1986.

Decision Tree Algorithms

ID3 Algorithm: Example

Example set D for mushrooms, drawn from a set of feature vectors X over the three dimensions color, size, and points:

	Color	Size	Points	Edibility
1	red	small	yes	toxic
2	brown	small	no	edible
3	brown	large	yes	edible
4	green	small	no	edible
5	red	large	no	edible

Decision Tree Algorithms

ID3 Algorithm: Example (continued)

Top-level call of ID3. Analyze a splitting with regard to the feature “color” :

$D|_{\text{color}} =$

	toxic	edible
red	1	1
red	0	1
brown	0	2
green	0	1

$\rightsquigarrow \quad |D_{\text{red}}| = 2, \quad |D_{\text{brown}}| = 2, \quad |D_{\text{green}}| = 1$

Decision Tree Algorithms

ID3 Algorithm: Example (continued)

Top-level call of ID3. Analyze a splitting with regard to the feature “color” :

$D|_{\text{color}} =$

	toxic	edible
red	1	1
red	0	1
brown	0	2
green	0	1

$\rightsquigarrow \quad |D_{\text{red}}| = 2, \quad |D_{\text{brown}}| = 2, \quad |D_{\text{green}}| = 1$

Estimated prior probabilities:

$$\hat{P}(\textit{Color}{=}\text{red}) = \frac{2}{5} = 0.4, \quad \hat{P}(\textit{Color}{=}\text{brown}) = \frac{2}{5} = 0.4, \quad \hat{P}(\textit{Color}{=}\text{green}) = \frac{1}{5} = 0.2$$

Decision Tree Algorithms

ID3 Algorithm: Example (continued)

Top-level call of ID3. Analyze a splitting with regard to the feature "color" :

$$D|_{\text{color}} = \begin{array}{|lcc|}\hline & \text{toxic} & \text{edible} \\ \hline \text{red} & 1 & 1 \\ \text{red} & 0 & 1 \\ \text{brown} & 0 & 2 \\ \text{green} & 0 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad |D_{\text{red}}| = 2, \quad |D_{\text{brown}}| = 2, \quad |D_{\text{green}}| = 1$$

Estimated prior probabilities:

$$\hat{P}(\textit{Color}{=}\text{red}) = \frac{2}{5} = 0.4, \quad \hat{P}(\textit{Color}{=}\text{brown}) = \frac{2}{5} = 0.4, \quad \hat{P}(\textit{Color}{=}\text{green}) = \frac{1}{5} = 0.2$$

Conditional entropy:

$$\begin{aligned} H(\mathcal{A} \mid \mathcal{B}_1) &= H(\ \{A_1, A_2\} \mid \{B_{1,1}, B_{1,2}, B_{1,3}\}\ ) \\ &= H(\ \{C{=}\text{toxic}, C{=}\text{edible}\} \mid \{\textit{Color}{=}\text{red}, \textit{Color}{=}\text{brown}, \textit{Color}{=}\text{green}\}\ ) \\ &= -(0.4{\cdot}(\tfrac{1}{2}{\cdot}\log_2\tfrac{1}{2} + \tfrac{1}{2}{\cdot}\log_2\tfrac{1}{2}) + 0.4{\cdot}(\tfrac{0}{2}{\cdot}\log_2\tfrac{0}{2} + \tfrac{2}{2}{\cdot}\log_2\tfrac{2}{2}) + 0.2{\cdot}(\tfrac{0}{1}{\cdot}\log_2\tfrac{0}{1} + \tfrac{1}{1}{\cdot}\log_2\tfrac{1}{1})) = \ 0.4 \end{aligned}$$

Decision Tree Algorithms

ID3 Algorithm: Example (continued)

Top-level call of ID3. Analyze a splitting with regard to the feature "color" :

$D|_{\text{color}} =$

	toxic	edible
red	1	1
red	0	1
brown	0	2
green	0	1

$\rightsquigarrow \quad |D_{\text{red}}| = 2, \quad |D_{\text{brown}}| = 2, \quad |D_{\text{green}}| = 1$

