Chapter ML:III

III. Decision Trees

- Decision Trees Basics
- □ Impurity Functions
- □ Decision Tree Algorithms
- □ Decision Tree Pruning

ML:III-1 Decision Trees © STEIN/LETTMANN 2005-2019


Specification of Classification Problems [ML Introduction]

Characterization of the model (model world):

- \square X is a set of feature vectors, also called feature space.
- \Box *C* is a set of classes.
- $\neg c: X \to C$ is the ideal classifier for X.
- $D = \{(\mathbf{x}_1, c(\mathbf{x}_1)), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_n, c(\mathbf{x}_n))\} \subseteq X \times C \text{ is a set of examples.}$


Decision Tree for the Concept "EnjoySport"

Example	Sky	Temperature	Humidity	Wind	Water	Forecast	EnjoySport
1	sunny	warm	normal	strong	warm	same	yes
2	sunny	warm	high	strong	warm	same	yes
3	rainy	cold	high	strong	warm	change	no



Decision Tree for the Concept "EnjoySport"

Example	Sky	Temperature	Humidity	Wind	Water	Forecast	EnjoySport
1	sunny	warm	normal	strong	warm	same	yes
2	sunny	warm	high	strong	warm	same	yes
3	rainy	cold	high	strong	warm	change	no



Partitioning of X at the root node:

$$X = \{\mathbf{x} \in X : \mathbf{x}|_{\mathsf{Sky}} = \mathsf{sunny}\} \ \cup \ \{\mathbf{x} \in X : \mathbf{x}|_{\mathsf{Sky}} = \mathsf{cloudy}\} \ \cup \ \{\mathbf{x} \in X : \mathbf{x}|_{\mathsf{Sky}} = \mathsf{rainy}\}$$

ML:III-4 Decision Trees

Definition 1 (Splitting)

Let X be a feature space and let D be a set of examples. A splitting of X is a partitioning of X into mutually exclusive subsets X_1, \ldots, X_s . I.e., $X = X_1 \cup \ldots \cup X_s$ with $X_j \neq \emptyset$ and $X_j \cap X_{j'} = \emptyset$, where $j, j' \in \{1, \ldots, s\}, j \neq j'$.


A splitting X_1, \ldots, X_s of X induces a splitting D_1, \ldots, D_s of D, where D_j , $j = 1, \ldots, s$, is defined as $\{(\mathbf{x}, c(\mathbf{x})) \in D \mid \mathbf{x} \in X_j\}$.

Definition 1 (Splitting)

Let X be a feature space and let D be a set of examples. A splitting of X is a partitioning of X into mutually exclusive subsets X_1, \ldots, X_s . I.e., $X = X_1 \cup \ldots \cup X_s$ with $X_j \neq \emptyset$ and $X_j \cap X_{j'} = \emptyset$, where $j, j' \in \{1, \ldots, s\}, j \neq j'$.

A splitting X_1, \ldots, X_s of X induces a splitting D_1, \ldots, D_s of D, where D_j , $j = 1, \ldots, s$, is defined as $\{(\mathbf{x}, c(\mathbf{x})) \in D \mid \mathbf{x} \in X_j\}$.

A splitting depends on the measurement scale of a feature:



ML:III-6 Decision Trees

Definition 1 (Splitting)

Let X be a feature space and let D be a set of examples. A splitting of X is a partitioning of X into mutually exclusive subsets X_1, \ldots, X_s . I.e., $X = X_1 \cup \ldots \cup X_s$ with $X_j \neq \emptyset$ and $X_j \cap X_{j'} = \emptyset$, where $j, j' \in \{1, \ldots, s\}, j \neq j'$.

A splitting X_1, \ldots, X_s of X induces a splitting D_1, \ldots, D_s of D, where D_j , $j = 1, \ldots, s$, is defined as $\{(\mathbf{x}, c(\mathbf{x})) \in D \mid \mathbf{x} \in X_j\}$.

A splitting depends on the measurement scale of a feature:

1. m-ary splitting induced by a (nominal) feature A with finite domain:

$$A = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\} : X = \{\mathbf{x} \in X : \mathbf{x}|_A = a_1\} \cup \dots \cup \{\mathbf{x} \in X : \mathbf{x}|_A = a_m\}$$

ML:III-7 Decision Trees

Definition 1 (Splitting)

Let X be a feature space and let D be a set of examples. A splitting of X is a partitioning of X into mutually exclusive subsets X_1, \ldots, X_s . I.e., $X = X_1 \cup \ldots \cup X_s$ with $X_j \neq \emptyset$ and $X_j \cap X_{j'} = \emptyset$, where $j, j' \in \{1, \ldots, s\}, j \neq j'$.

A splitting X_1, \ldots, X_s of X induces a splitting D_1, \ldots, D_s of D, where D_j , $j = 1, \ldots, s$, is defined as $\{(\mathbf{x}, c(\mathbf{x})) \in D \mid \mathbf{x} \in X_j\}$.

A splitting depends on the measurement scale of a feature:

1. m-ary splitting induced by a (nominal) feature A with finite domain:

$$A = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\} : X = \{\mathbf{x} \in X : \mathbf{x}|_A = a_1\} \cup \dots \cup \{\mathbf{x} \in X : \mathbf{x}|_A = a_m\}$$

2. Binary splitting induced by a (nominal) feature *A*:

$$A' \subset A$$
: $X = \{ \mathbf{x} \in X : \mathbf{x}|_A \in A' \} \cup \{ \mathbf{x} \in X : \mathbf{x}|_A \notin A' \}$

3. Binary splitting induced by an ordinal feature *A*:

$$v \in dom(A):$$
 $X = \{\mathbf{x} \in X : \mathbf{x}|_A \succeq v\} \cup \{\mathbf{x} \in X : \mathbf{x}|_A \prec v\}$

Remarks:

- The syntax $\mathbf{x}|_A$ denotes the projection operator, which returns that vector component (dimension) of $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_p)$ that is associated with the feature A. Without loss of generality this projection can be presumed being unique.
- \Box A splitting of X into two disjoint, non-empty subsets is called a binary splitting.
- $lue{}$ We consider only splittings of X that are induced by a splitting of a single feature A of X. Keyword: monothetic splitting.

By contrast, a polythetic splitting considers several features at the same time.

Definition 2 (Decision Tree)

Let X be a feature space and let C be a set of classes. A decision tree T for X and C is a finite tree with a distinguished root node. A non-leaf node t of T has assigned (1) a set $X(t) \subseteq X$, (2) a splitting of X(t), and (3) a one-to-one mapping of the subsets of the splitting to its successors.

X(t) = X iff t is root node. A leaf node of T has assigned a class from C.

Definition 2 (Decision Tree)

Let X be a feature space and let C be a set of classes. A <u>decision tree</u> T for X and C is a finite tree with a distinguished root node. A non-leaf node t of T has assigned (1) a set $X(t) \subseteq X$, (2) a splitting of X(t), and (3) a one-to-one mapping of the subsets of the splitting to its successors.

X(t) = X iff t is root node. A leaf node of T has assigned a class from C.

Classification of some $x \in X$ given a decision tree T:

- 1. Find the root node of T.
- 2. If t is a non-leaf node, find among its successors that node whose subset of the splitting of X(t) contains \mathbf{x} . Repeat this step.
- 3. If t is a leaf node, label x with the respective class.
- → The set of possible decision trees forms the hypothesis space H.

Remarks:

- \Box The classification of an $\mathbf{x} \in X$ determines a unique path from the root node of T to some leaf node of T.
- \Box At each non-leaf node a particular feature of x is evaluated in order to find the next node along with a possible next feature to be analyzed.
- Each path from the root node to some leaf node corresponds to a conjunction of feature values, which are successively tested. This test can be formulated as a decision rule. Example:

IF Sky=rainy AND Wind=weak THEN EnjoySport=yes

If all tests in T are of the kind shown in the example, namely, an equality test regarding a feature value, all feature domains must be finite.


- If in all non-leaf nodes of T only one feature is evaluated at a time, T is called a *monothetic* decision tree. Examples for *polythetic* decision trees are the so-called oblique decision trees.
- □ Decision trees became popular in 1986, with the introduction of the ID3 Algorithm by J. R. Quinlan.