Estimated prior probabilities:

$$\hat{P}(\textit{Color}{=}\text{red}) = \frac{2}{5} = 0.4, \quad \hat{P}(\textit{Color}{=}\text{brown}) = \frac{2}{5} = 0.4, \quad \hat{P}(\textit{Color}{=}\text{green}) = \frac{1}{5} = 0.2$$

Conditional entropy:

$$\begin{aligned} H(\mathcal{A} \mid \mathcal{B}_1) &= H(\ \{A_1, A_2\} \mid \{B_{1,1}, B_{1,2}, B_{1,3}\}\ ) \\ &= H(\ \{C{=}\text{toxic}, C{=}\text{edible}\} \mid \{\textit{Color}{=}\text{red}, \textit{Color}{=}\text{brown}, \textit{Color}{=}\text{green}\}\ ) \\ &= -(0.4{\cdot}(\tfrac{1}{2}{\cdot}\log_2\tfrac{1}{2} + \tfrac{1}{2}{\cdot}\log_2\tfrac{1}{2}) + 0.4{\cdot}(\tfrac{0}{2}{\cdot}\log_2\tfrac{0}{2} + \tfrac{2}{2}{\cdot}\log_2\tfrac{2}{2}) + 0.2{\cdot}(\tfrac{0}{1}{\cdot}\log_2\tfrac{0}{1} + \tfrac{1}{1}{\cdot}\log_2\tfrac{1}{1})) = 0.4 \end{aligned}$$

$$H(\mathcal{A} \mid \mathcal{B}_2) = H(\ \{C{=}\text{toxic}, C{=}\text{edible}\} \mid \{\textit{Size}{=}\text{small}, \textit{Size}{=}\text{large}\}\ ) = \ \ldots \ \approx 0.55$$

$$H(\mathcal{A} \mid \mathcal{B}_3) = H(\ \{C{=}\text{toxic}, C{=}\text{edible}\} \mid \{\textit{Points}{=}\text{yes}, \textit{Points}{=}\text{no}\}\ ) = \ \ldots \ = 0.4$$

Remarks:

- The smaller $H(\mathcal{A} \mid \mathcal{B})$ is, the larger becomes the information gain. Hence, the difference $H(\mathcal{A}) - H(\mathcal{A} \mid \mathcal{B})$ needs not to be computed since $H(\mathcal{A})$ is constant within each recursion step.
- In the example, the information gain in the first recursion step becomes maximum for the features “color” and “points”.
- Notation. When used in the role of a random variable (here: in the argument of a probability P), features are written in italics and capitalized.
- Notation. The probabilities, denoted as $P(\,\cdot\,)$, are unknown and estimated by the relative frequencies, denoted as $\hat{P}(\,\cdot\,)$.

Decision Tree Algorithms

ID3 Algorithm: Example (continued)

Decision tree before the first recursion step:



Color	Size	Edibility
red	small	toxic
brown	large	edible

Color	Size	Edibility
brown	small	edible
green	small	edible
red	large	edible

Choosing the feature “points” in Step 5 of the ID3 algorithm.

Decision Tree Algorithms

ID3 Algorithm: Example (continued)

Decision tree before the second recursion step:



Choosing the feature “color” in Step 5 of the ID3 algorithm.

Decision Tree Algorithms

ID3 Algorithm: Example (continued)

Final decision tree after second recursion step:



Break of a tie: choosing the class “toxic” for D_{green} in Step 6 of the ID3 algorithm.

Decision Tree Algorithms

ID3 Algorithm: Search Space

Features $= \{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_p\}$



Remarks (search space versus hypothesis space):