Notation

Let T be decision tree for X and C, let D be a set of examples [model], and let t be a node of T. Then we agree on the following notation:

- $\ \square$ X(t) denotes the subset of the feature space X that is represented by t. (as used in the decision tree definition)
- D(t) denotes the subset of the example set D that is represented by t, where $D(t) = \{(\mathbf{x}, c(\mathbf{x})) \in D \mid \mathbf{x} \in X(t)\}$. (see the splitting definition)

Illustration:



ML:III-13 Decision Trees © STEIN/LETTMANN 2005-2019

Remarks:

- \Box The set X(t) is comprised of those members \mathbf{x} of X that are filtered by a path from the root node of T to the node t.
- \Box leaves(T) denotes the set of all leaf nodes of T.
- A single node t of a decision tree T, and hence T itself, encode a piecewise constant function. This way, t as well as T can form complex, non-linear classifiers. The functions encoded by t and T differ in the number of evaluated features of \mathbf{x} , which is one for t and the tree height for T.
- \Box In the following we will use the symbols "t" and "T" to denote also the classifiers that are encoded by a node t and a tree T respectively:

 $t, T: X \to C$ (instead of $y_t, y_T: X \to C$)

Algorithm Template: Construction

Algorithm: DT-construct Decision Tree Construction

Input: D (Sub)set of examples.

Output: t Root node of a decision (sub)tree.

DT-construct(D)

- 1. t = newNode()label(t) = representativeClass(D)
- 2. IF impure(D)THEN criterion = splitCriterion(D)ELSE return(t)
- 3. $\{D_1,\ldots,D_s\} = decompose(D,criterion)$
- 4. FOREACH D' IN $\{D_1,\ldots,D_s\}$ DO addSuccessor(t, DT-construct(D'))

ENDDO

5. $\mathit{return}(t)$

[Illustration]

Algorithm Template: Classification

Algorithm: DT-classify Decision Tree Classification

Input: x Feature vector.

t Root node of a decision (sub)tree.

Output: $y(\mathbf{x})$ Class of feature vector \mathbf{x} in the decision (sub)tree below t.

DT-classify(\mathbf{x}, t)

```
1. IF isLeafNode(t)
THEN return(label(t))
ELSE return(DT-classify(\mathbf{x}, splitSuccessor(t, \mathbf{x}))
```

Remarks:

- \Box Since *DT-construct* assigns to each node of a decision tree T a class, each subtree of T (as well as each pruned version of a subtree of T) represents a valid decision tree on its own.
- Functions of DT-construct:
 - representativeClass(D)
 Returns a representative class for the example set D. Note that, due to pruning, each node may become a leaf node.
 - impure(D)
 Evaluates the (im)purity of a set D of examples.
 - splitCriterion(D)Returns a split criterion for X(t) based on the examples in D(t).
 - decompose(D, criterion)
 Returns a splitting of D according to criterion.
 - addSuccessor(t, t')
 Inserts the successor t' for node t.
- ☐ Functions of DT-classify:
 - isLeafNode(t)
 Tests whether t is a leaf node.
 - $splitSuccessor(t, \mathbf{x})$ Returns the (unique) successor t' of t for which $\mathbf{x} \in X(t')$ holds.

When to Use Decision Trees

Problem characteristics that may suggest a decision tree classifier:

- the objects can be described by feature-value combinations
- the domain and range of the target function are discrete
- hypotheses can be represented in disjunctive normal form
- □ the training set contains noise

Selected application areas:

- medical diagnosis
- fault detection in technical systems
- risk analysis for credit approval
- basic scheduling tasks such as calendar management
- classification of design flaws in software engineering

On the Construction of Decision Trees

- How to exploit an example set both efficiently and effectively?
- According to what rationale should a node become a leaf node?
- How to assign a class for nodes of impure example sets?
- How to evaluate decision tree performance?

Evaluation of Decision Trees

1. Size

2. Classification error

Evaluation of Decision Trees

1. Size

Among those theories that can explain an observation, the most simple one is to be preferred (Ockham's Razor):

Entia non sunt multiplicanda sine necessitate.

[Johannes Clauberg 1622-1665]

Here: among all decision trees of minimum classification error we choose the one of smallest size.

2. Classification error

Quantifies the rigor according to which a class label is assigned to x in a leaf node of T, based on the examples in D. [illustration]

If all leaf nodes of a decision tree T represent a single example of D, the classification error of T with respect to D is zero.

Evaluation of Decision Trees: Size

Leaf node number

□ Tree height

External path length

Weighted external path length

Evaluation of Decision Trees: Size

Leaf node number

The leaf node number corresponds to number of rules that are encoded in a decision tree.

Tree height

The tree height corresponds to the maximum rule length and bounds the number of premises to be evaluated to reach a class decision.

External path length

The external path length totals the lengths of all paths from the root of a tree to its leaf nodes. It corresponds to the space to store all rules that are encoded in a decision tree.

Weighted external path length

The weighted external path length is defined as the external path length with each length value weighted by the number of examples in D that are classified by this path.

Evaluation of Decision Trees: Size (continued)


Example set D for mushrooms, implicitly defining a feature space X over the three dimensions color, size, and points:

	Color	Size	Points	Eatability
1	red	small	yes	toxic
2	brown	small	no	eatable
3	brown	large	yes	eatable
4	green	small	no	eatable
5	red	large	no	eatable



Evaluation of Decision Trees: Size (continued)

The following trees correctly classify all examples in D:




(b)	attribu	attribute: size				
	small	large				
at	tribute: points	label: eatable				
yes	no					
label: to	kic label	: eatable				

Criterion	(a)	(b)
Leaf node number	4	3
Tree height	2	2
External path length	6	5

ML:III-25 Decision Trees © STEIN/LETTMANN 2005-2019

Evaluation of Decision Trees: Size (continued)

The following trees correctly classify all examples in D:



(b)	attribute: size				
	sm	all	large		
	/				
	attribute:	points	label: eatable 2x		
	yes	no			
labe	l: toxic 1x	label	eatable <mark>2x</mark>		

Criterion	(a)	(b)
Leaf node number	4	3
Tree height	2	2
External path length	6	5
Weighted external path length	7	8

ML:III-26 Decision Trees © STEIN/LETTMANN 2005-2019

Evaluation of Decision Trees: Size (continued)

Theorem 3 (External Path Length Bound)

The problem to decide for a set of examples D whether or not a decision tree exists whose external path length is bounded by b, is NP-complete.

Evaluation of Decision Trees: Classification Error

Given a decision tree T, a set of examples D, and a node t of T that represents the example subset $D(t) \subseteq D$. Then, the class that is assigned to t, label(t), is defined as follows [Illustration]:

$$\textit{label}(t) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{c \in C} \ \frac{|\{(\mathbf{x}, c(\mathbf{x})) \in D(t) : c(\mathbf{x}) = c\}|}{|D(t)|}$$

Evaluation of Decision Trees: Classification Error

Given a decision tree T, a set of examples D, and a node t of T that represents the example subset $D(t) \subseteq D$. Then, the class that is assigned to t, label(t), is defined as follows [Illustration]:

$$\textit{label}(t) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{c \in C} \ \frac{|\{(\mathbf{x}, c(\mathbf{x})) \in D(t) : c(\mathbf{x}) = c\}|}{|D(t)|}$$

Misclassification rate of node classifier t wrt. D(t):

$$\textit{Err}(t,D(t)) = \frac{|\{(\mathbf{x},c(\mathbf{x})) \in D(t) : c(\mathbf{x}) \neq \textit{label}(t)\}|}{|D(t)|} \ = \ 1 - \max_{c \in C} \ \frac{|\{(\mathbf{x},c(\mathbf{x})) \in D(t) : c(\mathbf{x}) = c\}|}{|D(t)|}$$

Evaluation of Decision Trees: Classification Error

Given a decision tree T, a set of examples D, and a node t of T that represents the example subset $D(t) \subseteq D$. Then, the class that is assigned to t, label(t), is defined as follows [Illustration]:

$$\textit{label}(t) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{c \in C} \ \frac{|\{(\mathbf{x}, c(\mathbf{x})) \in D(t) : c(\mathbf{x}) = c\}|}{|D(t)|}$$

Misclassification rate of node classifier t wrt. D(t):

$$\textit{Err}(t,D(t)) = \frac{|\{(\mathbf{x},c(\mathbf{x})) \in D(t) : c(\mathbf{x}) \neq \textit{label}(t)\}|}{|D(t)|} \ = \ 1 - \max_{c \in C} \ \frac{|\{(\mathbf{x},c(\mathbf{x})) \in D(t) : c(\mathbf{x}) = c\}|}{|D(t)|}$$

Misclassification rate of decision tree classifier T wrt. D(t):

$$\textit{Err}(T,D) = \sum_{t \in \textit{leaves}(T)} \frac{|D(t)|}{|D|} \cdot \textit{Err}(t,D(t))$$

Remarks:

Observe the difference between max(f) and argmax(f). Both expressions maximize f, but the former returns the maximum f-value (the image) while the latter returns the argument (the preimage) for which f becomes maximum:

$$- \max_{c \in C}(f(c)) = \max\{f(c) \mid c \in C\}$$

$$- \underset{c \, \in C}{\operatorname{argmax}}(f(c)) = c^* \quad \Rightarrow \ f(c^*) = \underset{c \, \in C}{\max}(f(c))$$

- The classifiers t and T may not have been constructed using D(t) as training data. I.e., the example set D(t) is in the role of a holdout test set.
- The true misclassification rate $Err^*(T)$ is based on a probability measure P on $X \times C$ (and not on relative frequencies). For a node t of T this probability becomes minimum iff:

$$\textit{label}(t) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{c \in C} \ P(c \mid X(t))$$

If D has been used as training set, a reliable interpretation of the (training) error Err(T,D) in terms of $Err^*(T)$ requires the Inductive Learning Hypothesis to hold. This implies, among others, that the distribution of C over the feature space X corresponds to the distribution of C over the training set D.

Evaluation of Decision Trees: Misclassification Costs

Given a decision tree T, a set of examples D, and a node t of T that represents the example subset $D(t) \subseteq D$. In addition, there is a cost measure for misclassification. Then, the class that is assigned to t, label(t), is defined as follows:

$$\textit{label}(t) = \underset{c' \in C}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{c \in C} \frac{|\{(\mathbf{x}, c(\mathbf{x})) \in D(t) : c(\mathbf{x}) = c\}|}{|D(t)|} \cdot \textit{cost}(c' \mid c)$$

Evaluation of Decision Trees: Misclassification Costs

Given a decision tree T, a set of examples D, and a node t of T that represents the example subset $D(t) \subseteq D$. In addition, there is a cost measure for misclassification. Then, the class that is assigned to t, label(t), is defined as follows:

$$\textit{label}(t) = \underset{c' \in C}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{c \in C} \frac{|\{(\mathbf{x}, c(\mathbf{x})) \in D(t) : c(\mathbf{x}) = c\}|}{|D(t)|} \cdot \textit{cost}(c' \mid c)$$

Misclassification costs of node classifier t wrt. D(t):

$$\textit{Err}_{\textit{cost}}(t, D(t)) = \frac{1}{|D_t|} \cdot \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, c(\mathbf{x})) \in D(t)} \textit{cost}(\textit{label}(t) \mid c(\mathbf{x})) \\ = \min_{c' \in C} \sum_{c \in C} \frac{|\{(\mathbf{x}, c(\mathbf{x})) \in D(t) : c(\mathbf{x}) = c\}|}{|D(t)|} \cdot \textit{cost}(c' \mid c)$$

Evaluation of Decision Trees: Misclassification Costs

Given a decision tree T, a set of examples D, and a node t of T that represents the example subset $D(t) \subseteq D$. In addition, there is a cost measure for misclassification. Then, the class that is assigned to t, label(t), is defined as follows:

$$\textit{label}(t) = \underset{c' \in C}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{c \in C} \frac{|\{(\mathbf{x}, c(\mathbf{x})) \in D(t) : c(\mathbf{x}) = c\}|}{|D(t)|} \cdot \textit{cost}(c' \mid c)$$

Misclassification costs of node classifier t wrt. D(t):

$$\textit{Err}_{\textit{cost}}(t,D(t)) = \frac{1}{|D_t|} \cdot \sum_{(\mathbf{x},c(\mathbf{x})) \in D(t)} \textit{cost}(\textit{label}(t) \mid c(\mathbf{x})) \\ = \min_{c' \in C} \sum_{c \in C} \frac{|\{(\mathbf{x},c(\mathbf{x})) \in D(t) : c(\mathbf{x}) = c\}|}{|D(t)|} \cdot \textit{cost}(c' \mid c)$$

Misclassification costs of decision tree classifier T wrt. D(t):

$$\textit{Err}_{\textit{cost}}(T, D) = \sum_{t \in \textit{leaves}(T)} \frac{|D(t)|}{|D|} \cdot \textit{Err}_{\textit{cost}}(t, D(t))$$

Remarks:

Again, observe the difference between min(f) and argmin(f). Both expressions minimize f, but the former returns the minimum f-value (the image) while the latter returns the argument (the preimage) for which f becomes minimum.

ML:III-35 Decision Trees © STEIN/LETTMANN 2005-2019