- The underlying search space of an algorithm that samples without replacement a single feature in each step (= monothetic splitting) consists of all permutations of the features in the feature set. In particular, if the number of features (= dimensionality of a feature vector $\mathbf{x}$) is p, then the search space contains $p!$ elements.
- The set of possible decision trees over D forms the hypothesis space H. The maximum size of H, i.e., the maximum number of decision trees for a data set D in a binary classification setting, is $2^{|D|}$: If the feature vectors are pairwise distinct, every subset of D can form a class while the complement of the subset will form the other class. The set of possible subsets of D is $\mathcal{P}(D)$, where $|\mathcal{P}(D)| = 2^{|D|}$.
- Observe that either $p! < 2^{|D|}$ or $p! > 2^{|D|}$ can hold. I.e., the search space due to feature ordering can be smaller or larger than its underlying hypothesis space. The former characterizes the typical situation; also note that both the search space and the hypothesis space grow exponentially in the number of features and examples respectively.
- The difference between search space size and hypothesis space size results from Step 6 of the ID3 algorithm: the same feature selection order will lead to different decision trees when given different data sets. However, since the splitting operation in Step 6 is deterministic it has no effect on the search space.
- The runtime of the ID3 algorithm is in $O(p^2 \cdot n)$, i.e., significantly below $p!$ since only a small part of the search space is explored. At each split, the algorithm greedily (in fact, irrevocably) selects the most informative feature by applying information gain as a heuristic for feature selection.

Decision Tree Algorithms

ID3 Algorithm: Inductive Bias

Inductive bias is the rigidity in applying the (little bit of) knowledge learned from a training set for the classification of unseen feature vectors.

Observations:

- Decision tree search happens in the space of all hypotheses.

- To generate a decision tree, the ID3 algorithm needs per branch at most as many decisions as features are given.

Decision Tree Algorithms

ID3 Algorithm: Inductive Bias (continued)

Inductive bias is the rigidity in applying the (little bit of) knowledge learned from a training set for the classification of unseen feature vectors.

Observations:

- Decision tree search happens in the space of all hypotheses.
  - ➜ The target concept is a member of the hypothesis space.
- To generate a decision tree, the ID3 algorithm needs per branch at most as many decisions as features are given.
  - ➜ no backtracking takes place
  - ➜ the decision tree is a result of *local optimization*

Decision Tree Algorithms

ID3 Algorithm: Inductive Bias (continued)

Inductive bias is the rigidity in applying the (little bit of) knowledge learned from a training set for the classification of unseen feature vectors.

Observations:

- Decision tree search happens in the space of all hypotheses.
  - ➜ The target concept is a member of the hypothesis space.
- To generate a decision tree, the ID3 algorithm needs per branch at most as many decisions as features are given.
  - ➜ no backtracking takes place
  - ➜ the decision tree is a result of *local optimization*

Where the inductive bias of the ID3 algorithm becomes manifest:

1. Small decision trees are preferred.
2. Highly discriminative features tend to be closer to the root.

Is this justified?

Remarks (inductive bias):

- The inductive bias of the ID3 algorithm is of a different kind than the inductive bias of the candidate elimination algorithm (or version space algorithm):
  1. The underlying hypothesis space H of the candidate elimination algorithm is incomplete. H corresponds to a coarsened view onto the space of all hypotheses since H contains only conjunctions of feature-value pairs as hypotheses.

     However, this restricted hypothesis space is searched completely by the candidate elimination algorithm. Keyword: restriction bias

  2. The underlying hypothesis space H of the ID3 algorithm is complete since it contains all decision trees that can be constructed over D.

     However, this complete hypothesis space is searched incompletely, but following a preference. Keyword: preference bias or search bias

- The inductive bias of the ID3 algorithm renders the algorithm robust wrt. noise.

Decision Tree Algorithms

CART Algorithm [Breiman 1984] [ID3 Algorithm]

Setting:

- X is a multiset of feature vectors. No restrictions are presumed for the features' measurement scales.
- C is a set of classes.
- $D = \{(\mathbf{x}_1, c_1), \ldots, (\mathbf{x}_n, c_n)\} \subseteq X \times C$ is a multiset of examples.

Learning task:

- Fit D using a decision tree T.

Decision Tree Algorithms

CART Algorithm [Breiman 1984] [ID3 Algorithm] (continued)

Setting:

- X is a multiset of feature vectors. No restrictions are presumed for the features' measurement scales.
- C is a set of classes.
- $D = \{(\mathbf{x}_1, c_1), \ldots, (\mathbf{x}_n, c_n)\} \subseteq X \times C$ is a multiset of examples.

Learning task:

- Fit D using a decision tree T.

Characteristics of the CART algorithm:

1. Each splitting is binary and considers one feature at a time.
2. Splitting criterion is the information gain or the Gini index.

Decision Tree Algorithms

CART Algorithm (continued)

Let A be a feature with domain $dom(A)$. Apply (probably multiple times) the respective rule to induce a finite number of binary splittings of X :

1. If A is nominal, choose $B \subset dom(A)$ such that $0 < |B| \leq |dom(A) \setminus B|$.
2. If A is ordinal, choose $a \in dom(A)$ such that $x_{\text{min}} < a < x_{\text{max}}$, where x_{min}, x_{max} are the minimum and maximum values of feature A in D.
3. If A is numeric, choose $a \in dom(A)$ such that $a = 0.5 \cdot (x_{l_1} + x_{l_2})$, where x_{l_1}, x_{l_2} are consecutive elements in the ordered value list of feature A in D.

Decision Tree Algorithms

CART Algorithm (continued)

Let A be a feature with domain $dom(A)$. Apply (probably multiple times) the respective rule to induce a finite number of binary splittings of X :

1. If A is nominal, choose $B \subset dom(A)$ such that $0 < |B| \leq |dom(A) \setminus B|$.
2. If A is ordinal, choose $a \in dom(A)$ such that $x_{\text{min}} < a < x_{\text{max}}$, where x_{min}, x_{max} are the minimum and maximum values of feature A in D.
3. If A is numeric, choose $a \in dom(A)$ such that $a = 0.5 \cdot (x_{l_1} + x_{l_2})$, where x_{l_1}, x_{l_2} are consecutive elements in the ordered value list of feature A in D.

Adapt Step 5+6 to turn the ID3 into the CART algorithm:

- For all $A \in$ *Features* generate with the above rules all splittings of $D(t)$.
- Choose a splitting that maximizes the impurity reduction $\Delta\iota$:

$$\Delta\iota\big(D(t),\ \{D(t_L), D(t_R)\}\big) \ = \ \iota(D(t)) - \frac{|D(t_L)|}{|D|} \cdot \iota(D(t_L)) - \frac{|D(t_R)|}{|D|} \cdot \iota(D(t_R)).$$

- Recursively call CART to process $D(t_L)$ and $D(t_R)$.

Remarks:

- t_L and t_R denote the left and right successor of t in the decision tree. These nodes are returned by the calls of the CARD algorithm and connected to t via *createEdge*$()$.
- Since the CARD algorithm creates binary splittings only, the feature A^* chosen in Step 5 can be chosen again later on. Hence, a call of CARD to process $D(t_L)$ (or $D(t_R)$) in Step 6 passes the complete set of features as second parameter (and not: *Features*$\backslash\{A^*\}$).

Decision Tree Algorithms

CART Algorithm (continued)

Illustration for two numeric features; i.e., the feature space $\mathbf{X}$ underlying X corresponds to a two-dimensional plane such as the $\mathbf{R}^2$:







Decision Tree Algorithms

CART Algorithm (continued)

Illustration for two numeric features; i.e., the feature space $\mathbf{X}$ underlying X corresponds to a two-dimensional plane such as the $\mathbf{R}^2$:







Decision Tree Algorithms

CART Algorithm (continued)

Illustration for two numeric features; i.e., the feature space $\mathbf{X}$ underlying X corresponds to a two-dimensional plane such as the $\mathbf{R}^2$:







Decision Tree Algorithms

CART Algorithm (continued)

Illustration for two numeric features; i.e., the feature space $\mathbf{X}$ underlying X corresponds to a two-dimensional plane such as the $\mathbf{R}^2$:







Decision Tree Algorithms

CART Algorithm (continued)

Illustration for two numeric features; i.e., the feature space $\mathbf{X}$ underlying X corresponds to a two-dimensional plane such as the $\mathbf{R}^2$:







By the sequence of (here: four) splittings of D the feature space $\mathbf{X}$ is cut into rectangular areas that are parallel to the two axes. Keyword: guillotine cuts